



**King County**

2016

King County Comprehensive Plan Update

**Executive Recommended Plan**

**POLICY I-207 ANALYSIS OF  
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO POLICIES**

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment		A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<b>ALL CHAPTERS</b>						
<b>Updated data:</b>	<b>Datasets updates in multiple chapters</b>	Incorporate current data	Improved clarity	Yes	PRD	29, 31, 92
<b>Updated maps:</b>	<b>Datasets updated within new map template</b>	Incorporate current data, improve map readability	Improved clarity	Yes	PRD	21, 50, 51, 61, 66, 67
<b>Updated text:</b>	<b>Text revisions throughout the plan to reflect changing programs, regulatory issues</b>	Incorporate current terminology, programs, regulations and statutes	Improved clarity	Yes	PRD	1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 12, 13, 16, 18, 23, 28, 29, 69, 70, 82, 90, 100, 101
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>						
<b>REGIONAL GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING</b>						
<b>RP-101</b>	<b>King County shall strive to provide a high quality of life for all of its residents by working with cities, special purpose districts and residents to develop attractive, safe and accessible urban communities, retain rural character and rural neighborhoods, support economic development, <u>promote equity and social justice</u>, <del>((maintain))</del> <u>preserve</u> resource <u>and open space</u> lands, preserve the natural environment, and to protect significant cultural and historic resources.</b>	Integrate ESJ and add open spaces lands which had been omitted.	Limited; issue is considered already in planning process	Yes	PRD	3, 8, 14
<del>((RP-204))</del> <b>RP-104</b>	<b>King County's planning should include multi-county, countywide, and subarea levels of planning. Working with residents, special purpose districts and cities as planning partners, the county shall strive to balance the differing needs identified across or within plans at these geographic levels.</b>	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-117))</del> <b>RP-105</b>	<b>King County should work the Growth Management Planning Council</b>	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
to adopt Countywide Planning Policies that support annual ratifications to allocated housing and employment growth targets for cities and the county.		new structure			
<del>((RP-202))</del> <u>RP-106</u> Except Four-to-One proposals, King County shall not expand the <u>Urban Growth Area (UGA)</u> prior to the Growth Management Planning Council taking action on the proposed expansion of the Urban Growth Area.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((RP-203))</del> <u>RP-107</u> <del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> shall not forward to the Growth Management Planning Council, for its recommendation, any proposed expansion of the Urban Growth Area unless the proposal was either:  a. Included in the scoping motion or an area zoning study of the proposal was included in the public review draft of proposed King County Comprehensive Plan updates; or  b. Subjected to the hearing examiner process for site specific map amendments as contemplated by the King County Code.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((RP-204))</del> <u>RP-108</u> King County shall implement the Countywide Planning Policies through its comprehensive plan and through Potential Annexation Area, preannexation and other interlocal agreements with its cities.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<u>RP-109</u> <u>King County shall establish and/or participate in regional and subregional partnerships to advance the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan such as:</u>  <u>a. The King County Cities Climate Collaboration (the "K4C") to confront climate change.</u>  <u>b. The Regional Transit Oriented Development Program to advance transit-oriented development around transit stations and hubs, and</u>	Referencing adopted plans, per Scope	More clarify on planning activities	Yes	PRD	3, 15, 16, 84

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>c. The Eastside Rail Corridor to support a multi-use vision for the corridor.</u>					
<del>((RP-104))</del> <u>RP-110</u> King County's planning should strengthen communities by addressing all the elements, resources and needs that make a community whole, including: economic growth and the built environment, environmental sustainability, <u>regional and local mobility</u> , health and human potential, and justice and safety.	Policy moved; edit related to priority on mobility in Scope of Work, Strategic Plan	Limited; issue is considered already in planning process	Yes	PRD	2, 69
<del>((RP-105))</del> <u>RP-111</u> King County shall integrate mandated responses to the listings under the Endangered Species Act into future planning and economic development efforts and resource management programs to achieve, where consistent with the Endangered Species Act, a balance between environmental, social and economic goals and objectives. King County shall collaborate with others to conserve species and their habitats in order prevent future listings under the Endangered Species Act.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((RP-106))</del> <u>RP-112</u> King County shall incorporate <u>approaches to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and prepare for the impacts of climate change</u> into its land use and transportation planning, economic development efforts, and natural resource management ( <del>the most promising actions to respond to climate change, especially those actions that will reduce emissions of greenhouse gasses.</del> )	Policy moved; edit related to priority on climate in Scope of Work, Strategic Plan	Limited; issue is considered already in planning process	Yes	PRD	7, 55, 64
<del>((RP-108))</del> <u>RP-113</u> The Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map is adopted as part of this plan. It depicts the Urban Growth Area, Urban Growth <u>Area</u> Boundary, Rural Area, <u>Natural</u> Resource Lands and other land uses. The Land Use Map at the end of this chapter generally represents the official Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map.	Policy moved;  Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><b><del>((RP-110))</del> <u>RP-114</u></b> King County shall to continue its process of reviewing county regulatory and administrative actions so as to avoid unconstitutional takings of private property.</p>	<p>Moved without edit</p>	<p>Improved clarity within new structure</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b><del>((RP-205))</del> <u>RP-115</u></b> Subarea plans, including area zoning studies, provide detailed land use plans for local geographic areas. Subarea plans implement and shall be elements of the King County Comprehensive Plan and shall be consistent with the plan's policies, development regulations and Land Use Map. The subarea plans should be consistent with functional plans' facility and service standards. The subarea plans may include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Identification of policies in the comprehensive plan that apply to the subarea;</li> <li>b. Review and update of applicable community plan policies;</li> <li>c. Specific land uses and implementing zoning, consistent with the comprehensive plan;</li> <li>d. Identification of the boundaries of Unincorporated Activity Centers and Rural Towns;</li> <li>e. Recommendations for the establishment of new Unincorporated Activity Centers, Community and Neighborhood Business Centers, if appropriate;</li> <li>f. Recommendations for additional Open Space designations and park sites;</li> <li>g. Recommendations for capital improvements, the means and schedule for providing them and amendments to functional plans to support planned land uses;</li> <li>h. Resolution of land use and service issues in Potential Annexation Areas;</li> </ul>	<p>Moved without edit</p>	<p>Improved clarity within new structure</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Identification of new issues that need resolution at a countywide level;</li> <li>j. Identification of all necessary implementing measures needed to carry out the plan;</li> <li>k. Specific land uses and zoning that encourage healthy, livable communities by promoting physical activity of walking and bicycling; and</li> <li>l. Identification of locations and conditions for special overlay districts.</li> </ul>					
<p><del>((I-209))</del> <u>RP-116</u> King County should identify the financial costs and public benefits of proposed subarea and functional plans prior to adoption to ensure that implementation can be appropriately prioritized.</p>	<p>Moved without edit</p>	<p>Improved clarity within new structure</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><del>((RP-206))</del> <u>RP-117</u> Functional plans for facilities and services should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Be consistent with the comprehensive plan and subarea and neighborhood plans;</li> <li>b. Define required service levels <u>that are appropriate</u> for the Urban Growth Area, Rural Area and <u>Natural</u> Resource Lands;</li> <li>c. Provide standards for location, design and operation of public facilities and services;</li> <li>d. Specify adequate, stable and equitable methods of pay for public facilities and services;</li> <li>e. Be the basis for scheduling needed facilities and services through capital improvement programs; and</li> <li>f. Plan for maintenance of existing facilities.</li> </ul>	<p>Policy moved;</p> <p>Strengthening language so that services are provided at a level appropriate to geography</p> <p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p> <p>Service provision will be appropriate to the geography</p> <p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11, 12, 44, 45</p>
<p><del>((RP-207))</del> <u>RP-118</u> Existing functional plans that have not been adopted as part of this comprehensive plan shall remain in effect and continue as official county policy until reviewed and revised to be consistent with the</p>	<p>Moved without edit</p>	<p>Improved clarity within new structure</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
comprehensive plan, or until repealed or replaced. In case of conflict or inconsistency between applicable policies in existing community and functional plans and the comprehensive plan, the comprehensive plan shall govern.					
<del>((RP-208))</del> <u>RP-119</u> King County shall prepare functional plans to identify countywide facility and service needs and define ways to fund these consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan. Independent special purpose districts and other public agencies also prepare functional plans that should be considered by King County.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((GP-107))</del> <u>RP-120</u> King County will measure and assess agency performance and the achievement of Countywide Planning Policies and Comprehensive Plan goals.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((RP-109))</del> <u>RP-121</u> Using best management practices, King County shall develop assessment and review tools to ensure that health, equity, social and environmental justice impacts are considered in the development, implementation and funding of county projects and programs.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((GP-108))</del> <u>RP-122</u> Planning in King County shall be consistent with the King County Strategic Plan by: a. Encouraging vibrant, economically thriving and sustainable communities; b. Enhancing the county's natural resources and the environment; c. Supporting safe communities; and d. Providing equitable opportunities for all individuals.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((GP-104))</del> <u>RP-201</u> In its policies and regulations, King County shall strive to promote sustainable neighborhoods and communities, <u>and seek to ensure that all county activities provide social, environmental and economic</u>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives	References triple-bottom line of sustainability	Yes	PRD	3, 8, 14

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>benefits.</u>					
<b>((GP-102)) RP-202</b> King County shall pursue <del>((economically feasible))</del> opportunities to preserve <u>and maintain remaining high-priority forest, agriculture, and other open space lands.</u>	Policy amended to address issues identified in the Scope of Work.	County only pursue economically feasible lands; addresses Scope	Yes	PRD	64, Ch, 7 preamble
<b>((GP-103)) RP-203</b> King County shall continue to support the reduction of sprawl by focusing growth and future development in the <u>existing urban growth area, consistent with adopted growth targets.</u>	Consistent with other policies, to growth within existing UGA that has sufficient capacity; per adopted targets	Greater consistency with other policies, GMA processes	Yes	PRD	1, 11, 12
<b>((GP-104)) RP-204</b> King County shall continue to promote <u>an efficient multimodal transportation system that provides residents with a range of transportation choices that respond to <del>((both))</del> community needs and reduces impacts on the natural environmental <del>((concerns)).</del></u>	Edit for consistency with GMA; strengthen sustainability focus	More consistency with GMA; stronger focus	Yes	PRD	4
<b>((GP-105)) RP-205</b> King County will seek to reduce health <del>((disparities))</del> <u>inequities and proactively address issues of equity, social and environmental justice when <del>((evaluating))</del> implementing its land use policies, programs, and practices.</u>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens focus from evaluating to implementing	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102
<b>((GP-106)) RP-206</b> King County will protect, restore and enhance its natural resources and environment, encourage sustainable agriculture and forestry, reduce climate pollution and prepare for the effects of climate change, <u>including considering of the inequities and disparities that may be caused by climate change.</u>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens focus to include these issues	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102
CHAPTER 2 URBAN COMMUNITIES					
<b>((RP-107)) U-101a</b> The Urban Growth Area is considered long-term and can only be amended consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies, and the King County Comprehensive Plan policies.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><b>U-102</b></p> <p>The Urban Growth Area designations shown on the official Land Use Map include enough land to provide the <u>countywide capacity, as required by the Growth Management Act</u>, to accommodate <u>residential, commercial and institutional</u> growth expected over the period 2006-2031. These lands should include only those lands that meet the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Are characterized by urban development that can be efficiently and cost effectively served by roads, water, sanitary sewer and storm drainage, schools and other urban governmental services within the next 20 years;</li> <li>b. Do not extend beyond natural boundaries, such as watersheds, which impede provision of urban services;</li> <li>c. Respect topographical features that form a natural edge, such as rivers and ridge lines;</li> <li>d. Are sufficiently free of environmental constraints to be able to support urban growth without major environmental impacts, unless such areas are designated as an urban separator by interlocal agreement between jurisdictions;</li> <li>e. Are included within the Bear Creek Urban Planned Development sites; and</li> <li>f. Are not <del>((rural land))</del> <u>Rural Area or Natural Resource Lands</u> <del>((or unincorporated agricultural or forestry lands designated through the Countywide Planning Policies Plan process))</del>.</li> </ul>	<p>Updated language to clarify GMA requirements, per recent court rulings</p> <p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p> <p>Edit to fix language that incorrectly states that Countywide Planning Polices designate these lands</p>	<p>Makes plan more consistent with GMA; greater consistency with county planning practices</p> <p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p> <p>Greater consistency with county planning practices</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p> <p>Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>1, 12, 11</p>
<p><b>U-104</b></p> <p>Rural <u>zoned</u> properties that are immediately adjacent to a city and are planned or designated for park purposes by that city may be redesignated to urban when the city has committed to designate the property in perpetuity in a form satisfactory to the King County</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>Council for park purposes and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The property is no more than 30 acres in size and was acquired by the city prior to 1994;</li> <li>b. The property is no more than 30 acres in size and receives county support through a park or recreation facility transfer agreement between King County and a city; or</li> <li>c. The property is or was formerly a King County park and is being or has been transferred to a city.</li> </ul>					
<p><b>U-105</b></p> <p>Existing or proposed churches in the Rural Area may be included within the Urban Growth Area when all of the following criteria are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The church property must have an interior lot line as defined by 21A.06.730 that is adjacent to the original Urban Growth Area boundary as established by the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan, excluding the <del>((Rural City))</del> <u>Urban Growth Areas of Cities in the Rural Area</u> and excluding <del>((UGA))</del> <u>Urban Growth Area</u> boundaries established through the Four-to-One Program;</li> <li>b. The church property shall not be adjacent to an Agricultural Production District or the Forest Production District;</li> <li>c. Sewer service is required once the property is included in the <del>((UGA))</del> <u>Urban Growth Area</u>;</li> <li>d. Direct vehicular access to a principal arterial road is required; and</li> <li>e. The church property shall be included in the Potential Annexation Area of the appropriate city at the same time it is included in the <del>((UGA))</del> <u>Urban Growth Area</u>.</li> </ul>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p> <p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p> <p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p> <p>11</p>

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
U-106	<b>Most population and employment growth should locate in the contiguous Urban Growth Area in western King County, especially in cities and their Potential Annexation Areas. Cities in the ((<del>rural area</del>)) <u>Rural Area</u> should accommodate growth in accordance with adopted growth targets.</b>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
U-107	<b>King County should support land use and zoning actions that promote public health by increasing opportunities for every resident to be more physically active. Land use and zoning actions include: concentrating growth into the Urban Area, promoting urban centers, allowing mixed-use developments, <u>supporting access to healthy and affordable retail</u> foods, and adding pedestrian and bicycle ((<del>linkages</del>)) <u>facilities and connections</u>.</b>	Addresses additional public health considerations into land use and zoning actions. Fixes omission of key public health and planning elements	Promotes a more comprehensive integration of health components into the plan	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	4, 24, 33, 37, 4, 8, 75
U-108	<b>King County should support the development of Urban Centers to meet the region's needs for housing, jobs, services, culture and recreation and to promote healthy communities; <u>improving access to these services helps address social and economic needs of all residents, including disadvantaged communities</u>. Strategies may include exploring opportunities for joint development or transit-oriented development, siting civic uses in mixed-use areas, and leveraging or utilizing existing county assets in urban centers.</b>	Edit to address ESJ, service delivery issues	Edit to clarify equity benefits of a centers-based growth strategy.	Yes	PRD	17, 18, 22
U-109	<b>King County should concentrate facilities and services within the Urban Growth Area to make it a desirable place to live and work, to increase the opportunities for walking and biking within the community, to more efficiently use existing infrastructure capacity and to reduce the long-term costs of infrastructure maintenance. <u>Facilities serving urban areas such as new medical, governmental, educational or institutional development, shall be located in within</u></b>	Edit to make provision of facilities consistent across chapters and related to areas they serve Greater consistency in plan chapters; strengthens protection of rural areas from urban serving facilities; doesn't	Greater consistency with GMA, more consistent terminology	Yes	PRD	11, 12, 44, 45

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>the Urban Growth Area, except as provided in policies R-326 and R-327.</u>	change existing agreements regarding school siting				
U-110 King County shall work with cities, especially those designated as Urban Centers, in collaborative efforts that result in transfers of development rights from the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands.</u>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
U-111 Development standards for urban ((areas)) <u>centers</u> should emphasize ways to allow maximum permitted densities and uses of urban land while not compromising the function of critical environmental areas. Mitigating measures should serve multiple purposes, such as drainage control, groundwater recharge, stream protection, air quality improvement, open space preservation, cultural and historic resource protection and landscaping preservation. When technically feasible, standards should be simple and measurable, so they can be implemented without lengthy review processes.	Edit to reflect that this is discussing the urban centers provisions	Clarifies the geography this applies to; this policy is in the urban centers part of the Plan	Yes	PRD	n/a
U-112 King County will work with cities, residents, and developers to design communities and development projects that employ techniques that reduce heat ((absorption)) <u>islands</u> throughout the community and the region.	Updated language to reflect current practices	Clarifies intent	Yes	PRD	55, 64
U-113 King County (( <del>should</del> )) <u>shall</u> promote children’s health by encouraging and supporting land uses in the environment surrounding a school and on travel routes to schools that complement and strengthen other formal programs, such as Safe Routes to School.	Strengthens existing KC vision to create health environments and communities around schools	Promotion of safe walking/bicycling to school can increase physical activity of youth	Yes	PRD	1, 9, 4, 8, 37, 75
U-114 Land use policies and regulations shall accommodate a growth target of approximately (( <del>12,470</del> )) <u>11,140</u> housing units and	Technical update to reflect effects of annexation; reflect	Updates numbers; continues to address emp.	Yes	PRD	13, 28, 106, 29

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
approximately <del>((9,060))</del> <b>6,810</b> jobs by 2031, established in the Countywide Planning Policies for the unincorporated portion of the Urban Growth Area.	role annexation plays in capacity	capacity shortfall in unincorp. urban			
<b>U-115</b> King County shall provide adequate land capacity for residential, commercial, industrial and other non-residential growth in the urban unincorporated area. <del>((This))</del> <u>As required under the Growth Management Act, this land capacity shall be calculated on a countywide basis and shall include both redevelopment opportunities as well as opportunities for development on vacant lands.</u> <sup>1</sup>	Updated language to clarify GMA requirements, per recent court rulings	Makes plan more consistent with GMA; greater consistency with county planning practices	Yes	PRD	1, 12, 11
<b>U-119</b> King County shall seek to achieve through future planning efforts, over the next twenty years, <u>including collaborative efforts with cities,</u> an average zoning density of at least eight homes per acre in the Urban Growth Area through a mix of densities and housing types. A lower density zone may be used to recognize existing subdivisions with little or no opportunity for infill or redevelopment.	Edit to clarify that meeting this goal will require significant densities in incorporated urban areas.	Clarifies intent and role for partner cities	Yes	PRD	19, 28
<b>U-120</b> King County should <del>((limit the application of))</del> <u>apply</u> the urban residential, low land use designation <u>in limited circumstances in the unincorporated urban areas in order to protect: floodplains, critical aquifer recharge areas, high function wetlands and unstable slopes from degradation, and the link these environmental features have to a network of open space, fish and wildlife habitat and urban separators. The residential density for land so designated should be maintained at one unit per acre, and lands that are sending sites under the Transfer of Development Rights Program may transfer</u>	Non-substantive edit; clarifies intent by fixing confusing language	Clarifies intent	Yes	PRD	n/a

<sup>1</sup>As amended by Ordinance 17687.

