

# Medina/ Clyde Hill/ Hunts Point/ Yarrow Point Area: 033

---

## *Residential Revalue for 2017 Assessment Roll*



*Obtained from Medina.wa.gov*



### **King County** **Department of Assessments**

*Setting values, serving the community, and pursuing excellence*

500 Fourth Avenue, ADM-AS 0708  
Seattle, WA 98104-2384

OFFICE (206) 296-7300 FAX (206) 296-0595

Email: [assessor.info@kingcounty.gov](mailto:assessor.info@kingcounty.gov)

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/assessor/>



## King County

### Department of Assessments

500 Fourth Avenue, ADM-AS-0708  
Seattle, WA 98104-2384

***John Wilson***  
***Assessor***

**OFFICE: (206) 296-7300 FAX (206) 296-0595**

Email: [assessor.info@kingcounty.gov](mailto:assessor.info@kingcounty.gov)

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/assessor/>

Dear Property Owners,

Our field appraisers work hard throughout the year to visit properties in neighborhoods across King County. As a result, new commercial and residential valuation notices are mailed as values are completed. We value your property at its “true and fair value” reflecting its highest and best use as prescribed by state law (RCW 84.40.030; WAC 458-07-030).

We continue to work hard to implement your feedback and ensure we provide you accurate and timely information. We have made significant improvements to our website and online tools to make interacting with us easier. The following report summarizes the results of the assessments for your area along with a map.

Additionally, I have provided a brief tutorial of our property assessment process. It is meant to provide you with the background information about our process and the basis for the assessments in your area.

Fairness, accuracy and transparency set the foundation for effective and accountable government. I am pleased to continue to incorporate your input as we make ongoing improvements to serve you. Our goal is to ensure every taxpayer is treated fairly and equitably.

Our office is here to serve you. Please don't hesitate to contact us if you ever have any questions, comments or concerns about the property assessment process and how it relates to your property.

In Service,

John Wilson  
King County Assessor



# How Property Is Valued

King County along with Washington's 38 other counties use mass appraisal techniques to value all real property each year for property assessment purposes.

## What Are Mass Appraisal Techniques?

In King County the Mass Appraisal process incorporates statistical testing, generally accepted valuation methods, and a set of property characteristics for approximately 700,000 residential, commercial and industrial properties. More specifically for residential property, we break up King County into 86 residential market areas and annually develop market models from the sale of properties using multiple regression statistical tools. The results of the market models are then applied to all similarly situated homes within the same appraisal area.

## Are Properties Inspected?

All property in King County is physically inspected at least once during each six year cycle. Each year our appraisers inspect a different geographic area. An inspection is frequently an external observation of the property to confirm whether the property has changed by adding new improvements or shows signs of deterioration more than normal for the property's age. From the property inspections we update our property assessment records for each property. In cases where an appraiser has a question, they will approach the residence front door to make contact with the property owner or leave a card requesting the taxpayer contact them.

### RCW 84.40.025 - Access to property

For the purpose of assessment and valuation of all taxable property in each county, any real or personal property in each county shall be subject to visitation, investigation, examination, discovery, and listing at any reasonable time by the county assessor of the county or by any employee thereof designated for this purpose by the assessor.

In any case of refusal to such access, the assessor shall request assistance from the department of revenue which may invoke the power granted by chapter [84.08](#) RCW.

## How Are Property Sales Used?

For the annual revaluation of residential properties, three years of sales are analyzed with the sales prices time adjusted to January 1 of the current assessment year. Sales prices are adjusted for time to reflect that market prices change over time. During an increasing market, older sales prices often understate the current market value. Conversely, during downward (or recessionary) markets, older sales prices may overstate a property's value on January 1 of the assessment year unless sales are time adjusted. Hence time adjustments are an important element in the valuation process.

## How is Assessment Uniformity Achieved?

We have adopted the Property Assessment Standards prescribed by the International Association of Assessing Officers that may be reviewed at [www.IAAO.org](http://www.IAAO.org). As part of our valuation process statistical testing is performed by reviewing the uniformity of assessments within each specific market area, property type, and quality grade or residence age. More specifically Coefficients of Dispersion (aka COD) are developed that show the uniformity of predicted property assessments. We have set our target CODs using the standards set by IAAO which are summarized in the following table:



Type of property—General	Type of property—Specific	COD Range**
Single-family residential (including residential condominiums)	Newer or more homogeneous areas	5.0 to 10.0
Single-family residential	Older or more heterogeneous areas	5.0 to 15.0
Other residential	Rural, seasonal, recreational, manufactured housing, 2–4 unit family housing	5.0 to 20.0
Income-producing properties	Larger areas represented by large samples	5.0 to 15.0
Income-producing properties	Smaller areas represented by smaller samples	5.0 to 20.0
Vacant land		5.0 to 25.0
Other real and personal property		Varies with local conditions

Source: IAAO, *Standard on Ratio Studies*, Table 1-3

More results of the statistical testing process is found within the attached area report.

