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Preface

I am pleased to present the Emergency Medical Service (EMS) Division 2005 Annual Report to the King County Council.

We are in the fourth year of the current 6-year EMS Levy, and this report represents the seventh EMS Annual Report to the King County Council. The Council originally requested this report (King County Ordinance #12849) to enable the EMS Division to update the Council on the status of the operational, program, financial, and planning aspects of the regional EMS system, and to report on the progress of EMS Strategic Initiatives identified in the plan.

Over the years, the report has not only detailed the evolution and progress of the current strategic plan, but also clearly showed the wide range of day-to-day regional activities that are carried out by fire departments, paramedic providers, and the EMS Division staff. In their totality, these activities provide excellent EMS programs and services to the residents of King County.

As usual, it is difficult to select only a few themes to be highlighted here. However, the first and most important initiative reflected in this year’s report is the active planning currently underway to prepare for the next EMS levy period. A staged approach will be utilized to develop technical recommendations by EMS stakeholders that will be taken to elected officials in mid-2006. These recommendations will form the core of the next EMS Strategic Plan for the year 2008 and beyond.

Another major initiative worth highlighting is the implementation over the past several years of web-based training for emergency medical technicians and dispatchers. This initiative has now developed into a program that is offered to EMS providers statewide. In addition, ongoing EMS technology initiatives are improving the completeness, accuracy, and applicability of data collection, better reflecting the provision of EMS patient care in this region.

The residents of the greater Seattle-King County region receive excellent EMS service, each and every day. This year’s report – as in years past – continues to convey the excellence of that service and the high level of commitment by the people who plan for and deliver it.

The EMS Division 2005 Annual Report is available online through the Public Health - Seattle & King County web site: www.metrokc.gov/health/ems.

Dorothy Teeter, MHA, Interim Director & Health Officer
Public Health - Seattle & King County
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Executive Summary

The following highlights the major Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Division activities of the past year:

**Part I - EMS System Review:** The theme for the EMS 2005 Annual Report to the King County Council reflects two significant and related issues facing the EMS Division this year: preparation for the next EMS levy period and regional review and implementation of additional paramedic service in south King County.

First, the *2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update* was written during the process of placing the EMS levy on the ballot for the 2002-2007 period and set major policy directions and initiatives for the regional EMS system. This EMS levy is set to expire December 31, 2007, and development of a new strategic plan will be a critical component of the upcoming levy planning process. Major components of the next EMS levy include:

- Organizing the various technical and oversight planning committees for the upcoming levy.
- Developing recommendations regarding the EMS levy length.
- Reviewing and analyzing financial components related to expenditure inflators and revenue projections.
- Reviewing and updating directions for EMS programs and operations.
- Obtaining regional consensus and approval by cities over 50,000 in population and the King County Council.

Secondly, the region conducted an in-depth analysis regarding the current status of paramedic services, provided for the unplanned and unbudgeted conversion of two EMT/Paramedic services to fully-staffed paramedic units, and developed recommendations for new peak-hour paramedic services in South King County in 2006.

**Part II - EMS Division Programs and Activities:** The EMS Division is dedicated to increasing survival and reducing disability from out-of-hospital health emergencies in King County. EMS programs and activities are committed to this effort and have been developed through strong partnerships with other EMS agencies in the region. The following program highlights represent two of the many efforts to address increased demand for EMS and provide efficiencies with the EMS system:

- **Web-based Training for Dispatchers and EMS Personnel:** Development of training programs that utilize current web-based technologies allows for expedient and cost-efficient delivery of training services for dispatch and EMS personnel (see page 28).

- **Regional Data Collection (RDC) Project:** The RDC project allows EMS providers in King County to complete an electronic version of the Medical Incident Report Form (MIRF) and electronically transfer the data directly to a
central EMS database. This results in improved MIRF accuracy and completeness, and is used to continuously improve the quality of patient care (see page 26).

**Part III - EMS Funding and 2005 Financial Plan:** The EMS system in King County is funded primarily by a 6-year EMS levy and is currently authorized to collect through the year 2007. The EMS Financial Plan assumes modest growth in property values, continued low inflation, and a one-percent limit on revenues from existing properties. These assumptions have allowed the EMS system to grow as planned and accommodate paramedic needs not identified in the plan. Forecast revenues are expected to cover forecast expenditures through the end of the levy period.

**Year 2004 Statistics:** In Seattle and King County, the EMS system responded to 157,008 total calls in 2004 with only a modest increase in ALS calls volumes due in part to the success of the ALS Dispatch Criteria Revisions (see page 23).
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Thomas Hearne, Ph.D., Division Director

It is always a welcome, although difficult, decision to determine which of the several regional themes or programs I should focus on for this section of the EMS Annual Report. This year I have chosen two major themes for discussion: (1) planning for the upcoming levy in 2008, and (2) regional paramedic service review and implementation of new service in 2006. Regional review of paramedic services is an important aspect of planning for the next levy since paramedic services are also one of the important drivers of EMS levy expenditures and the levy rate itself. A reliance on regional stakeholders successfully working together is something both of these themes share as an important common element.

2008 EMS Levy Planning

**Introduction:** The EMS Division and the region of King County are preparing for an EMS levy reauthorization at the General Election in November 2007 (levy tax collection would begin in 2008). In brief, planning for levy reauthorization will begin this year and involves a staged approach in which a Technical Advisory Group of representatives from stakeholder groups (emergency physicians, fire departments, paramedic providers, dispatch centers, hospitals, private ambulance companies, and labor) will develop recommendations for the next EMS levy.

It is anticipated that there will be several subcommittees organized around the primary areas of paramedic or advanced life support (ALS) services, basic life support (BLS) services, regional services, strategic initiatives, and financial issues. The technical planning process will be overseen by a steering committee which includes medical directors, representatives from North/East King County, South King County, and Seattle, in addition to the EMS Division.

The technical recommendations will then be brought to a regional stakeholder group of elected officials convened by the King County Executive in Spring/Summer 2006. They will review and finalize the regional EMS Strategic Plan for the 2008 levy period and beyond. This EMS Strategic Plan will serve, as the current 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update does, as the major guiding policy and financial document for the region. Regular communications about progress will be distributed to major stakeholders and interested parties.

This lengthy regional process is critical in order to develop strong regional consensus about EMS service priorities among cities and fire districts across King County. The entire region is currently benefiting from the extensive planning process that was previously established and resulted in an effective and useful strategic plan. In order for this plan to be presented to voters
countywide, it must be approved by the councils of all cities over 50,000 in population and the
King County Council. There are currently six cities over 50,000 (Bellevue, Federal Way, Kent,
Renton, Seattle, and Shoreline), but it is projected that there could be as many as eight by 2007,
perhaps more depending on annexations and growth in the next two years.

The regional process for reauthorizing the EMS levy requires significant time in order to conduct
the necessary technical analyses, garner consensus that will result in a regional proposal, and
allow sufficient time for the cities to review and approve the plan.

**Background:** The current EMS levy was approved for a period of six years (2002-2007), at a
levy rate of $.25 per $1,000 of Assessed Valuation (AV). Regional EMS services in King
County have been partially supported by an EMS levy since 1979. They have typically been
approved for six-year periods with rates in recent years ranging from $.25 per $1,000 to $.29 per
$1,000. Current Washington State Law permits EMS levies to be approved for six years, ten
years, or on a permanent basis. However, EMS levies in King County have never been
authorized for more than six years. Also by state law, EMS levy funds can only be used for
EMS operations and support purposes.

In 2005, the EMS levy raised more than $57.45 million dollars countywide. About 35% of these
funds ($20.35 million) were raised in the City of Seattle, and in agreement with King County,
Seattle keeps all EMS levy funds raised in the city. The funds support EMS activities similar to
those carried out in the rest of the county (paramedic services, basic life support services, and
support programs and activities).

The remainder of the EMS assessment, approximately 65% of the total amount ($37.1 million),
is distributed by King County to support paramedic services, first response basic life support
services, and support services such as training, continuing medical education, medical control,
planning and evaluation, and other similar regional activities. King County provides $375,000
annually in Current Expense (CX) funds to King County Medic One (the County’s paramedic
program) to assist with operational costs of the program.

The regional EMS system uses the EMS Strategic Plan as the primary guiding policy document.
The most current plan is the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update developed in 2000-2002 by
consensus among regional stakeholders and approved by the King County Council. The plan
outlines four major funding areas and currently recommends annual growth in the allocations
which support these funding areas, including:

- Paramedic (Advanced Life Support) services, including planned new services during
  the levy. A major goal of the current EMS levy, as well as past levies, is to support
  100% of the cost of providing regional paramedic services.
- Primary EMS response (Basic Life Support services) by local fire departments. The
  EMS levy provides a relatively small portion of funding necessary for this service.
  Most BLS funding support is derived from local fire district and city taxes.
- Regional programs and services managed by the EMS Division, including oversight
  of a six-year financial plan for EMS levy funds (supports major activities and
  provides for planned service increases over the course of the levy period).
• Strategic Initiatives designed to increase the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of EMS activities.

**Major Levy Components:** As described above, the EMS levy currently supports four major funding areas included in the financial plan. Along with several other major policy issues related to the length of the EMS levy, and the actual levy rate itself, these sub-funds provide a useful structure for organizing and discussing some of the major issues in the upcoming levy.

**Length of EMS Levy:** RCW 84.52.069 offers several options for the length of the levy, including 6 years, 10 years, and a permanent levy. Historically in King County, the EMS levy has been approved for six-year periods with the exception of one three-year levy following the levy failure in 1997.

**EMS Levy Rate:** RCW 84.52.069 authorizes an EMS levy rate up to $0.50 per $1,000 AV. The first $0.30 of this amount is held exclusively for EMS. The additional $0.20 is secondary to other levies and could roll back any EMS levy above $0.30. Under state law, local jurisdictions could seek local voter approval for any additional levy capacity not included in the regional levy, but not in the same year the regional levy is on the ballot.

Historically, King County has not authorized a levy above $.29 cents and no jurisdiction has sought the additional levy capacity. The EMS levy rate selected in 2008 will be driven by regional recommendations made about the number and level of support for paramedic services, the addition of new services, the amount allocated for BLS, and the amounts allocated to regional support services and new strategic initiatives.

**Paramedic Service and Funding Allocations:** It is anticipated that paramedic service will continue to be the regional levy priority in the upcoming levy as it has been in past levies. It is also assumed that the financial plan will include funding levels which will ensure ongoing 100% support for paramedic service, including adequate annual increases to ensure that full funding is maintained and not shifted to the providers. New paramedic services will need to be planned and included in the financial projections. Adequate funding levels for providing paramedic service in outlying areas needs to be identified and included in the financial plan.

The annual inflation factor for the per unit paramedic allocation in the current levy is based on Consumer Price Index (CPI). There have been adequate reserves to provide an additional allocation amount above CPI three times during the current levy. Although this approach has worked in the first three years of the levy, there are some signs that costs may be shifted to the paramedic providers in the future, contrary to the general guidelines of the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update. Expenses, particularly in labor and medical supply costs, have historically exceeded CPI. A more rigorous and responsive method of ensuring annual increases in paramedic allocation needs to be identified in order to ensure continued full funding of paramedic services.

One already anticipated ALS need for the next levy period is the provision of paramedic service in rural areas, especially those along major east-west roadways. The Skykomish/ Fire District #50 area is currently a priority, since other outlying areas in Snoqualmie Pass (Interstate 90
corridor) and Highway 12 east of Enumclaw already receive service by paramedic units by Bellevue Medic 3 and King County Medic 12, respectively.

One service proposal developed in 2004 by the EMS Division for winter paramedic service in Fire District #50 was not approved by the EMS Advisory Committee and the EMS Medical Program Directors. An alternative proposal is currently in development for presentation to the EMS Advisory Committee. An important issue in the upcoming levy will be the development of a regional policy regarding the provision of medic service in outlying areas.

**BLS Funding:** The current EMS levy provides a BLS allocation to fire departments. This allocation constitutes a small part of actual fire department expenses related to the provision of BLS services. This portion of the EMS system is largely funded by local taxes. Fire departments and fire districts are very interested in reviewing the amount of funding included for BLS in addition to the BLS funding formula (which utilizes primarily assessed valuation and number of EMS responses to calculate BLS allocations). In addition to providing full funding for paramedic services, increased BLS funding is going to be a primary issue for many fire departments in the upcoming 2008 levy.

**Regional Support Services:** Region wide support services will continue to be needed in the next levy period. Regional medical direction, training for EMTs and dispatchers, CPR/AED training, planning and evaluation, prevention programs, management of the EMS levy fund, administrative support, and other programs will be reviewed and updated as part of the levy planning process. The EMS Division will also continue to develop web-based training for EMS personnel and dispatchers that will also be made available for license by other statewide and national EMS agencies.

**Regional Strategic Initiatives.** Strategic initiatives are identified in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update as mechanisms for managing the growth in paramedic calls and developing EMS system efficiencies and cost-effective programs. Significant progress on these initiatives has been made in the current levy and these efforts should be maintained in the upcoming levy. Strategic Initiatives are likely to continue in areas of dispatch, advanced technology projects, and EMS system efficiencies.

**Regional Paramedic Service Review and New Service in South King County**

Successful planning for the next levy period will require accurate projection of paramedic unit needs and adequate provision of funds to support these demands. A variety of factors are used in the analysis, including unit demand trends, estimates of paramedic cost, population growth, potential impact of demand reduction programs, and new construction and assessed valuation projections. This enables the EMS system to ensure ALS needs can be met during the length of the levy period. The importance and interdependence of each of these factors cannot be understated. The provision of quality emergency medical services in King County depends on the development of regional policies that meet these financial obligations.
Two notable regional planning activities serve as excellent examples of the dynamic interplay between these factors during the current 2002-2007 levy period and may assist in developing regional policies regarding future demands beyond 2008. These examples include (1) the conversion of two medic units staffed by one EMT and one paramedic (EMT-P) units to 2-paramedic units, and (2) the implementation of a planned half-time medic unit in South King County. While implementation of planned services in 2006 was included in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update, the operational and financial conversion of the two EMT-P units to full 2-paramedic staffing was not included in the plan and required strong regional effort to resolve.

Conversion of EMT/Paramedic Units to Two-Paramedic Staffing: In 2002, the first year of the current levy period, the EMS Medical Program Directors and the EMS Advisory Committee recommended the conversion of two EMT-P units to 2-paramedic unit staffing as funding allowed. The recommendation reflected the fundamental belief that paramedics are trained to operate in pairs and the EMT-P model forces the paramedic to operate outside this standard practice. In addition, EMT-P units were originally intended as a temporary solution to providing paramedic service in rural areas and were expected to be converted to 2-paramedic units when demand increased.

Each EMT-P unit received the equivalent of one-half a medic unit allocation from the EMS levy (about $700,000 per unit in 2004). The other half of unit funding was provided by the local fire department. Since no specific financial provision was made in the EMS Financial Plan for this conversion, this recommendation posed serious financial challenges for the region. One EMT-P unit was successfully converted to a 2-paramedic unit in 2003 as a result of a unique financial partnership between the local medic provider, fire department, and the EMS levy fund. The arrangement at the time allowed the EMS levy fund to contribute one-half of the increase with additional funds provided by the medic provider and the fire department. Circumstances prevented a similar financial arrangement from taking place in the case of the second EMT-P unit.