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>density at a rate of at least four units per acre.</p>					
<p><b>U-121</b>  <u>New multifamily housing should be built to the scale and design of the existing community or neighborhood, while contributing to an area-wide density and development pattern that supports transit and allows for a range of housing choices.</u> Multifamily housing in <del>((the Urban Growth Area))</del> <u>unincorporated urban areas</u> should be sited as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. In or next to unincorporated activity centers or next to community or neighborhood business centers;</li> <li>b. In mixed-use developments in centers and activity areas; and</li> <li>c. On small, scattered parcels integrated into existing urban residential areas. <del>((New multifamily housing should be built to the scale and design of the existing community or neighborhood, while contributing to an area-wide density and development pattern that supports transit and allows for a range of housing choices.))</del> Over time, zoning should encourage a larger proportion of multifamily housing to be located on small scattered sites rather than on larger sites.</li> </ul>	<p>Non-substantive movement of language from one portion of policy to front; edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control;</p>	<p>Clarifies intent</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>U-122</b>                      Land zoned for multifamily uses should be <u>allowed to be</u> converted to nonresidential zone categories only after new multifamily sites are identified and rezoned to replace the multifamily housing capacity lost due to the conversion.</p>	<p>Minor update to clarify intent conversion is allowed, not required or necessarily encouraged</p>	<p>Clarifies where policy applies</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>24, 34</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<b>U-122a</b> <b><u>King County King County should explore zoning policies and provisions and tools that increase housing density and affordable housing opportunities within unincorporated urban growth areas, near frequent transit, and near commercial areas.</u></b>	Policy framework for increasing housing density and affordability to accommodate growth, especially in growth areas, near frequent transit and as desired in sub-area plans.	Policy framework established for future legislation. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation as opportunities are presented.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	24, 32, 34, 4, 6, 8, 18, 24, 79
<b>U-123</b> <b>King County should apply minimum density requirements to all <u>unincorporated</u> urban residential zones of four or more homes per acre, except under limited circumstances such as the:</b> <b>a. Presence of significant physical constraints <u>such as those noted in policy U-120</u>, or</b> <b>b. Implementation of standards applied to a property through a property-specific development condition, special district overlay, or subarea ((plan)) <u>study</u>.</b>	Edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control;  Implementation of new Community Service Area Planning Program requires change in terminology for Comprehensive Plan Policy Required studies	Clarifies where policy applies  Public clarity regarding terminology	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	101
<b>U-124</b> <b>Requests for increases in density of <u>unincorporated</u> urban residential property zoned for one dwelling unit per acre shall be considered unless the property meets the criteria low land use designation in set forth in Policy U-120.</b>	Edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control;	Clarifies intent	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>U-125</b> <b>King County should support proposed zoning changes to increase density within the <u>unincorporated</u> Urban Area when consistent with the King County Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map and when the following conditions are present:</b> <b>a. The development will be compatible with the character and scale of the surrounding neighborhood;</b> <b>b. Urban public facilities and services are adequate, consistent with adopted levels of service and meet ((GMA)) <u>Growth</u></b>	Edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control; removes acronym  Grammatical edit to improve	Clarifies intent; public clarity  Improved readability and	Yes	PRD	n/a



I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
U-130	<p><b>Design features of mixed-use developments should include the following:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>Integration of the retail and/or office uses and residential units within the same building or on the same parcel;</b></li> <li>b. <b><del>((Ground))</del> <u>Quality and appropriate ground level spaces built to accommodate retail and office uses;</u></b></li> <li>c. <b>Off-street parking behind or to the side of the buildings, or enclosed within buildings; and</b></li> <li>d. <b>Opportunities to have safe, accessible pedestrian connections and bicycle facilities within the development and to adjacent residential developments.</b></li> </ul>	Edit expressing importance of quality development	Improving the livability of mixed use developments	Yes	PRD	19, , 20, 24, 33
U-131	<p><b>In a mixed-use development where residential and nonresidential uses are proposed in separate structures and the residential uses are proposed to be constructed prior to the nonresidential uses, <del>((the initial))</del> <u>permitting and development reviews</u> of the development should be through a process that ensures an integrated design.</b></p>	Edit to clarify that these decisions are needed at every stage of the development process, not just initial review	Clarifies intent for a holistic permitting process	Yes	PRD	17, 20, 24
U-132	<p><b>In a mixed-use development, incentives such as increases in residential density or floor area ratio should be used to encourage the inclusion of <u>well-designed and</u> accessible public gathering spaces in the site design.</b></p>	Edit to highlight the importance of design to ensure these are functional areas	Improving the livability of mixed use developments	Yes	PRD	19, , 20, 24, 33
U-132a	<p><b><u>King County shall allow and support the development of innovative community gardens and urban agriculture throughout the public realm of residential areas and commercial areas.</u></b></p>	Policy support for ESJ and transformation work, especially communities of opportunity and intersections with KC food initiative.	Allows for future legislation that may evolve from community food initiatives and sub-area plans. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation as opportunities	Yes	PRD	4, 6, 8, 18, 94, 96, 97

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<b>U-132b</b> <b>King County shall allow and support mixed-use food innovation districts, a district of food-related activities such as food retail, processing, distribution, business incubation and urban agriculture.</b>	Policy support for ESJ and transformation work, especially communities of opportunity and intersections with KC food initiative.	are presented.  Allows for future demonstration legislation that may evolve from community economic development/food initiatives and sub-area plans. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation as opportunities are presented.	Yes	PRD	18, 24
<b>U-133</b> <b>King County encourages innovative, quality infill development and redevelopment in existing <u>unincorporated</u> urban areas. A variety of regulatory, incentive and program strategies could be considered, including:</b> <b>a. Special development standards for infill sites;</b> <b>b. Assembly and resale of sites to providers of affordable and healthy housing;</b> <b>c. Impact mitigation fee structures that favor infill developments;</b> <b>d. Greater regulatory flexibility in allowing standards to be met using innovative techniques; ((and))</b> <b>e. <u>Coordination with incentive programs of cities affiliated to annex the area;</u></b> <b>f. <u>Green Building techniques that create sustainable development;</u></b> <b><u>and</u></b> <b>g. <u>Joint public/private loan guarantee pools.</u></b>	Edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control;  Edit to express the importance of working with affiliated cities  Recognizing importance of Green Building techniques	Clarifies intent	Yes	PRD	19, , 20
<b>U-135</b> <b>Urban residential neighborhood design should preserve historic</b>	Strengthen existing policy	Promotion of safe active	Yes	PRD	4, 8, 75

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>structures and natural ((characteristics)) features and neighborhood identity, while providing privacy, community space, and safety and mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists of all ages and abilities.</u>	regarding active transportation	transportation for broad group of residents			
<b>U-137</b> New urban residential developments should provide recreational space, community facilities and neighborhood circulation for pedestrians and bicyclists to increase opportunities for physical activity and ensure access to transit facilities where they exist or are planned.	Update to link land use and transportation	Support transit oriented development	Yes	PRD	4, 33
<b>U-139a</b> King County shall support policy and system changes that increase access to and affordable healthy foods in neighborhoods.	Policy support for ESJ and transformation work, especially communities of opportunity and intersections with KC food initiative.	Policy framework to support increased access to healthy foods in all communities.	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	4, 7, 18, 24, 8, 37, 4, 8, 18, 94, 96, 97
<b>U-139b</b> King County shall allow the creation of local improvement districts, such as public realm landscaping and maintenance assessment districts in residential neighborhoods, and shall create a process for establishing such districts.	Policy addition to allow for community-driven process to establish a local improvement district in which residents elect to tax themselves to improve the local built environment.	Allows for future demonstration legislation that may evolve from sub-area plans. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation as opportunities are presented.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	18, 24
<b>U-141</b> King County should support infill and redevelopment proposals in unincorporated urban areas that serve to improve the overall character of existing communities or neighborhoods. New development should consider the scale and character of existing buildings.	Edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control; edit to address importance of compatible design	Clarifies intent; incentive for annexation by building quality communities	Yes	PRD	20, 22, 33
<b>U-142</b> Residential developments within the Unincorporated Urban ((Growth)) Area, including mobile home parks, shall provide the	Edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control;	Clarifies intent; incentive for annexation by building	Yes	PRD	20, 22, 33

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><b>following improvements:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>Paved streets (and alleys if appropriate), curbs and sidewalks, and internal walkways when appropriate;</b></li> <li>b. <b>Adequate parking and consideration of access to transit activity centers and transit corridors;</b></li> <li>c. <b>Street lighting and street trees;</b></li> <li>d. <b>Stormwater treatment and control;</b></li> <li>e. <b>Public water supply;</b></li> <li>f. <b>Public sewers; and</b></li> <li>g. <b>Landscaping around the perimeter and parking areas of multifamily developments.</b></li> </ul> <p><b><u>To create sustainable neighborhoods, the design and construction quality of development in unincorporated urban areas should meet or exceed the quality in the neighboring cities.</u></b></p>	<p>edit to address importance of high quality urban development, KC standards should be as good as the cities that may annex these areas. This is one facet of the annexation strategy</p>	<p>quality communities</p>			
<p><b>U-143</b></p> <p><b>Common facilities such as recreation space, internal walkways that provide convenient and safe inter- and intra-connectivity, roads, parking (including secure bicycle parking), and solid waste and recycling areas <u>with appropriate levels of</u> landscaping should be included in multifamily developments. <u>Common facilities should be smoke-free to avoid exposure to environmental tobacco smoke.</u></b></p>	<p>Strengthening existing policy to take into account facilities needed to support bicycling and ensuring that residents have safe places to be outside of their homes and not exposed to tobacco, a leading cause of death. Consistent with BOH Title 19 (smoke free public areas)</p>	<p>Improving the livability of mixed use developments; Providing more health promoting environments</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>19, 20, 24, 33, 4, 75</p>
<p><b>U-146</b></p> <p><b>Recreation spaces located in residential developments in the Urban Area should include amenities such as play equipment, open grassy areas, barbecues, benches, <u>bicycle racks,</u> trails and picnic tables.</b></p>	<p>Strengthening existing policy to take into account facilities needed to support bicycling</p>	<p>Providing more health promoting environments and active transportation</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>19, 20, 24, 33, 4, 8, 75</p>

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
U-149	New facilities and businesses that draw from throughout the region, such as large retail uses, large public assembly facilities and institutions of higher education should locate in the Urban <u>Growth</u> Area.	Non-substantive fix to terminology	Public clarity	Yes	PRD	n/a
U-150	Unincorporated activity centers in urban areas should provide employment, housing, shopping, services and leisure-time amenities to meet the needs of the (( <del>regional</del> )) local economy. The mix of uses may include: a. Health, human service and public safety facilities; b. Retail stores and services; c. Professional offices; d. Business/office parks; e. Multifamily housing and mixed-use developments; f. Heavy commercial and industrial uses, when there is direct freeway or rail access; g. Light manufacturing; h. Parks and open space; and i. Farmers' Markets.	Edit to clarify the scope and scale of these areas –while large, unincorporated centers are local-serving; regional-serving commercial areas are in cities	Public clarity regarding respective roles of cities and counties	Yes	PRD	19, 20
U-152	King County may designate new unincorporated activity centers or expand existing unincorporated activity centers only through a subarea planning process that should address: a. The relationship of the entire center to its surrounding uses <u>including adjacent cities</u> ; b. Availability of supporting public services; c. The function of the center to other centers in the sub-region; d. The need for additional commercial and industrial development; e. The size and boundaries of the center; and	Edit to clarify the need to consider the relationship to an adjacent, particularly if there the area is affiliated for annexation	Clarifies intent; incentive for annexation by building quality communities	Yes	PRD	20, 22

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>f. Zoning.</p>					
<p><b>U-153</b> The size, uses and boundaries of unincorporated activity centers should be consistent with the following criteria: a. More than forty acres in size, excluding land needed for surface water management or protection of environmentally critical areas; b. Retail space based on the amount of residential development planned for the surrounding area to provide for community and <del>((regional))</del> local shopping needs; and c. Retail space should not exceed sixty acres and 600,000 square feet unless it is served by direct freeway access by a principal or minor arterial and is well served by transit.</p>	<p>Edit to clarify the scope and scale of these areas –while large, unincorporated centers are local-serving; regional-serving commercial areas are in cities</p>	<p>Public clarity regarding respective roles of cities and counties</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>19, 20</p>
<p><b>U-154</b> Design features of unincorporated activity centers should include the following: a. Safe and attractive walkways and bicycle <del>((lanes))</del> facilities for all ages and abilities with access to each major destination including schools, community centers and commercial areas; b. Buildings close to sidewalks to promote walking and access to transit; c. Compact design with close grouping of compatible uses; d. Off-street parking in multistory structures located to the side or rear of buildings or underground; e. Public art; f. Public spaces, such as plazas and building atriums; g. Retention of attractive natural features, historic buildings and established character; h. Aesthetic design and compatibility with adjacent uses through</p>	<p>Strengthen existing policy regarding active transportation</p>	<p>Promotion of safe active transportation for a wider group of residents</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 8, 75</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>setbacks, building orientation, landscaping and traffic control;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Screening of unsightly views, such as heavy machinery, outdoor storage areas, loading docks and parking areas from the view of adjacent uses and from arterials; and</li> <li>j. Signs should be regulated to reduce glare and other adverse visual impacts on nearby residences, without limiting their potential contribution to the color and character of the center.</li> </ul>					
<p><b>U-158</b></p> <p>In the White Center Unincorporated Activity Center, new major residential developments should include low-impact design features and should promote public health by increasing opportunities for physical activity in daily life. The development should include: safe walkways and bicycle facilities <u>for all ages and abilities</u> with access to commercial areas, schools, and community facilities; trails; and pocket parks.</p>	<p>Strengthen existing policy regarding active transportation</p>	<p>Promotion of safe active transportation for a wider group of residents</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 8, 75</p>
<p><b>U-159</b></p> <p>Community business centers in the urban areas should provide primarily shopping and personal services for nearby residents. Offices and multifamily housing are also encouraged. Industrial and heavy commercial uses should be excluded. Community business centers should include the following mix of uses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Retail stores and services;</li> <li>b. Professional offices;</li> <li>c. Community and human services;</li> <li>d. Multifamily housing as part of a mixed-use development, with residential densities of at least 12 units per acre when well served by transit; and</li> <li>e. Stands or small outlets that offer fresh <u>and affordable</u> fruit and produce and locally produced value-added food products.</li> </ul>	<p>Strengthen existing policy by inclusion of a focus on affordability. Consistent with Local Food Initiative</p>	<p>Integrates the need for a mix of options to increase access to healthy and affordable food</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 6, 37</p>

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
U-160	Designated community business centers are shown on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map. Expansion of existing or designation of new community business centers shall be permitted only through a subarea <del>((planning process))</del> <u>study</u> . Redevelopment and infill development of existing community business centers is encouraged.	Implementation of new Community Service Area Planning Program requires change in terminology for Comprehensive Plan Policy Required studies  Edit recognizing importance of "infill" development	Public clarity regarding terminology  Fixes omission on this planning tool	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	101
U-163	Design features of community business centers should include the following: a. Safe and attractive walkways and bicycle <del>((lanes))</del> <u>facilities including secure bicycle parking</u> ; b. Close grouping of stores; c. Off-street parking behind or to the side of buildings, or enclosed within buildings; d. Public art; e. Retention of attractive natural features, historic buildings and established character; f. Landscaping, which may include planters and street trees; g. Appropriate signage; h. Public seating areas; and i. Architectural features that provide variation between buildings or contiguous storefronts.	Strengthen existing policy regarding active transportation	Promotion of safe active transportation for a wider group of residents	Yes	PRD	4, 8, 75
U-165	Designated neighborhood business centers are shown on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map. Expansion of existing or the designation of new neighborhood business centers shall only be	Implementation of new Community Service Area Planning Program requires	Public clarity regarding terminology	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	101