### Requirements of State Law

Washington property is required to be revalued each year to market value based on its highest and best use. (RCW 84.41.030; 84.40.030; and WAC 458-07-030). Washington Courts have interpreted fair market value as the amount of money a buyer, willing but not obligated to buy, would pay to a seller willing but not obligated to sell. Highest and Best Use is simply viewed as the most profitable use that a property can be legally used for. In cases where a property is underutilized by a property owner, it still must be valued at its highest and best use.

### Appraisal Area Reports

The following area report summarizes the property assessment activities and results for a general market area. The area report is meant to comply with state law for appraisal documentation purposes as well as provide the public with insight into the mass appraisal process.



## King County

### Department of Assessments

King County Administration Bldg.  
500 Fourth Avenue, ADM-AS-0708  
Seattle, WA 98104-2384

**John Wilson**  
**Assessor**

## Medina/ Clyde Hill/ Hunts Point/ Yarrow Point – Area 033 2017 Assessment Roll Year

Recommendation is made to post values for Area 033 to the 2018 tax roll:

Appraiser II: Jurgen Ramil

8/18/2017

Date

NE District Senior Appraiser: Jeff Darrow

8/18/17

Date

Residential Division Director: Debra S. Prins

8/21/17

Date

This report is hereby accepted and the values described in the attached documentation for Area 033 should be posted to the 2018 tax roll.

John Wilson, King County Assessor

8/23/17

Date



# Executive Summary

## Medina/ Clyde Hill/ Hunts Point/ Yarrow Point - Area 033

### Annual Update

#### Characteristics Based Market Adjustment for 2017 Assessment Roll

**Appraisal Date:** 1/1/2017  
**Previous Physical Inspection:** 2013  
**Number of Improved Sales:** 454  
**Range of Sale Dates:** 1/1/2014 – 12/31/2016 Sales were time adjusted to 1/1/2017

Sales - Improved Valuation Change Summary:						
	Land	Improvements	Total	Mean Sale Price	Ratio	COD
<b>2016 Value</b>	\$1,156,800	\$1,165,000	\$2,321,800			11.51%
<b>2017 Value</b>	\$1,258,000	\$1,267,600	\$2,525,600	\$2,740,100	93.1%	10.97%
<b>\$ Change</b>	+\$101,200	+\$102,600	+\$203,800			
<b>% Change</b>	+8.7%	+8.8%	+8.8%			

Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) is a measure of the uniformity of the predicted assessed values for properties within this geographic area. The 2017 COD of 10.97% is an improvement from the previous COD of 11.51%. The lower the COD, the more uniform are the predicted assessed values. Assessment standards prescribed by the International Association of Assessing Officers identify that the COD in rural or diverse neighborhoods should be no more than 20%. The resulting COD meets or exceeds the industry assessment standards.

Population - Improved Valuation Change Summary:			
	Land	Improvements	Total
<b>2016 Value</b>	\$1,453,600	\$1,145,800	\$2,599,400
<b>2017 Value</b>	\$1,581,000	\$1,264,400	\$2,845,400
<b>\$ Change</b>	+\$127,400	+\$118,600	+\$246,000
<b>% Change</b>	+8.8%	+10.4%	+9.5%

**Number of one to three unit residences in the population:** 3,139

**Summary of Findings:** The analysis for this area consisted of a general review of applicable characteristics, such as: grade, age, condition, stories, living area, views, waterfront, lot size, land problems and neighborhoods. The analysis results showed that several characteristic-based variables needed to be included in the valuation formula in order to improve the uniformity of assessments throughout the area. For instance, Good Condition and Very Good Condition, were generally at lower assessment levels than the rest of the population. This annual update valuation model corrects for these strata differences.

## Area 033 - Overview Map



All maps in this document are subject to the following disclaimer: The information included on this map has been compiled by King County staff from a variety of sources and is subject to change without notice. King County makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or rights to the use of such information. King County shall not be liable for any general, special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost revenues or lost profits resulting from the use or misuse of the information contained on this map. Any sale of this map or information on this map is prohibited except by written permission of King County.



# Area 033 Annual Update Ratio Study Report

## PRE-REVALUE RATIO ANALYSIS



Pre-revalue ratio analysis compares time adjusted sales from 2014 through 2016 in relation to the previous assessed value as of 1/1/2016.