This issue could potentially have caused deep dissension in the EMS region, but paramedic service providers, fire departments, and the EMS Division were able to develop a consensus plan allowing a rapid yet staged conversion to full funding for both EMT-P units, and thus, conversion of the remaining EMT-P unit to a 2-paramedic unit. As part of this new plan, additional funding for Vashon Island Medic One was also approved to assist the paramedic program in avoiding an inevitable EMT-P staffing model should funding not be available.

As of May 2005, both EMT-P units have been converted to a 2-paramedic staffing model. In adherence to the staged funding plan, one unit received full EMS levy funding effective May 2005. The second unit will receive full EMS levy funding beginning January 2006. A strong regional effort allowed the recommendation of the Medical Directors and the EMS Advisory Committee to be achieved during the current levy.

Addition of New Paramedic Service in South King County: The 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update specifically outlined the need for the addition of an equivalent of 4.3 new medic units throughout the region during the six-year levy period (refer to pages 35-40 of the plan for more details). A thorough regional review of all paramedic programs using workload projections, performance indicators, other performance indicators, and demographic considerations led the
EMS 2002 Task Force to approve staff recommendations for the additional paramedic service. Thus far, placement of new medic service has been implemented as predicted in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update and the EMS Financial Plan for the current levy period. The last of these medic unit additions and/or upgrades is scheduled for placement in September 2006 in South King County pending approval of the proposed 2006 EMS budget by the King County Council.

Implementation of this final planned unit, Medic 13, was preceded by an extensive regional unit analysis in early 2005 by paramedic providers and fire departments. This review helped ensure that the projections originally cited in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update were indeed still valid, and that an operational need existed for new paramedic service in South King County. When approved, Medic 13 will serve as a half-time, peak-hour medic unit in the Des Moines area in support of Medic 4 to the north, Medic 8 to the south, and Medic 7 to the east.

The peak-hour workload for Medic 13 is estimated to be approximately 750 calls. The implementation plan for Medic 13 also anticipates that two paramedic units (Medic 5 and Medic 7) will be moved from their current quarters to new locations in order to maximize the efficiencies of all paramedic units in the regional system. The implementation plan will be done in a staged manner – at about six month intervals - in order to ensure that any operational and service issues are identified and that there is adequate time to address any logistical issues that may arise.

The addition of this and other planned paramedic services is possible because services were projected and the estimated cost of the service were included in the six-year EMS Financial Plan, thus ensuring that adequate funds were available when the time came for unit placement. This was not the case in the conversion of EMT-P units to two-paramedic staffing, although a strong regional partnership allowed the EMS Division to successfully address the challenge.

**Summary**

This year’s overview covers two significant and related issues, the planning process for the next levy period and the regional review of paramedic services in King County. The levy planning process will examine the current regional EMS system and consider some potential new directions regarding the length of the levy, programs and operations, and funding structure. The region has continued to address ongoing paramedic service needs, including a full review of the status of paramedic services, unplanned and unbudgeted conversion of two EMT/Paramedic services to fully-staffed paramedic units by January 2006, and recommendations for new peak-hour paramedic services in South King County in 2006. The spirit of partnership with which the region resolves EMS issues bodes well for a successful planning effort for the upcoming levy.
Part II: Status of EMS Division Programs and Activities

Introduction

The Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Division of Public Health - Seattle & King County is dedicated to increasing survival and reducing disability from out-of-hospital emergencies in the county by providing the highest quality patient care in the pre-hospital setting. EMS Division programs are committed to supporting this effort and are developed and maintained through strong partnerships with other EMS agencies in the region and innovative leadership in the emergency medical field. This section summarizes the primary programs and activities involving the EMS Division.

A. King County Medic One Program

The EMS Division administers the King County Medic One (KCM1) paramedic program, one of six Advanced Life Support (ALS) programs operating in the county. KCM1 employs over 70 paramedics and support staff, and provides emergency medical response to patients in the south King County region. The KCM1 service area covers 544 square miles with a population of over 750,000 people (see Appendix B: Regional Map of the ALS Provider Areas on page 73).

Every day of the year, King County Medic One deploys seven full-time medic units. Each unit is placed strategically throughout the service area to minimize response times and maximize cost-efficiencies. KCM1 operates out of eight satellite sites that include local fire department stations, KCM1 facilities, and a central office in the industrial area of Kent. KCM1 has over 20 vehicles in their fleet and puts approximately 250,000 fleet miles on the vehicles each year.

Medic units are staffed with two paramedics working 24-hour shifts and utilizing five area hospitals for medical direction. In 2004, KCM1 responded to 12,140 dispatch-selected paramedic alarms in their primary service area in addition to responding to mutual aid requests in neighboring jurisdictions. This call volume has increased by more than 600 calls when compared the year 2003, and has resulted in a slight increase in the average paramedic unit response time in the region.

King County Medic One can be divided into four primary functional areas, including Administration, Operations, Paramedic Training, and Emergency Preparedness. The following provides a brief summary of each of these divisions:

Administration oversees important activities that ensure the smooth processing of contracts, purchasing of medical supplies and equipment, payroll oversight, and myriad other functions necessary to keep a full-time paramedic program operating 24-hours-a-day. The KCM1
The administrative team includes both paramedic Medical Services Officers and support staff. It is configured to not only provide round-the-clock supervision and response back-up to the paramedic program, but to extend the reach to include partner agencies in south King County.

Representatives from KCM1 participate in regional planning and operations groups, including King County Fire Chiefs, Training Officers, trauma councils, hospital and emergency preparedness. The following KCM1 positions provide liaison representation and expertise in these specified areas: Program Administrator, 24-hour Shift Supervisor, Operations Supervisor, Training Supervisor, and Emergency Management Supervisor. Administrative also includes a Medical Program Director (MPD), a physician providing general medical oversight and direction for the paramedic program and advice on medical aspects of the service. Since KCM1 is a relatively large paramedic program, the MPD is assisted in this oversight by four Associate Medical Directors, one from each of the principal hospitals in south King County.

**Operations** at KCM1 has the responsibility of ensuring that vehicles, equipment, supplies, personnel and stations continue to function 24-hours-a-day, every day of the year. Highlights of operational accomplishments include the replacement of four vehicles with high mileage and the addition of a Technical Services vehicle for maintenance staff. A transition to Mobile Data Computers (MDC) in vehicles was initiated to enhance connectivity with the Valley Communications dispatch center and for automatic area mapping. KCM1 also purchased three additional LifePak-12 defibrillators in 2004 allowing reserve vehicles to be fully equipped and prepared for service during increased call volume periods or disasters.

In addition, updates were made to the KCM1 Emergency Operations Center at the Kent Headquarters, improving the KCM1’s ability to manage large incidents and interface more directly with regional emergency management centers. A new centralized inventory management system was also implemented, enabling KCM1 to track the use of medical supplies and equipment destined for delivery to the regional paramedic stations. Other projects started in 2004 include the implementation of a fitness initiative with the establishment of a fitness leadership team, the review and update of the KCM1 Standard Operating Procedures, the process of transitioning to electronic medical record data collection, and a Medic One vehicle redesign for safety improvements.

**Paramedic Training** is responsible for the ongoing training and medical education of on-duty crews, in addition to the continual process of hiring and training new paramedics through the University of Washington Paramedic Training program. KCM1 Paramedic Training also oversees the quality improvement and review process for the KCM1 program in conjunction with the MPD. The following provides a summary of these activities:

**Initial Paramedic Training:** All King County Medic One paramedics are initially trained in the Paramedic Training Program at the University of Washington School of Medicine, based at Harborview Medical Center (HMC). Students develop their skills under the tutelage of experienced physicians, nurses, and Seattle Fire Department paramedics during the rigorous ten-month training course.
Continuing Education: As a condition of employment, all KCM1 paramedics are required to have a minimum of 100 hours of biannual continuing education in addition to skill levels testing that exceeds both Washington State and national standards. To meet this obligation, KCM1 provides quality training through a number of in-house, on-duty educational opportunities. In addition, various required classes are available to paramedics, including three-hour Harborview-based UW School of Medicine continuing education classes each month.

Paramedic training programs follow the path of logical and systematic progression from basic skills manipulation and knowledge testing to industry-leading programs developed specifically for King County paramedics. Training efficiencies are obtained by rotating crews into headquarters for several hours of training every other month. Ongoing medical education of paramedics are achieved through a variety of methods, including:

- **Grand Rounds Training (GRT):** GRT is an ongoing, bi-monthly training activity designed to train on-duty crews. The emphasis is primarily on manipulative skills, but operational updates, equipment changes, safety awareness and training are often included in GRT. Each session provides paramedics the opportunity to practice high risk, low frequency procedures and maintain critical medical skills.

- **Medication of the Month (MOM):** Paramedics are often called on to make critical decisions about medication treatment in a matter of seconds. Consequently, the paramedic must possess a ready knowledge of their medication options - recognizing the indication for treatment, appropriate dosing, therapeutic effects, and potential for side effects. To achieve this readiness, the Training Division provides ongoing education and review of medications carried by the paramedic as well as medications commonly prescribed by physicians. The review touches on all aspects of a specific medication so that the paramedic is prepared to provide the best care.

- **‘Doctors’ Meetings:** At KCM1, each paramedic shift (A through D) has an assigned Associate Medical Director. At quarterly ‘Doctors’ meetings, each shift meets with their respective Associate Medical Director, the shift Medical Services Officer (MSO), and the Training/Quality Improvement MSO to review and discuss specific cases seen by the paramedics during the quarter. Paramedics receive feedback regarding the patient’s admitting diagnosis, in-hospital care, and final disposition. Often the medical director will provide a brief lecture on a pertinent illness or disease process.

- **Quality Assurance and Improvement:** KCM1 and the EMS Division have been diligently working on streamlining the Total Quality Management (TQM) program. Review of standing processes has been very effective in validating the importance of asking questions relevant to patient care and outcome, measuring individual and system performance, and identifying and addressing operational and training issues the paramedics and physicians raise.

**Emergency Preparedness** is responsible for the Multiple Casualty Incident (MCI) Training provided to paramedics, in addition to the coordination of emergency preparedness and disaster
training with the regional fire departments and other paramedic providers. In 2004, some major achievements included:

- Annual MCI training for paramedics, paramedic students, and fire departments.
- Participation in South King County (Fire Zone 3) MCI drills.
- Participation in a Port of Seattle aircraft crash exercise.
- Expansion of the MCI dispatch run card to Seattle and Eastside Communication Centers.
- MCI Triage Training provided to Public Health – Seattle & King County clinics and jail health services.
- Participation in regional emergency medical and hospital preparedness efforts.

Dispatch Criteria Revisions: One of EMS’ Strategic Initiatives during the current EMS levy period is to safely and appropriately manage the rate of growth in paramedic responses. KCM1 actively participates in monitoring the impact of periodic dispatch criteria changes and, in cooperation with Valley Communications Dispatch Center and local area fire departments, has been particularly successful in implementing the initiative (see page 23).

Special Services in the Community: Additional paramedic services are provided to the citizens of King County by staffing medic units for special events at the White River Amphitheater and the Pacific Raceways (although some events are reimbursed), and for major drills and exercises. A paramedic ‘Bike Team’ has been developed for events where motor vehicle access is limited. KCM1 personnel also participate in regional BLS training, dispatch quality review and training, regional medical supplies and equipment purchasing programs, regional hospital, trauma and emergency preparedness committees, and vehicle replacement initiatives.

The King County Medic One program also has a long history of being involved in many local and national clinical studies. KCM1 is currently involved in the Omega-3 fatty acid study, a study of the relationship between diet and cardiac arrest, and a study to define the incidence and outcomes from severe hypoglycemia (low blood sugar leading to coma). In addition, KCM1 is participating in a study investigating the use of ‘hypertonic’ intravenous solutions to determine whether concentrated saline improves outcomes from blunt trauma.

King County Medic One Donations: KCM1, like most paramedic providers, has a separate account for donations from citizens. These funds are used to supplement EMS levy funds and are specifically targeted to purchase equipment or support training of paramedics. Donations, typically donated in small amounts, are a strong expression of the community support for the services provided for KCM1. In 2004, these funds were used to purchase LifePak-12 defibrillators (please refer to Appendix F: EMS Division Revenue/Expenditure Summary for information on donation fund - page 81).

King County Medic One remains one of the premier paramedic providers in the nation. Its high cardiac-arrest survival rate and superior customer service and customer satisfaction levels help maintain its reputation and define its performance standard. The personnel who provide this core service are dedicated to public service at the highest level.
B. 2002 - 2007 Strategic Initiatives

The Emergency Medical Services system in King County provides an outstanding public service to its citizens. The EMS Division coordinates development and implementation of the regional strategic plan in conjunction with EMS providers, partners in providing EMS services, and elected officials to help maintain this high quality public service.

The 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update of the 1998-2003 EMS Strategic Plan supports both currently implemented programs and the development of new projects in order to meet identified objectives characterized as 'strategic directions.' The EMS Strategic Directions consist of the following:

- Enhance existing programs and add new programs to meet emerging community needs to maintain or improve current standards of patient care.
- Manage the rate of growth in the demand for EMS services.
- Use existing resources more efficiently to improve operations of the system to help contain costs.

The 2003 Supplemental Plan followed up on the strategic plan effort by identifying three major areas of focus for the implementation of the strategic directions. These included:

- Dispatch Enhancements
- Advanced Technology Projects
- EMS System Efficiencies

The term 'strategic initiative' was introduced in the 1998-2003 EMS Strategic Plan and was used almost exclusively to describe twelve new and innovative approaches to improving the EMS system in King County, including the Review and Revision of the Criteria Based Dispatch (CBD) ALS Triage Criteria (see page 23), the Regional Purchasing Program (see page 41), the Alternate Destination and Patient Treatment (ADAPT) Program (see page 43). These twelve strategic initiatives were allocated specific funds to ensure their successful implementation and were completed in 2002.

The 2003 Supplemental Plan continued in this manner by supporting continuation of the strategic initiatives already in progress and identifying new programs and initiatives that were thought to significantly contribute to the successful achievement of the Strategic Directions. The current set of strategic initiatives was again allocated dedicated funds to ensure adequate financial support.

In June 2004, the EMS Advisory Committee approved an amendment to the strategic plan and increased Strategic Initiative funds to support enhancements to the Web-based Training for EMS Personnel, Paramedic and EMT Procedure and Patient Treatment Evaluations, Enhanced Care for Specific EMS Patients projects and EMS Levy Planning for 2007, and fund the newly developed Regional EMS Tracking Resource - Online (RETRO) Project.
The table below summarizes the status of each strategic initiative and is followed by brief project descriptions (a Summary Financial Report is located on page 63).

### 2002-2007 Strategic Initiative Summary Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Initiative</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. Dispatch Enhancements:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and Revision of the Criteria Based Dispatch (CBD) ALS Triage Criteria</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMD Quality Improvement</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced CBD Basic Training and Continuing Education Curricula</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II. Advanced Technology Projects:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based Training for EMS Personnel and Dispatchers</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Electronic Data Collection Project</td>
<td>Completed: 12/03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional EMS Tracking Resource - Online (RETRO) Project</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>III. EMS System Efficiencies:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Review of EMS Sub-Funds</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramedic and EMT Procedure and Patient Treatment Evaluations</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Prevention Programs</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced Care for Specific EMS Patients</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of the Impact of State Budget Cuts on the EMS System</td>
<td>Completed: 01/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IV. Strategic Plan</strong></td>
<td>Initiated: 07/05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. Dispatch Enhancements

As indicated in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update, 9-1-1 communication centers are the access point to emergency medical services and thus 'play a critical role in managing the use of high cost advanced life support (ALS) resources.' During the first three years of the 2002-2007 EMS Strategic Plan, initiatives that invested in data-driven revisions to the dispatch guidelines, training and education of dispatchers, and enhanced quality improvement practices, demonstrated improved effectiveness and efficiency of ALS dispatch. The following section describes the current status of the three major dispatch-related Strategic Initiatives.