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>permitted through a subarea <del>((planning process))</del> study.  <b>Redevelopment and infill development</b> of existing neighborhood business centers is encouraged.</p>	<p>change in terminology for Comprehensive Plan Policy Required studies</p> <p>Edit recognizing importance of "infill" development</p>	<p>Fixes omission on this planning tool</p>			
<p><b>U-168</b></p> <p><b>Design features of neighborhood business centers should include the following:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>Safe and attractive walkways and bicycle facilities <u>including secure bicycle parking</u>;</b></li> <li>b. <b>Close grouping of stores;</b></li> <li>c. <b>Off-street parking behind or to the side of buildings, or enclosed within buildings;</b></li> <li>d. <b>Public art;</b></li> <li>e. <b>Retention of attractive natural features, historic buildings or established character;</b></li> <li>f. <b>Landscaping, which may include planters and street trees;</b></li> <li>g. <b>Appropriate signage;</b></li> <li>h. <b>Public seating areas; and</b></li> <li>i. <b>Architectural features that provide variation between buildings or contiguous storefronts.</b></li> </ul>	<p>Strengthen existing policy regarding active transportation</p>	<p>Promotion of safe active transportation for a wider group of residents</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 8, 75</p>
<p><b>U-169</b></p> <p><b>Stand-alone commercial developments legally established outside designated centers in the <u>Unincorporated</u> Urban <del>((Growth))</del> Area may be recognized with the CO designation and appropriate commercial zoning, including any identified potential zoning classification. An action to implement a potential zoning classification shall not require a detailed subarea <del>((plan))</del> study, if the current CO designation is to</b></p>	<p>Edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control;</p> <p>Implementation of new Community Service Area</p>	<p>Clarifies where policy applies</p> <p>Public clarity regarding terminology</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p> <p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>101</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
remain unchanged. When more detailed subarea plans are prepared, these developments may be designated as centers and allowed to grow if appropriate, or may be encouraged to redevelop consistent with the residential density and design policies of the comprehensive plan.	Planning Program requires change in terminology for Comprehensive Plan Policy Required studies				
U-170 The CO designation may be applied as a transitional designation in Potential Annexation Areas identified in a signed memorandum of understanding between a city and the county for areas with a mix of urban uses and zoning in order to facilitate the joint planning effort directed by the memorandum of understanding. Zoning to implement this transitional designation should recognize the mix of existing and planned uses. No zone changes to these properties to allow other nonresidential uses, or zone changes to allow expansion of existing nonresidential uses onto other properties, should occur unless or until a subarea (( <del>planning process</del> )) study with the city is completed.	Implementation of new Community Service Area Planning Program requires change in terminology for Comprehensive Plan Policy Required studies	Public clarity regarding terminology	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	101
U-171 Commercial, retail and industrial developments <u>in the Unincorporated Urban Area</u> should foster community, create enjoyable outdoor areas and balance needs of automobile movement with pedestrian and bicycle mobility and safety. Commercial and industrial developments shall provide the following improvements: a. Paved streets; b. Sidewalks and bicycle ( <del>lanes</del> ) <u>facilities for all ages and abilities</u> in commercial and retail areas; c. Adequate parking for employees and business users <u>including secure bicycle parking</u> ;	Edit to clarify this policy refers to lands under county control; edit to support multimodal transportation facilities, edit to address importance of high quality urban development, KC standards should be as good as the cities that may annex these areas. This is one facet of the annexation strategy	Clarifies intent; promote public health; incentive for annexation by building quality communities; Promotion of safe active transportation for a wider group of residents	Yes	PRD	4, 20, 22, 33, 8, 75

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>d. Landscaping along or within streets, sidewalks and parking areas to provide an attractive appearance; e. Adequate stormwater control, including curbs, gutters and stormwater retention facilities; f. Public water supply; g. Public sewers; and h. Controlled traffic access to arterials and intersections. <u>To create sustainable neighborhoods, the design and construction quality of development in unincorporated urban areas should meet or exceed the quality in the neighboring cities.</u></p>					
<p><b>U-171a</b> <u>Common facilities such as shared streets, walkways, waste disposal and recycling facilities with appropriate levels of landscaping should be included in commercial developments.</u></p>	<p>Edit for consistency with residential development and provided more coherent design standards to commercial areas</p>	<p>Improved quality of commercial development; incentive for annexation</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>20, 22, 33</p>
<p><b>U-172</b> <u>Within the ((UGA)) Urban Growth Area, but outside unincorporated activity centers, properties with existing industrial uses shall be protected. The county may use tools such as special district overlays to identify them for property owners and residents of surrounding neighborhoods.</u></p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>U-176</b> <u>Sites for potential new Urban Planned Developments (((UPDs))) may be designated within the established Urban Growth Area to realize mutual benefits for the public and the property owner. Two ((UPD)) Urban Planned Developments areas have been designated by the county: the Bear Creek ((UPD)) Urban Planned Development area, comprised of the Redmond Ridge (formerly known as Northridge) ((UPD)) Urban Planned Development, the Trilogy at Redmond Ridge (formerly known as Blakely Ridge) ((UPD)) Urban Planned</u></p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><u>Development</u>, and the <del>((proposed))</del> Redmond Ridge East <del>((UPD))</del> <u>Urban Planned Development</u>; and Cougar Mountain Village <del>((UPD))</del> <u>Urban Planned Development</u>. Future <del>((UPD))</del> <u>Urban Planned Development</u> sites in the Urban Growth Area shall be designated through a subarea planning process, or through a comprehensive plan amendment initiated by the property owner.</p>					
<p><b>U-178</b> King County has established a Fully Contained Community. This one area is designated through this plan and is shown on the Land Use Map as the urban planned community of the Bear Creek <del>((UPD))</del> <u>Urban Planned Development</u> area comprised of Trilogy at Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge, and Redmond Ridge East Urban Planned Development sites. Nothing in these policies shall affect the continued validity of the approved Urban Planned Development permits for these sites. This <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u> designation may be implemented by separate or coordinated <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u> permits.</p>	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<p><b>U-179</b> The population, household, and employment growth targets and allocations for the county's <del>((UGA))</del> <u>Urban Growth Area</u> in this plan include the Bear Creek <del>((UPD))</del> <u>Urban Planned Development</u> area. Accordingly, the requirements in <u>Revised Code of Washington</u> 36.70A.350(2) that the county reserve a portion of the 20-year population projection for allocation to new Fully Contained Communities has been satisfied.</p>	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<p><b>U-180</b> The review and approval process for a Fully Contained Community <del>((FCC))</del> permit shall be the same as that for an Urban Planned Development <del>((UPD))</del> permit, except the following additional criteria shall be met, pursuant to the provisions of RCW 36.70A.350:</p>	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>a. New infrastructure (including transportation and utilities infrastructure) is provided for and impact fees are established and imposed on the <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u> consistent with the requirements of RCW 82.02.050;</p> <p>b. Transit-oriented site planning and traffic demand management programs are implemented in the <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u>. Pedestrian, bicycle, and high occupancy vehicle facilities are given high priority in design and management of the <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u>;</p> <p>c. Buffers are provided between the <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u> and adjacent non-<del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u> areas. Perimeter buffers located within the perimeter boundaries of the <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u> delineated boundaries, consisting of either landscaped areas with native vegetation or natural areas, shall be provided and maintained to reduce impacts on adjacent lands;</p> <p>d. A mix of uses is provided to offer jobs, housing, and services to the residents of the new <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u>. No particular percentage formula for the mix of uses should be required. Instead, the mix of uses for a <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u> should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, in light of the geography, market demand area, demographics, transportation patterns, and other relevant factors affecting the proposed <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u>. Service uses in the <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u> may also serve residents outside the <del>((FCC))</del> <u>Fully Contained Community</u>, where appropriate;</p>					

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>e. Affordable housing is provided within the new ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u> for a broad range of income levels, including housing affordable by households with income levels below and near the median income for King County;</p> <p>f. Environmental protection has been addressed and provided for in the new ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u>, at levels at least equivalent to those imposed by adopted King County environmental regulations;</p> <p>g. Development regulations are established to ensure urban growth will not occur in adjacent nonurban areas. Such regulations shall include but are not limited to: rural zoning of adjacent Rural Areas; ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u> permit conditions requiring sizing of ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u> water and sewer systems so as to ensure urban growth will not occur in adjacent nonurban areas; and/or ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u> permit conditions prohibiting connection by property owners in the adjacent Rural Area (except public school sites) to the ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u> sewer and water mains or lines;</p> <p>h. Provision is made to mitigate impacts of the ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u> on designated agricultural lands, forest lands, and mineral resource lands; and</p> <p>i. The plan for the new ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u> is consistent with the development regulations established for the protection of critical areas by King County pursuant to RCW 36.70A.170.</p> <p>For purposes of evaluating a ((FCC)) <u>Fully Contained Community</u></p>					

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>permit the following direction is provided: The term "fully contained" is not intended to prohibit all interaction between a ((FCG)) Fully Contained Community and adjacent lands but to limit impacts on adjacent lands and contain them within the development site as much as possible. "Fully contained" should be achieved through the imposition of development conditions that limit impacts on adjacent and nearby lands and do not increase pressures on adjacent lands for urban development. "Fully contained" is not intended to mandate that all utilities and public services needed by an urban population both start and end within the property (since sewer, water, power, and roads, are of such a nature that the origin and/or outfall cannot reasonably exist within the property boundaries), but that the costs and provisions for those utilities and public services that are generated primarily by the ((FCG)) Fully Contained Community (schools, police, parks, employment, retail needs) be reasonably accommodated within its boundaries and not increase pressure for more urban development on adjacent properties.</p>					
<p><b>U-185</b> Through the Four-to-One Program, King County shall actively pursue dedication of open space along the original Urban Growth Area line adopted in the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan. Through this program, one acre of Rural Area <u>zoned</u> land may be added to the Urban Growth Area in exchange for a dedication to King County of four acres of permanent open space. Land added to the Urban Growth Area for <del>((naturally appearing))</del> drainage facilities <u>that are designed as mitigation to have a natural looking visual appearance in support of its development, does not require dedication of</u></p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p> <p>Edit to clarify that "naturally appearing" means the visual appearance is natural, not that the facility appeared naturally</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p> <p>Public clarity</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p> <p>Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><b>permanent open space.</b></p>					
<p><b>U-189</b> Land added to the Urban Growth Area under the Four-to-One Program shall have a minimum density of four dwellings per acre and shall be physically contiguous to the original Urban Growth Area, unless there are limitations due to the presence of critical areas, and shall be able to be served by sewers and other efficient urban services and facilities; provided that such sewer and other urban services and facilities shall be provided directly from the urban area and shall not cross the open space or <del>((rural-area))</del> <u>Rural Area</u>. Drainage facilities to support the urban development shall be located within the urban portion of the development. In some cases, lands must meet affordable housing requirements under this program. The total area added to the Urban Growth Area as a result of this policy shall not exceed 4,000 acres.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>U-190</b> King County shall amend the Urban Growth Area to add <del>((rural lands))</del> <u>Rural Area lands</u> to the <del>((UGA))</del> <u>Urban Growth Area</u> consistent with Policy U-185 during the annual comprehensive plan amendment process. Open space dedication shall occur at final formal plat recording. If the applicant decides not to pursue urban development or fails to record the final plat prior to expiration of preliminary plat approval, the urban properties shall be restored to a <del>((rural))</del> <u>Rural Area zoning and/or land use</u> designation during the next annual review of the King County Comprehensive Plan.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>U-191</b> <u>King County shall collaborate with all Eastside Rail Corridor owners, adjacent and neighboring jurisdictions, and other interested and affected parties in support of achieving the vision for the corridor.</u></p>	<p>Reference adopted plans</p>	<p>More clarify on planning activities</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>16, 63, 72, 84</p>
<p><b>U-192</b> <u>King County shall identify and implement actions that support</u></p>	<p>Reference adopted plans</p>	<p>More clarify on planning</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>16, 63, 72,</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>development of the corridor to achieve the multiple objectives of the vision, including property management and maintenance, service and capital planning and improvements, community and stakeholder engagement, securing funding to implement priority activities, and other actions.</u>		activities			84
<b>U-193</b> <u>King County shall work within all appropriate planning venues and processes to integrate the corridor into land use plans, transportation system plans, trail system plans, utility plans, and other plans, including significant capital projects or plans that affect and relate to achieving the envisioned multiple objectives.</u>	Reference adopted plans	More clarify on planning activities	Yes	PRD	16, 63, 72, 84
<b>U-201</b> In order to meet the Growth Management Act and the regionally adopted Countywide Planning Policies goal of becoming a regional service provider for all county residents and a local service provider in the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u> , King County shall encourage annexation of the remaining urban unincorporated area. The county may also act as a contract service provider where mutually beneficial.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<b>U-201a</b> <u>In all urban unincorporated areas, King County shall consider equity and social justice in its planning, project development, and service delivery approach.</u>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens focus from evaluating to implementing	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102
<b>U-202</b> To help create an environment that is supportive of annexations, King County shall work with cities and with <del>((Unincorporated Area Councils))</del> , neighborhood groups, local business organizations, public service providers and other stakeholders on annexation-related activities to <u>move the remaining urban islands</u>	Remove reference to UACs; Reiterate goal of having the remaining 100+ unincorporated urban areas annexations	Expression of intent and roles of varying parties	Yes	PRD	19, 20, 21

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><u>towards annexation by the city most appropriate to serve it.</u> King County will also seek changes at the state level that would facilitate annexation of urban unincorporated areas.</p>					
<p><b>U-203</b> The Potential Annexation Areas Map adopted by the Growth Management Planning Council illustrates city-designated potential annexation areas (PAAs), contested areas (where more than one city claims a PAA), and those few areas that are unclaimed by any city. For contested areas, the county should attempt to help resolve the matter, or to enter into an interlocal agreement with each city for the purpose of bringing the question of annexation before voters. For unclaimed areas, King County should work with adjacent cities and service providers to develop a mutually agreeable strategy and time frame for annexation. <u>For areas affiliated with a city for annexation, King County should proactively use the tools at its disposal to support annexations.</u></p>	<p>Reiterate goal of having the remaining 100+ unincorporated urban areas annexations; edit address the third category of areas – those that are affiliated</p>	<p>Expression of intent and roles of varying parties</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>19, 20, 21</p>
<p><b>U-207</b> King County shall work with cities to develop pre-annexation <u>or annexation interlocal</u> agreements to address the transition of services from the county to the annexing cities. The development of such agreements should include a public outreach process to include but not be limited to residents and property owners in the PAAs, as well as residents and property owners in the surrounding areas. <del>((Pre-annexation))</del> <u>Such</u> agreements may address a range of considerations, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Establishing a financing partnership between the county, city and other service providers to address needed infrastructure;</li> <li>b. Providing reciprocal notification of development proposals in PAAs, and opportunities to identify and/or provide mitigation</li> </ul>	<p>Reiterate goal of having the remaining 100+ unincorporated urban areas annexations; edit address provision of policy relate to interlocal agreements</p>	<p>Expression of intent and roles of varying parties</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>19, 20, 21</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>associated with such development;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c. Supporting the city's desire, to the extent possible, to be the designated sewer or water service provider within the PAA, where this can be done without harm to the integrity of existing systems and without significantly increasing rates;</li> <li>d. Assessing the feasibility and/or desirability of reverse contracting in order for the city to provide local services on the county's behalf prior to annexation, as well as the feasibility and/or desirability of the county continuing to provide some local services on a contract basis after annexation;</li> <li>e. Exploring the feasibility of modifying development, concurrency and infrastructure design standards prior to annexation, when a specific and aggressive annexation timeline is being pursued;</li> <li>f. Assessing which county-owned properties and facilities should be transferred to city control, and the conditions under which such transfers should take place;</li> <li>g. Transitioning county employees to city employment where appropriate;</li> <li>h. Ensuring that land use plans for the annexation area are consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies with respect to planning for urban densities and efficient land use patterns; provision of urban services, affordable housing, and transportation; the protection of critical areas; and the long-term protection of urban separators;</li> <li>i. Continuing equivalent protection of cultural resources, and county landmarks and historic resources listed on the King County Historic Resource Inventory;</li> </ul>					

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>j. Maintaining existing equestrian facilities and establishing equestrian linkages; and</p> <p>k. Establishing a timeline for service transitions and for the annexation.</p>					
<p><b>U-208</b></p> <p><b>King County (<del>shall consider initiating new subarea</del>) will engage in joint planning processes for the urban unincorporated areas (<del>to assess the feasibility of</del>) in tandem with the annexing city upon a commitment from the city to annex through an interlocal agreement. Such planning may consider land use tools such as:</b></p> <p><b>a. traditional subarea plans or areawide rezoning;</b></p> <p><b>b. allowing additional commercial, (<del>industrial</del>) and high-density residential development through the application of new zoning;</b></p> <p><b>c. Transfers of Development Rights that add units to new development projects; and</b></p> <p><b>d. application of collaborative and innovative development approaches.</b></p> <p><b><u>King County will work through the Growth Management Planning Council to develop a plan to move the remaining unincorporated urban potential annexation areas towards annexation.</u></b></p>	<p>Reiterate goal of having the remaining 100+ unincorporated urban areas annexations; edit addresses joint planning tools, including utilizing city development standards; removes industrial which would be inappropriate for the PAAs</p>	<p>Promotes working with jurisdictions affiliated for annexation</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>19, 20, 21</p>
<p>CHAPTER 3 RURAL AREAS AND NATURAL RESOURCE LANDS</p>					
<p><b>R-101</b></p> <p><b>King County will continue to preserve and sustain its rural legacy and communities through programs and partnerships that support, preserve, and sustain its historic, cultural, ecological, agricultural, forestry, and mining heritage through collaboration with local and regional preservation and heritage programs, <u>community groups,</u></b></p>	<p>This change reflects comment received from the Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council that King County needs to be more inclusive in</p>	<p>The addition of this reflects how the County is operating and will have no effect on operations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>7, 8, 44</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>rural residents and business owners including forest and farm owners, rural communities, towns, and cities, and other interested stakeholders.</u>	outreach efforts. The policy is intended to articulate that the County will collaborate with a broad range of stakeholders in making policy.				
R-102 King County will continue to support the diversity and richness of its rural communities and their distinct character by working with its rural constituencies <del>((and the unincorporated area councils and))</del> through its Community Service Areas program to sustain and enhance the rural character of <del>((rural and resource lands))</del> <u>Rural Area Zoned Land, Natural Resource Lands, Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers, and Rural Towns.</u>	Edit to reflect cessation Language edited to reflect the change in the relationship of the of UACs to the County and the transition to the current CSA program.  Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	change reflects the County's current relationship with the UACs and transition to the CSA program.  Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	44,  11
R-201 It is a fundamental objective of the King County Comprehensive Plan to maintain the character of its designated Rural Area. <del>The ((GMA)) Growth Management Act</del> specifies the rural element of comprehensive plans include measures that apply to rural development and protect the rural character of the area (RCW 36.70A.070 (5)). <del>The ((GMA)) Growth Management Act</del> defines rural character as it relates to land use and development patterns (RCW 36.70A.030 (15)). This definition can be found in the Glossary of this Plan. Rural development can consist of a variety of uses that are consistent with the preservation of rural character and the requirements of the rural element. In order to implement <del>((GMA))</del>	1. Clarifying language – change eliminates use of an acronym and clarifies intent of King County land use regulations with respect to the rural area.  2. PSB Edit consistent with Rural Economic Strategy, including edits per request of GMVUAC.	Effect: 1. Clarifying language, no effect. 2. Making Plan consistent with Rural Economic Strategy, no effect. 3. Addition of Sub-i. Potential effect of limiting siting of urban serving facilities in urban areas.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	1, 45, 46,  90