PRE-REVALUE RATIO SAMPLE STATISTICS	
<b>Sample size (n)</b>	454
<b>Mean Assessed Value</b>	\$2,321,800
<b>Mean Adj. Sales Price</b>	\$2,740,100
<b>Standard Deviation AV</b>	\$1,395,047
<b>Standard Deviation SP</b>	\$1,559,215
ASSESSMENT LEVEL	
<b>Arithmetic Mean Ratio</b>	0.844
<b>Median Ratio</b>	0.845
<b>Weighted Mean Ratio</b>	0.847
UNIFORMITY	
<b>Lowest ratio</b>	0.492
<b>Highest ratio:</b>	1.130
<b>Coefficient of Dispersion</b>	11.51%
<b>Standard Deviation</b>	0.119
<b>Coefficient of Variation</b>	14.06%
<b>Price Related Differential (PRD)</b>	0.996

## POST-REVALUE RATIO ANALYSIS

Post revalue ratio analysis compares time adjusted sales from 2014 through 2016 and reflects the assessment level after the property has been revalued to 1/1/2017.

POST REVALUE RATIO SAMPLE STATISTICS	
<b>Sample size (n)</b>	454
<b>Mean Assessed Value</b>	\$2,525,600
<b>Mean Sales Price</b>	\$2,740,100
<b>Standard Deviation AV</b>	\$1,467,449
<b>Standard Deviation SP</b>	\$1,559,215
ASSESSMENT LEVEL	
<b>Arithmetic Mean Ratio</b>	0.925
<b>Median Ratio</b>	0.931
<b>Weighted Mean Ratio</b>	0.922
UNIFORMITY	
<b>Lowest ratio</b>	0.587
<b>Highest ratio:</b>	1.225
<b>Coefficient of Dispersion</b>	10.97%
<b>Standard Deviation</b>	0.125
<b>Coefficient of Variation</b>	13.51%
<b>Price Related Differential (PRD)</b>	1.003





## Area Information

### Area 033 – Medina/ Clyde Hill/ Hunts Point/ Yarrow Point

#### Area Description:

Area 33 is located on the eastern side of Lake Washington and west of the central business district of Bellevue. It includes the municipalities of Hunts Point, Yarrow Point, Medina, Clyde Hill and a portion of the City of Bellevue. Three major highways serve the area that provide access to Seattle and communities to the north, south and east. Situated along the western, southeastern and northern boundaries of Area 33 are some of the most desirable waterfront properties in King County. Many of the upland parcels have expansive views of Lake Washington and skylines of Seattle and Bellevue as well as views of the Olympic and Cascade Mountains. The regional economy includes a large employment base in the high-tech industries and other businesses.

Residential property values are continuing to move in an upward direction. The Improved properties of Area 33 are well diversified and range from estate parcels with mansions to small grade 7 single family dwellings.

The City of Clyde Hill (Sub Area 5) is zoned for single-family use. The City is home to four schools. They include two public schools, Clyde Hill Elementary and Chinook Middle School and two private schools, Bellevue Christian School and Sacred Heart School. The City's minimum lot size is 20,000 square feet, although many smaller lots exist which pre-date (grandfathered) the incorporation of the City. Clyde Hill is a very desirable location, with Lake Washington views ranging from Excellent to Fair, along with Olympic and Cascade Mountain, Territorial and Seattle/Bellevue skyline views.

The Town of Hunts Point (Sub Area 1) is a town located on a small peninsula surrounded by Lake Washington and is located near Medina (to the southwest), Clyde Hill (to the south), Yarrow Point (on another peninsula to the east), and Kirkland (to the northeast), as well as the city of Bellevue (to the east). Hunts Point is considered to be one of the most desirable neighborhoods on the east side of Lake Washington. Typical homes are mansion quality with average living area of over 5,000 square feet and average waterfront feet of 80 to 100. Hunts Point has the highest per capita income in the state.

The Town of Yarrow Point (Sub Area 1) is bordered on three sides by the eastern shoreline of Lake Washington. This peninsula covers approximately 231 acres. The town's proximity to the business centers of Seattle, Bellevue, Kirkland and Redmond make it a desirable location. While one fourth of the homes in Yarrow Point enjoy waterfront locations or water access, the remaining three quarters offer views ranging from expansive waterfront to territorial. Yarrow Point has the third highest per-capita income in the state.

Medina (Sub Areas 1 & 5) is a city located on the eastside of Lake Washington. Medina is surrounded to the north, west, and south by Lake Washington. The shoreline of Medina runs from Evergreen Point south to Groat Point and is some of the most desirable waterfront in King County. Medina is located due east of the City of Seattle across Lake Washington. Medina is bordered by Clyde Hill, Hunts Point, as well as the city of Bellevue. Medina has the second highest per-capita income in the state.



## Area Information

Medina is also the home to Overlake Golf Course and Country Club. Medina is connected to Seattle by State Route 520 (Evergreen Point Floating Bridge).

A small portion of West Bellevue is located in Sub Area 5. Bellevue is the fifth largest city in Washington State with a population of more than 130,000 people. It is the high-tech and retail center of the East King County. Framing downtown Bellevue on the north and west, Northwest Bellevue is a mixed residential area of low to moderate densities. Neighborhoods are well maintained – often through the enforcement of restrictive covenants drafted to protect the neighborhoods' special character and quality.