**Continued Review and Revision of the Criteria Based Dispatch (CBD) ALS Triage Criteria:** One of the Strategic Directions identified in the 1998-2003 EMS Strategic Plan and supported in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update was to determine ways to decrease the rate of growth of ALS calls. A major component of this effort was to revise the Criteria Based Dispatch (CBD) Guidelines that helped determine the level of ALS care required by patients.

In 2000, the EMS Division completed a major revision of the CBD Guidelines. An analysis of King County paramedic service trends demonstrated an encouraging reduction in total ALS call volumes from 1998 to 2002, particularly when compared to a call volume high of 29,334 in 1998 (see graph below). Further analysis of the revisions demonstrated marked geographic variation in the percentage of ALS dispatches to overall BLS dispatches (23% to 41%). Some reduction in this disparity has occurred since 2001 (variation is now 23% to 37%). The EMS Division will continue to review local area dispatching practices to learn how to improve emergency medical responses in our region.

![Paramedic Service Trends 1990-2004](image)

The orange diamond ♦ reflects a year in which CBD revisions were implemented.

The EMS Division again completed a comprehensive revision to the CBD Guidelines in May 2004. Training in the revised guidelines was provided to dispatchers and fire department personnel in June 2004 and the new guidelines were put into effect on July 1, 2004. A comparative analysis will be conducted in late 2005 to measure the impact of the 2004 CBD
Guidelines revisions. Data from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005 will be required in order to conduct this analysis, although significant reductions as seen in the years following the 2000 CBD revisions are not anticipated since changes to the 2004 CBD guidelines were minor compared to the 2000 revision and overall call volumes are increasing in the region.

**Emergency Medical Dispatch (EMD) Quality Improvement:** The development of an EMD Quality Review Program is an integral part of the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update. In 2001, the EMS Division in cooperation with King County Dispatch centers began a formal process for review of dispatch tapes and associated EMS reports for the purpose of EMD quality improvement. The process includes 1) the identification of cases meeting certain review criteria, 2) the retrieval of dispatch tapes and reports from dispatch centers, and 3) a review of these cases by a team consisting of a paramedic and a dispatcher.

As of June 2005, approximately 3,400 cases have been reviewed. If appropriate, feedback from this case review is provided to the individual dispatcher and is also used in continuing education when systemwide trends for improvement are identified. The Quality Review teams have found that using this focused training has had a positive impact on the dispatchers by improving their decision-making abilities.

**Enhanced CBD Basic Training and Continuing Education Curricula:** A priority for enhanced dispatch training included revisions to both Basic and Continuing Education training in Criteria Based Dispatch. Three major changes to this training occurred between July 2002 and June 2005.

1) *Addition of Pre-course Anatomy and Physiology Class:* Dispatch improvements continue to focus on expanding and creating enhanced training for Emergency Medical Dispatch (EMD). A pre-requisite course of Anatomy and Physiology is currently being delivered and is a requirement prior to attending the Basic Criteria Based Dispatch course. This 8-hour course provides the dispatcher with a basic understanding of human anatomy and physiology. This method of delivery allows for more scenario-based discussions in the basic CBD course during which time the students can focus on the application of their knowledge of the body systems as they relate to Criteria Based Dispatch response codes and pre-arrival instructions.

Students also receive 'Anatomy and Physiology' educational materials to assist them in the learning process in the basic course as well as the continuing education they attend in their careers as Emergency Medical Dispatchers in King County. The Basic CBD course continues to
provide the students with a review of anatomy, physiology, and pathophysiology. The objective is to provide the EMDs with this knowledge and enhance their good decision-making skills with the additional medical training.

2) **Problem/Scenario-Based Method of Delivery:** One of the main projects for 2005 is to update and revise the method of training delivery to include more student-centered learning activities such as problem-based scenarios, role-playing, and other methods that involve students in the learning process. The curriculum consists of carefully selected and designed problems that demand from the learner an acquisition of critical knowledge, problem solving proficiency, self-directed learning strategies, and team participation skills. Studies have shown that the participants in a course with this style of delivery are able to apply their knowledge and seek out information more effectively than those students receiving a lecture-based method.

3) **Online Web-based Training for Dispatchers:** In December 2003, the first module of web-based continuing education was delivered. The subject was ‘Telephone CPR/Cardiac Arrest’. This module included a variety of student activities as well as audio scenarios that supported the objectives of the course. In 2005, a second course, ‘Decreased Level of Consciousness,’ was provided online.

Both of these courses were well-received by dispatchers and there have been requests for more opportunities to participate in this type of training. Agencies outside of King County have also requested approval to participate in this training and a User Agreement for interested agencies has been developed. The subject for Fall 2005 will be ‘Pediatric Emergencies’.

The web-based curriculum is designed to allow the participant an opportunity to use internet links and other additional tools to enhance their knowledge base. The web-based format is supplemented with in-classroom training which allows the students to ask questions of the instructor and apply the knowledge from their web-based training to specific scenarios and guided group discussions.

*Development of strategic initiatives that target enhancements to the EMS dispatch system relate directly to all three strategic directions identified in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update. A multifaceted approach to improving the dispatching of EMS personnel has reduced the demand for paramedic response, used innovative teaching techniques to improve patient care, and used resources in an efficient and thoughtful manner.*
II. Advanced Technology Projects

The development of projects that incorporate advancements in technology offers a variety of opportunities for improved efficiencies in the EMS system. This includes electronic data collection, on-line training of personnel, and electronic record-keeping. Current technologies allow for rapid and direct communication between EMS agencies, accurate and secure transmission of information, and simplified management and oversight of EMS activities. The following section describes the three major technology-related Strategic Initiatives.

Regional Electronic Data Collection Project: One of the Strategic Initiatives identified in the 1998-2003 EMS Strategic Plan and supported in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update was the development of an enhanced EMS monitoring system that would allow for improved oversight of the EMS system. The Regional Data Collection (RDC) Project was a five-year countywide effort to implement a system that allowed for electronic collection and distribution of EMS data. The goal of the project was to enable all EMS providers in King County to complete an electronic version of the Medical Incident Report Form (MIRF) and electronically transfer directly to a central EMS database. The collection and consolidation of data via electronic means improved the accuracy and completeness of the data, provided access to the aggregate data by individual service providers, allowed for more intensive analysis of the data, and facilitated the assembly of system reports.

The RDC Project was completed as a pilot project in December 2003 and integrated into the regular programs and activities within the EMS Division. The RDC Project was able to accomplish a number of critical functions, including establishment of a defined standardized EMS data set, redesign of the data management system, establishment of a central data server with network connectivity to participating agencies, and development of a pathway for the electronic transfer of data. This was accomplished using 66% of the allocated budget.

There are currently eighteen EMS agencies collecting data electronically across King County, including Auburn Fire Department, Bellevue Fire Department, Eastside Fire & Rescue, Federal Way Fire Department, Fire District #40, Fire District #44, Kent Fire & Life Safety, Kirkland Fire Department, Maple Valley Fire & Life Safety, Mercer Island Fire Department, North Highline Fire District (#11), Port of Seattle Fire Department, Redmond Fire Department, SeaTac Fire Department, Seattle Fire Department, Shoreline Fire Department, Woodinville Fire and Life Safety, and Vashon Island Fire & Rescue. These departments represent 79% of the forms generated each year. Five additional agencies are expected to begin electronic data collection.
during 2005, increasing electronic reporting by EMS agencies to 87%. King County Medic One has also started the process of moving to electronic data collection, and should have a system in place by early 2006, bringing the total number of records reported electronically to over 95%.

Another objective of the Regional Data Collection Project is to establish connectivity with local area hospitals. A pilot project involving a local hospital and several EMS agencies is currently testing the best options for implementation. The immediate benefit of such a network is to allow current quality improvement activities to include patient outcome information. This is a critical element of patient care review and could affect EMS patient care protocols, policies, and procedures. A long-term benefit is the ability for EMS personnel to provide hospitals with a medical incident report in advance of patient arrival at the facility. This is exceptionally useful to hospitals in instances where patients require mobilization of medical resources such as a catheterization lab or specialized surgical team.

In all cases of data collection and transfer, the strictest policy of patient confidentiality is maintained. This includes utilization of secured methods for data transfer and limited access to confidential information. In accordance with the Washington State Uniform Health Care Information Act (RCW 70.02) and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations, the EMS Division is evaluating additional areas for improvement.

As a sub-set of the Regional Data Collection Project, the Alternate Input Device (AID) Project is designed specifically to evaluate the use of a custom electronic incident report data collection system for use by EMS personnel in the field. Due to limitations in current technological capabilities, EMS agencies that have electronic systems are still obligated to use a paper form in the field where vital patient information is captured and forwarded with patients transported to hospitals.

The AID Project was initiated in 2001 with the goal of creating a truly electronic incident report data collection system within King County. The AID electronic form is designed to run on any certified Microsoft Windows XP-based tablet device. The software was modeled after the current King County paper medical incident report form (MIRF).

Since 2001, the AID Project has completed two separate development phases. During this time, the form has seen a number of revisions and improvements based on core team recommendations and EMS agency feedback. Currently, the AID Project is in Phase III development with a target field testing start date of Fall 2005. Phase III objectives include integrating dispatch center data, evaluating the importation of AID data into local agency record management systems, improving form design and layout, and improving print views.
Four EMS agencies are currently participating in Phase III, including Bellevue Fire Department, Federal Way Fire Department, Kent Fire Department, and Shoreline Fire Department. Each agency will receive a tablet with the revised AID form installed and printers mounted in responding vehicles. EMS agencies will test the utility of the revised form, printing capabilities, and integration issues. The pilot is expected to run for approximately three months.

This regional project is very complex, and as with all larger technology projects has been divided into manageable specified phases. This strategy has avoided the expenditure of significant funds in an environment of rapid advancement in tablet and systems technology. The AID Project is designed to move forward in a specifically defined direction while waiting for technology advances to support the desired infrastructure. This includes a network of connectivity to hospitals, ambulance companies using wireless technology and/or integrated web-based services.

**Web-based Training for Dispatchers and EMS Personnel:** Development of training programs that utilize current web-based technologies allows for expedient and cost-efficient delivery of training services for dispatch and EMS personnel. The web-based training Strategic Initiative (EMS Online) targets the development of new basic and continuing education modules for dispatchers and ongoing development of continuing education modules for EMTs.

This method of delivery allows project participants an opportunity to log onto the Web and access training modules during non-peak service hours and receive training in intervals that best meets the needs of the participant. Lessons are interactive with a focus on application of the objectives, and include a participant feedback mechanism built into the lesson plan allowing students immediate response on both test questions and scenario responses.

A proposal requesting additional Strategic Initiative funds for the development of the next phase of the web-based EMS personnel training project was reviewed and approved by the EMS Advisory Committee in June 2004. Enhancements included the addition of streaming audio/video options, additional interactive content, and improved access to training records by local agency administrators. For more details on the web-based training for dispatchers, please refer to page 25, and for EMTs, please refer to page 38.

**Regional EMS Tracking Resource - Online (RETRO) Project:** The RETRO Project, an approved Strategic Initiative to build a centralized database to track and store information related to EMS personnel across King County, is approaching the end of its feasibility analysis phase. The findings indicate that steps should be taken to implement an Electronic Records Management (ERM) system to replace the existing paper-based program. The ERM system has multiple benefits over paper-based records, including enhanced search capabilities and data extraction methods. Types of EMS personnel records include: dates and requirements related to certification and recertification, reciprocity requirements, practical skill set requirements for certification, and teaching certification requirements.

The proposed ERM system consists of a scanning solution and an electronic records database. The multi-systems database will collect and track information for each EMS individual entering into the EMS system in King County and eliminate the intensive, time-consuming use of paper
files. The records database will host information that links to the scanned images, thus ensuring that the EMS Division is better able to track the State and King County certification and recertification requirements critical to maintaining or improving current standards of patient care.

*Development of strategic initiatives that incorporate advancements in technology into the fundamental design of EMS system programs support strategic directions identified in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update. Using the current standard practice of implementing electronic systems to improve data quality and efficiency, provide flexibility in training of EMS personnel, streamline record management, and reduce record retrieval demand meets both the Strategic Direction objectives of identifying innovative technologies to improve patient care and using resources in an efficient and cost-conscious manner.*

### III. EMS System Efficiencies

The Emergency Medical Services (EMS) system provides an internationally regarded regional service to the citizens of King County. However, improvements and innovations regarding the management and financing of the four levy-supported ALS, BLS, Regional Services and Strategic Initiative sub-funds, review of EMS standards of practice, continuation of injury and illness prevention programs, and analysis of particular EMS sub-populations that could benefit from enhanced care are integral to the provision and maintenance of any high quality EMS system.

The following section describes the four major efficiency-related Strategic Initiatives:

**Financial Review of EMS Sub-Funds:** The EMS levy in King County provides full support for Advanced Life Support (ALS) services, Regional Services, and Strategic Initiatives, and contributes to Basic Life Support (BLS) services. As part of the 2002 *EMS Strategic Plan Update* process, a committee of elected officials, representatives of cities and unincorporated areas reviewed each of these sub-funds extensively. This review process was useful in educating decision-makers on how funds are being spent, identifying areas for improvement, assisting in the prioritization of projects, and providing flexibility in responding to program needs. The process ultimately strengthens the regional system's ability to allocate funds, target areas of greatest need, and support areas that produce efficiencies or increase the system’s effectiveness.

**ALS Sub-Fund:** As recommended in the *2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update*, ALS funding is evaluated annually to minimize cost shifting to ALS providers. Each year, a subset of the EMS Advisory Committee meets to review current and projected ALS costs and compares these to the current ALS allocation. Significant cost drivers leading to this recommendation included rising labor costs, and increased costs of pharmaceuticals and medical supplies.

Based on individual agencies experience, an increase above Consumer Price Index (CPI) was recommended and implemented in 2003 (5.5% above CPI for a total increase of 8%). Due to the
size of this increase an additional increase was not recommended in 2004. Increases of 2.1% above CPI are currently budgeted for 2005 and 2006. Please see page 60 for more details.

**BLS Sub-Fund:** The mechanism for allocating the BLS fund to individual EMS agencies uses a complex formula reflecting agency contributions in assessed valuations, the percent of unincorporated area in the jurisdiction, and the number of EMS agency responses. The formula also incorporates a principle that no agency will receive less funding than the previous year, a concept known as being ‘held harmless.’ The BLS funding formula was revised in 2002 to address a soaring hold harmless amount and provide a more appropriate method for defining formula elements. A key element of the revision process was a commitment on the part of the EMS Division to evaluate the formula on an annual basis to ensure it was operating as expected.

Changes made to the formula in 2002 have been successful in reducing the amount of hold harmless by over 51%. EMS agencies convened in July 2005 to review the 2006 BLS allocations and evaluate the status of the hold harmless amount. Minor adjustments were again recommended for the 2006 BLS funding formula to maximize reduction of the hold harmless amount and ensure protection for small, rural agencies. It is expected that the BLS Funding Formula will be reviewed in depth during the upcoming levy planning period.