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><b><u>Growth Management Act</u>, it is necessary to define the development patterns that are considered rural, historical or traditional and do not encourage urban growth or create pressure for urban facilities and service.</b></p> <p>Therefore, King County’s land use regulations and development standards shall protect and enhance the following <del>((components of))</del> <b><u>attributes associated with a rural lifestyle ((the)) and the Rural Area:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The natural environment, particularly as evidenced by the health of wildlife and fisheries (especially salmon and trout), aquifers used for potable water, surface water bodies including Puget Sound and natural drainage systems and their riparian corridors;</li> <li>b. Commercial and noncommercial farming, forestry, fisheries, mining, <u>home-occupations</u> and <del>((cottage))</del> <u>home</u> industries;</li> <li>c. Historic resources, historical character and continuity <u>important to local ((, including)) communities, as well as</u> archaeological and cultural sites important to tribes;</li> <li>d. Community small-town atmosphere, safety, and locally owned small businesses;</li> <li>e. Economically and fiscally healthy Rural Towns and Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers with clearly defined identities compatible with adjacent rural, agricultural, forestry and mining uses;</li> <li>f. Regionally significant parks, trails and open space;</li> <li>g. A variety of low-density housing choices compatible with adjacent farming, forestry and mining and not needing urban</li> </ul>	<p>3.Sub-i is added Edit for consistency with other policies addressing similar issue. Also added as requested by GMVUAC and the Green Valley Lake Holmes Association.</p>				

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>facilities and services; and h. Traditional rural land uses of a size and scale that blend with historic rural development((-)); and i. <u>Rural uses that do not include urban or largely urban-serving facilities.</u></p>					
<p>R-202 The Rural Area designations shown on the King County Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map include areas that are rural in character and meet one or more of the following criteria: a. Opportunities exist for significant commercial or noncommercial farming and forestry (large-scale farms and forest lands are designated as Resource Lands); b. The area will help buffer nearby <u>Natural</u> Resource Lands from conflicting urban uses; c. The area is contiguous to other lands in the Rural Area, Resource Lands or large, predominantly environmentally critical areas; d. There are major physical barriers to providing urban services at reasonable cost, or such areas will help foster more logical boundaries for urban public services and infrastructure; e. The area is not needed for the foreseeable future that is well beyond the 20-year forecast period to provide capacity for population or employment growth; f. The area has outstanding scenic, historic, environmental, resource or aesthetic values that can best be protected by a Rural Area designation; or g. Significant environmental constraints make the area generally unsuitable for intensive urban development.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
R 204	<p>Farming and forestry are vital to the preservation of rural King County and should be encouraged throughout the Rural Area. King County should encourage the retention of existing and establishment of new rural resource based uses, with appropriate site management that protects habitat resources. King County’s regulation of farming, keeping of livestock, and forestry in the Rural Area should be consistent with these guiding principles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Homeowner covenants for new subdivisions and short subdivisions in the Rural Area should not restrict farming and forestry;</li> <li>b. Development regulations for resource based activities should be tailored to support the resource use and its level of impact;</li> <li>c. Agricultural and silvicultural management practices should not be construed as public nuisances when carried <u>on</u> in compliance with applicable regulations, even though they may impact nearby residences; and</li> <li>d. County environmental standards for forestry and agriculture should protect environmental quality, especially in relation to water and fisheries resources, while encouraging forestry and farming.</li> </ul>	Change adds a word that was omitted from the policy.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
R-207	<p>Rural Forest Focus Areas are identified geographic areas where special efforts are necessary and feasible to maintain forest cover and the practice of sustainable forestry. King County shall target funding, when available, new economic incentive programs, regulatory actions, <u>fee and easement acquisition strategies</u> and</p>	Clarifying language – addition of references to fee and easement acquisition strategies makes explicit that this a significant tool available for forest preservation.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>additional technical assistance to the Rural Forest Focus Areas. Strategies specific to each Rural Forest Focus Area shall be developed, employing the combination of incentive and technical assistance programs best suited to each focus area.</p>					
<p><b>R-208</b> The Rural Forest Focus Areas should be maintained in parcels of 20 acres or more in order to retain large, contiguous blocks of rural forest. Regulations <u>and/or</u> incentives should seek to achieve a maximum density of one home per 20 acres.</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>R-209</b> <del>(The county)</del> <u>King County</u> should develop incentives to encourage agricultural activities in the remaining prime farmlands located outside the Agricultural Production District. These incentives could include tax credits, expedited permit review, reduced permit fees, permit exemptions for activities complying with best management practices, assistance with agricultural waste management or similar programs.</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>R-213</b> Soft-surface multiple-use trails in corridors separate from road rights-of-way are the preferred option for equestrian travel for safety reasons and to avoid conflicts with residential activities associated with the street. Existing off-road trails should be preserved during site development, with relocation as appropriate to accommodate development while maintaining trail connections. The King County Road Design and Construction Standards will accommodate safe equestrian travel within road rights-of-way. Where appropriate, capital improvement programs for transportation and park facilities shall also enable the use of new facilities by equestrians. Construction standards for multiple-use nonmotorized trails to be established in road rights-of-way within the Rural Area should</p>	<p>Clarifying language – change reflects current DOT standard</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>assure a minimum eight-foot-wide gravel shoulder on arterial roads and <del>((4.5))</del> <u>4.0</u> foot gravel shoulder on local access roads, or provide a trail separated from the driving lanes by a ditch or other barrier. Construction standards for soft-surface multiple-use nonmotorized trails in corridors separate from road rights-of-way shall be consistent with current trail construction and maintenance practices as promulgated by the U.S. Forest Service.</p>					
<p><b>R-214</b> King County's land use regulations should protect rural equestrian community trails by supporting preservation of equestrian trail links in the Rural Area and within the Agricultural and Forest Production District. Representatives of the equestrian community should be given the opportunity to review and monitor regulatory and policy actions by King County, such as <del>((rural area))</del> <u>Rural Area</u> development regulations, that have the potential to affect equestrian trails.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>R-301</b> A low growth rate is desirable for the Rural Area, including Rural Towns <u>and Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers</u>, to comply with the State Growth Management Act, continue preventing sprawl and the overburdening of rural services, reduce the need for capital expenditures for rural roads, maintain rural character, protect the environment and reduce transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions. All possible tools may be used to limit growth in the Rural Area. Appropriate tools include land use designations, development regulations, level of service standards and incentives.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA; also, fixes omission from original policy</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>R-303</b> The Rural Area <u>zoned properties</u> should have low residential densities that can be sustained by minimal infrastructure improvements such as septic systems and rural roads, cause</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land,</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
minimal environmental degradation and impacts to significant historic resources, and that will not cumulatively create the future necessity or expectation of urban levels of services.	Cities in Rural Area, per GMA				
<b>R-304</b> Rural area <u>zoned</u> residential densities shall be applied in accordance with R-305 – R-309. Individual zone reclassifications are discouraged and should not be allowed in the Rural Area. Property owners seeking individual zone reclassifications should demonstrate compliance with R-305 – R-309.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<b>R-309</b> The RA-2.5 zone has generally been applied to <del>((rural areas))</del> <u>Rural Areas</u> with an existing pattern of lots below five acres in size that were created prior to the adoption of the 1994 Comprehensive Plan. These smaller lots may still be developed individually or combined, provided that applicable standards for sewage disposal, environmental protection, water supply, roads and rural fire protection can be met. A subdivision at a density of one home per 2.5 acres shall only be permitted through the transfer of development rights from property in the designated Rural Forest Focus Areas. The site receiving the density must be approved as a Transfer of Development Rights receiving site in accordance with the King County Code. Properties on Vashon-Maury Islands shall not be eligible as receiving sites.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<b>R-312</b> As an innovative means to permanently preserve private lands with countywide public benefit, to encourage higher densities in urban areas and reduce residential development capacity in Rural Area and <u>Natural</u> Resource Lands, King County shall continue to operate an effective TDR Program.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<b>R-313</b> The purpose of the TDR Program is to reduce development potential	Edits for consistent use of	Internal consistency and	Yes	PRD	11

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>in the Rural Area and designated <u>Natural</u> Resource Lands, and its priority is to encourage the transfer of development rights from private rural ((lands)) properties into the Urban Growth Area.</p>	<p>terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>consistency with GMA</p>			
<p><b>R-314</b> King County supports and shall work actively to facilitate the transfer of Rural Area and <u>Natural</u> Resource Lands development rights to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Preserve the rural environment, encourage retention of resource-based uses and reduce service demands;</li> <li>b. Provide permanent protection to significant natural resources;</li> <li>c. Increase the regional open space system;</li> <li>d. Maintain low density development in the Rural Area and <u>Natural</u> Resource Lands;</li> <li>e. Steer development growth inside the Urban Growth Area in ways that promote quality urban neighborhoods where residents want to work and live; and</li> <li>f. Provide mitigation for the impacts of urban development on global climate change by simultaneously reducing transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions and sequestering carbon through retention of forest cover <u>and conserving agricultural lands through zoning, land use planning, transfer of development rights and similar tools.</u></li> </ul>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p> <p>Updates to reflect goals in Strategic Climate Action Plan</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p> <p>Updated language regarding climate mitigation</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11, 3, 15</p>
<p><b>R-315</b> To promote transfers of development rights, King County shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Facilitate transfers from private property owners with sending sites to property owners with receiving sites;</li> <li>b. Operate the King County TDR Bank to facilitate the TDR market and bridge the time gap between willing sellers and buyers of</li> </ul>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>TDRs through buying, holding, and selling transferable development rights;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c. Work with cities to develop interlocal agreements that encourage transfers of development rights from Rural <u>Areas</u> and <u>Natural Resource Lands ((lands))</u> into cities;</li> <li>d. Work with cities regarding annexation areas where TDRs are likely to be used;</li> <li>e. Work with communities and seek funding and other means to provide public amenities to enhance the livability of incorporated and unincorporated area neighborhoods accepting increased densities through TDR; and</li> <li>f. Work with the Washington State Department of Commerce, PSRC, and King County cities to implement Washington State Regional TDR legislation.</li> </ul>					
<p>R-316 Eligible sending sites shall be lands designated on the King County Comprehensive Plan land use map as Rural Area (<u>RA-2.5, RA-5, RA-10, and RA-20</u>), Agriculture (A), Forestry (F), and Urban Separator, and shall provide permanent land protection to create a significant public benefit. Priority sending sites are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Lands in Rural Forest Focus Areas;</li> <li>b. Lands adjacent to the Urban Growth Area boundary;</li> <li>c. Lands contributing to the protection of endangered and threatened species;</li> <li>d. Lands that are suitable for inclusion in and provide important links to the regional open space system;</li> <li>e. Agricultural and Forest Production District lands;</li> <li>f. Intact shorelines of Puget Sound; or</li> </ul>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>g. Lands identified as important according to the Washington State Department of Ecology’s Watershed Characterization analyses.</p>					
<p>R-317</p> <p>For transfer of development rights purposes only, qualified sending sites are allocated development rights as follows:</p> <p>a. <u>Sending sites in the Rural Area zoned RA-2.5 shall be allocated one TDR for every two and one-half acres of gross land area</u></p> <p>b. <u>Sending sites with Rural Area (RA-5, RA-10, and RA-20) or Agricultural zoning shall be allocated one TDR for every five acres of gross land area;</u></p> <p><del>(b-)</del> c. <u>Sending sites with Forest zoning shall be allocated one TDR for every eighty acres of gross land area;</u></p> <p><del>(c-)</del> d. <u>Sending sites with Urban Separator land use designation shall be allocated four TDRs for every one acre of gross land area;</u></p> <p><del>(d-)</del> e. <u>If a sending site has an existing dwelling or retains one or more development rights for future use, the gross acreage shall be reduced in accordance with the site’s zoning base density for the purposes of TDR allocation; and</u></p> <p><del>(e-)</del> f. <u>King County shall provide bonus TDRs to sending sites in the Rural Area as follows:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>The sending site is a vacant RA zoned property and is no larger than one-half the size requirement of the base density for the zone; and</u></li> <li>2. <u>The sending site is a RA zoned property and is located on a shoreline of the state and has a shoreline designation of conservancy or natural.</u></li> </ol>	<p>TDR edit clarifies existing program; this edit is necessitated by the following edit.</p> <p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Edit to clarify existing program, necessitated by the following edit.</p> <p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
<b>R-319a</b>	<b><u>King County should designate urban unincorporated areas as TDR receiving sites for short subdivisions. Use of TDRs in formal subdivisions shall be allowed on through a subarea study.</u></b>	To address community concerns, limits the use of TDR to short plats; requires a subarea study for use in formal plats	Creates stronger linkages between use of TDRs and subarea planning	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	35, 38
<b>R-320</b>	<b>King County should seek other public funding and private-public partnerships for incorporated and unincorporated urban area amenities to strengthen the TDR program and facilitate the transfer of development rights from Rural Areas and Natural Resource Areas into the King County Urban Growth Area to preserve the rural environment, encourage retention of rural and resource-based uses, and avoid urban service demands in the Rural Area.</b>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<b>R-320a</b>	<b><u>King County shall provide amenities to urban unincorporated TDR receiving areas to improve the livability of the receiving area. Amenities should be provided at levels commensurate with the number of TDRs used in the receiving area. The type, timing and location of amenities provided to urban unincorporated TDR receiving areas should be informed by a public engagement process including members of the affected receiving area and the city affiliated with annexation.</u></b>	The TDR program has the current capacity to provide amenity funding in unincorporated urban areas, consistent with funding given to cities.	Edit creates new component of TDR program – providing amenity funding to unincorporated urban areas. Calls for public engagement in process.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	35, 38
<b>R-321</b>	<b>King County should pursue public funding and public-private partnerships, and bond or levy proposals, for additional TDR Bank funding to target threatened private (<del>rural</del>) Rural Areas or Natural Resource Lands(<del>resource-lands</del>) . Development rights purchased through such a program should be sold into any appropriate urban location.</b>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<b>R-322</b>	<b>The goals of the <i>Rural and Resource Land Preservation TDR</i></b>	Edits for consistent use of	Internal consistency and	Yes	Executive Rec.	11

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><b>Program are to: (1) reduce the development potential in (<del>rural and resource lands</del>) <u>Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands</u> by 25%; (2) increase activity in the TDR market; (3) bolster demand for TDRs; (4) offer (<del>rural and resource</del>) <u>Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands</u> property owners access to incentive programs; (5) protect low-density (<del>rural areas</del>) <u>Rural Areas</u> from encroaching urban development; and (6) reduce greenhouse gas emissions by decreasing vehicle miles traveled from the (<del>rural and resource areas</del>) <u>Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands</u> and by sequestering carbon.</b></p>	<p>terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>consistency with GMA</p>		<p>Plan</p>	
<p><b>R-323</b></p> <p><b>The <i>Rural and Resource Land Preservation TDR Program</i> shall include, but is not limited to, the following:</b></p> <p><b>a. In addition to the density that is allowed on a receiving site in the urban growth area from the purchase of TDRs, the county shall evaluate the climate change benefits achieved by reducing transportation related greenhouse gas emissions that result from the transfer of development rights from the sending site, provided that such consideration is not precluded by administrative rules promulgated by the state;</b></p> <p><b>b. In order to satisfy transportation concurrency requirements in the Rural Area in a transportation concurrency travel shed that is non-concurrent, a development proposal for a short subdivision creating up to four lots may purchase TDRs from other Rural Area or Natural Resource Land properties in the same travel shed; <u>allowing this is intended to reduce overall traffic impacts in rural travel sheds by permanently removing development potential.</u> The transfer shall not result in an</b></p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p> <p>Edit explaining purpose and rationale for this component of the TDR program</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p> <p>This is intended to create greater understanding of why this approach is</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11, 35</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>increase in allowable density on the receiving site. A short subdivision creating two lots where the property has been owned by the applicant for five or more years and where the property has not been subdivided in the last ten years shall satisfy the transportation concurrency requirements without having to purchase TDRs;</p> <p>c. King County shall provide an added density bonus of up to a 100% increase above the base density allowed in K.C. Code 21A.12.030, when TDRs are used for projects within any designated commercial center or activity center within the Urban Growth Area that provides enhanced walkability design and incorporates transit oriented development;</p> <p>d. King County may allow accessory dwelling units in the Rural Area that are greater than one thousand square feet, but less than 1,500 square feet, if the property owner purchases one TDR from the Rural Area; and</p> <p>e. King County may allow a detached accessory dwelling unit on a RA-5 zoned lot that is two and one-half acres or greater and less than three and three-quarters acres if the property owner purchases one TDR from the Rural Area.</p>		<p>utilized</p>			
<p>R-324 Nonresidential uses in the Rural Area shall be limited to those that:</p> <p>a. Provide convenient local products and services for nearby <u>Rural Area</u> residents;</p> <p>b. Require location in a Rural Area;</p> <p>c. Support natural resource-based industries;</p> <p>d. Provide adaptive reuse of significant historic resources; or</p> <p>e. Provide recreational opportunities that are compatible with the</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p> <p>Cross-reference to additional</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>surrounding Rural Area. These uses shall be sited, sized and landscaped to complement rural character as defined in policy R-101 and R-201, prevent impacts to the environment and function with rural services including on-site wastewater disposal.</p>	<p>policy that has existing substantive guidance regarding rural character</p>	<p>Greater clarity</p>			
<p>R-326 Except as provided in R-327: a. New schools and institutions primarily serving rural residents shall be located in neighboring cities and rural towns; b. New schools, institutions, and other community facilities primarily serving urban residents shall be located within the ((UGA)) Urban Growth Area; and c. New community facilities and services that primarily serve rural residents shall be located in neighboring cities and rural towns, with limited exceptions when their use is dependent on a rural location and their size and scale supports rural character.</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>R-327 Consistent with the recommendations of the School Siting Task Force, included as Appendix Q, in the Rural Area: a. Except as otherwise provided in subsections d. and e. of this policy, an existing elementary, middle, or junior high school may be modified or expanded but shall not be converted to a high school; b. An existing high school may be modified or expanded or converted to an elementary, middle, or junior high school; c. Snoqualmie Valley 1: parcel number 1823099046, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as a new school; d. Lake Washington 4: parcel numbers 0825069008 and</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>0825069056, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as a new school and convert an existing school on the site to a high school use;</p> <p>e. Tahoma 1: parcel number 2622069047, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as a new school and convert an existing school on the site to a high school use only if no feasible alternative site can be located within the ((UGA)) <u>Urban Growth Area</u>;</p> <p>f. Lake Washington 2: parcel numbers 3326069010 and 3326069009, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as a new school only if no feasible alternative site can be located within the ((UGA)) <u>Urban Growth Area</u>, in which case it may be incorporated into the ((UGA)) <u>Urban Growth Area</u>; and</p> <p>g. Enumclaw A and D: the rural portions of parcel numbers 2321069064, 2321069063, and 2321069062, as shown on the King County Department of Assessments map as of March 31, 2012, may develop as ballfields or recreational playfields only, for a school located on the urban portions of the parcels.</p>					
<p>R-329 Library services for the Rural Area should be provided by bookmobiles, or by libraries in Rural Towns or ((cities in the rural area)) <u>Cities in the Rural Area</u>.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>
<p>R-332 Site design standards for new subdivisions in the Rural Area should include: minimization of ((paved)) <u>impervious</u> surfaces; limitations on entrance signage; preservation of natural contours, existing</p>	<p>Clarifying language – consistently uses “impervious” surfaces throughout.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
meadows and opportunities for keeping of horses; and other standards to limit features typical of urban or suburban development.					
<b>R-334</b> <b>To maintain traditional rural development patterns and assure continued opportunities for resource activities in the Rural Area, large lot development is preferred in the Rural Area. Clustering of lots is permitted when:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>a. The development provides equal or greater protection of the natural environment, natural resource lands, historic resources or archaeological sites;</b></li> <li><b>b. Clusters are limited in size to be compatible with surrounding large lots or nearby agricultural and forestry uses;</b></li> <li><b>c. The clustered development is offset with a permanent resource land tract preserved for forestry or agriculture, as designated by the owner at time of subdivision or short subdivision, or a permanent open space tract. Under no circumstances shall the tract be reserved for future development; and</b></li> <li><b>d. The development can be served by rural facility and service levels (such as on-site sewage disposal, <u>private well(s) for on-site water ((and)) supply, and</u> rural fire protection).</b></li> </ol>	This change (R-334.d) is clarification of the rural facility and service levels. Edits were suggested by Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Council and Green Valley/Lake Holmes.	this is for clarification of what is currently permitted.	Yes	PRD	11, 44, 49
<b>R-336</b> <b>King County shall continue to support the rural development standards that have been established to protect the natural environment by addressing seasonal and maximum clearing limits, impervious surface limits (<del>(, surface water management standards that emphasize preservation of natural drainage systems and water quality, groundwater protection,))</del> and resource-based practices. <del>((These standards should be designed to provide appropriate</del></b>	Clarifying language – this change was made to reflect the changes in the County’s new National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit, which has a focus on using Low Impact Development	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	5, 41