## Area 033 - Model Adjustments 1-3 Unit Residences

*2017 Total Value = 2016 Total Value + Overall (+/-) Characteristic Adjustments*

Standard Area Adjustment	# Parcels Adjusted	% of Population
<b>+5.74%</b>	1,666	53%

Due to truncating the coefficient values used to develop the percentages and further rounding of the percentages in this table, the results you will obtain are an approximation of adjustment achieved in production.

The percentages listed in the following table are total adjustments, not additive adjustments.

Model Variable	Adj %	# Sales	# in Pop	% Rep
<b>Condition = Good</b>	+14.53%	149	1126	13%
<b>Condition = VeryGood</b>	+19.62%	39	347	11%

There were no properties that would receive a multiple variable adjustment.

Generally, Good Condition and Very Good Condition parcels were at a lower assessment level than the rest of the population. This model corrects for these strata differences.

# Annual Update Process

**Effective Date of Appraisal: January 1, 2017**

**Date of Appraisal Report: August 18, 2017**

## Sales Screening for Improved Parcel Analysis

In order to ensure that the Assessor's analysis of sales of improved properties best reflects the market value of the majority of the properties within an area, non-typical properties must be removed so a representative sales sample can be analyzed to determine the new valuation level. The following list illustrates examples of non-typical properties which are removed prior to the beginning of the analysis.

1. Vacant parcels
2. Mobile Home parcels
3. Multi-Parcel or Multi Building parcels
4. New construction where less than a 100% complete house was assessed for 2016
5. Existing residences where the data for 2016 is significantly different than the data for 2017 due to remodeling
6. Parcels with improvement values, but no characteristics
7. Parcels with either land or improvement values of \$25,000 or less posted for the 2016 Assessment Roll
8. Short sales, financial institution re-sales and foreclosure sales verified or appearing to be not at market

*(Available sales and additional Area information can be viewed from [sales lists](#), [eSales](#) and [Localscape](#))*

## Land Update

Based on the 25 usable land sales available in the area, their 2016 Assessment Year assessed values, and supplemented by the value increase in sales of improved parcels, an overall market adjustment was derived. This resulted in an overall +8.8% increase in land assessments in the area for the 2017 Assessment Year. The formula is:

2017 Land Value = 2016 Land Value \* 1.088, with the result truncated to the next \$1,000.

## Improved Parcel Update

The analysis for this area consisted of a general review of applicable characteristics, such as: grade, age, condition, stories, living area, views, waterfront, lot size, land problems and neighborhoods. The analysis results showed that several characteristic-based variables needed to be included in the valuation formula in order to improve the uniformity of assessments throughout the area. For instance, Good Condition and Very Good Condition, were generally at lower assessment levels than the rest of the population. This annual update valuation model corrects for these strata differences.

With the exception of real property mobile home parcels and parcels with "accessory only" improvements, the new recommended values on all improved parcels were based on the analysis of the 454 useable residential sales in the area.



Sales used in the valuation model were time adjusted to January 1, 2017. The chosen adjustment model was developed using multiple regression. An explanatory adjustment table is included in this report.

The derived adjustment formula is:

$$2017 \text{ Total Value} = 2016 \text{ Total Value} * (1 - 0.075) / (.874821291403626 - 0.0671648991263152 * \text{Good} - 0.101568833221197 * V_{\text{Good}})$$

The resulting total value is truncated to the next \$1,000, *then*:

$$2017 \text{ Improvements Value} = 2017 \text{ Total Value} \text{ minus } 2017 \text{ Land Value}$$

## Mobile Home Update

There were no mobile homes in this area.

## Results

The assessment level target for all areas in King County, including this area, is 0.925. The actual assessment level for this area is 0.931. The standard statistical measures of valuation performance are all within the IAAO recommended range of 0.90 to 1.10.

Application of these recommended values for the 2017 assessment year (taxes payable in 2018) results in an average total change from the 2016 assessments of +9.5%. This increase is due partly to market changes over time and the previous assessment levels.

Note: Additional information may reside in the Assessor's Real Property Database, Assessor's procedures, Revalue Plan, separate studies, and statutes.

## Exceptions:

- If multiple houses exist on a parcel, the Total % Change indicated by the sales sample is used to arrive at the new total value (Previous Year Land Value + Previous Year Improvement Value) \* 1.088.
- If the site is improved with a house and mobile home, the formula derived from the house is used to arrive at new total value.
- If "accessory improvements only", the Total % Change, as indicated by the sales sample, is used to arrive at a new total value. (Previous Year Land Value + Previous Year Improvement Value) \* 1.088.
- If adjusted land value falls < \$1,000, then land value = \$1,000 or previous, whichever is less.
- If adjusted improvement value falls < \$1,000, then improvement value = \$1,000 or previous, whichever is less.
- If land value <= \$10,000 no adjustment is applied.
- If improvements and accessories <= \$10,000 no further adjustment applied.
- If vacant parcel (no improvement value), the land adjustment applies.
- If a parcel is coded "non-perc" (sewer system=3 or 4), there is no change from previous land value.
- If a parcel is coded "unbuildable" = 1, there is no change from previous land value.
- If a parcel is coded water district private restricted, or public restricted, there is no change from previous land value.
- If an improvement is coded "% net condition" or is in "poor" condition, then there is no change from previous improvement value, only the land adjustment applies.