**Regional Services Fund:** The EMS Division reviews the current Regional Services Program budget annually. Like other city and county departments, Regional Services faces challenges with expenses increasing at rates higher than CPI (particularly related to salaries, benefits, and indirect and overhead costs). Regional Services has been able to accommodate costs increasing at a rate higher than CPI by using savings from previous years (such as salary savings from positions that are temporarily vacant).

**Strategic Initiative Fund:** Although the 2003 Supplemental Plan provided adequate detail for the development and implementation of the current EMS Strategic Initiatives, project plans evolved to better reflect intended project objectives. In 2004, the EMS Advisory Committee approved additional funding to accommodate these changes.

**Paramedic and EMT Procedure and Patient Treatment Evaluations:** Provision of the highest level of patient care is the primary objective of the EMS program in King County. Ongoing review of paramedic and EMT procedures and patient treatment plans is essential to maintaining a quality EMS system. Due to increased strategic initiative funding in 2004, a new research assistant was hired to assist in patient treatment evaluations. The following items characterize the variety of evaluations that are underway or soon to be underway in the county:

- **Pediatric Seizures:** Since it is often difficult to establish intravenous access in an infant or toddler, review of emergency medications is an important question. In these cases, evaluation will establish whether rectal Valium (Diastat) is a useful addition to the list of paramedic drug options. For pediatric seizures, rectal valium can be life-saving. Data will be abstracted from every seizure call for a period of 6 months to determine whether Diastat would have been needed to treat the patient. This will offer a realistic picture of the need for rectal valium and to suggest possible training needs.
- **Glucometry**: This minimally invasive procedure provides rapid measurement of blood glucose levels and can be helpful in the assessment of patients with an altered level of consciousness. EMT glucometry is now an approved procedure in King County. Patients treated for hypoglycemia (low blood sugar) will be evaluated to see if they can safely be left at home following appropriate response to therapy. Evaluations will be conducted via telephone interviews with patients.

- **Glucagon for Low Blood Sugar**: Low blood sugar (hypoglycemia) can lead to seizures or brain damage and patients with this problem need to have this situation corrected as rapidly as possible. An evaluation of the number of hypoglycemia episodes in which the patient cannot swallow oral sugar will help determine whether it is appropriate to consider Glucagon (an auto-injector to treat low blood sugar) as an appropriate skill for EMTs. During a 12-month period, data will be collected where intravenous glucose was administered by paramedics for patients with low blood sugar. The evaluation will also measure the time interval between EMT and paramedic arrival.

- **Naloxone for Narcotic Overdoses**: Naloxone (Narcan) is a very effective drug to reverse the effects of narcotic overdose. Patients who take too much of a narcotic, either intentionally or accidentally can stop breathing. An evaluation of the number of episodes of narcotic overdoses in which paramedics must administer Naloxone (Narcan) to reverse the effects of the narcotic will be conducted, in addition to the time interval between EMT and paramedic arrival. Narcan can be given intravenously (the route paramedics administer the drug) as well as subcutaneously and intra-nasally. The analysis will also determine whether it is appropriate to train EMTs to administer Naloxone.

- **Emesis in Cardiac Arrest**: Some evidence exists that excessive ventilation during cardiac arrest may lead to emesis. In order to see if this is a problem in the EMS system in King County, measurements of cardiac arrest-associated emesis is being conducted. Depending on the results, corrective steps may be made in the training of bag-valve mask ventilation. Two years of data will be studied to determine the incidence of emesis and when it occurs during the resuscitation. This has direct implications for EMT training as well as dispatcher training.

- **Neonatal resuscitations**: Cardiac and respiratory arrest in neonates is very challenging in the EMS setting and the ability to administer intravenous medication is crucial. All neonatal resuscitations during the past 5 years will be evaluated to determine if umbilical catheterization is an option for the administration of fluids or medications.

**Injury Prevention Programs**: Each year more than 90,000 people die in the United States as a result of unintentional injuries. The estimated annual cost of injury is over $224 billion for direct medical care, rehabilitation, lost wages and productivity losses. During an average year in the U.S., unintentional injuries account for nearly 31 million emergency room visits (Burt CW, Fingerhut LA 1988). In 2004, approximately 13% of BLS responses in King County (excluding Seattle) were for unintentional injuries involving accidents, bicycles, burns, electric shock, motorcycles, machinery, motor vehicles, pedestrian versus motor vehicles, skateboards, and
sports injury. Over 60% of the patients were transported to local hospitals. The EMS Division has identified three populations at high risk for injury: older adults, preschool-aged children, and teens.

Among older adults, falls are the leading cause of injury deaths (Murphy, 2000), and the most common cause of non-fatal injuries and hospital admissions for trauma (Alexander, 1992). More than one third of adults over 65-years-old fall each year. Unintentional falls account for 87% of all fractures treated in emergency departments and are the second leading cause of spinal cord and brain injuries. For example, George Burns died in 1998 at age 100 after falling in his bathtub and William Holden died from head trauma from a fall at home.

Furthermore, more children in the U.S. aged 4 - 8 years old die in motor vehicle related crashes than from any other kinds of unintentional injury. Over 85% of children who ride in a child restraint are improperly secured. Almost 40% of children riding with unbelted drivers were themselves unrestrained. Local and national statistics indicate that motor vehicle crashes are the leading cause of injury and/or death for adolescents. All too often these preventable crashes involve reckless driving, lack of seatbelt use, and the use of alcohol and/or drugs.

Injuries are preventable! The following programs are designed to address specific high-risk populations to help reduce injuries: Smart Kids! Safe Kids! - a pre-school fire and injury prevention program; Think Again - an in-classroom education program for high school students that discusses the consequences of drinking and driving; Child Passenger Safety at Public Health Centers - a self-sustaining child car seat program at various Public Health Centers for Maternity Support Service (MSS) clients; Randomized Fall Pilot Study - a research project to prevent falls in older adults; and Fire Department Kids Day at Boeing Flight Museum - a day for the community to learn about fire and life safety from local fire departments.

With the successful implementation of the Smart Kids! Safe Kids! curriculum, another component was recently added. The ‘parent handbook’ is an injury prevention handbook designed to assist parents in educating their children on the dangers of some specific high-risk activities in and around the home and was presented to teachers and parents at evening meetings. Two preschools in King County Fire District #40 participated in the presentations with a total of 22 parents and 21 teachers in attendance. Federal Way Fire Department presented to three preschools with 23 parents attending. In Seattle, four teacher presentations were held along with two parent nights for a total of 28 teachers and 27 parents in
attendance. In addition, a quarterly Smart Kids! Safe Kids! injury prevention newsletter has been produced for the preschools.

The **Think Again Program** is administered by the EMS Division and the King County Fire & Life Safety Association (KCFLSA) and funded by the Washington State Traffic Safety Commission (WTSC). The KCFLSA has set goals to reach our most vulnerable young adolescents using the Think Again classroom presentation targeting 15-19 year olds.

Four fire departments in King County submitted requests from WTSC to help fund programs – Eastside Fire & Rescue, Kent Fire Department, Kirkland Fire Department, and King County Fire District #40. Participating fire departments received a total of $8,067 in grants from the Washington Traffic Safety Commission (WTSC) to support the Think Again program. Additional participating fire departments include Auburn, Bothell, Federal Way, Northshore, Redmond, Shoreline, and Woodinville. From October 2004 through June 2005, 1,549 students have participated in the Think Again program. Since its inception in 1998, over 36,500 students have been through the program.

The **Child Passenger Safety (CPS) Program** for Public Health Centers recently implemented a pilot project to educate Maternity Support Services (MSS) clients at the Federal Way, White Center, and Eastgate Public Health Centers. This project allows for billable visits by an NHTSA Certified Passenger Safety Technician, hence making this program self-sustaining in that it pays for a portion of the technician’s time and/or the purchase of additional car seats.

This project is by “appointment” and every 30 minutes two MSS clients are educated on the proper methods of installing a car seat. Whenever possible, car seats are provided to needy families. These classes are offered in English, Spanish, Vietnamese and Ukrainian.

**Fire Department Kids Day at Boeing Flight Museum** was one of the most successful events ever! Over 500 people came to see the firefighters and their rigs and learn all about injury and fire safety. KMPS radio station did a ‘live’ remote broadcast with ‘DJ Stubbs,’ adding to the crowd of people. The EMS Division also fitted 65 bicycle helmets for kids and adults.

**Enhanced Care for Specific Populations:** Management of emergency medical services usually includes the development and implementation of programs that target a unique subset of EMS
patients. Providing a focal point for these programs provides more appropriate patient care and contributes to the overall efficiency of service delivery.

**Supporting Public Health through EMS Resource Enhancements (SPHERE) Project:** This acronym denotes an effort to use EMS data to identify and manage patients with major public health problems. SPHERE is an innovative approach to creating an interface between the larger public health arena and the realm of emergency medical services. The EMS Division will evaluate two specific pilot projects to test the conceptual design and determine if EMS personnel truly can play an active role in disease identification and prevention. The EMS Division is currently focusing on the problems of hypertension and diabetes.

- **Hypertension Identification by Emergency Responders (HIER) Project:** Hypertension, if left untreated, is a major risk factor for stroke and heart disease. Many people with hypertension don’t know they have the disease or are under treated for the disease. EMS personnel enter the homes of thousands of residents each year in King County and routinely take a patient’s blood pressure as part of the medical evaluation. The Medical Incident Report Form (MIRF) data show that thousands of patients seen by these EMTs have high blood pressure, as defined by systolic of 160 or higher and/or diastolic of 100 or higher, at the time of their visit. Despite the 'white coat' phenomenon, these blood pressure readings are very high and cause for concern, especially in cases where patients are not transported to hospital for further examination.

  The EMS Division is conducting a pilot study in partnership with the Federal Way Fire Department to determine if hypertensive patients can be identified through the MIRF database, and if so, can these patients with high blood pressure be motivated to go to a local fire station for a second blood pressure check. Results of this pilot project will help determine if a countywide approach should be undertaken. The EMS Division plans to apply to the Medic One Foundation for initial grant funding that could serve as a conduit for a national grant application.

- **Diabetes:** Similar to the identification of hypertension, EMTs and paramedics in King County measure blood glucose levels thousands of times a year. Approximately 15% of the values are in the range of diabetes or under-treated diabetes. With EMS personnel present at the point of identification, there is an opportunity to encourage the patient to arrange for appropriate medical care.

  A specific program designed to target diabetic patients is currently being developed and anticipate beginning a pilot project in late 2005. This pilot project will likely involve EMTs having broader indications to measure glucose and the EMS Division using the medical incident report form to screen and identify potential diabetic cases. We anticipate new cases
of diabetes will be identified in addition to patients who are under-treated for their diabetes (see Strategic Initiative Highlight on page 52).

Nursing Home/Adult Care Facilities: The EMS Division has identified an area in which community education in nursing home/adult care facilities would result in better, more efficient use of ALS resources. Understanding the circumstances surrounding these inappropriate calls has helped focus the education efforts.

Some of the findings include requesting ALS response after experiencing a delay in response from a private ambulance for patient transport, calling for 911 response despite having Physician’s Orders for Do Not Resuscitate (DNR) on file for the patient, and calling when there is general confusion about what kind of care the patient requires. In addition, when calling 911 for assistance, facility staff did not often relay to dispatchers the appropriate and necessary patient medical information and/or did not understand the need for the dispatcher interrogation.

In response to this community need, the EMS Division developed a nursing home/adult care facility program intervention consisting of an educational video, a job aid card, and in some cases, face-to-face training for health care providers. The objectives of the video were to provide facility staff with information on the EMS tiered response system and how it works, to assist facility staff in getting the level of EMS response that was appropriate for the patient, and prepare facility staff about what to expect during the 911 call.

The objective for the job aid card was to educate facility staff regarding what specific information they should be prepared to provide to the dispatcher when calling 911 in order for the dispatcher to best help them. EMS provider agencies are assisting the EMS Division in providing this training to facilities in an attempt to reduce unnecessary requests for ALS from nursing homes, adult care facilities, and general medical clinics.

End of Life Decisions: A single policy for managing end of life decisions among patients in cardiac arrest for whom EMS is called has been developed and implemented, clarifying the criteria under which EMTs and paramedics can withhold or terminate resuscitative efforts. This policy, approved by the King County Medical Program Director, has been incorporated into the EMT Patient Care Guidelines for all EMTs to utilize as needed.

Development of strategic initiatives that focus on efficiencies in EMS directly address the strategic directions identified in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update. There are a variety of approaches to tackling this broad concept, including conducting periodic EMS fiscal reviews and analyses, evaluating EMS personnel protocols on a regular basis for opportunities to improve patient care, reducing injury and illness through community outreach programs, and developing innovative programs to better serve sub-populations in EMS. In aggregate, these programs have been successful in reducing unnecessary calls to 911, providing more appropriate care to patients, and using resources more efficiently.
V. Strategic Planning for Next EMS Levy Period

The *2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update* to the *1998-2003 EMS Strategic Plan* outlines the operational and financial recommendations for the 2002-2007 funding period. A copy of the full report is available online at [http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/](http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/) or by contacting the EMS Division (see Appendix G: EMS Division Contact Information on page 83). The current levy period will expire December 31, 2007 and a process for discussing and developing a regional strategic plan for the next EMS levy period is already underway.

This process requires significant coordination and collaboration with all the EMS agencies, elected officials, and other stakeholders in King County, and as such is identified as a separate strategic initiative with associated funds. The discussions are expected to include all policy, programmatic, and financial aspects of the EMS system and focus on the four separate EMS levy sub-funds of ALS services, BLS services, Regional Services and Strategic Initiatives. A final document for delivery to the King County Council is expected in early to mid-2007.

C. EMS Division Programs and Activities

Introduction

In addition to the specific Strategic Initiative projects outlined in the *2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update* and *2003 Supplemental Plan*, the EMS Division plays a significant role in developing, coordinating, managing, and evaluating a variety of other EMS programs throughout King County. These programs provide a necessary regional cohesion to ensure that the standards for pre-hospital patient care are met by the 9-1-1 dispatchers receiving calls for medical assistance and by the EMTs and paramedics responding to the scene. The importance of developing and supporting innovative regional programs is often overlooked or underappreciated. The following section describes the many varied regional programs managed by the EMS Division.

I. Basic Life Support (BLS) Training and Education Program

*Helping you become the best through Training and Education!*

The Basic Life Support (BLS) Training and Education Program provides initial training, continuing education, and oversight of the recertification process for over 4,000 Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs) in King County. This requires considerable coordination and communication between the BLS Training Section staff and the EMS agencies to ensure that training and education programs meet agency needs as well as State of Washington requirements. In addition, the training section serves as the liaison between the Washington
State Department of Health and the thirty-four fire/EMS agencies in King County. In this capacity, the EMS Division provides EMS agencies all pertinent information from the State regarding continuing education, certification, recertification, and regulatory and policy changes.