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><del>exceptions for lands that are to be developed for kindergarten through twelfth grade public schools and school facilities, provided that the school project shall comply at a minimum with the requirements of the King County Surface Water Design Manual.))</del>  <u>Stormwater management practices should be implemented that emphasize preservation of natural drainage systems, protect water quality and natural hydrology of surface waters and groundwater. Rural development standards should also, where feasible, incorporate and encourage Low Impact Design principles for managing stormwater onsite by minimizing impervious surfaces, preserving onsite hydrology, retaining native vegetation and forest cover, capturing and reusing rainwater, controlling pollution at the source, and protecting groundwater. King County shall take care that requirements for onsite stormwater management complement requirements for onsite wastewater management.</u></p>	<p>design approaches and natural drainage systems where possible.</p>				
<p><b>R-336a</b>  <u>To help achieve the goal of reducing energy use and greenhouse gas emissions associated with new construction, King County should adopt and implement green building codes that are appropriate, ambitious and achievable. Adoption of such codes may result in an increased use of solar panels, private wind generation turbines and similar renewable energy technologies that may need to be sited in the rural area. Development standards will seek to ensure that the siting, scale and design of these facilities respect and support rural character.</u></p>	<p>This policy is intended to make the Comp Plan consistent with County Land Use Code, and reflect the new requirements integrated into the County building code through the Green Building Ordinance, adopted by the Council in 2015.</p>	<p>Creates consistency with current land use code.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>1, 5, 23, 41</p>
<p><b>R-402</b>                      Public spending priorities for facilities and services within the Rural Area should be as follows:                      a. First, to maintain existing facilities and services that protect</p>	<p>The addition of “Rural Areas” is to address more closely the issue identified in the Scope of</p>	<p>This amendment clarifies the area where sustainable economic</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>44, 45</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>public health and safety; <del>((and))</del></p> <p>b. Second, to upgrade facilities and services when needed to correct level of service deficiencies without unnecessarily creating additional capacity for new growth; <u>and</u></p> <p>c. <u>Third, to support sustainable economic development that is sized and scaled at levels appropriate for Rural Areas and does not foster urbanization.</u></p>	Work: rural economic development.	development should be appropriately sized and scaled.			
<p>R-403</p> <p>In the Rural Area, standards and plans for utility service should be consistent with long-term, low-density development and resource industries. Utility facilities that serve the Urban Growth Area but must be located in the Rural Area (for example, a pipeline from a municipal watershed) should be designed and scaled to serve primarily the Urban Growth Area. Sewers needed to serve previously established urban “islands,” <del>((cities in the rural area))</del> <u>Cities in the Rural Area, ((or))</u> Rural Towns, or new or existing schools pursuant to R-327 and F-264 shall be tightlined and have access restrictions precluding service to the Rural Area.</p>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<p>R-501</p> <p>The Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers designated on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map are small-scale business areas that should provide convenience shopping and services for the surrounding community. No new Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers are needed to serve the Rural Area. Expansion of the boundaries of the existing Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers shall not be permitted except through <del>((the))</del> <u>a subarea ((plan process)) study.</u></p>	Implementation of new Community Service Area Planning Program requires change in terminology for Comprehensive Plan Policy Required studies	Public clarity regarding terminology	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	101
<p>R-503</p> <p>King County <del>((should adopt))</del> commercial development standards for Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers <del>((that))</del> <u>should facilitate</u></p>	This amendment clarifies that the County should facilitate	This language clarifies and focusses rural	Yes	PRD	3, 45

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	<b>economic reuse of existing structures, minimize increases in impervious surfaces, and encourage retention of historic character and scale. Urban-level parking, landscaping, and street improvement standards are not appropriate for Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers except as demonstrated as being needed to address the safety of the public.</b>	reuse of existing structures, etc., and not that it should adopt standards for Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers.	development on using existing facilities.			
<b>R-503a</b>	<b><u>Where appropriate, King County should allow the use of existing structures/parcels to accommodate farmers markets within Rural Neighborhood Commercial Centers.</u></b>	Policy Addresses interest on Vashon Island to support farmers markets. May require change to Town Plan. It also is consistent with the County Executives Local Food Initiative.	To achieve this policy on Vashon Island may require change to Town Plan, but generally this approach is consistent with the County's current operational approach and the County Executives Local Food Initiative.	Yes	PRD	1, 37
<b>R-504</b>	<b>King County designates the Rural Towns of Fall City, Snoqualmie Pass, and the Town of Vashon as unincorporated Rural Towns. These historical settlements in unincorporated King County should provide services and a range of housing choices for Rural Area residents. The boundaries of the designated Rural Towns are shown on the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map. Adjustments to these boundaries shall only occur through a subarea (<del>planning process</del>) <u>study</u>, and shall not allow significant increases in development potential or environmental impacts. No new Rural Towns are needed to serve the Rural Area.</b>	Implementation of new Community Service Area Planning Program requires change in terminology for Comprehensive Plan Policy Required studies	Public clarity regarding terminology	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	101
<b>R-506</b>	<b>Rural Towns may contain higher-density housing than permitted in the surrounding Rural Area, and should provide affordable and</b>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>resource-worker housing if utilities and other services permit. Development density in Rural Towns may approach that achieved in <del>((cities in the rural area))</del> <u>Cities in the Rural Area</u>.</p>	<p>Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>				
<p><b>R-509</b> Rural Towns should be compact, promoting pedestrian and nonmotorized travel while permitting automobile access to most commercial and industrial uses. New development should be designed to strengthen the desirable characteristics and the historic character of the town, be supported by necessary public facilities and services, and be compatible with historic resources and nearby <del>((rural))</del> <u>Rural Area</u> or <del>((resource))</del> <u>Natural Resource Land</u> uses. New industrial uses should locate where they do not disrupt pedestrian or bicycle traffic in established retail areas of town or conflict with residential uses.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>R-510</b> The <del>((cities in the rural area))</del> <u>Cities in the Rural Area</u> and their Potential Annexation Areas are part of the overall Urban Growth Area for purposes of planning land uses and facility needs. King County should work with <del>((cities in the rural area))</del> <u>Cities in the Rural Area</u> to encourage the provision of affordable housing, to minimize the impacts of new development on the surrounding <del>((rural land))</del> <u>Rural Areas</u> and to plan for growth consistent with long-term protection of significant historic resources, the surrounding Rural Area and <u>Natural Resource Lands</u>.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>R-511</b> Within Potential Annexation Areas of <del>((cities in the rural area))</del> <u>Cities in the Rural Area</u> the following uses shall be permitted until the area annexes to the city: a. Residential development at a density of 1 home per 5 acres or less with mandatory clustering; and</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>b. Nonresidential development such as commercial and industrial as determined through previous subarea plans.</p>					
<p><b>R-514</b> Development regulations for new industrial development in the Rural Area shall require the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Greater setbacks, and reduced building height, floor/lot ratios, and maximum impervious surface percentage standards in comparison to standards for urban industrial development;</li> <li>b. Maximum protection of sensitive natural features, especially salmonid habitat and water quality;</li> <li>c. Building and landscape design that respects the aesthetic qualities and character of the Rural Area, and provides substantial buffering from the adjoining uses and scenic vistas;</li> <li>d. Building colors and materials that are muted, signs that are not internally illuminated, and site and building lighting that is held to the minimum necessary for safety;</li> <li>e. Heavier industrial uses, new industrial uses producing substantial waste byproducts or wastewater discharge, or new paper, chemical and allied products manufacturing uses in the urban industrial zone shall be prohibited; and</li> <li>f. Industrial uses requiring substantial investments in infrastructure such as water, sewers or transportation facilities <del>((shall))</del>, or facilities that generate substantial volumes of <u>heavy-gross weight truck trips, shall be <del>((scaled))</del> reduced to avoid the need for public funding of the infrastructure.</u></li> </ul>	<p>This amendment clarifies what is appropriate for industrial development in the Rural Area. Scaling developments that will generate substantial truck volumes is consistent with other provisions of this policy for the Rural Area.</p>	<p>This clarifies that infrastructure funding is not available.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 44, 45</p>
<p><b>R-517</b> King County should explore ways of creating and supporting community gardens, farmers' markets, produce stands and other similar community based food growing projects to provide and</p>	<p>Clarifying language – this change makes it explicit that the to increase access to healthy</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>36, 37</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
improve access to healthy <u>and affordable</u> food for all rural residents.	food for some County residents will require addressing affordability, because of income inequality. This edit is consistent with the County Executives Local Food Initiative and ESJ goals.				
R-604 King County shall promote and support forestry, agriculture, <del>((mining))</del> and other resource-based industries as a part of a diverse, regional and sustainable economy <u>and environment</u> .	This amendment clarifies that the County supports and promotes both the economy and the environment.	The regional and sustainable environment is a current County goal.	Yes	PRD	45, 51
R-604b King County shall support and designate mineral resource lands of <u>long-term significance and promote policies, environmental reviews and management practices that minimize conflicts with neighboring land uses and mitigate environmental impacts</u> .	This amendment clarifies that the County supports and promotes both the economy and the environment.	The regional and sustainable environment is a current County goal.	Yes	PRD	45, 51
R-606 Farm lands, forest lands and mineral resources shall be conserved for productive use through the use of Designated Agricultural and Forest Production Districts and Designated Mineral Resource Sites where the principal and preferred land uses will be commercial resource management activities, and by the designation of appropriate compatible uses on adjacent <del>((rural))</del> <u>Rural Area</u> and urban lands.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
R-607 Land uses, utilities and transportation facilities <u>within and</u> adjacent to Designated Agricultural and Forest Production Districts and Designated Mineral Resource Sites, shall be sited and designed to ensure compatibility with resource management.	This edit clarifies where this policy applies. King County cannot control development decisions of cities in the Rural Areas.	This reflects the County's current approach.	Yes	PRD	45, 47, 51



I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	utilization, and marketing of wood products grown in the county's <del>((rural))</del> <u>Rural Area</u> and forest areas. King County should ensure that regulations applying to rural and forest areas do not discourage the establishment of sawmills and other wood product businesses and services.	terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	consistency with GMA		Plan	
R-634	<del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> should promote public understanding of the benefits of commercial timber production and encourage the use of local wood.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
R-636	King County promotes forest management that achieves long-term forest health; protection of watersheds, critical areas and habitat to support fish and wildlife populations; protection of threatened and endangered species; <u>management of stormwater runoff and associated pollutants</u> ; conservation and economic viability of working forests; carbon sequestration and reduction in greenhouse gas emissions; and adaptation to climate change.	Clarifies that a goal of this policy to reflect that King County is supportive of forest management that manages stormwater properly.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	5, 59, 60, 78
R-639	King County encourages the use of recycled, organic-based soil amendments, such as biosolids, and fertilizers in forest ecosystems, which can help reduce erosion and sedimentation into streams, increase water-holding capacity of soils, stimulate the growth of trees and other vegetation, <u>capture carbon</u> and enhance fish and wildlife habitat. King County shall work with the general public and private and public forestland owners to encourage the selective and appropriate use of these materials for ecosystem enhancement and restoration.	Policy change adds carbon capture to the list of known benefits of using biosolids in silviculture.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3
R-642	King County shall continue to implement the objectives of the Farmland Preservation Program (FPP). Protection of property purchased under the <del>((FPP))</del> <u>Farmland Preservation Program</u> shall	Clarifying language – change eliminates and acronym and reflects the current operational	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 37

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>be a high priority when balancing conflicting interests such as locating transportation, active recreation, <del>((or))</del> utility facilities, or other uses that could have an adverse impact on farm operations. King County shall use the Transfer of Development Rights Program as another tool to preserve farmland.</p>	<p>approach of the county Farmland Preservation Program staff.</p>				
<p><b>R-642a</b> <u>King County should develop a long term strategy for financing protection of sufficient farmland to significantly expand and retain food production, including improving the farmability of protected farmland, and ensuring that the easements are well-managed for the long-term.</u></p>	<p>The new policy reflects policy objectives articulated in Local Food Initiative and King County Farms and Food Roundtable Reports,</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>37</p>
<p><b>Note re: R-649 and R-650:</b> The Fish, Farm, Flood Taskforce convened in 2013 is still meeting, and is targeting March/April 2016 for a slate of recommendations. DNRP is deferring proposed changes on this and next policy until the conclusion of that process.</p>			<p>Yes</p>		<p>40</p>
<p><b>R-654</b> <u>Active recreational facilities should not be located within APDs. When new parks, natural areas or trails are planned for areas within or adjacent to APDs, King County should work with farmers to minimize impacts to farmland and agricultural operations.</u></p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>R-655</b> <u>Public services and utilities within and adjacent to APDs shall be designed to support agriculture and minimize significant adverse impacts on agriculture and to maintain total farmland acreage and the area’s historic agricultural character:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <u>Whenever feasible, water lines, sewer lines and other public facilities should avoid crossing APDs. Installation should be timed to minimize negative impacts on seasonal agricultural practices;</u></li> <li>b. <u>Road projects planned for the APDs, including additional roads or the widening of roads, should be limited to those that are</u></li> </ul>	<p>Clarifying language – the change makes it explicit that the County’s policy objective is to support agriculture.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>needed for safety or infrastructure preservation and that benefit agricultural uses. Where possible, arterials should be routed around the APDs. Roads that cross APDs should be aligned, designed, signed and maintained to minimize negative impacts on agriculture, and to support farm traffic; and</p> <p>c. In cases when public or privately owned facilities meeting regional needs must intrude into APDs, they should be built and located to minimize disruption of agricultural activity.</p>					
<p>R-658 King County shall work with other jurisdictions <u>and non-profits to expand marks for farm products</u> <del>((to broaden))</del> <u>by supporting</u> <del>((for))</del> <u>the Puget Sound Fresh</u> <del>((Program, which provides marketing assistance to farmers and links consumers to local farms and farmers' markets))</del> <u>and other programs that promote local food and connect buyers with producers.</u></p>	<p>Clarifying language – reflects the broader approach to Puget Sound Fresh and marketing assistance that came out of the Local food Initiative.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>37</p>
<p>R-659 King County should work with other jurisdictions, farm advocacy groups and others to support Farmlink and <del>((other))</del> <u>farmer training programs that help new farmers get started, gain access to farmland and develop successful marketing methods.</u></p>	<p>Clarifying language – reflects the broader approach to farm incubator programs and farmer training that came out of the Local food Initiative.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>37</p>
<p>R-661 <del>((The county))</del> <u>King County should develop incentives to encourage</u> <del>((agricultural activities in the remaining prime farmlands located))</del> <u>food production on prime farmland</u> <del>((outside the Agriculture Production Districts))</del>. These incentives could include tax credits, expedited permit review, reduced permit fees, permit exemptions for activities complying with best management practices or similar programs. <u>The county should continue to work with Seattle Tilth and other organizations to assist immigrant and minority farmers in</u></p>	<p>Clarifying language – reflects the broader approach to developing incentives for farmers that came out of the Local food Initiative.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>37</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>gaining access to farmland.</u>					
<b>R-661a</b> <b>To help make more farmland accessible to beginning and low-income farmers, King County should expand its leasing of agricultural land to farmers where appropriate and should encourage private farmland owners to lease unused land to farmers.</b>	A new policy that reflects the recommendations in the Local Food Initiative and Food Roundtable reports.	This policy would encourage the County to seek new ways to provide entry level farmers with access to farmland.	Yes	PRD	37
<b>R-661b</b> <b>King County should expand representation of low income and socially disadvantaged farmers within King County agricultural processes such as the Agriculture Commission, advisory committees, task forces and hiring.</b>	A new policy that reflects the recommendations in the Local Food Initiative and Food Roundtable reports.	This policy would encourage the County to seek new ways to provide entry level farmers with access to farmland.	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 36, 37
<b>R-662</b> <b>Agricultural processing, packing and direct sales are considered agricultural activities and should be allowed at a size and scale appropriate to the zone in which they are operating. King County shall work with local and state health departments to develop regulations supporting these activities and with local non-profits and academic institutions to educate farmers about safe food processing practices and compliance.</b>	Clarifying language that highlights that increasingly non-profits and academic institutions are subject area experts on food safety.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	37
<b>R-664</b> <b>King County supports innovative technologies to process dairy and other livestock waste to reduce nutrients and to create other products such as energy and compost in the Agriculture and Rural Area zoning classifications.</b>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<b>R-665</b> <b><del>((The county))</del> King County should develop incentives that support local food production and processing to increase food security and provide a healthy and affordable local food supply, and reduce energy use.</b>	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency; edit to reflect goals of Local Food Initiative	Improved readability and consistency; Promotion of healthy food	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	4, 8, 37