Any properties excluded from the annual up-date process are noted in RealProperty.


## Sales Sample Representation of Population Year Built or Renovated

**Sales Sample**

Year Built/Ren	Frequency	% Sales Sample
1900-1909	0	0.00%
1910-1919	1	0.22%
1920-1929	2	0.44%
1930-1939	1	0.22%
1940-1949	16	3.52%
1950-1959	50	11.01%
1960-1969	66	14.54%
1970-1979	41	9.03%
1980-1989	43	9.47%
1990-1999	52	11.45%
2000-2009	117	25.77%
2010-2016	65	14.32%
	454	

**Population**

Year Built/Ren	Frequency	% Population
1900-1909	3	0.10%
1910-1919	6	0.19%
1920-1929	16	0.51%
1930-1939	14	0.45%
1940-1949	117	3.73%
1950-1959	448	14.27%
1960-1969	468	14.91%
1970-1979	345	10.99%
1980-1989	331	10.54%
1990-1999	416	13.25%
2000-2009	671	21.38%
2010-2016	304	9.68%
	3,139	



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution fairly closely with regard to Year Built or Renovated. This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.




## Sales Sample Representation of Population Above Grade Living Area

**Sales Sample**

Year Built/Ren	Frequency	% Sales Sample
1900-1909	0	0.00%
1910-1919	1	0.22%
1920-1929	2	0.44%
1930-1939	1	0.22%
1940-1949	16	3.52%
1950-1959	50	11.01%
1960-1969	66	14.54%
1970-1979	41	9.03%
1980-1989	43	9.47%
1990-1999	52	11.45%
2000-2009	117	25.77%
2010-2016	65	14.32%
	454	

**Population**

AGLA	Frequency	% Population
500	0	0.00%
1,000	16	0.51%
1,500	184	5.86%
2,000	421	13.41%
2,500	557	17.74%
3,000	496	15.80%
3,500	431	13.73%
4,000	307	9.78%
4,500	257	8.19%
5,000	157	5.00%
5,500	129	4.11%
27,000	184	5.86%
	3,139	



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution fairly closely with regard to Above Grade Living Area (AGLA). This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.






## Sales Sample Representation of Population Building Grade

**Sales Sample**

Grade	Frequency	% Sales Sample
1	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	0	0.00%
7	29	6.39%
8	76	16.74%
9	92	20.26%
10	104	22.91%
11	99	21.81%
12	47	10.35%
13	7	1.54%
454		

**Population**

Grade	Frequency	% Population
1	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4	0	0.00%
5	1	0.03%
6	4	0.13%
7	228	7.26%
8	595	18.96%
9	621	19.78%
10	702	22.36%
11	524	16.69%
12	336	10.70%
>=13	128	4.08%
3,139		



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution relatively closely with regard to Building Grades. This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.



## Area 033 Market Value Changes Over Time

In a changing market, recognition of a sales trend to adjust a population of sold properties to a common date is required to allow for value differences over time between a range of sales dates and the assessment date. The following chart shows the % time adjustment required for sales to reflect the indicated market value as of the assessment date, **January 1, 2017**.

For example, a sale of \$475,000 which occurred on October 1, 2015 would be adjusted by the time trend factor of 1.097, resulting in an adjusted value of \$521,000 ( $\$475,000 \times 1.097 = \$521,075$ ) – truncated to the nearest \$1000.

SaleDate	Adjustment (Factor)	Equivalent Percent
1/1/2014	1.232	23.2%
2/1/2014	1.226	22.6%
3/1/2014	1.220	22.0%
4/1/2014	1.213	21.3%
5/1/2014	1.207	20.7%
6/1/2014	1.200	20.0%
7/1/2014	1.194	19.4%
8/1/2014	1.187	18.7%
9/1/2014	1.181	18.1%
10/1/2014	1.174	17.4%
11/1/2014	1.168	16.8%
12/1/2014	1.162	16.2%
1/1/2015	1.155	15.5%
2/1/2015	1.148	14.8%
3/1/2015	1.142	14.2%
4/1/2015	1.136	13.6%
5/1/2015	1.130	13.0%
6/1/2015	1.123	12.3%
7/1/2015	1.117	11.7%
8/1/2015	1.110	11.0%
9/1/2015	1.103	10.3%
10/1/2015	1.097	9.7%
11/1/2015	1.091	9.1%
12/1/2015	1.084	8.4%
1/1/2016	1.078	7.8%
2/1/2016	1.071	7.1%
3/1/2016	1.065	6.5%
4/1/2016	1.058	5.8%
5/1/2016	1.052	5.2%
6/1/2016	1.045	4.5%
7/1/2016	1.039	3.9%
8/1/2016	1.032	3.2%
9/1/2016	1.026	2.6%
10/1/2016	1.020	2.0%
11/1/2016	1.013	1.3%
12/1/2016	1.007	0.7%
1/1/2017	1.000	0.0%