The following highlights current **BLS Training and Education Projects**: 

**Patient Care Guidelines:** The protocols used by EMTs to direct the pre-hospital care of patients are derived from the Patient Care Guidelines (PCG). The EMS Medical Program Director (MPD) is required by Washington Administrative Code (WAC) to draft and distribute these guidelines to all EMTs in King County. The PCG were revised in 2004, in collaboration with a committee of EMS providers in King County and the MPD, and distributed in January 2005. They were also placed online so changes could be rapidly communicated to EMS personnel. The EMS system in King County is considered a national leader in EMS research and education, and as such, is committed to updating and distributing the PCG every two years to enable new and innovative techniques to be incorporated into overall EMT patient care.

**Initial Training Classes for EMTs:** Two initial EMT training courses are offered in the spring and fall of each year. These classes are open to personnel from all fire/EMS agencies in King County. Seattle/King County Police and King County Search and Rescue applicants are also permitted to participate in this educational opportunity. Each course consists of 120 hours of classroom and practical instruction, in addition to 10 hours of hospital observation time, using the U.S. Department of Transportation EMT-Basic curriculum as a baseline. In 2005, over 120 EMTs completed the EMT basic course.

On some occasions, the Training Program partners with fire departments to sponsor EMTs classes outside the standard course structure. For example, the Training Program recently assisted Vashon Island Fire Department in conducting an EMT class on Vashon Island as a result of increased need for EMS providers on the island. A similar model is being considered for the Seattle Police Department in early 2006. This relationship demonstrates the EMS Division’s commitment to community partnership and the continued efforts toward quality regional education.

**Competency Based Training (CBT):** Each year, the State of Washington mandates that EMTs complete 10 hours of continuing medical education or a county-approved program of continuing medical education and evaluation. In King County, the topics are prescribed by the medical program director and include 5 annual modules on various emergency medical topics, a total of 15 modules in a three-year recertification cycle. In aggregate, this program is referred to as Competency Based Training (CBT). The BLS Training staff develops, writes, and implements the curriculum each year. The 2005 Competency Based Training curriculum is complete and includes the following selected topics: Orthopedic Injuries, Respiratory Emergencies,
Obstetric/Gynecologic Emergencies, Behavioral Emergencies, Environmental Emergencies, and Infectious Disease (infectious disease is a yearly requirement).

A CBT Online Training Website that delivers web-based CBT modules was developed for the first time in 2001 with the assistance of grant money from the Medic One Foundation. Eighteen modules are now available online with over 4,000 EMTs enrolled in the program (100% of the EMTs in the county). Over 32,000 courses have been completed resulting in a dramatic reduction of CBT training costs to agencies since web-based training is approximately $18/EMT per year and standard classroom instruction is approximately $133/EMT per year.

The online CBT curricula are designed for EMTs to study the subject in an interactive format, including realistic video case studies (produced by BLS Training staff) with complete online evaluations. The test results are automatically stored in an electronic database for centralized record keeping and reporting to county fire departments and EMS agencies. Each module has a practical skills evaluation conducted by an onsite instructor to ensure clinical skills meet King County standards. BLS Training staff provides technical support for the website and support an instructor hotline for questions about the modules and treatment protocols. The website is currently being revised for 2005 curriculum and will add improvements to this state-of-the-art training system including improved interactivity and advanced reporting features.

The CBT EMS Online Website was originally presented to the Washington State EMS and Trauma Licensing and Recertification committee in June 2003 and was subsequently approved for use in EMT continuing education. As a result, the CBT Online Website was presented at the annual Washington State Medical Program Directors (MPD) symposium receiving strong support for program innovation and excellence. The site consistently earned high praise from the committee as an innovative and cost-effective method of delivering EMT continuing education and a number of county MPDs expressed a great degree of interest in expanding the King County CBT Online program into other counties and throughout the state of Washington.

As a result, the on-line CBT program (EMS Online) was officially launched statewide on May 1, 2005 and has been well received throughout the state of Washington. To date, an additional 2,000 users outside of King County have been added, supporting this with a per head user fee with more EMTs/EMS agencies showing interest each day. The goal is to have national accreditation for all EMS Online courses and ultimately national and international exposure by the first quarter 2006. This effort is entirely consistent with the new effort by the Office of Business Relations and Economic Development to support commercial revenue generating
Early Defibrillation Program: The goal of the Early Defibrillation Program is to resuscitate the greatest number of people in cardiac arrest using a comprehensive plan that includes initial defibrillation training, continuing medical education, field documentation and reporting, equipment maintenance procedures, and quality improvement activities. The Early Defibrillation Procedures Manual was revised in 2003 to reflect new scientific understandings in resuscitation, including the standing orders for cardiac resuscitation.

In January 2005, new CPR and defibrillation guidelines were issued to EMTs and paramedics and EMS personnel will enter into a new study to collect scientific evidence regarding the relationship and quantity of CPR and use of Automated External Defibrillators (AEDs). These new guidelines specify one shock followed by two minutes of CPR. This protocol change was the direct result of an evaluation of over 500 EMT defibrillation tape recordings.

Quality improvement is a high priority within the AED Defibrillation Program. All resuscitations that occur in King County are evaluated in detail and the gathered information is used to provide timely feedback to each individual EMT and their training officers. In aggregate, the data is used for improved EMT resuscitation training and feedback to manufacturers regarding software and hardware design.

II. EMS Advisory Committee

The EMS Advisory Committee was formed in December 1997 and has since met on a quarterly basis to discuss the progress of the strategic plan, review the development and implementation of the strategic initiatives, and act as a judicious forum for discussion of important EMS issues. The committee played an integral role in development of the 2003 Supplemental Plan, review of the EMS Sub-Fund financial assessments, and oversight of the strategic initiatives. This year, the EMS Advisory Committee played a critical role in supporting Emergency Medical Services in King County by assisting in the development of recommendations regarding the conversion of EMT/P units to 2-paramedic units and the implementation of the 0.5 medic unit in South King County. A copy of the current EMS Advisory Committee membership on the committee and their respective representation can be found in Appendix E. 2005 EMS Advisory Committee Listing on page 79.

III. Emergency Medical Dispatch (EMD)

The EMS Division provides Basic and Continuing Education Training in Emergency Medical Dispatch (EMD) to approximately 175 emergency 911 dispatchers in King County. This training allows the dispatcher to triage callers so that the appropriate level of care is sent to the patient. During the past year, 22 dispatchers from King County completed the 40-hour Basic EMD
Training class. In addition, 158 dispatchers were provided 8 hours of Continuing Education in EMD related topics. The EMD Instructor Course (train-the-trainer) is being redesigned to meet the standards of a problem-based delivery. This course will be piloted in late 2005.

The 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update identified a commitment to supporting a number of enhancements to emergency medical dispatch, focusing on enhanced dispatch training. Planning has begun in the following areas:

**Basic Training:** Additional training has been, and will continue to be, provided in the area of Basic Anatomy and Physiology to the dispatch students. This has been accomplished by adding one full day of training and testing prior to the existing 32-hour class. The 40-hour Basic Criteria Based Dispatch course includes more student application exercises and increases the students’ participation in the learning process. This has been accomplished with role-play scenarios, simulation exercises, guided group discussions and other incorporated activities.

**Continuing Education (CE) Training:** In an effort to meet the 8 hour per year minimum requirement for continuing education, EMD training staff continue to design and develop several instructional topics for the purpose of delivery to Emergency Medical Dispatchers. The Dispatch Review Committee selects EMD CE topics based on feedback from quality improvement reviewers and data derived from evaluations of the CBD data with an emphasis on systemwide trends.

In the spring of 2004, a pilot web-based course on Cardiac Arrest and Telephone CPR was delivered. This training was followed with an in-class delivery of tape and scenario-based instruction. The topic of instruction for the fall of 2004 was Obstetrics/ Gynecological Emergencies. Included in each training session are objectives pertaining to the findings of the quality improvement review. This gives the student/participant a very timely feedback process as it relates to trends found in the quality improvement review.

**Alternate Delivery Methods for Continuing Education Training:** The objective of this project was to develop and deliver the continuing education curricula in a web-based format. This method of delivery has enabled the dispatchers to log on from their own Communications Center consoles and participate in the training at their convenience when the call load volumes permit. This method of delivery has been and will continue to be used when suited to meet the desired lesson objectives.

**Telephone Referral Program:** The Telephone Referral Program continues to provide emergency medical dispatchers in King County with an alternative method for handling non-urgent calls to
Developed in 1998, EMS calls that meet specific dispatch criteria can be transferred to a nurse line for patient assistance in lieu of providing a BLS response to the scene. Common examples of these low risk, low medical need types of calls include complaints of sore throat, insomnia, sinus infection, and tooth pain.

During 2004, 857 calls were referred to the nurse line, including 521 calls from Valley Communications Center serving South King County, and 336 calls from Eastside Communications Center serving east and north King County. This is an increase of 57 calls transferred to the nurse line from the 2003 level. The Telephone Referral Program is another outstanding example of how the EMS community collaborates in the development of a cost-effective solution while improving patient care.

IV. Regional Purchasing Program

The EMS Regional Purchasing Program is a voluntary countywide program designed to reduce equipment and supply expenses by maximizing the joint purchasing power of EMS providers. Since its completion as a successful one-year pilot project in 1998, the program has been implemented throughout the county. The vendor for the EMS Regional Purchasing Program is selected through a competitive bid process. The primary purchase order operates through King County Medic One and EMS agencies in King County are able to coat-tail on the contract through joint purchasing agreements.

In November of 2004, the Regional Purchasing Program for Medications was implemented. Bound Tree Medical won the bid for King County Medic One, and other agencies have utilized the contract through joint purchasing agreements. Although the Medications Program is relatively new, preliminary reviews already demonstrate substantial cost savings to the county. From November 2004 through June 2005, agencies spent a total of $41,377 on medications, with a savings of over $20,000.

The Regional Purchasing Program is managed by an oversight committee that meets on a quarterly basis to address operational issues, review EMS products, and evaluate the status of the program. The direct administrative costs are minimal as product orders, agency invoicing, and shipping are all managed at the agency level. The Regional Purchasing Program has consistently demonstrated significant cost savings to EMS agencies since the program was developed in 1998 reflecting a commitment to both the EMS strategic plan and program efficiency.

V. Regional Medical Control

The Medical Program Director (MPD) is responsible under the Washington Administrative Code (WAC) and Revised Code of Washington (RCW) for medical control and direction of certified EMS personnel in King County. This is accomplished through the delegation of medical oversight to the medical directors of individual paramedic programs and emergency room-based on-line medical control for ALS personnel.
The Medical Program Director also assists in the development of policies and procedures related to the provision of ALS and BLS services, and provides written treatment guidelines for BLS personnel. The MPD works closely with the Trauma Council and is conducting an evaluation of the types of patients transported by EMS agencies to the Swedish free-standing emergency department in Issaquah (see ADAPT Program - page 43). Last year, the MPD worked with several groups to amend the state WAC for epinephrine administration in order to allow EMTs to administer epinephrine for anaphylactic patients of all ages. The prior legislation did not authorize EMTs to administer epinephrine to persons under 18 except in certain limited circumstances.

The Medical Directors’ Committee, comprised of the medical directors from each ALS provider agency, provides general program oversight. The committee meets on a quarterly basis to address pertinent medical issues. Topics of interest often arise from discussions initiated as part of implementation of two Strategic Initiatives - ‘Paramedic and EMT Procedure and Patient Treatment Evaluations’ and ‘Enhanced Care for Specific EMS Patients.’ Specific areas of interest this past year have included a new quality assurance form for intubated patients, and consideration of cardiac enzyme markers to identify at-risk patients for myocardial infarction. In addition, the MPD is supervising evaluations of diabetic hypoglycemia (low blood sugar that can lead to coma), hypertension identification, narcotic overdoses, pediatric seizures, neonatal resuscitations, identification of witnessed collapse by emergency dispatchers, telephone CPR, and end of life issues.

VI. Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) and Automated External Defibrillators (AED)

Seattle-King Community Responder AED Program: Studies have shown that cardiac arrest survival outcome increases when defibrillation occurs within the shortest time possible following collapse. The sooner a patient receives CPR and the sooner an AED is used the better the chances for the patient to recover. The Community Responder AED Program is a joint effort between Seattle Fire Department and Public Health-Seattle & King County. This program was implemented to help public facilities, businesses, and private homes implement an appropriate training course, determine proper placement of the device(s) and register their Automated External Defibrillators (AEDs) with their local EMS, all of which are requirements to be in compliance with the Washington State Law concerning AEDs.

The Public Access Defibrillation (PAD) effort is continually growing with over 1,300 AEDs currently registered in the Community Responder AED Program. The devices are in many public places as well as private homes. Seattle Tacoma International Airport and King County Airport both have AEDs placed throughout their sites with easy access for staff and lay responders. All but one of the Seattle-King County Public Health Clinics have at least one AED
on site. Jail Health locations in Seattle and Kent have numerous AEDs on site. Many police units carry AEDs in their vehicles and all ambulances in Seattle-King County are AED equipped.

**Student CPR Program:** In 2004, 17,601 students (grades 6-12) were trained to perform CPR in King County. These students received nationally recognized American Heart Association training from their teachers and local firefighters. The teachers take an American Heart Association course to become BLS Instructors. The EMS Division contracted with nine school districts and six fire districts to provide CPR training in the schools this year. Many teachers and firefighters also teach an AHA First Aid course to the students. There are currently over 100 AEDs placed in King County high schools and middle schools and that number is increasing on a regular basis.

**King County Employee CPR Training Program:** This program is designed to teach CPR and AED skills to King County employees during their regular workday. This program trains the employees to do CPR and use an AED should the occasion arise. The annual number of employees trained last year was 2,900. There are over fifty AEDs in King County-owned facilities, including the King County Courthouse, Wells Fargo Center, and the King County jails.

**Targeted CPR Training:** The EMS Division works closely with local cardiologists in King County to provide CPR and AED training to patients considered high-risk for heart related problems. This program offers in-home classes for these citizens and their family and friends. CPR is taught to all patients, and if an AED has been assigned, the family also receives AED training. Sixty five people were trained in CPR/AED last year in their homes through this program.

**VII. Alternate Destination and Patient Treatment (ADAPT) Program**

In an effort to guide EMTs decisions for appropriate patient transport, the EMS Division developed the Alternative Destination and Patient Treatment (ADAPT) program. Developed in 1999, the ADAPT program provides EMS patients with minor illnesses who require minimal treatment and have minimal medical risks with appropriate, convenient, and cost-efficient care by offering treatment at a local urgent care or clinic facility as an alternative to treatment at an emergency department.

In 2004, over 700 patients were transported to medical clinics across King County. In each case, this reduces the demand for care at emergency departments, decreases the out-of-service times for EMS personnel, and reduces overall costs to the medical care system.

The ADAPT program and the medical conditions it identifies as eligible for alternative transport are under regular review. Currently, the program is being closely evaluated for its application to the newly opened Swedish free-standing emergency room in Issaquah. After sufficient patient outcome data is collected, the ADAPT criteria will again be reviewed and any necessary
changes to improve identification of appropriate patients will be implemented.

**VIII. Critical Incident Stress Management (CISM) Program**

The EMS Division continues to support the mission of the CISM Program: *Helping the Helper Help.* Since 1987, the CISM program and its all-volunteer (19 member) team have provided emotional and psychological services to emergency services professionals. Although CISM has been an age-honored acronym to the critical incident stress services for the emergency services profession, it is evolving to a broader meaning: *Crisis Intervention, Stress Management.* Over the past year CISM service requests have increased along non-traditional stress impacts, i.e. terminal death and grief management.