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
R-666	King County shall provide incentives, educational programs and other methods to encourage agricultural practices and technological improvements that maintain water quality, protect public health, protect fish and wildlife habitat, protect historic resources, maintain flood conveyance and storage, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, control noxious weeds, and prevent erosion of valuable agricultural soils, <u>and increase soil water holding capacity while maintaining the functions needed for agricultural production.</u>	Language that highlights in a period of climate change the increasing importance of ensuring that farmers are adequately trained in solid conservation.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 37
R-668	<del>((The county))</del> <u>King County shall work with federal, state, local, and private agencies to ((ensure and maintain adequate water for the needs of agriculture)) improve the availability and efficiency of water for agriculture through use of tools such as expanding the availability of recycled water to farms, offering incentives for irrigation efficiency, support mechanisms for water rights banking and trading that will give farmers greater certainty for water rights while protecting instream flows. King County will encourage the maintenance and preservation of agriculture water rights for agriculture purposes.</u> Assessments of future surface and groundwater availability for agriculture should consider projected impacts of climate change.	Language that highlights in a period of climate change the increasing importance of exploring policy tools that expand the number of potential sources of water that are available to farmers..	Clarifies language. Addresses public comments.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	3, 37
R-668a	<u>King County will continue to support drainage improvements through its Agricultural Drainage Assistance Program and actively seek new ways to make drainage projects less expensive and easier to implement and to improve drainage systems across property lines.</u>	A new policy that emphasizes the importance of adequately maintaining the drainage infrastructure that serves farmers in the County.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 37, 39
R-669	King County should continue to collaborate with the Washington State University Extension, the University of Washington, and King	Clarifying language – adds commercial compost to the list	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><b>Conservation District to develop information on the likely impacts of climate change on agriculture in King County, and to develop mitigation and adaptation strategies that are appropriate for King County's soils and farm economy. Research should address soil management, <u>use of commercial compost</u>, water storage, irrigation, alternative crops, integrated pest management, and nutrient management. The information should be made available to farmers through technical assistance programs and farm planning.</b></p>	<p>of activities on which the County should collaborate with WSU Extension.</p>				
<p><b>R-672</b> King County should work with federal, state and local jurisdictions to reduce flood impacts to agricultural operations. The county will <u>continue to</u> investigate the needs of agriculture before, during and after flood events, to determine if and how losses can be reduced, and will use this information in designing its floodplain policies and regulations.</p>	<p>Clarifying language – reflects that work the agriculture sector to determine flood preparation and response needs is ongoing.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>R-677a</b> King County should continue food waste programs for single family, <u>multi-family, businesses and institutions, aimed at reducing generation, promoting donation and encouraging curbside collection for anaerobic digestion and composting.</u></p>	<p>New policy reflecting policy recommendations included in the Local Food Initiative report.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 37, 89</p>
<p><b>R-667b</b> King County should prioritize the economic development of the food and agriculture industries in order to build a more sustainable and <u>resilient local food system.</u></p>	<p>New policy reflecting policy recommendations included in the Local Food Initiative report.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 37, 89</p>
<p><b>R-687</b> King County should prevent or minimize conflicts with mining when planning land uses adjacent to Designated and Potential Mineral Resource Sites. Subarea ((plans)) <u>studies</u> may indicate areas where mining is an inappropriate land use. Designated and Potential Mineral Resource Sites and nonconforming sites should be shown on Mineral Resources Map and subarea ((plans)) <u>study</u> maps in</p>	<p>Implementation of new Community Service Area Planning Program requires change in terminology for Comprehensive Plan Policy Required studies</p>	<p>Public clarity regarding terminology</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>101</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>order to notify nearby property owners and residents of existing and prospective mining activities.</p>					
<p><b>R-689</b>  <b>Conditions and mitigations for significant adverse environmental impacts associated with mining operations and their associated structures or facilities should be required, especially in the following areas:</b>  <b>a. Air quality and climate change;</b>  <b>b. Environmentally sensitive and critical areas, such as surface and groundwater quality and quantity, wetlands, fisheries and wildlife habitats, and aquatic habitats;</b>  <b>c. Noise levels;</b>  <b>d. Vibration;</b>  <b>e. Light and glare;</b>  <b>f. Vehicular access and safety;</b>  <b>g. Land and shoreline uses;</b>  <b>h. Traffic impacts;</b>  <b>i. Visual impacts;</b>  <b>j. Cultural and historic features and resources;</b>  <b>k. Site security; and</b>  <b>l. Others unique to specific sites and proposals.</b></p>	<p>This edit reflects the County’s recent adoption of the 2015 Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).</p>	<p>Addressing climate change is a big driver of County actions.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 7, 51, 58</p>
<p><b>R-690</b>  <b>King County should work with the state and federal governments to ensure that proposals for underground mining, oil and gas extraction, and surface coal mining are reviewed with consideration of local land use and environmental requirements, regional impacts from transport and assessment of climate change impacts from end-use of oil, gas and coal.</b></p>			<p>Yes</p>		

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
CHAPTER 4 <b>HOUSING AND HUMAN SERVICES</b>					
<p><b><del>((U-335))</del> H-101</b> King County <del>((should))</del> <u>shall</u> initiate and actively participate in regional solutions to <u>address</u> critical affordable housing <u>and tenant needs, including tenant protections in unincorporated King County and throughout the region. <del>((Cities))</del> <u>Jurisdictions</u>, community <u>members</u>, private sector and housing representatives should be invited to identify and implement solutions.</u></p>	<p>Expresses a more strategic regional leadership role for King County in addressing critical affordable housing needs and tenant stability in unincorporated areas that are often available in neighboring jurisdictions; given particular vulnerability of low-income tenants and persons of color this is an equity and social justice (ESJ) policy expression.</p>	<p>Integrates tenant protection into policies addressing critical affordable housing needs in the region. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>6, 8, 27,</p>
<p><b><del>((U-304))</del> H-102</b> King County shall work with <del>((cities))</del> <u>jurisdictions</u>, the private sector, <u>state and federal governments, other public funders of housing, other public agencies such as the Housing Authorities, regional agencies such as the Puget Sound Regional Council, intermediary housing organizations,</u> and the non-profit sector, to encourage a wide range of housing <u>and to reduce barriers to the development and preservation of a wide range of housing within the Urban Growth Area that:</u></p> <p>a. Provides housing choices for people of all income levels, <u>particularly</u> <del>((located))</del> in areas with existing or planned <u>high-capacity and frequent public transportation access</u> <del>((networks including those that make it))</del> <u>where it is safe and convenient to walk, bicycle, and take public transportation to</u></p>	<p>Expands the partnerships involved regarding regional role in affordable housing; expands fair housing policy language regarding barriers and equity; updates language regarding diverse populations with acute housing needs; ties in ESJ and transformation goals with housing policy goals.</p>	<p>Updates this policy to be more relevant and related to current functional plans</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>6, 7, 8, 79, 90</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>work and other key destinations <u>such as shopping and health care</u>;</p> <p>b. <u>Meets the needs of <del>((our))</del> a diverse population, especially families and individuals who have very-low to moderate incomes, older adults, people with developmental disabilities and people with behavioral, physical, cognitive and/or functional disabilities, and people who are homeless</u>;</p> <p>c. <u>Supports economic growth</u>; and</p> <p>d. <del>((Ensures))</del> <u>Supports King County’s equity and social justice, and transformation plan goals, for an equitable and rational distribution of low-income and high-quality affordable housing, including mixed-income housing, throughout the county.</u></p>					
<p><del>((U-302))</del> <u>H-103</u></p> <p><u>Through subarea and regional planning with <del>((cities))</del> jurisdictions and partners in the Puget Sound region, mandatory and incentive programs and funding initiatives for affordable housing, King County shall serve as a regional convener and local administrator in the unincorporated areas to plan for housing to meet the needs of all economic segments of the population throughout the Urban Growth Areas. With respect to affordable housing, King County shall address the countywide need for housing affordable to very-low, low and moderate-income households pursuant to the countywide targets established in the most recently adopted Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs). <del>((and within Rural Towns. King County shall plan for construction, rehabilitation, or preservation of housing units affordable to households as follows:</del></u></p> <p>a. <del>13% of housing stock should be affordable to households below 30% of the King County median income, including homeless</del></p>	<p>Ties regional planning role to CPP targets for affordable housing; adds mandatory inclusionary affordable housing policy goal.</p>	<p>Updates this policy to relate to current CPP’s; Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation regarding new policy goal.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p> <p>Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>6, 25, 31</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><del>individuals and families who may face significant barriers to finding permanent housing;</del>  <del>b. 11% of housing stock should be affordable to households between 30% and 50% of the King County median income;</del>  <del>c. 16% of housing stock should be affordable to households between 50% and 80% of the King County median income;</del>  <del>d. 20% of housing stock should be affordable to households between 80% and 120% of the King County median income; and</del>  <del>e. 40% of housing stock should be affordable to households above 120% of the King County median income.)</del></p>					
<p><b><del>((U-303))</del> H-104</b> King County <u>shall work with the multiple partners outlined in this section to ((should)) promote the preservation and expansion ((, rehabilitation, and development))</u> of affordable rental housing opportunities for households earning up to 80% of the King County median income. <u>Preservation is a particularly acute need in areas that may experience redevelopment due to proximity to high capacity transit and/or an area experiencing changing market conditions. ((by providing a range of incentives to private sector developers, as well as incentives and subsidies to non-profit developers.))</u></p>	<p>Updated this policy to be more specific to regional role in preservation of affordable housing with emphasis near high capacity &amp; frequent transit.</p>	<p>Policy update per restructuring of Housing Chapter.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 6, 18, 34</p>
<p><b><del>((U-304))</del> H-105</b> King County <u>((should)) shall work with the multiple partners outlined in this section to promote the preservation and expansion, ((rehabilitation, and development))</u> of affordable ownership housing opportunities for households earning up to 120% of the King County median income. <u>Preservation is a particularly acute need in areas that may experience redevelopment due to proximity to high capacity transit and/or an area experiencing changing market</u></p>	<p>Updated this policy to be more specific to regional role in preservation of affordable housing with emphasis near high capacity &amp; frequent transit.</p>	<p>Policy update per restructuring of Housing Chapter.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>4, 6, 18, 34</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>conditions. ((by providing a range of incentives to private sector developers, as well as incentives and subsidies to non-profit developers.))</del>					
<b>H-105a</b> King County shall engage marginalized populations in the development, implementation, and evaluation of county-wide affordable housing goals, policies and programs.	New policy promoting engagement with communities	Reflects public comments on PRD; need to engage with affected communities	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	4, 32
<del>(U-305)</del> <b>H-106</b> King County, in partnership with other jurisdictions, shall evaluate achievement of countywide and local goals for housing for all economic sectors of the population by analyzing housing indicators, adopted land use regulations, actions that encourage development, and the effect of market factors on housing development. The results of this evaluation shall be used to develop new or revised policies, programs, regulations, and incentives to better meet the Countywide Planning Policies' housing goals. These may include adopting appropriate land use regulations and other actions that encourage development, rehabilitation and preservation of low- and moderate-income housing.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>(U-308) King County shall promote development of attached accessory dwelling units in all urban residential zones. King County shall allow detached accessory dwelling units in all urban residential zones on lots greater than 5,000 square feet in size subject to compliance with supplemental parking, safety and setback requirements that may be required of the detached accessory dwelling unit.))</del>	Policy is redundant; see policy U-307	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>(U-309)</del> <b>H-107</b> King County should encourage regional land use and investment strategies to stimulate mixed-use and mixed-income developments as a way to integrate neighborhoods and increase housing and transportation choices throughout King County.	Regional role clarification regarding this policy	Clarification	Yes	PRD	4, 6

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>((U-312) King County shall work with other jurisdictions to eliminate barriers for affordable and special needs housing development.))</del>	Policy is redundant	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
((U-313)) H-108 King County shall work with other jurisdictions to encourage the use of universal design in the development of affordable housing, <u>family-sized housing and market rate housing</u> .	Expands encouragement of this policy into other housing besides affordable housing.	Expands reach of housing types for advocating for universal design	Yes	PRD	n/a
((U-316)) H-109 King County should develop new partnerships with public and private lending institutions to find solutions that reduce housing financing costs for both builders and consumers.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
((U-319)) H-110 King County shall work with <u>regional bodies, including the Puget Sound Regional Council and the Growth Management Planning Council or ((its)) their successors</u> and the private <u>and non-profit sectors</u> to support development of an adequate supply of housing commensurate with job growth within the county and its cities. To attain this goal, King County shall <u>work with such regional partners to:</u>  a. Support job and household growth targets and policies established in the Countywide Planning Policies;  b. Establish performance measures to gauge how jurisdictions are accommodating growth <u>and housing needs</u> ;  c. Participate in buildable lands inventories, market analyses and other studies to evaluate if sufficient land capacity is available for residential development; and  d. Work with cities to ensure additional actions are taken throughout the county to accommodate and promote residential development when job growth causes great demand for housing and severe shortages in the availability of housing for new workers in the county.	Expands partnerships involved in carrying out regional role; and ties to CPP housing targets and performance measures	Clarification	Yes	PRD	4, 6, 25, 29, 105, 106

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>((U-320)) H-111</del> King County should work with local employers to develop affordable employer-assisted housing opportunities located within commuting distance of the employment site.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-324)) H-112</del> King County should encourage affordable housing through redevelopment of nonresidential buildings, such as schools and commercial buildings, in locations suitable for housing and in ways that preserve significant historic features where appropriate.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-322</del> King County should continue to expedite plan reviews for affordable housing projects in coordination with other incentive or subsidy programs.))	Policy intent combined at U-314	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-327)) H-113</del> King County should support the development, <u>preservation and rehabilitation</u> of affordable housing that protects residents from exposure to harmful substances and environments, <u>including environmental tobacco smoke</u> , reduces the risk of injury, is well-maintained, and is adaptable to all ages and abilities. <u>King County should work on a regional level with jurisdictions to enact a comprehensive healthy housing code system in the county that provides for regular inspection of rental housing units for violations of healthy housing standards, including in unincorporated King County.</u>	Updates policy to explicitly include improving the quality/health of existing housing that can be preserved on a regional basis; and to add a new rental healthy housing inspection program in unincorporated KC as part of regional strategy.	Integrates healthy housing code protection into policies addressing harmful substances and environments. Executive and council to collaborate on legislation.	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 18, 27, 34
<del>((U-328</del> King County should support the integration of affordable housing into healthy communities.))	Policy is redundant of U-326	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-331</del> Within the Urban Growth Area, King County shall promote the development and expansion of land trusts that provide affordable ownership opportunities.))	Policy is redundant; intent combined at U-330	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-333</del> King County should promote cottage-style housing development that clusters a limited number of small-scale detached units around	Policy is redundant; covered in policies U-125, U-136	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>a common green space at a density level that is up to twice that allowed by base density. The general character and size of cottage-style development should be controlled in the same manner that creates compatibility with a single-family neighborhood.)</del>					
<del>((U-334))</del> <u>H-114</u> King County should encourage development of residential communities that achieve lower prices and rents through <del>((shared common houses))</del> <u>clustered and higher density housing that shares common spaces, open spaces and community facilities.</u>	Updates for regional role supporting higher density new housing innovations	Incorporates updated models and issues into KC policy.	Yes	PRD	8, 24, 25, 32
<u>H-115</u> King County shall work with housing partners and jurisdictions to <u>pass legislation that bans the criminalization of homelessness and homeless encampments.</u>	Updates for regional role regarding homelessness and policy environment that does not criminalize people based on economic/life circumstance status	Incorporates updated models and issues into KC policy.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 27
<u>H-116</u> King County shall support and encourage smoke free policies in <u>multi-family housing and affordable housing.</u>	Strengthens policy vision to ensure that residents have safe places to be outside of their homes and not exposed to tobacco, a leading cause of death. Consistent with BOH resolution 10-07	Providing more health promoting environments	Yes	PRD	4, 8, 24
<u>H-117</u> King County shall support partnership efforts and the application of <u>innovations in manufactured home production that may allow mobile home parks to adapt and improve the quality of housing stock and to increase the density of housing stock in order to preserve housing affordability while accommodating the region's growth needs.</u>	Updates to address current strategies and plans regarding healthy housing and housing affordability in order to bring innovations to bear in manufactured (mobile) home	Incorporates updated models and issues into KC policy.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	parks				
<del>((U-341 King County shall explore coordination of incentive programs with cities to develop common program guidelines and reduce administrative costs.))</del>	Policy is redundant	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-342 King County should provide expedited building permit review for all affordable housing projects that utilize affordable housing incentive programs, subsidies, tax abatement or tax credits.))</del>	Policy is redundant	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-343 King County should encourage affordable housing projects that utilize affordable housing incentive programs, subsidies, tax abatement or tax credits.))</del>	Policy is redundant	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-345 King County should explore increasing affordable housing opportunities, especially in areas with an existing or forecast shortage of affordable housing, through new programs, development incentives, and changes to funding program guidelines to facilitate new construction, rehabilitation, and acquisition to preserve affordable housing.))</del>	Policy is redundant	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>H-118</b> <u>King County shall actively promote and affirmatively further fair housing in its housing programs, and shall work with all of its partners to further fair housing in its regional role promoting housing affordability, choice and access to opportunity for all communities, especially those communities that bear the burdens from lack of investment and access to opportunity; and shall work with residents and stakeholders to help them understand the rights protected by federal, state, and local fair housing laws and shall help to promote equitable housing practices for protected classes through fair housing education and enforcement ..</u>	Policy alignment for new section regarding fair housing access in King County, an equity and social justice (ESJ) policy expression that embeds ESJ and transformation goals into housing policy	Integrates fair housing policy into regional housing policy.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	6, 8, 18, 27
<del>((U-354 King County should work with financial institutions and other</del>	Policy is redundant	Deleted to reduce	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>housing agencies to expand resources for housing rehabilitation through techniques such as reverse mortgage programs and loan pools.))</del>		redundancy			
<del>((U-356) King County shall support ongoing efforts to maintain and preserve existing mobile home parks, at an appropriate level of safety and habitability, as a source of affordable housing for low-income homeowners through zoning or funding for acquisition and rehabilitation of parks and homes.))</del>	Policy is redundant	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-360))</del> <b>H-119</b> King County shall flexibly apply its rules, policies, practices and services when necessary to afford persons with disabilities equal opportunity to use or enjoy a dwelling <del>((, including the promotion of public funding and other incentives to create new affordable housing))</del> <b>in its funding, incentive or mandatory affordable housing programs in order to create new affordable housing opportunities for persons with disabilities.</b>	Updates breadth of fair housing compliance policies for reasonable accommodations for persons with a disability within all King County housing-related programs	Clarification	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 26,
<del>((U-364))</del> <b>H-120</b> King County should work with housing industry representatives to identify and remove barriers (such as real estate marketing, finance or insurance practices) that restrict housing choices and opportunities for low- and moderate-income people <del>((and people with special needs,))</del> <b>older adults, people who are homeless and people with behavioral, physical cognitive and developmental disabilities.</b>	Update of old "special needs" language to more comprehensive language.	Language update to be clear about populations of need	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 26
<del>((U-347))</del> <b>H-121</b> King County shall support affordable <u>and mixed-income</u> housing development <u>in transit-oriented locations</u> that is compatible with surrounding uses by: a. <u>Providing information and a process for accessing ((<del>on</del>)) potential development sites in transit-oriented locations where</u>	Updated policy section to highlight equitable transit-oriented development and affordable housing, and the County role in supporting it	New policy section highlighting the cross sector intersections between housing, health and transportation through	Yes	PRD	4, 6, 8, 18, 79, 80