The time adjustment formula for Area 033 is:  $(.874821291403627 - 0.067164899126315 * 0.3281938 - 0.000178916129122958 * \text{SaleDay} - 0.101568833221197 * 0.08590309) / (.874821291403627 - 0.067164899126315 * 0.3281938 - 0.101568833221197 * 0.08590309)$

$\text{SaleDay} = \text{SaleDate} - 42736$

$\text{SaleDaySq} = (\text{SaleDate} - 42736)^2$

## Area 033 Housing Profile



Grade 6/Year Built 1920/ Total Living Area 1490



Grade 7/Year Built 1954/ Total Living Area 1470



Grade 8/ Year Built 2005/ Total Living Area 2850



Grade 9/ Year Built 1960/ Total Living Area 3460



Grade 10/ Year Built 1989/ Total Living Area 3430



Grade 11/ Year Built 1997/ Total Living Area 4900

## Area 033 Housing Profile ...continued



Grade 12/ Year Built 2012/ Total Living Area 5240



Grade 13/ Year Built 2000/ Total Living Area 12885

# Glossary for Improved Sales

## Condition: Relative to Age and Grade

1= Poor	Many repairs needed. Showing serious deterioration.
2= Fair	Some repairs needed immediately. Much deferred maintenance.
3= Average	Depending upon age of improvement; normal amount of upkeep for the age of the home.
4= Good	Condition above the norm for the age of the home. Indicates extra attention and care has been taken to maintain.
5= Very Good	Excellent maintenance and updating on home. Not a total renovation.

## Residential Building Grades

Grades 1 - 3	Falls short of minimum building standards. Normally cabin or inferior structure.
Grade 4	Generally older low quality construction. Does not meet code.
Grade 5	Lower construction costs and workmanship. Small, simple design.
Grade 6	Lowest grade currently meeting building codes. Low quality materials, simple designs.
Grade 7	Average grade of construction and design. Commonly seen in plats and older subdivisions.
Grade 8	Just above average in construction and design. Usually better materials in both the exterior and interior finishes.
Grade 9	Better architectural design, with extra exterior and interior design and quality.
Grade 10	Homes of this quality generally have high quality features. Finish work is better, and more design quality is seen in the floor plans and larger square footage.
Grade 11	Custom design and higher quality finish work, with added amenities of solid woods, bathroom fixtures and more luxurious options.
Grade 12	Custom design and excellent builders. All materials are of the highest quality and all conveniences are present.
Grade 13	Generally custom designed and built. Approaching the Mansion level. Large amount of highest quality cabinet work, wood trim and marble; large entries.



# Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Compliance

## Client and Intended Use of the Appraisal:

This mass appraisal report is intended for use by the public, King County Assessor and other agencies or departments administering or confirming ad valorem property taxes. Use of this report by others for other purposes is not intended by the appraiser. The use of this appraisal, analyses and conclusions is limited to the administration of ad valorem property taxes in accordance with Washington State law. As such it is written in concise form to minimize paperwork. The assessor intends that this report conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) requirements for a mass appraisal report as stated in USPAP SR 6-8. To fully understand this report the reader may need to refer to the Assessor's Property Record Files, Assessors Real Property Data Base, separate studies, Assessor's Procedures, Assessor's field maps, Revalue Plan and the statutes.

The purpose of this report is to explain and document the methods, data and analysis used in the revaluation of King County. King County is on a six year physical inspection cycle with annual statistical updates. The revaluation plan is approved by Washington State Department of Revenue. The Revaluation Plan is subject to their periodic review.

## Definition and date of value estimate:

### Market Value

The basis of all assessments is the true and fair value of property. True and fair value means market value (Spokane etc. R. Company v. Spokane County, 75 Wash. 72 (1913); Mason County Overtaxed, Inc. v. Mason County, 62 Wn. 2d (1963); AGO 57-58, No. 2, 1/8/57; AGO 65-66, No. 65, 12/31/65).

The true and fair value of a property in money for property tax valuation purposes is its "market value" or amount of money a buyer willing but not obligated to buy would pay for it to a seller willing but not obligated to sell. In arriving at a determination of such value, the assessing officer can consider only those factors which can within reason be said to affect the price in negotiations between a willing purchaser and a willing seller, and he must consider all of such factors. (AGO 65,66, No. 65, 12/31/65)

Retrospective market values are reported herein because the date of the report is subsequent to the effective date of valuation. The analysis reflects market conditions that existed on the effective date of appraisal.