Refocusing services offered by the CISM Program was identified as a priority in the Vision Statement included in the 2004 CISM Position Statement. In 2001, the EMS Division conducted an assessment of CISM and Critical Incident Stress Debriefing (CISD) practices due to continued criticisms of CISM/ CISD effectiveness. CISM staff and consultants reviewed over 100 articles and texts on the subject of critical incident stress management and debriefings to publish the position statement. The primary focus of that effort was to identify the philosophy, strategies and goals of the CISM and CISD services provided by the EMS Division.

The 2004 CISM Position Statement concluded that CISM services provided by peer emergency service professionals and mental health professionals to emergency service personnel and their families are based on the following public health model: *Primary Prevention* - Increasing resilience to extreme stressors; *Secondary Prevention* - Mitigating the impact of occupational exposure to extreme stressors by incorporating Psychological First Aid; and *Tertiary Prevention* - Follow-up referrals for treatment when a higher level of support care beyond psychological debriefing/crisis intervention is required.

This renewed vision brought about improved informational and instructional materials. Since the final report was published, the CISM Program in King County has been committed to its recommendations. The CISM program assists emergency services personnel, including police officers, firefighters, EMTs, paramedics, dispatchers, and corrections officers, towards improved psychological health by coordinating pre-incident stress management classes and providing oversight support to provider agencies’ Peer Support Teams who serve the immediate needs of co-workers. Efforts are being focused on crisis
There were approximately 38 post-incident CISM-related requests during the year which includes debriefings, defusings, one-on-one interventions, and referrals to mental health services. The King County CISM Team also participates with on a Washington State and an international network of CISM teams. The CISM Program Manager serves as a Washington State CISM-Network Board Member; Zone 1 (NW corner of the state) Representative; and Chair of the Ethics and Standards Committee. He is the author of the Tim Ross Humanitarian Award – an award for individual(s) who serve the greater good of the emergency services professions within the mission of the Washington State CISM-Net.

**Incident specific stress services (CISD/Defusings) are educational briefing sessions.**

IX. Administrative Functions

The EMS Division operates under the guidelines presented in various Master Plans, Master Plan Updates, and Strategic Plans, all approved by the King County Council. Updating the plan requires significant data analysis and program coordination. An integral component of this analysis is the data modeling used to identify optimal placement of paramedic units.

The EMS Division provides coordination of services with other divisions of Public Health-Seattle and King County. The Division also coordinates with other county agencies, councils, and offices, such as the Budget Office, Human Resources Division, Prosecuting Attorney, Risk Management, the King County Executive, and the King County Council. Responsibilities also include the coordination and delivery of strategic planning, union negotiations, personnel and payroll issues, diversity management, legal compliance, liability issues, contract administration, the issuance and compliance of policies and procedures, as well as levy planning.

The EMS Division administers contracts for five paramedic provider groups of Advanced Life Support Services (ALS), and for thirty-four Basic Life Support Provider (BLS) agencies located...
in King County. The EMS Division’s administrative section is responsible for maintaining fiscal responsibilities for the EMS Division, including budget preparation and monitoring, projection of long-term financial planning, and management of levy funds.

The EMS Division is also responsible for management of the Medical Incident Report Form (MIRF) data in compliance with Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 246-976-420. The EMS Division provides rapid response to data requests from EMS agencies in King County and external sources; provides data analysis and reports for pilot projects, EMS programs, and research projects; and supports network connectivity and management for EMS Division employees, medical students, and interns.

Duties related to the oversight of this dataset include management of the cardiac database and the entire MIRF data warehouse system, collection and processing of over 200,000 MIRFs per year, and regular quality review of the EMS data set and data system. A quality review effort began in 2003 which relates hospital outcomes following cardiac arrest to service and resuscitation factors. The goal continues to be to improve resuscitation rates and neurological outcomes by thoroughly reviewing both pre-hospital and hospital records on every cardiac arrest patient.

### D. Grant Funded Programs and Projects

#### I. Center for the Evaluation of Emergency Medical Services (CEEMS)

The Center for the Evaluation of Emergency Medical Services undertakes research efforts in the field of pre-hospital emergency care. CEEMS is supported by grants and staffed by investigators from the University of Washington and employees of the EMS Division. Known both nationally and internationally in the field of cardiac arrest, the investigators are continuously sharing their cutting edge research through numerous articles published in EMS and scientific journals.

A summary of the primary CEEMS activities of the past year is as follows:

**The DART Study:** The Dispatcher Assisted Resuscitation Trial (DART) is an international study involving dispatch centers in King County, Thurston County, and London, England. The study will determine the best method of telephone CPR: standard CPR with chest compressions and mouth-to-mouth ventilation or chest compressions only. The study will take approximately 2 ½ years to complete and may serve to define the national standard for the delivery of telephone CPR instructions.

**The At-Home Study:** The AED Training in the Home study has been funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and began in April 2004. The randomized controlled trial study will evaluate four types of AED training on 300 families of high-risk patients recruited from hospitals in King County following coronary syndrome admissions. The study will determine the most effective training method in terms of skills retention and psychological impact on both patient and family
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member(s). Enrollment will continue through 2006.

**Cardiac Arrest Outcomes:** This is a privately funded grant that involves interviewing survivors of cardiac arrest and review of their hospital medical records. The goal is to evaluate the care and outcome of survivors of cardiac arrest in King County to determine whether current practice at community hospitals regarding implanted defibrillators is consistent with American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology guidelines. The study is expected to be completed in early 2006.

**Paramedic Fellowship:** Thanks to funding support from the Laerdal Foundation for Acute Medicine, CEEMS is offering paramedic fellowships for the purpose of conducting research. This is the first program of this nature in the country. The program offers special opportunities for paramedics under the guidance of a UW faculty mentor. The first paramedic fellow began the program in July 2005. The year-long project will be an in-depth analysis of central intravenous lines for critically ill patients. All paramedics in Seattle and King County are eligible to apply to this program. The fellowship program pays a stipend directly to the paramedic.

**The Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (ROC):** The NIH recently funded the building of a consortium between ten select communities across North America to evaluate important research questions involving pre-hospital care. The agenda will consist of evaluating EMS care for life-threatening trauma and cardiac arrest. Seattle/King County has been identified as one of the select participating communities. Planning for this study is well underway. It is anticipated that the first trauma-based study will involve a randomized clinical trial of hypertonic saline, hypertonic saline plus dextran, and regular saline for blunt trauma. The first cardiac-based study will involve a randomized clinical trial of an impedance threshold device thought to improve outcomes from cardiac arrest.

**II. Central Region Emergency Medical Service and Trauma Care Council**

*Traumatic injury is the leading cause of death for all people under the age of 44 and the leading cause of disability for all people under age 65. In 2004, Central Region (King County) hospitals treated 6,663 persons with injuries severe enough to require hospitalization, 343 of those patients died.*

The Central Region EMS and Trauma Care Council was established by the Statewide Emergency Medical Services and Trauma Care System Act of 1990 (RCW 70.168). The Regional Council involves many public and private agencies in the planning and ongoing evaluation of trauma specific emergency medical services, including pre-hospital EMS agencies, King County hospitals, and local government. The main focus of the Regional Council and its subcommittees is to provide a forum for open discussion of issues faced by the EMS system and to make
recommendations for system enhancements when appropriate. A priority goal of the Regional Council is prevention of unintentional injuries and death.

Efforts in injury prevention for 2005 included grants of $5000 each to the Fire Stoppers program and the Anna-Armstrong-White Foundation for Anna’s Ride Home. The Fire Stoppers program was developed in an attempt to reduce incidents of fire play and fire setting by children and adolescents through early identification, evaluation, education, and mental health treatment. Approximately 58 hours of mental health services was provided to 15 children. The recidivism rate of children who have participated in Fire Stoppers is 2-3 percent.

Anna’s ride home is a Driving Under the Influence (DUI) intervention and education program targeting patrons of taverns, bars, and restaurants. The program provides free taxi rides home for patrons who are intoxicated. The cost of the taxi ride is borne 50% by the participating establishment and 50% by Anna’s Ride Home program. Using the Trauma Council grant and a $4,812 pass-through grant from Washington Traffic Safety Council, Anna’s Ride Home printed and mailed 5,000 Run/Walk Anna’s Ride Home Brochures; 60 T-shirts, posters and tabletops; and handed out 360 Anna’s Ride Home Vouchers in FY 05.

Anna’s Ride Home is available at the following locations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lucky 7 - Kirkland</th>
<th>Rocksport Bar and Grill - West Seattle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element - Seattle</td>
<td>RPlace - Seattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fado’s - Seattle</td>
<td>Cowgirls Inc - Seattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backwater Bar and Grill - Kenmore</td>
<td>Horse and Cow - Silverdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valhalla Bar and Grill - Kirkland (opens 9/05)</td>
<td>Daniels Broiler - Bellevue (opens 10/05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A second priority goal of the Regional Council is to provide appropriate emergency medical care for the population, and 2005 efforts are directed toward that goal, including:

- Award of a $4,370 grant to the EMS Division Training Section for development of web-based trauma training for EMS pre-hospital personnel.
- Amendment of Patient Care Procedures (PCPs) to define the circumstances in which BLS patients can be transported to non-hospital based emergency rooms and clinics. The Regional Council is monitoring the effect of this revision to ensure patients are transported to appropriate care facilities.
- Participation in Washington State Hospital Association (WSHA) workgroup efforts to develop an Access to Healthcare guideline. The guideline will be based on the trauma system model of providing appropriate level of care and shared responsibility for care of the medically indigent and underinsured.
- Commitment to continue to voice concern to government officials over lack of public funding for healthcare.
Trauma Regions are grant-funded through the Washington State Department of Health. The current contract expires in December 2005.

E. Conclusion

The EMS Division is committed to providing the highest level of pre-hospital care to the citizens of King County. EMS programs are developed and maintained through strong partnerships with other EMS agencies in the region and innovative leadership in the emergency medical field in accordance with the strategic directions outlined in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update. An example of this effort is the development of a successful and popular web-based EMS training program that is proving to be both innovative and cost-efficient. The EMS Division is proud to encourage and support these types of contributions to the EMS system.
Summary of 2004 EMS Statistics (Seattle and King County)*

The following statistics are derived from the data collected on the Medical Incident Report Forms (MIRFs) and submitted by EMS agencies to the EMS Division for the year 2004.

Population has often been a factor in EMS call volume growth. Over the past two decades, population growth in King County has remained well above an average rate of 1% per year. In 2002, the yearly rate of increase started to decline to just under 1%.

The two graphs below depict the population growth relative to both BLS and ALS call volume patterns. Of interest is the continued reduction in ALS calls volume growth due in part to the success of the ALS Dispatch Criteria Revisions (see page 23). Note that the scales for population and call volumes are different.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>% Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1,269,898</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1,507,305</td>
<td>18.7% (10 yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1,730,504</td>
<td>14.8% (10 yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1,758,321</td>
<td>1.6% (1 yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1,774,300</td>
<td>0.9% (1 yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1,779,300</td>
<td>0.3% (1 yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,788,300</td>
<td>0.5% (1 yr)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>BLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Responses</td>
<td>49,279</td>
<td>157,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Response Time</td>
<td>11.1 minutes / 7.4 minutes</td>
<td>6.0 minutes / 4.8 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Minutes or less</td>
<td>43.5% / 69.6%</td>
<td>70.7% / 83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Minutes or less</td>
<td>60.3% / 84.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Minutes or less</td>
<td>71.5% / 91.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Minutes or less</td>
<td>78.7% / 95.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Code Greens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>BLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The 2004 EMS data uses a fully integrated EMS Division and Seattle dataset. Response times are defined as follows: Total - the time of call arrival at dispatch to the time of arrival at the scene / Unit - the time of unit dispatch to time of arrival at the scene. Code Greens for ALS are defined as having no ‘arrival at scene’ time. In some instances, totals differ due to missing values.
Characteristics of Responses:

The following information reflects a variety of data that characterizes the types of both BLS and ALS calls, including a comparison of age groups, types of medical complaints, where incidents take place, and patient transport information. As indicated below, paramedics providing advanced life support are more likely to attend to older patients for cardiac conditions, while EMTs often tend to trauma in young adults.

Responses by Age Group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>BLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-17 yrs</td>
<td>2,035 (5.0%)</td>
<td>12,941 (9.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24 yrs</td>
<td>1,826 (4.5%)</td>
<td>11,007 (8.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44 yrs</td>
<td>6,948 (17.2%)</td>
<td>31,986 (23.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64 yrs</td>
<td>11,003 (27.3%)</td>
<td>33,748 (24.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+ yrs</td>
<td>16,069 (39.8%)</td>
<td>45,774 (33.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Total     | 40,335     | 135,456    

Despite the continued growth in population and call volumes over time, the average BLS and ALS unit response times have remained stable over the past four years as reflected in the graph to the right. This may not reflect, however, local area stresses to the system and regular assessment of core medic unit indicators are conducted to ensure adequate response. The two graphs located directly below reflect the patterns of ALS and BLS response during the day and throughout the year. Of note is the daily variation in BLS responses per day over time (~270-550 calls) in comparison to ALS responses (~90-210 calls).
Strategic Initiative Highlight: EMS and Repeat Callers for Diabetes

Diabetic emergencies have a significant impact on the Emergency Medical Services system in King County. In 2004, approximately 1,200 calls were made to 9-1-1 for insulin reactions, an indication of under-managed patient care. While the total volume of calls is not surprising in light of the growing nationwide diabetes epidemic, it is apparent that a few individuals account for a disproportionate number of calls. A detailed analysis of calling patterns revealed that 31 individuals relied on EMS for insulin reactions at least 4 times in 2004. The total call volume from the 31 ‘repeat callers’ was 176. Thus, 3% of patients account for 15% of all calls for insulin reactions (see figure below).

An intervention targeting repeat callers could yield substantial benefits for patients and the EMS system. As described on page 34 of the annual report, the EMS Division is evaluating the most appropriate method for addressing this situation at the local level. Options include introducing broader indications for measuring blood glucose levels to order to identify under-managed or undiagnosed diabetes in the community. This activity would ideally include the active involvement of the patient’s personal physician or health care provider.

### Responses by Type:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>BLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td>10,473 (28.3%)</td>
<td>13,178 (10.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic</td>
<td>5,469 (14.8%)</td>
<td>18,507 (14.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>5,191 (14.0%)</td>
<td>11,311 (8.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>3,212 (8.7%)</td>
<td>36,916 (29.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal/GU</td>
<td>2,050 (5.5%)</td>
<td>9,075 (7.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic / Endocrine</td>
<td>1,917 (5.2%)</td>
<td>3,526 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Illness</td>
<td>8,708 (23.5%)</td>
<td>34,371 (27.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>37,020</strong></td>
<td><strong>126,884</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although ALS and BLS personnel each respond more frequently to particular types of calls (i.e. cardiac calls for ALS and trauma for BLS), the EMS community serves a wide variety of medical emergencies. This
aspect requires not only an in-depth knowledge of specific invasive medical procedures but also requires a considerable breadth of knowledge and skills for diagnoses and management.

Similar to the variation reflected in the types of responses EMS agencies provide, EMS personnel also respond to a variety of physical settings, again requiring a versatility of skills. For example, providers may need to interact with other medical professionals or deliver patient care on a busy street or highway. EMS personnel also respond to public settings where they deal not only with the patient but need to cooperate and collaborate with other public safety personnel such as police officers or security guards.