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><u>King County has ownership or access to potential sites;</u></p> <p>b. Promoting land use patterns that <del>((provide convenient connections for pedestrian and bicycle travel as well as for transit and other motorized transportation))</del> <u>cohesively connect affordable and mixed-income housing with active transportation choices;</u></p> <p>c. <del>((Funding services, amenities, infrastructure and access improvements within the urban area; and</del></p> <p>d. <del>—))</del> Developing public financing techniques that <del>((give housing development and redevelopment in designated areas a market advantage))</del> <u>will provide an advantage for projects that will create and/or preserve affordable and mixed-income housing within transit-oriented communities and neighborhoods that promote health, well-being and opportunity, or within a neighborhood plan for revitalization.</u></p>		TOD; bringing policies into alignment with equitable TOD work and future needs, including closer working relationship with Sound Transit and other equitable TOD partners and advocates			
<p><del>((U-318))</del> <u>H-122</u> King County <del>((should))</del> <u>shall</u> support transit-oriented development at transit supportive density and scale that <u>preserves and expands affordable and mixed-income housing opportunities at locations near frequent and high-capacity transit service. <del>((by engaging private and non-profit entities in an investment/development partnership.))</del> King County shall engage in this work through a variety of strategies, including the engagement of funding partners, transit partners, jurisdictions, private for-profit and non-profit development entities, and other TOD partners.</u></p>	Strengthens policy to be more imperative regarding equitable TOD, adds partners and emphasizes density and scale needed to accommodate growth and address transportation needs	Includes regional role supporting funding of TOD projects and potentially upzoning in areas where such may be appropriate to allow for TOD. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation as opportunities are presented.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	4, 6, 8, 18, 79, 80
<p><u>H-123</u> King County will evaluate and seek opportunities for equitable</p>	Updates policies for cross-	Pro-active role to seek	Yes	PRD	4, 6, 8, 18,

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>transit oriented development at major transit centers and hubs when investments are likely to produce increased ridership, community benefits, and net revenues to the transit agency.</u>	sector work and cooperation between DCHS and DOT for equitable TOD	opportunities for equitable TOD		Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	79, 80
<b>H-124</b> <u>King County shall work with partners to reduce and prevent displacement of very-low to moderate-income households from transit-oriented locations, to the extent possible; and shall strive to align affordable housing investments and transit investments in order to increase the quality of life of disinvested communities.</u>	ESJ addition - important to help prevent displacement of lower income people near transit rich locations	Pro-active role to work with partners to address displacement	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	4, 6, 8, 18, 79, 80
<b>((U-306)) H-125</b> <u>King County shall assure that there is sufficient land in the unincorporated urban areas zoned to accommodate King County's share of affordable housing and provide a range of affordable housing types, including higher-density single-family homes, multifamily properties, manufactured housing, cottage housing, accessory dwelling units and mixed-use developments. King County should work with cities to increase opportunities for affordable housing development by assuring there is sufficient land capable of being developed for this range of housing types that are more likely to be affordable to low-, moderate- and middle-income households.</u>	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>((U-307)) H-126</b> <u>King County shall provide opportunities for attached and detached accessory dwelling units in urban residential areas and shall encourage all jurisdictions within King County to adopt provisions to allow accessory dwelling units in their communities.</u>	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>((U-305)) H-127</b> <u>King County shall adopt appropriate land use regulations to require and encourage development, rehabilitation and preservation of very-low to moderate-income housing.</u>	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>((U-340)) H-128</b> <u>King County should pursue land use policies and regulations that</u>	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
result in lower development costs without loss of adequate public review, environmental quality or public safety and do not reduce design quality, inhibit infrastructure financing strategies, or increase maintenance costs for public facilities.		new structure			
<b>((U-344)) H-129</b> King County shall continue to improve development standards to allow higher densities and flexibility of housing types in all residential zones, in order to best accommodate the environmental conditions on the site and the surrounding neighborhood when planning housing developments.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>H-130</b> King County should explore zoning policies and provisions that <u>increase housing density and affordable housing opportunities within unincorporated urban growth areas near transit and near commercial areas.</u>	New section regarding King County's land use authority for housing/ affordable housing, and clearly setting policy framework to allow for increasing housing density and affordability to accommodate growth, especially as desired in sub-area plans.	Policy framework established for future legislation. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation as opportunities are presented.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	4, 6, 8, 18, 24, 79
<b>((U-344)) H-131</b> King County shall seek to minimize the time necessary to process development permits <del>((to meet))</del> <u>for developments in unincorporated King County that will include affordable housing and address environmental goals and community and aesthetic concerns. King County should continue to expedite plan and permitting reviews for affordable housing projects in coordination with mandatory, incentive or subsidy programs, including tax abatements, exemptions and credits.</u>	Adds to existing policy language to clarify relationship to unincorporated areas and potential inclusion of mandatory inclusionary affordable housing in KC code.	Policy framework established for future legislation. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 25
<b>((U-345)) H-132</b> King County should encourage the formation of common	Update areas for common code	Sets goal to work towards	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24,

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
development codes and standards, <u>as well as common mandatory and incentive programs for affordable housing</u> , with cities, sewer and water districts and other permitting agencies to increase predictability and reduce development costs.	coordination across jurisdictions to increase predictability for developers working across jurisdictions in the County	more common standards and programs			25
<del>((U-330))</del> <u>H-133</u> King County shall encourage <u>the development of new housing models</u> <del>((by supporting projects such as))</del> <u>that are healthy and affordable by providing opportunities for such within unincorporated growth areas and near commercial areas. King County shall work to allow innovative housing projects to move forward, including affordable housing demonstration projects, affordable owner-built housing, land trusts and cooperative ownership structures for rental and ownership housing, co-housing and other innovative developments.</u>	Clarity on unincorporated area of focus, and update for new innovative housing models.	Clarification and expansion of housing types supported.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	6, 8, 24, 25, 26
<del>((U-339))</del> <u>H-134</u> Density bonuses and other incentives <u>for the development of affordable housing by for-profit and non-profit developers shall be available within unincorporated urban areas and near commercial areas to both single-family and multifamily developments to promote development of affordable rental and/or ownership housing. Bonuses shall be periodically reviewed and updated, as needed, to assure they are effective in creating affordable housing units, especially in coordination with any mandatory inclusionary affordable housing requirements adopted.</u>	Update policy to initiate review & analysis of policy outcomes for potential amendments to the code in the future	Clarifications and plan to evaluate outcomes of incentives programs for legislative amendments. Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	6, 8, 24, 25
<del>((U-340))</del> <u>H-135</u> King County shall exempt payment of impact fees <del>((to promote development of))</del> <u>in unincorporated areas for developments that will include affordable rental or ownership housing.</u>	Clarity on unincorporated area of focus	Clarification	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 25
<del>((U-323))</del> <u>H-136</u> King County <del>((should encourage))</del> <u>shall provide opportunities within unincorporated urban growth areas and near commercial areas for</u>	Clarity on unincorporated area of focus and update for new	Clarification	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 25

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
the development, rehabilitation, and preservation of <u>rental residential buildings that have shared facilities, such as single-room occupancy buildings, <del>(hotels and)</del> boarding homes, micro-units buildings and clustered micro homes</u> to provide opportunities for lower rents <u>housing options; and higher density ownership options including condominiums, co-operative mutual housing, cottage housing and other forms of clustered higher density ownership housing.</u>	rental and owner housing models.			Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	
<del>((U-324) King County shall provide opportunities and encourage other jurisdictions to provide opportunities for housing types that provide lower-cost ownership opportunities, including manufactured housing, condominiums, townhouses and cottage-style housing.))</del>	Combined with H-136 above	Deleted to reduce redundancy	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	n/a
<del>((U-325))</del> <u>H-138</u> Housing developments in the urban unincorporated areas, consisting of not less than 100 acres, shall provide a mix of housing types and densities, including housing that is affordable to low-, moderate-, and middle-income households. This mix should include housing opportunities for <del>((households with special needs, the elderly, and persons with disabilities))</del> <u>older adults, persons who are homeless and persons with behavioral, cognitive, physical, and/or developmental disabilities.</u>	Update of old "special needs" language to more comprehensive language.	Language update to be clear about populations with particular needs	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 25
<del>((U-326))</del> <u>H-139</u> King County <del>((should promote the))</del> <u>shall provide opportunities for incorporation of the principles of healthy communities and housing, sustainability, and greenhouse gas emissions mitigation in housing, affordable housing and community development in unincorporated areas.</u>	Updates policy to provide opportunities for healthy and equitable development in unincorporated areas.	Increases the imperative nature of this policy pursuant to ESJ and transformation	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 25
<del>((U-332))</del> <u>H-140</u> King County <del>((should explore the feasibility of allowing))</del> <u>shall allow</u>	Updates policy language to	Increases the imperative	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24,

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>five-story wood frame construction <del>((as a technique that will))</del> <u>to increase the availability of multifamily housing while lowering development costs and maintaining fire safety.</u></p>	<p>reflect that we are allowing this and will continue, as it allows for lower cost housing.</p>	<p>nature of this policy to be in sync with current practice.</p>			<p>25</p>
<p><del>((U-352))</del> <u>H-141</u> King County <del>((should))</del> <u>shall explore the expansion of land use and financial incentives to preserve and improve existing housing in redeveloping areas through the use of programs such as transfer of development rights, tax credits and tax <del>((abatements for low-income housing and))</del> exemptions for new and preserved affordable housing, as well as tax abatements and restoration loans for housing designated as a historic landmark.</u></p>	<p>Updates policy to be more imperative and to add additional programs to increase production of affordable housing.</p>	<p>Establishes policy framework for legislative work to pursue multi-family tax exemption for new housing and preservation tax exemption.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>6, 8, 24, 25</p>
<p><del>((U-358))</del> <u>H-143</u> <del>((Development))</del> <u>King County development standards should promote lower-cost infill development, such as accessory dwelling units, in a manner that allows existing housing to be retained through measures such as an innovative or flexible building envelope, access and infrastructure standards.</u></p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><del>((U-359))</del> <u>H-144</u> <u>King County will ensure that mandatory and/or incentivized affordable housing unit created through its land use policies and regulations meets the same quality and design as market housing of a similar size and density, but may be allowed to be reasonably smaller in size and to have more modest finishes, and will encourage mandatory and incentivized affordable housing units to be created on the site of market rate housing projects. <del>((King County shall promote opportunities for publicly funded housing, including housing for low-income people with special needs, by:</del></u> <u>a. Adopting land use policies and regulations that treat publicly funded housing and other low-income housing the same as housing of a similar size and density;</u></p>	<p>Existing policy needed amending in order to allow for affordable housing to be created in mixed income projects without need for public subsidy, while ensuring that affordable units are of the same quality for building standards.</p>	<p>Affordable units do not have to be exactly the same as market rate units, making it possible to have more affordable units created in mixed-income projects.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>6, 8, 24, 25</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><del>b.— Adopting funding and program policies that encourage integration of assisted housing within communities and a fair distribution of publicly funded housing throughout the county. Mandatory dispersion requirements that limit where publicly funded housing may locate should not be applied; and</del></p> <p><del>c.— Encouraging developers and owners of publicly funded housing units to undertake activities to establish and maintain positive relationships with neighbors.))</del></p>					
<p><b>H-145</b> King County shall continue to require <u>Evergreen Sustainable Development Standards, or an equivalent successor standard, and will work with partners and stakeholders to encourage the improvement in healthy housing elements of Evergreen Sustainable Development Standards, with emphasis on healthy housing elements that reduce asthma.</u></p>	<p>New policy addressing sustainability standards in affordable housing funded by the County.</p>	<p>Creates policy framework for improving healthy housing elements of statewide affordable housing sustainability standard.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>6, 8, 24</p>
<p><del>(U-362)</del> <b>H-146</b> King County shall prohibit <u>in its land use regulations and administration</u> special requirements through land use regulations, restrictive covenants and conditional or special use permits that limit the ability of persons from protected classes (as defined in the King County Fair Housing Ordinance) to live in residences of their choice.</p>	<p>Non-substantive clarification regarding how policy is implemented</p>	<p>Clarifies intent</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><del>(U-363)</del> <b>H-147</b> King County shall permit group living situations, including those where residents receive such supportive services as counseling, foster care or medical supervision, within a single-family house or apartment.</p>	<p>Moved without edit</p>	<p>Improved clarity within new structure</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><del>(U-336)</del> <b>H-148</b> King County shall work with cities, <u>private sector</u> and community representatives to establish new, countywide funding sources for housing development, <u>acquisition,</u> rehabilitation, preservation, and</p>	<p>Additions to expand partners for funding and eligible activities.</p>	<p>Allows for greater innovations in affordable housing funding.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>6, 8, 18, 24</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
related services, such that <del>((each city))</del> <u>cities</u> and King County contribute on an equitable basis.					
<del>((U-337))</del> <u>H-149</u> King County shall work with other jurisdictions, housing developers, and service providers throughout the state to urge federal and state government to expand both capital and operating funding for low-income housing, including low-income housing for <del>((people with special needs))</del> <u>older adults, people who are homeless and people with behavioral health, cognitive, physical and developmental disabilities.</u>	Update of old "special needs "language to more comprehensive language.	Language update to be clear about populations with particular needs	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 18, 24
<del>((U-338))</del> <u>H-150</u> King County should encourage and support efforts by non-profit housing developers, housing agencies, and service providers to develop long-term nongovernmental funding sources, such as planned giving, endowments, and related economic development ventures.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-346))</del> <u>H-151</u> King County <del>((should))</del> <u>shall</u> seek opportunities to fund programs and projects where county funds are matched by additional public and private loans and <u>investments, and/or</u> contributions ( <del>(;</del> <u>increasing</u> ) <u>in order to increase</u> the amount of <u>financing available for affordable</u> housing <del>((that can be developed.))</del>	Makes policy imperative to match work to create new innovations in funding	Sets policy framework for seeking new opportunities in funding innovation	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 18, 24
<del>((U-344))</del> <u>H-152</u> King County shall give priority in its <u>affordable</u> housing <del>((funding subsidy programs to ((developments projects that serve low-income individuals and households at or below 80 percent of area median income (AMI), and/or that provide ((secure appropriate housing options for ((people with special needs, prevent displacement of low-income people, or provide low-income and special needs housing along with social services))</del> <u>older adults, people with behavioral health, cognitive, physical or developmental disabilities,</u>	Clarification regarding income limit for affordable housing subsidies and update of old "special needs "language to more comprehensive language.	Affordable housing subsidy programs may only support household incomes at/below 80% AMI.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 18, 24, 31

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>people that are homeless and people that are at risk of homelessness and/or displacement.</u>					
<b>H-153</b> <u>King County shall encourage the inclusion of smoke-free housing policies in projects funded through its affordable housing subsidy programs.</u>	Strengthen policy vision to ensure residents have safe places to be outside of their homes and not exposed to tobacco, a leading cause of death. Consistent with BOH resolution 10-07	Providing more health promoting environments, Support smoke-free policies in housing	Yes	PRD	4, 8, 24, 6, 8, 18, 24
<b>H-154</b> <u>King County shall work with partners and stakeholders to encourage the improvement in healthy housing elements in existing affordable housing sustainability standards, with emphasis on healthy housing elements that reduce asthma.</u>	Strengthen vision for quality affordable housing in order to promote health	Improved health of residents; Support healthy housing standards in affordable housing	Yes	PRD	4, 8, 24, 6, 8, 18, 24
<b>H-155</b> <u>King County shall give particular consideration in its affordable housing and community development investments to projects that provide housing and community development solutions in the 20% to 30% of the county with the most disparate outcomes in health, economic prosperity and housing conditions who may be at high risk of displacement; and shall .coordinate planning and community development investments to support such communities as they experience changes in their demographics, built environment, and real estate markets.</u>	Policy addition advancing Communities of Opportunity place-based work and ESJ.	Housing and community development programs give weight to places with biggest disparities.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	6, 8, 18, 24, 27, 30, 31
<b>H-156</b> <u>King County shall give particular consideration in its affordable housing subsidy programs to projects in areas where there is a severe shortage of affordable housing, and where there is access to job opportunities , a healthy community and active transportation.</u>	Policy addition advancing focus on ensuring that affordable housing, relative to market, is funded and produced in high cost markets	Housing programs give weight to high cost housing markets for targeting affordable units.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27, 30