### Highest and Best Use

#### **RCW 84.40.030**

*All property shall be valued at one hundred percent of its true and fair value in money and assessed on the same basis unless specifically provided otherwise by law.*

*An assessment may not be determined by a method that assumes a land usage or highest and best use not permitted, for that property being appraised, under existing zoning or land use planning ordinances or statutes or other government restrictions.*

**WAC 458-07-030 (3) True and fair value -- Highest and best use.**





*Unless specifically provided otherwise by statute, all property shall be valued on the basis of its highest and best use for assessment purposes. Highest and best use is the most profitable, likely use to which a property can be put. It is the use which will yield the highest return on the owner's investment. Any reasonable use to which the property may be put may be taken into consideration and if it is peculiarly adapted to some particular use, that fact may be taken into consideration. Uses that are within the realm of possibility, but not reasonably probable of occurrence, shall not be considered in valuing property at its highest and best use.*

If a property is particularly adapted to some particular use this fact may be taken into consideration in estimating the highest and best use. (Samish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922))

The present use of the property may constitute its highest and best use. The appraiser shall, however, consider the uses to which similar property similarly located is being put. (Finch v. Grays Harbor County, 121 Wash. 486 (1922))

The fact that the owner of the property chooses to use it for less productive purposes than similar land is being used shall be ignored in the highest and best use estimate. (Samish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922))

Where land has been classified or zoned as to its use, the county assessor may consider this fact, but he shall not be bound to such zoning in exercising his judgment as to the highest and best use of the property. (AGO 63-64, No. 107, 6/6/64)

### **Date of Value Estimate**

#### **RCW 84.36.005**

*All property now existing, or that is hereafter created or brought into this state, shall be subject to assessment and taxation for state, county, and other taxing district purposes, upon equalized valuations thereof, fixed with reference thereto on the first day of January at twelve o'clock meridian in each year, excepting such as is exempted from taxation by law.*

#### **RCW 36.21.080**

*The county assessor is authorized to place any property that is increased in value due to construction or alteration for which a building permit was issued, or should have been issued, under chapter 19.27, 19.27A, or 19.28 RCW or other laws providing for building permits on the assessment rolls for the purposes of tax levy up to August 31st of each year. The assessed valuation of the property shall be considered as of July 31st of that year.*

Reference should be made to the property card or computer file as to when each property was valued. Sales consummating before and after the appraisal date may be used and are analyzed as to their indication of value at the date of valuation. If market conditions have changed then the appraisal will state a logical cutoff date after which no market date is used as an indicator of value.



## Property Rights Appraised: Fee Simple

### **Wash Constitution Article 7 § 1 Taxation:**

*All taxes shall be uniform upon the same class of property within the territorial limits of the authority levying the tax and shall be levied and collected for public purposes only. The word "property" as used herein shall mean and include everything, whether tangible or intangible, subject to ownership. All real estate shall constitute one class.*

### **Trimble v. Seattle, 231 U.S. 683, 689, 58 L. Ed. 435, 34 S. Ct. 218 (1914)**

*...the entire [fee] estate is to be assessed and taxed as a unit...*

### **Folsom v. Spokane County, 111 Wn. 2d 256 (1988)**

*...the ultimate appraisal should endeavor to arrive at the fair market value of the property as if it were an unencumbered fee...*

### **The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, 3rd Addition, Appraisal Institute.**

*Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat.*

## Assumptions and Limiting Conditions:

1. No opinion as to title is rendered. Data on ownership and legal description were obtained from public records. Title is assumed to be marketable and free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, easements and restrictions unless shown on maps or property record files. The property is appraised assuming it to be under responsible ownership and competent management and available for its highest and best use.
2. No engineering survey has been made by the appraiser. Except as specifically stated, data relative to size and area were taken from sources considered reliable, and no encroachment of real property improvements is assumed to exist.
3. No responsibility for hidden defects or conformity to specific governmental requirements, such as fire, building and safety, earthquake, or occupancy codes, can be assumed without provision of specific professional or governmental inspections.
4. Rental areas herein discussed have been calculated in accord with generally accepted industry standards.
5. The projections included in this report are utilized to assist in the valuation process and are based on current market conditions and anticipated short term supply demand factors. Therefore, the projections are subject to changes in future conditions that cannot be accurately predicted by the appraiser and could affect the future income or value projections.
6. The property is assumed uncontaminated unless the owner comes forward to the Assessor and provides other information.
7. The appraiser is not qualified to detect the existence of potentially hazardous material which may or may not be present on or near the property. The existence of such substances may have an effect on the value of the property. No consideration has been given in this analysis to any potential diminution in value should such hazardous materials be found (unless specifically noted). We urge the taxpayer to retain an expert in the field and submit data affecting value to the assessor.
8. No opinion is intended to be expressed for legal matters or that would require specialized investigation or knowledge beyond that ordinarily employed by real estate appraisers, although such matters may be discussed in the report.