### Incident Locations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>BLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home/Residence</td>
<td>20,760 (59.7%)</td>
<td>69,290 (53.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Home</td>
<td>2,551 (7.3%)</td>
<td>6,553 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic / MD Office</td>
<td>1,677 (4.8%)</td>
<td>2,801 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street/Highway</td>
<td>1,718 (4.9%)</td>
<td>16,290 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unknown Location</td>
<td>8,094 (23.3%)</td>
<td>35,647 (27.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>34,800</strong></td>
<td><strong>130,581</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cardiac Arrest Statistics:

The Cardiac Arrest Surveillance System (CASS) has evaluated cardiac arrest statistics for almost thirty years (see page 46 for more details about the Center of Evaluation of EMS). The following information depicts the cardiac arrest survival rates in King County.

#### CPR Initiated by Bystander:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>520/1083 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>500/993 (50%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Cardiac Survival Rate: *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>63/1810 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>360/1015 (35%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Definition: discharged from hospital alive / treated patients
Percent Survival From Witnessed Ventricular Fibrillation Cardiac Arrest, Seattle and King County
CPR Highlight: Socioeconomic Status and Survival from Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest

Socioeconomic status (SES) has been linked to incidence of heart disease, but its influence on outcome from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is not well understood. A study done in 2004 in the EMS Division hypothesized that SES would be associated with survival from cardiac arrest. Two measures of SES were used: tax-assessed property value per unit and median household income derived from census data, based on census tract of residence. Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the association between survival to hospital discharge and quartile of SES. Models adjusted for circumstance and EMS care factors that could confound the situation.

Among 1,789 cardiac arrests between 1999 and 2003 it was found that higher SES was associated with greater survival. Assessed property value was shown to be significant. Patients with property value of $166,000 or less survived at a rate of 15%; those with property values of $283,000 or greater survived at a rate of 20%. Median household income had no association with survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Assessed Property Value</th>
<th>Percent Survival from Cardiac Arrest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $166,000</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$166,000 - $212,000</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$213,000 - $282,000</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$283,000 or greater</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Identification and management of cardiovascular health disparities in community-based samples is a priority for improving public health. Understanding reasons for the disparities must underlie any attempt to change them. The better survival observed among those with greater property value does not appear to be directly related to the residential property itself, since the relationship to better survival was consistent for arrests that occurred in public as well as the home. Rather, value per unit is likely a surrogate for some other characteristic correlated with SES. This investigation did not include demographic or therapeutic characteristics which might have helped explain the relationship between value per unit and survival. Therefore, another study currently underway will use the same patient data to see how race, occupation, marital status, and previous health history might relate to survival, and what relationship they have to SES.

Authors: Samuel Clarke BA, Gina Schellenbaum MPH, Thomas Rea, MD Academic Emergency Medicine, in press.
Transport Type and Destination:

An important component of providing EMS care is appropriate triage. EMS personnel uses their skills and knowledge to match the clinical need of the patient with the most appropriate transport and destination plan. The figures below reflect the transport trends over the past four years.

Transport Type for BLS Responses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALS Transport</td>
<td>18,767</td>
<td>18,767</td>
<td>18,767</td>
<td>18,767</td>
<td>74,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS Air</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLS - Fire District</td>
<td>19,385</td>
<td>19,385</td>
<td>19,385</td>
<td>19,385</td>
<td>77,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLS - Ambulance</td>
<td>53,159</td>
<td>53,159</td>
<td>53,159</td>
<td>53,159</td>
<td>212,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10,013</td>
<td>10,013</td>
<td>10,013</td>
<td>10,013</td>
<td>40,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Transport</td>
<td>47,777</td>
<td>47,777</td>
<td>47,777</td>
<td>47,777</td>
<td>187,108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 149,339

Transport Destination for BLS Responses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>94,662</td>
<td>94,662</td>
<td>94,662</td>
<td>94,662</td>
<td>378,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>2,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4,225</td>
<td>4,225</td>
<td>4,225</td>
<td>4,225</td>
<td>16,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Transport</td>
<td>45,677</td>
<td>45,677</td>
<td>45,677</td>
<td>45,677</td>
<td>182,708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 145,274
Introduction: This section of the EMS 2005 Annual Report focuses on EMS revenues and expenditures for 2004 and projections for 2005. Some historical and forecast information is incorporated for context, including information on the current EMS funding mechanism and the projected status of the EMS Financial Plan through the current levy period. Components include the following:

- EMS Levy Structure
- Current EMS Revenues
- Current EMS Expenditures
- EMS Expenditure and Revenue Trends
- The 2005 Financial Plan
- Recommendations for Fund Balance and Levy Rate

Please note that under terms of an inter-local agreement between King County and the City of Seattle, EMS levy funds collected within Seattle go directly to the City. These discussions focus on the EMS fund within the remainder of King County, excluding the City of Seattle.

A. EMS Levy Structure

The EMS levy is a regular property tax levy subject to the limitations contained in Chapter 84.55.010 RCW. EMS levy funds are restricted by RCW and can only be spent on EMS-related activities. In November 2001, King County voters approved an EMS levy to provide funding for the 2002-2007 period. Also passed in November 2001, Initiative 747 limits total levy funds to a 1% increase for existing properties, except for new construction.

EMS Levy funds are collected throughout King County and managed by the EMS Division for the region based on policy guidelines of the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update. As noted above, funds generated within the City of Seattle are managed separately by the city. Funds are spent in four areas: Advanced Life Support (ALS), Basic Life Support (BLS), Regional Support Services (such as training, regional medical direction and community programs), and Strategic Initiatives. ALS services are provided by five agencies, BLS services provided by all fire agencies, Regional Services and Strategic Initiatives are provided by the EMS Division.

The EMS Financial Plan assumes modest growth in property values, continued low inflation, and a one-percent limit on revenues from existing properties. There is a required End Fund Balance (EFB) of 1/12 yearly expenditures. The plan also assumes that expenditures increase by Consumer Price Index (CPI) as estimated by the King County Economist, anticipates that ALS expenditures may increase by more than CPI, and forecasts the addition of new ALS units throughout the levy period. This results in expenses increasing at a rate higher than revenues over the duration of the levy.
With expenditures projected to increase at a rate higher than revenue growth, the levy amount is set so that funds collected in the first years of the levy can be saved and used to cover expenses in the last years of the levy (when expenditures are higher than revenues). As projected, revenues were and did exceed expenditures through 2004. Expenditures are projected to exceed revenues by a small amount in 2005. It is currently projected that revenues placed in the fund balance between 2002 and 2004 will be sufficient to fund expenses in 2005, 2006, and 2007.

The following chart shows actual and projected revenues and expenses from 2000 to 2007:

![EMS Fund - Expenditures VS. Revenues Chart]

For more details on forecast revenues and expenditures, see Section D: EMS Expenditure and Revenue Trends on page 64.

**B. EMS Revenues**

The 2004 effective levy rate was $.23706 per $1,000 assessed value with a total assessment of $55,703,623. The 2005 effective levy rate is .23186 per $1,000 assessed value with a total assessment of $57,448,128. This is 3.13% over 2004.

The total assessment for the levy is divided proportionately between the City of Seattle and the remainder of King County based on assessed property values in each area. In 2004, the City of Seattle’s portion of the assessment was 35.6%; the remainder of King County’s portion of the
assessment was 64.4%. In 2005, the City of Seattle’s portion of the assessment was 35.4%; the remainder of King County’s portion of the assessment was 64.4%.

### AMOUNT ASSESSED FOR CURRENT LEVY

#### ACTUAL 2001 - 2005

(All numbers in thousands -- 000 omitted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>City of Seattle</th>
<th>Balance of King County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$16,034</td>
<td>$30,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$18,763</td>
<td>$33,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$19,273</td>
<td>$34,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$19,837</td>
<td>$35,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$20,350</td>
<td>$37,097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to real and personal property taxes, other revenues include miscellaneous taxes, interest earnings, and fees for reimbursable services. King County contributes $375,000 annually in Current Expense Fund monies to King County Medic One. Total revenues in 2004 for the balance of King County were $36.8 million. The regional levy and associated taxes generated 98% of the total revenues with current expense and other income combining to generate the remaining 2%.

#### 2004 EMS Revenue (balance of King County)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue Source</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property Taxes Current</td>
<td>$35,054,735</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Taxes</td>
<td>$752,893</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Taxes</td>
<td>$109,449</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
<td>$192,983</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income</td>
<td>$281,742</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX Contribution</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$36,766,802</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 2004 beginning fund balance was $7.8 million; the year-end fund balance was $9.3 million. Funds in excess of the required ending fund balance of $3 million were placed in a reserve to pay for planned services in 2006 and 2007 when expenses are forecast to exceed revenues.
Revenues for 2005 are estimated at $38 million. The regional levy and associated taxes represent 98% of total estimated revenue. Projected end fund balance for 2005 is $9.8 million. Funds in excess of the required fund balance are needed to cover expenditures above revenue in years 2006 through 2007.

### 2005 Estimated EMS Revenue (balance of King County)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue Source</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property Taxes Current</td>
<td>$36,262,706</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Taxes</td>
<td>$841,277</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Taxes</td>
<td>$113,025</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
<td>$137,000</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income</td>
<td>$340,000</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX Contribution</td>
<td>$375,000</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$38,069,009</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total revenue grew 3.6% from 2003 to 2004, and is projected to grow 3.5% in 2005. The increase is primarily due to property taxes on new construction. While assessed valuation increased 5% from 2003 to 2004 and 5.5% to 2004, property taxes revenues increased 4% a year from 2003 to 2005. Additional information on projected revenues through the end of the current 2002-2007 levy period is included in Section D. EMS Revenue and Expenditure Trends (see page 64).

### C. EMS Expenditures

EMS revenues support four major EMS activities related to direct service delivery or support programs. These programs are:

- Advanced Life Support (ALS) Services
- Basic Life Support (BLS) Services
- Regional Support Programs
- Strategic Initiatives

The 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update limits expenditure increases for ALS, BLS and Regional Service to the local area Consumer Price Index (CPI). If there is sufficient funding available, increases for ALS services can be raised above CPI to avoid cost shifting to ALS agencies. Advanced Life Support (ALS) Services funding is based on a standard allocation per unit; BLS funding is based on an allocation formula per agency; Regional Support Programs are based on cost of services limited to increases based on forecast CPI; and Strategic Initiatives are based on
approved budgets and estimated cash flow. Yearly reserves to provide for unanticipated expenses are also budgeted. No reserves from fund balance were used in 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2004 Actual Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expenditures for 2004 were budgeted using a forecast CPI increase of 2.1%. In 2004, 94% of the total budget amount of $38 million was spent including carry forward funds from 2003. Some ALS providers and Regional Services placed unexpended budget in designated reserves for future years where expenses are projected to exceed designated budgets. Unexpended Contingency was returned to fund balance. Budgeted expenditures for 2005 are based on a CPI forecasted increase of 2.9%. Cash flows for Strategic Initiatives increased over 2004 based on individual project plans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2005 Budgeted Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Advanced Life Support (ALS) Services: Since the first EMS levy in 1979, regional paramedic services have been largely supported by the EMS levy. The EMS Division manages contracts that provide funds directly to five paramedic provider agencies in King County: Bellevue Fire
The EMS levy funds ALS services using a standard unit cost methodology determined by staffing units with two Harborview-trained paramedics, 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. These expenditures include personnel, medical equipment and supplies, support costs for dispatch, paramedic supervision, medical direction, continuing medical education, and other EMS-related expenses. In 2004, the standard unit cost allocation was $1.33 million per paramedic unit. This allocation reflected an 2.1% increase over the 2003 allocation of $1.3 million per unit.

Two types of paramedic units qualify for half of the standard unit cost funding. Emergency Medical Technician/Paramedic (EMT/P) units are staffed 24-hours per day with one EMT trained in defibrillation and one paramedic. Part-time (or 12-hour) paramedic units are staffed with two paramedics for twelve hours during peak workload periods. Each EMT/P and 12-hour unit received $665,663 in 2004, although EMT/P units are additionally supported with local fire department funds. Vashon Medic One is funded at a 0.5 unit allocation. Based on the recommendations of the Medical Directors, EMT/P units are now being phased out of the EMS system (see Part I - EMS System Review discussion on page 15).

The total annual EMS levy allocation for each paramedic provider is determined by the number of units staffed with two paramedics, the number of EMT/P units, the number of 12-hour 2-paramedic units, and the number of vehicles due for replacement that year. Start-up costs for any new paramedic units are added separately. Paramedic vehicle replacement is funded separately from the standard unit cost allocation and follows a standardized paramedic vehicle replacement plan. Medic units are currently replaced every three years and then placed in a backup vehicle status for three additional years. The allocation for vehicle replacement costs in 2003 was $120,421 per vehicle. Four vehicles were funded in 2003; five vehicles were funded in 2004.

The total number of ALS units as of December 2004 is shown in the following chart:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full Units (2 paramedic / 24 hour)(^{(1)})</th>
<th>Half Units (EMT-P or 12 hour)(^{(2)})</th>
<th>Total Funding Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redmond</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Co.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellevue(^{(4)})</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoreline</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vashon(^{(3)})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Full Units are funded at 100% of the Standard Unit Cost of $1,303,942.
\(^{(2)}\) Half Units are funded at 50% of the Standard Unit Cost of $651,971.
\(^{(3)}\) Vashon funding is currently set at .5 of 24-hour unit.
\(^{(4)}\) Does not include additional funding .25 unit funding for Medic 3.
The *2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update* calls for an annual review of ALS costs to minimize cost shifting of ALS expenses to provider agencies. An ALS task force comprised of representatives of the different ALS providers meets each year to review costs and provide recommendations to the EMS Advisory Committee. Since a significant increase was made in 2003, a CPI-based increase of 2.1% was recommended for 2004. Based on rising costs, an increase of 5.06% was recommended for 2005 (2.16% over CPI increase of 2.9%).

**Basic Life Support (BLS) Services:** The levy provides partial funding to BLS providers to help ensure uniform and standardized patient care and enhance BLS services. Basic Life Support services are provided, outside the City of Seattle, by thirty-three local fire departments and fire districts. Beginning in 2002, the total amount of BLS funding was increased by the local area CPI each year as noted in the *2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update*. The total annual BLS dollar allocation for 2004 was $8.9 million; the total for 2005 is $9.16 million.

The task force that completed the *2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update* also recommended a thorough review of the BLS funding formula and in early 2002 a BLS Funding Formula Review Committee convened to discuss the critical issues. The group was able to attain consensus on the new criteria for allocating BLS funds and the revised formula was used to calculate the 2003 BLS allocations. The new formula was again reviewed in May 2003, May 2004, and July 2005 to monitor the impacts and validate the assumptions. The intended effects were evident (reduction of hold harmless) and the review committee recommended continued use of the new formula. Minor improvements were recommended and implemented.

**Regional Services:** The primary purpose for regional EMS programs and services is to provide support to critical functions essential to providing the highest quality out-of-hospital emergency care available. This includes uniform training of EMTs and dispatchers, regional medical control, regional data collection and analysis, quality improvement activities, and financial and administrative management (including management of ALS and BLS contracts). Regional coordination of these various activities is important in supporting a standard delivery of pre-hospital patient care, developing regional policies and practices that reflect the diversity of needs, and maintaining the balance of local area service delivery with centralized interests.
The 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update limits increases in funding for Regional Services to the local CPI. Expenditures, particularly labor expenditures related to resolution of labor agreements, have increased higher than the CPI. The 2004 budget for Regional Support was $3.8 million. Approximately $3.5 million (or 93% of the budget) was expended in 2004. Approximately $260,000 was placed in reserves to cover future costs, particularly labor and indirect and overhead costs that are projected to increase higher than CPI. The 2004 budget for Regional Services was increased by the forecast CPI of 2.1%. In addition and as planned, $50,000 budgeted for maintenance related to the Regional Data Collection Project (RDC) was transferred from the Strategic Initiative budget to Regional Services.

**Strategic Initiatives:** The term ‘Strategic Initiative’ is used to describe a handful of new and innovative programs that are thought to have significant impact on the success of the Strategic Directions. Strategic Initiatives are funded with lifetime budgets. These are not increased each year by CPI. However, the budgeted amount by year is adjusted to reflect changing cash flows based on project needs. For program details, please see Section B. 2002-2007 Strategic Initiatives - page 21.

Current Strategic Initiative budgets are shown in the following chart:

### Strategic Initiative Summary

**For 2006 Requested Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispatch Initiatives</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web Based CBD Criteria</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td>3,750</td>
<td>95,736</td>
<td>170,198</td>
<td>17,604</td>
<td><strong>288,440</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMD QI</td>
<td>23,863</td>
<td>24,171</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>29,725</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td><strong>133,759</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced CBD</td>
<td>51,064</td>
<td>67,988</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>89,101</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td><strong>351,153</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology Initiatives</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Web-based Training for Dispatch</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td><strong>68,383</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web-based Training for EMS (Enhanced)</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>189,900</td>
<td>195,000</td>
<td>200,300</td>
<td><strong>660,200</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional Electronic Data Collection Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>149,234</th>
<th>21,338</th>
<th>170,572</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RETRO</td>
<td>119,300</td>
<td>73,455</td>
<td>216,055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMS System Efficiencies

Procedure & Patient Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>38,908</th>
<th>55,941</th>
<th>58,469</th>
<th>153,318</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Enhanced Care for EMS
| Evals          | - | - | 20,043 | 28,818 | 30,121 | 78,982  |
| Injury Prevention Programs | 21,089 | 19,004 | 37,000 | 38,000 | 39,000 | 154,093 |

Levy Planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>52,703</th>
<th>158,434</th>
<th>95,063</th>
<th>306,200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>123,551</td>
<td>326,147</td>
<td>685,928</td>
<td>858,672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. EMS Revenue and Expenditure Trends

Revenue Trends: The primary revenue source for the EMS system in King County is the 2002-2007 EMS property tax levy. Levy revenue growth is limited by a voter-approved tax initiative (Initiative 747). This initiative limits revenue growth from existing properties to 1% per year, plus new construction.

The following chart shows forecast levy assessments for both Seattle and the remainder of King County:

![Forecast Levy Assessment](chart)

The EMS Financial Plan assumes modest growth in property values, continued low inflation, a one-percent limit on fund growth from existing properties, growth in expenditures related to anticipated regional demand for Advanced Life Support Services (ALS), and stable growth in
other services at the level of local consumer price index (CPI). Forecasted total levy assessment including both the City of Seattle and the remainder of King County is projected to increase from $52.5 million in 2002 to $60.5 million in 2007. This is a total increase of 15% or an average of 3% per year. Growth over 1% is primarily due to property taxes on new construction.

While the assessed amount for the City of Seattle has increased by 8.6% between 2002 and 2005, the proportion of the levy has decreased slightly (from 35.72% in 2002 to 35.4% in 2005). The assessed amount for the remainder of King County has increased 10%; the proportion of the levy has increased from 64.3% to 64.4%.

Total EMS Division tax revenues are projected to increase 14.7% from 2002 to 2007 (or an average of 2.9% per year). Total revenues are projected to increase from $35 million in 2002 to $39.9 million in 2007. Most other revenues are projected to remain stable, including current expense contributions of $375,000 per year. The following chart shows actual and projected revenues for King County EMS Fund (excluding Seattle) through 2007:

![Forecasted Revenues 2002-2007](chart)

* In 2002, King County Contributed Additional CX funds related to the Paramedic contract for KCM1.

While EMS revenues are projected to increase, the effective levy rate will decrease. As described in Section A: Levy Structure, Initiative 747 limits the total increase in EMS levy assessment to 1%. Even though the total assessed values of properties in King County increased X% from 2004 to 2005, the total EMS levy collected from these properties was limited to a 1% increase. Thus, the effective levy rate is projected to decrease from $0.25 per thousand dollars of valuation in 2002 to $0.2179 in 2007.
Forecasted new construction growth and forecasted CPI are adjusted each year based on the recommendations of the King County Economist. Forecast revenues are sufficient to cover forecast expenditures through the end of the levy period. There is sufficient fund balance to accommodate an increase in the ALS allocation over CPI in 2006 (minimize cost shifting to ALS providers). The conversion of Medic 3 and Medic 35 from EMT/P units to fully funded 2-paramedic units and the increase in funding for Vashon have used most of the remaining available fund balance. Funds for addressing needs not included in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update, such as desired service increases to outlying areas, are limited.

**Expenditure Trends:** There are two main factors affecting expenditure trends; increased costs and the addition of new ALS service. Expenditures are projected to increase from $32 million in 2002 to $42.5 million in 2007. This is a 34% increase or an average increase of 6.8% per year. Since ALS is the largest recipient of EMS levy funds, increases in ALS due to new units and allocations increases above forecast CPI have a significant effect on expenditures. Since expenditure increases in each sub-fund area are tied to the forecast local CPI, long term changes in the CPI rate can have an impact on the projected end fund balance. The forecast CPI was increased to 2.9% in 2005, 2.6% in 2006, and reduced to 2.3% in 2007.

The following chart shows projected expenditures by sub-fund for the current levy period:
Unit Additions: Since the beginning of the current levy period, one 0.5 unit expansion was added to the system in 2003 when Medic 14 in Issaquah was expanded from a 12-hour half-time 2-paramedic unit to a full 24-hour unit. Medic 12 in Enumclaw was also expanded from a 12-hour half-time 2-paramedic unit to a full 24-hour unit in 2004. A new 12-hour peak unit, Medic 13, is proposed in the 2006 budget. These increases were anticipated in the 2002 EMS Strategic Plan Update and implemented after analyzing workloads, response times, and percent back-up provided by other medic units.

Another significant increase in ALS service was the transition of EMT-P units to 2-paramedic units. As described in Part I - EMS System Review on page 15 of the report, this change was at the request by the Medical Program Directors. Medic 3, located in North Bend, was transitioned to a 2-paramedic unit in July 2003. Medic 35 was converted to a 2-paramedic unit in May 2005. Full medic unit funding will be completed by January 2006 (replacing the current shared funding scenario). In addition, funding for Vashon will be increased to 90% ($1.3 million) in 2006.

The following chart shows how expenditure growth correlates to the number of ALS units in service:
BLS and Regional Support funding is projected to remain steady and not exceed CPI. BLS funding is projected to increase from $8.53 million in 2002 to $9.6 million in 2007. Regional Support funding is projected to increase from actuals of $2.8 million in 2002 to a projection of $4.7 million in 2007. Expenses for Regional Services, particularly personnel, indirect and overhead charges, are increasing higher than CPI. To accommodate these increases, Regional Services is planning on using under-expenditures from 2003 and 2004 (placed in a designated reserve to cover increased expenses, including overhead in 2006 and 2007). It is anticipated that there will be continued limited use of contingency reserve each year.

Significant revenue trends to monitor include growth of new construction, interest rates, and delinquent taxes. Current forecast and past economic trends appear to indicate that new construction growth may increase in the remaining years of the levy. Interest rates also appear to be rising. Expenditure trends to monitor include changes (particularly increases) in the local CPI, and labor and medical supply costs for paramedic services.

While CPI increases are included for all sub-funds in 2007, actual forecast expenditure increase from 2006 to 2007 is only .24%. This is due to a peak in expenditures in 2006 including replacement of seven paramedic vehicles, and a peak in Strategic Initiative cash flow (with increases in dispatch, levy planning and the ALS Transport Fee study initiatives).

As planned, revenues have exceeded expenditures for the first three years of the levy. Beginning in 2005, expenditures are projected to exceed revenues. Sufficient revenues have been saved (placed in the EMS Fund) to cover the difference between planned expenditure and revenue levels in 2005 through 2007. The forecast differential in 2005 is relatively small, approximately
$800,000. By 2007, the differential is projected to grow to $2.6 million. The EMS Levy end fund balance (EFB) is projected to be $3.34 million. As the costs of providing services (primarily labor, pharmaceuticals and medical supplies) continue to exceed the growth in levy revenues, the next levy will also need to collect funds in the early years of the levy to cover expenditures in the last years of the levy.

E. 2005 EMS Financial Plan

The 2004-2006 EMS Financial Plan summarizes actual and projected revenues and expenditures for core EMS Division programs and services, major strategic initiative directions, and other additions. The EMS Financial Plan shows the current status of the undesignated fund balance in relationship to a target fund balance. The target fund balance is the equivalent of one month’s operating costs for EMS activities. Please refer to Appendix F: EMS Division Revenue/Expenditure Summary on page 81 for details.

F. Recommendations for Fund Balance

The EMS Financial Plan currently projects available funds above the required end fund balance (EFB) of 1/12 year in expenditures of $406,758. Due to the ALS challenges mentioned in the EMS System Review section, ALS costs that are projected to exceed CPI, and a desire to minimize cost-shifting to ALS providers, it is recommended that the EMS levy rate be set at the maximum allowable rate of the levy. Currently there are not sufficient funds to allow an increase above CPI for ALS providers if needed in 2007. It is prudent to set rates to maximize revenues to support needed ALS/paramedic services. If additional funds are collected, they will be used to address the unfunded needs highlighted in this report, specifically provision of ALS service in outlying areas or to increase the ALS allocation to minimize cost-shifting to ALS providers, including King County.
Appendix A: Regional Map of the Basic Life Support (BLS) Provider Areas
Appendix B: Regional Map of the Advanced Life Support (ALS) Provider Areas
Appendix C: Regional Map of the EMS Dispatch Center Service Areas
Appendix D: Regional Map of EMS Hospitals
## Appendix E: 2005 EMS Advisory Committee Listing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Representation</th>
<th>Title/ Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tom Hearne, Chair</td>
<td>Emergency Medical Services Division</td>
<td>Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deb Ayrs</td>
<td>ALS Providers - Redmond Medic One</td>
<td>Medical Services Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Berschauer</td>
<td>Ambulance Service</td>
<td>Director of Operations, American Medical Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Church</td>
<td>BLS in Cities &gt; 50,000</td>
<td>Chief, Federal Way Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Copass, M.D.</td>
<td>Medical Program Director - Seattle</td>
<td>Seattle Medic One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Dean</td>
<td>ALS Providers - Seattle Medic One</td>
<td>Chief, Seattle Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mickey Eisenberg, M.D.</td>
<td>EMS Medical Program Director</td>
<td>Medical Program Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Hearne, Interim</td>
<td>ALS Providers - King County Medic One</td>
<td>Medical Services Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Heide</td>
<td>Dispatch</td>
<td>Asst Manager, Eastside Comm. Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Hershey</td>
<td>KC Fire Commissioner’s Assn. - Urban</td>
<td>Fire Commissioner, Federal Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Kennison</td>
<td>KC Fire Commissioner’s Assn. - Rural</td>
<td>Fire Commissioner, Shoreline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Loehr</td>
<td>Emergency Management, Public Health - Seattle &amp; King County</td>
<td>Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Mehlert</td>
<td>ALS Providers - Shoreline Medic One</td>
<td>Chief, Shoreline Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Merrit</td>
<td>Labor - ALS</td>
<td>Paramedic, King County Medic One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Reed</td>
<td>Health Plan and Provider</td>
<td>Group Health Cooperative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Schneider</td>
<td>BLS in Cities &gt; 50,000</td>
<td>Chief, Kent Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Somers, M.D.</td>
<td>Chair, Medical Directors’ Committee</td>
<td>Medical Director, Shoreline Medic One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Teeter</td>
<td>Public Health - Seattle &amp; King County</td>
<td>Interim Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Trevino</td>
<td>ALS Providers - Bellevue Medic One</td>
<td>Chief, Bellevue Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Weisenbach</td>
<td>Labor - BLS</td>
<td>EMT, Redmond Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Wheeler</td>
<td>BLS in Cities &gt; 50,000</td>
<td>Chief, Renton Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Wilson</td>
<td>ALS Providers - Vashon Medic One</td>
<td>Chief, Vashon Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not filled at this time</td>
<td>Citizen Representative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix F: EMS Division Revenue/Expenditure Summary  
Financial Plan 2004 through 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2004 Actual</th>
<th>2005 Estimate</th>
<th>2006 Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEGINNING FUND BALANCE:</td>
<td>$7,824,566</td>
<td>$9,297,669</td>
<td>$9,848,243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REVENUES:

- Property Taxes: $35,917,077, $37,217,008, $38,058,656
- Other Revenue (includes Interest Income): $474,725, $477,000, $535,718
- General Fund (CX): $375,000, $375,000, $375,000

TOTAL REVENUES: $36,766,802, $38,069,009, $38,969,374

EXPENDITURES:

CORE SERVICES

- Paramedic Services: $(22,837,725), $(25,085,527), $(27,633,173)
- Basic Life Support: $(8,947,291), $(9,157,485), $(9,420,515)
- EMS Division Regional Services: $(3,555,429), $(3,872,071), $(4,367,891)
- Strategic Initiatives: $(326,147), $(685,928), $(858,672)

SUBTOTAL Operating Expenditures: $(35,666,592), $(38,801,011), $(42,280,251)

Contingency Reserve: $(59,326), $(551,151)

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: $(35,666,592), $(38,860,337), $(42,831,402)

Encumbrance Carry Over: $372,893, $1,341,903

ENDING FUND BALANCE: $9,297,669, $9,848,243, $5,986,215

KING COUNTY MEDIC ONE DONATIONS

Fund 6980; Sub-Account 06204

2004 Account Balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>$280,382.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>$204,635.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures</td>
<td>$(83,590.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
<td>$401,427.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix G: EMS Division Contact Information

**Mailing Address:**
Emergency Medical Services Division  
Public Health – Seattle & King County  
999 3rd Avenue, Suite 700  
Seattle, WA 98104-4039  
(206) 296-4693  
(206) 296-4866 (fax)

**Web Address:**
http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems

**Specific Program Contacts:**

- King County Medic One  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/medicone/  
  (206) 296-8550

- BLS/EMT Training and Education Program  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/training.htm  
  (206) 296-4861

- CPR/AED Training Programs  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/aed.htm  
  (206) 205-5582

- Emergency Medical Dispatch Programs  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/emdprogram.htm  
  (206) 296-4559

- Injury Prevention and Public Education Programs  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/community.htm  
  (206) 296-0202

- Medical Control  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/quality.htm  
  (206) 296-4553

- Strategic Initiatives  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/planning.htm  
  (206) 205-1056

- Regional Data Collection Project  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/planning.htm  
  (206) 205-1056

- Center for the Evaluation of EMS (CEEMS)  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/CEEMS.HTM  
  (206) 296-4553

- Central Region EMS and Trauma Care Council  
  http://www.metrokc.gov/health/ems/trauma.htm  
  (206) 205-6293
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