9. Maps, plats and exhibits included herein are for illustration only, as an aid in visualizing matters discussed within the report. They should not be considered as surveys or relied upon for any other purpose.
10. The appraisal is the valuation of the fee simple interest. Unless shown on the Assessor's parcel maps, easements adversely affecting property value were not considered.
11. An attempt to segregate personal property from the real estate in this appraisal has been made.
12. Items which are considered to be "typical finish" and generally included in a real property transfer, but are legally considered leasehold improvements are included in the valuation unless otherwise noted.
13. The movable equipment and/or fixtures have not been appraised as part of the real estate. The identifiable permanently fixed equipment has been appraised in accordance with RCW 84.04.090 and WAC 458-12-010.
14. I have considered the effect of value of those anticipated public and private improvements of which I have common knowledge. I can make no special effort to contact the various jurisdictions to determine the extent of their public improvements.
15. Exterior inspections were made of all properties in the physical inspection areas (outlined in the body of the report) however; due to lack of access and time few received interior inspections.

### **Scope of Work Performed:**

Research and analyses performed are identified in the body of the revaluation report. The assessor has no access to title reports and other documents. Because of legal limitations we did not research such items as easements, restrictions, encumbrances, leases, reservations, covenants, contracts, declarations and special assessments. Disclosure of interior home features and, actual income and expenses by property owners is not a requirement by law therefore attempts to obtain and analyze this information are not always successful. The mass appraisal performed must be completed in the time limits indicated in the Revaluation Plan and as budgeted. The scope of work performed and disclosure of research and analyses not performed are identified throughout the body of the report.

## Certification:

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct
- The report analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- The area(s) physically inspected for purposes of this revaluation are outlined in the body of this report.
- The individuals listed below were part of the "appraisal team" and provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification. Any services regarding the subject area performed by the appraiser within the prior three years, as an appraiser or in any other capacity is listed adjacent their name.

None

Any services regarding the subject area performed by me within the prior three years, as an appraiser or in any other capacity is listed below:

To the best of my knowledge the following services were performed by me within the subject area in the last three years:

- Annual Update Model Development and Report Preparation
- Data Collection
- Sales Verification
- Appeals Response Preparation / Review
- Appeal Hearing Attendance
- Physical Inspection Model Development and Report Preparation
- Land and total Valuation
- New Construction Evaluation



8/18/2017

Appraiser II

Date



## King County

### Department of Assessments

King County Administration Bldg.  
500 Fourth Avenue, ADM-AS-0708  
Seattle, WA 98104-2384  
(206) 296-7300 FAX (206) 296-0595  
Email: [assessor.info@kingcounty.gov](mailto:assessor.info@kingcounty.gov)

## John Wilson Assessor

As we start preparations for the 2017 property assessments, it is helpful to remember that the mission and work of the Assessor's Office sets the foundation for efficient and effective government and is vital to ensure adequate funding for services in our communities. Maintaining the public's confidence in our property tax system requires that we build on a track record of fairness, equity, and uniformity in property assessments. Though we face ongoing economic challenges, I challenge each of us to seek out strategies for continuous improvement in our business processes.

Please follow these standards as you perform your tasks.

- Use all appropriate mass appraisal techniques as stated in Washington State Laws, Washington State Administrative Codes, Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), and accepted International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) standards and practices.
- Work with your supervisor on the development of the annual valuation plan and develop the scope of work for your portion of appraisal work assigned, including physical inspections and statistical updates of properties;
- Where applicable, validate correctness of physical characteristics and sales of all vacant and improved properties.
- Appraise land as if vacant and available for development to its highest and best use. The improvements are to be valued at their contribution to the total in compliance with applicable laws, codes and DOR guidelines. The Jurisdictional Exception is applied in cases where Federal, State or local laws or regulations preclude compliance with USPAP;
- Develop and validate valuation models as delineated by IAAO standards: Standard on Mass Appraisal of Real Property and Standard on Ratio Studies. Apply models uniformly to sold and unsold properties, so that ratio statistics can be accurately inferred to the entire population.
- Time adjust sales to January 1, 2017 in conformance with generally accepted appraisal practices.
- Prepare written reports in compliance with USPAP Standard 6 for Mass Appraisals. The intended users of your appraisals and the written reports include the public, Assessor, the Boards of Equalization and Tax Appeals, and potentially other governmental jurisdictions. The intended use of the appraisals and the written reports is the administration of ad valorem property taxation.

Thank you for your continued hard work on behalf of our office and the taxpayers of King County. Your dedication to accurate and fair assessments is why our office is one of the best in the nation.

John Wilson  
King County Assessor

Area 033  
2017 Annual Update



**King County**  
**Department of Assessments**