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<b><del>((U-347))</del> H-157</b> King County should expand its use of surplus county-owned property and air rights over county-owned property <u>at a discount</u> for affordable housing and should <u>also explore</u> <del>((its use for other public benefits, such as human services, and consider conveyance of properties to public or non-profit housing developers and agencies at below-market cost))</del> <u>the use of such property for other community benefits, determined through a community participatory process, at below market cost, to non-profit developers and other developers that agree to provide such community benefits.</u> Surplus county property shall be prioritized for housing development that will be consistent with the King County <del>((Consortium Consolidated Plan and the Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness))</del> <u>Department of Community and Human Services adopted plans and policies.</u>	Adds the policy to provide surplus properties at a discount where it is possible for the County to do so; extend policy to include community benefits other than affordable housing.	This policy is a “should”, thus KC can determine when below market pricing for property is feasible.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	6, 8, 24, 27, 30
<b><del>((U-348))</del> H-158</b> King County should support the efforts of non-profit developers and housing agencies to increase the supply of housing for low-income households, through <u>affordable housing planning, policy and advocacy activities and the provision of technical assistance</u> <del>((and funding for capacity building, training, and predevelopment activities.))</del>	Amends policy to focus on areas that we are able to support with the funding and staffing that we have.	Limits scope of work in this policy area to remove funding for capacity building, predevelopment, etc., for which we don't have funds.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27, 30
<b><del>((U-349))</del> H-159</b> King County should support programs <u>and projects</u> that provide apprenticeship and employment training in the building trades through affordable housing development. King County should explore ways to partner with non-profit housing developers in offering pre-apprenticeship, apprenticeship and employment training opportunities.	Minor technical clarification regarding how policy is implemented	Public clarity	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b><del>((U-350))</del> H-160</b> When awarding subsidies for affordable housing developments to non-profit developers and housing agencies, King County <del>((shall</del>	Policy gives more weight to projects that incorporate healthy	When awarding funding, housing program will	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27, 30

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>include in its criteria whether the proposals)) shall consider and give</del> <u>considerable weight to projects that incorporate and implement healthy housing and sustainable development ((principles, including)) elements and universal design features.</u>	housing, sustainable and universal design elements	prioritize projects with these features, if everything else is otherwise equal between projects.			
<del>((U-354))</del> <u>H-161</u> King County should develop and expand incentives and subsidy programs to preserve affordable housing threatened by market forces and expiring federal subsidies. Relocation assistance and replacement housing should be <del>((developed))</del> <u>funded</u> , where feasible, to help low-income households when displacement is unavoidable.	Relocation policy is part of housing funding program and needed amendment to be corrected.	Relocation assistance is part of funding program.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27
<del>((U-353))</del> <u>H-162</u> King County should assist owners of rental properties serving low- and moderate-income residents to acquire <u>affordable financing</u> for building health and safety improvements in exchange for long-term agreements to maintain affordable rents.	Policy amendment to clarify need to pursue “affordable” financing for housing stock improvement in order to minimize displacement of lower income tenants.	Housing policy staff will work with many partners to try to secure affordable financing options for health & safety improvements in existing housing.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 18, 24, 27, 34
<del>((U-355))</del> <u>H-163</u> King County should coordinate preservation of existing affordable housing with city and county historic preservation programs and incentives, and should promote preservation and restoration of significant historic features in the rehabilitation of existing buildings and sites for housing.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-357))</del> <u>H-164</u> <u>For any subsidized housing project that preserves existing structures, King County ((should consider the constraints of rehabilitation, legalization of accessory dwelling units, and historic preservation, so)) shall ensure that usable structures are</u>	Revises policy to focus more specifically on rehabilitation standards for housing funding program(s). ADU's and other	Policy framework for rehabilitation standards in housing programs.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
rehabilitated to an appropriate level of safety and habitability.	subjects covered in other policies.				
<b>H-165</b> <u>King County shall adopt funding program policies that encourage the integration of publicly subsidized housing within mixed-income projects, and within all communities. Such funding policies shall support a fair distribution of publicly subsidized housing throughout the county. King County shall not apply mandatory dispersion requirements that limit where publicly subsidized housing may be located.</u>	Policy support for fair and equitable distribution of affordable housing and mixed-income housing in accordance with ESJ, Communities of Opportunity and Transformation.	Funding program(s) guidelines will support policy.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27
<b>H-165a</b> <u>Through its funding programs, King County shall encourage developers and owners of publicly subsidized housing units to undertake activities to establish and maintain positive relationships with neighbors.</u>	“Good neighbor” policy support	Good neighbor policies increase positive view of affordable housing by community members	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27
<del>((U-364))</del> <b>H-166</b> <u>King County <del>((should develop and adopt))</del> shall administer standards for publicly <del>((funded))</del> subsidized housing that will:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <u>Increase the ability of people with <del>((special needs to visit or))</del> physical disabilities to have physical access to housing <del>((units))</del> and mobility within housing</u> regardless of their residency status;</li> <li>b. Allow household members to age in place through the inclusion of universal design principles that <del>((increase))</del> <u>make housing <del>((opportunities that are))</del> units more</u> accessible and usable by all persons; <del>((and</del></li> <li>c. Support the ability of <del>((all people, especially the elderly and persons with disabilities and special needs,))</del> <u>older adults and people with behavioral health, physical, cognitive and developmental disabilities</u> to find housing opportunities that allow them to live as independently as possible in the housing</li> </ul>	Updates housing subsidy program standards regarding reduction of barriers for persons with disabilities.	Policy framework for barrier free healthy housing.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	6, 8, 24, 27

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>and community of their choice; and <u>d. Increase the ability of people to have access to smoke-free housing.</u></p>					
<p><del>((U-366))</del> <u>H-167</u> King County should <u>use opportunity mapping</u> <del>((help in site planning that))</del> : a. <del>((Supports))</del> <u>To support</u> the siting of community facilities and assisted publicly <del>((funded))</del> <u>subsidized</u> affordable housing in locations where low- and moderate-income residents and persons with <del>((special needs))</del> <u>behavioral health, physical, cognitive and developmental disabilities</u> have convenient access to a variety of opportunities, <u>transportation, amenities and services; and</u> <del>((b. Uses opportunity mapping; and</del> e) <u>b. ((Promotes)) To promote</u> fair housing and diverse communities that are inclusive of residents with a range of abilities, ages, races, incomes and other diverse characteristics of the population of King County.</p>	<p>Policy framework for opportunity mapping work to advance ESJ, Communities of Opportunity, Transformation and equitable TOD.</p>	<p>KC will continue to use opportunity mapping to aid implementation of programs in support of equity based initiatives.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>6, 8, 18, 24, 27</p>
<p><del>((U-365))</del> <u>H-168</u> King County should support <u>flexible programs and emerging strategies</u> that help <u>to prevent and reduce homelessness</u>, such as <u>emergency rental assistance, short-term rental assistance, diversion assistance, mortgage default and foreclosure counseling, and improvements to emergency services referral networks.</u></p>	<p>Updates policies to reflect emerging and best practices from All Home Strategic Plan to address homelessness in King County.</p>	<p>KC will continue to work with partners to implement emerging and best practices for addressing homelessness.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>6, 8, 24, 27</p>
<p><del>((U-369))</del> <u>H-169</u> King County shall participate in the Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness <u>(the "All Home" plan to address homelessness in King County in order)</u> to sustain and support a coordinated, regional response to homelessness that includes access to homelessness prevention services, <u>diversion assistance, emergency shelter, rapid</u></p>	<p>Update of policy to advance new All Home Strategic Plan</p>	<p>KC will continue to work internally and with partners to advance All Home strategies.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>6, 8, 24, 27</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>re-housing</u> , transitional housing, <u>permanent supportive housing</u> , permanent affordable housing, and <del>((appropriate))</del> <u>flexible</u> support services <u>as needed</u> for homeless families, single adults, and youth/ <u>young adults</u> .					
<del>((U-370))</del> <u>H-170</u> King County <del>((should))</del> <u>shall</u> work with jurisdictions and housing providers <u>locally and</u> across the state to urge state and federal governments to expand funding for direct assistance services such as <u>flexible rental assistance</u> , <u>diversion assistance</u> and emergency services. In addition to rental assistance, King County should support programs that help prevent homelessness and that improve <u>prevention and</u> emergency services referral networks, including <del>((the development of a))</del> <u>an efficient</u> coordinated intake system for homeless families and individuals <del>((, and low-income households that are seeking permanent housing.))</del>	Updates to policy to make imperative and to align with All Home Strategic Plan. Portions of deleted text moved to following policy.	KC will continue to work with All Home partners to seek funding opportunities at local, state and federal levels in support of policies.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27
<del>((U-374))</del> <u>H-171</u> King County should support <u>innovative and flexible tools and</u> programs that assist low-income renters to <del>((remain in))</del> <u>maintain housing stability</u> or to gain access to <u>permanent affordable housing and</u> private market housing, such as revolving loan funds that cover utility and damage deposits, and rental assistance programs.	Minor technical clarification regarding how policy is implemented	Public clarity	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((U-372))</del> <u>H-172</u> King County should support programs that provide landlord-tenant counseling, <u>sessions and</u> workshops, <del>((and))</del> mediation in landlord-tenant disputes, <del>((as well as))</del> <u>and</u> legislation that protects the rights of tenants and landlords, such as <u>eviction for cause and</u> fair rental contracts.	Minor clarifications; addition of eviction for cause as a potential legislative agenda regarding tenant protections.	Executive and Council to collaborate on legislation regarding new policy goal.	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27
<del>((U-368))</del> <u>H-173</u> King County <del>((should))</del> <u>shall</u> provide financial assistance for <u>ownership</u> housing rehabilitation to low-income home owners, including owners of mobile/ <u>manufactured</u> homes residing in parks	Clarity that policy applies to ownership housing, repair, rehabilitation, etc.	KC will continue to provide funding for owner housing rehab and will explore	Yes	PRD	6, 8, 24, 27

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><del>((a, and through))</del> <u>or on their own land through individual or cooperative ownership. King County should also consider support for community-based repair programs, such as tool banks or painting programs.</u></p>		<p>innovative programs.</p>			
<p><del>((U-367))</del> <u>H-174</u> King County should work with local lenders <u>and non-profit organizations providing home ownership assistance to expand assistance for ((first-time)) eligible income-qualified homebuyers, including homebuyer education and counseling, mortgage default and foreclosure counseling, culturally relevant low-cost financing and assistance with down payments and closing costs, and alternative ownership housing models such as land trusts, co-housing, etc.</u></p>	<p>Policy expanded to include all partners and partnership models.</p>	<p>KC will continue exploring this work with a broad range of partners.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>6, 8, 24, 27</p>
<p><del>((F-299e))</del> <u>H-201</u> <u>In coordination with local jurisdictions, funding partners and community partners, King County will seek to build and sustain a coordinated regional health and human services and behavioral health system to provide services, supports, safety and opportunity to those most in need. In carrying out its role in ((human services)) such systems, King County government will:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <u>Work with other jurisdictions and organizations to define a regional health and human services and behavioral health system and strengthen financing, access and overall effectiveness of services;</u></li> <li>b. <u>Collaborate with other funders to assure coordination in how funds are used, and continue to explore improvements to system design, contracting, data collection and analysis;</u></li> <li>c. <u>Retain responsibility for the development and implementation of mandated countywide specialty systems for ((mental health))</u></li> </ul>	<p>Policy additions to include all partners, especially partnership between DCHS and PHSKC; adds health element into human services sub-chapter; highlights behavioral health integration and the crosscutting work of the departments working together to advance the Transformation Plan and the evolution to a more prevention-oriented system.</p>	<p>Clarifies and highlights the crosscutting work in progress and that will continue for many years to come.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>6, 8, 10, 22, 27</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><u>behavioral health (including mental health and substance use disorder treatment), physical, emotional and cognitive health, public health, drug and alcohol abuse and dependency, veterans, ((public health,)) and people with developmental disabilities ((services));</u></p> <p>d. Define its regional role in other human service ((systems)) <u>and prevention-oriented, including systems that address homelessness, ((aging)) older adults, domestic violence, sexual assault, crisis diversion and re-entry, early intervention and prevention</u> and youth and family services;</p> <p>e. Assess and measure the health and needs of King County’s citizens on an ongoing basis and modify strategies to respond to changing needs, outcomes, and new research; and</p> <p>f. Review the effectiveness and appropriateness of this policy framework periodically and revise if needed.</p>					
<p><del>((F-299d))</del> <u>H-202</u></p> <p>King County’s priorities for human service investments will be programs and services that help to stabilize and <del>((improve people’s lives))</del> <u>strengthen resiliency</u>, and prevent or reduce emergency medical <u>services, crisis services</u> and criminal justice system involvement and costs. King County will focus resources and efforts on programs and services that continue to improve individual and community quality of life, improve equity and social justice, <del>((counterbalance growth in areas costly to communities and taxpayers,))</del> and preserve the resources necessary to collaborate as a true partner in regional human service systems. The following priority investment areas are consistent with other regional plans and initiatives:</p>	<p>Updates for ESJ, transformation and health care reform.</p>	<p>Establishes policy framework to comport with transformation and ESJ initiatives, as well as health care reform and behavioral health integration.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p> <p>Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>4, 6, 8, 18, 22, 27</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>a. Effective <u>early</u> intervention and prevention strategies;</p> <p>b. Job readiness, <u>support for job development in business innovation districts, support for community-based jobs through certification programs that create jobs in health, behavioral health and human services systems</u> and employment to increase self-sufficiency;</p> <p>c. <u>Affordable housing</u>;</p> <p>d. <u>Community and economic development activities</u>;</p> <p>e. <u>Prevention and elimination of homelessness; ((and))</u></p> <p>f. <u>Behavioral health services (including crisis services, mental health treatment, substance use disorder treatment, co-occurring treatment, prevention services, early intervention services, recovery services and housing support services); and</u></p> <p>((e)) g. <u>Services and programs</u> that reduce the growth of emergency medical <u>and crisis-oriented behavioral health services and other crisis services</u> and criminal justice system involvement ((and costs.))</p>					
<p><del>((F-299e))</del> <u>H-203</u> King County will apply principles that promote effectiveness, accountability and <u>equity and</u> social justice. King County embraces the following principles in its <u>health and human service actions and investments</u>:</p> <p>a. King County will provide information to the community on its <u>health, human services and behavioral health system</u> planning and evaluation activities, funding processes and criteria, and the results of its investments in a transparent, <del>((and))</del> <u>accountable and culturally and audience appropriate</u> manner;</p> <p>b. King County will uphold federal, state and local laws against</p>	<p>Updates for ESJ, transformation, especially cross-sector collective impact work, health care reform, and, in particular adds behavioral health services for which the County assumes primary responsibility.</p>	<p>Establishes policy framework to comport with transformation and ESJ initiatives, as well as health care reform and behavioral health integration.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 6, 8, 18, 22, 27</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>discrimination; promote culturally competent, <u>equitable</u> and relevant service delivery; and <u>will</u> work to end disparities in social, health and economic status among <u>communities and</u> people of different racial and ethnic backgrounds;</p> <p>c. <u>King County shall work with local service providers to provide behavioral health services to low-income individuals in need, including high quality equitable prevention, crisis diversion, mental health, substance abuse disorder and co-occurring treatment services to youth, young adults and older adults. The county will assume primary responsibility for coordinating the provision of countywide behavioral health services, working in partnership with cities and local service providers.</u></p> <p>d. <u>King County will encourage service approaches that promote recovery and resiliency and support individuals and families to achieve their full potential to live meaningful and productive lives in the community;</u></p> <p>((e)) e. <u>King County will foster integration of systems of care through increased information sharing and collective impact work across agencies and programs for the purpose of improved service delivery, coordination and shared outcomes; and</u></p> <p>((e)) f. <u>Together with its partners, King County will assess and respond to changing human service and behavioral health needs and use data, research, innovation, analysis and evidence-based practices to drive its investments.</u></p>					
<p><b>H-204</b> <u>King County shall apply principles that lead to thriving healthy communities in all neighborhoods of the region. King County will</u></p>	<p>Reflects the KC Board of Health recommendations to integrate</p>	<p>Focuses on promoting healthy environments in</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 8, 6, 10, 18, 22, 27</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><u>support public health investments to that help all residents live in thriving communities where they have the opportunity to make healthy choices. King County shall support:</u></p> <p><u>a. Access to safe and convenient opportunities to be physically active, including access to walking, bicycling, recreation and transit infrastructure;</u></p> <p><u>b. Access to healthy and affordable foods;</u></p> <p><u>c. Protection from exposure to harmful environmental agents and infectious disease is reduced and minimized;</u></p> <p><u>d. Access to transportation systems that are designed to prevent pedestrian, bicyclist and driver injuries;</u></p> <p><u>e. Residential neighborhoods free from violence and fear of violence;</u></p> <p><u>f. Protection from involuntary exposure to second hand tobacco smoke and under-age access to tobacco products;</u></p> <p><u>g. Community amenities and design that maximizes opportunities for social connectivity and stress reduction;</u></p> <p><u>h. A range of health services, including timely emergency response and culturally-specific preventive medical, behavioral and dental care within their community.</u></p>	<p>health and equity into county planning. Consistent with KC BOH Guidelines and Recommendations on Healthy Community Planning</p>	<p>order to effect the leading cause of death and injury in our communities; Adds healthy communities policy framework to Comprehensive Plan.</p>		<p>Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	
<p><b>H-205</b> <u>King County will support and implement health-related policies and programs that address the social determinants of health and the built environment, by partnering with health care services, community-based organizations, foundations, other regional agencies, boards, commissions and elected officials to improve public health.</u></p>	<p>Reflects KC vision to impact the social determinants of health through community development in collaboration with multiple partners</p>	<p>Provides support for KC efforts to work in partnership with other government, community, foundation; Adds social determinants of health policy framework to</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 6, 8, 10, 18, 22, 27</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<b>H-206</b> <u>King County will encourage significant increases in the role and influence of residents living in communities that have disproportionately lower health outcomes.</u>	Emphasizes the inclusion of residents in program and plan development and decision making	Comprehensive Plan. Programs and policies that better reflect community needs; Adds policy framework to support transformation and communities of opportunity into the Comprehensive Plan.	Yes	PRD	4, 8, 10, 11, 18, 22, 27
<b>H-207</b> <u>King County recognizes that poverty, affordable housing and access to economic opportunity for all residents are critical public health issues and will take steps to address these issues through ongoing county plans, programs and funding.</u>	Additional policy to include public health within the new Chapter 4, Housing, Health and Human Services; and to establish the policy framework for collaboration between DCHS and PHSKC and other partners to work in collective impact to address critical public health issues.	Programs and policies that better reflect community needs; Adds policy framework to support transformation and communities of opportunity into the Comprehensive Plan.	Yes	PRD	4, 8, 10, 11, 18, 22, 27
<b>H-208</b> <u>King County will explore the co-location of health and human services facilities that are easily accessible, distributed equitably throughout the county, make the best use of existing facilities and are compatible with adjoining uses.</u>	Additional policy to include public health within the new Chapter 4, Housing, Health and Human Services; and to establish the policy framework for collaboration between DCHS and PHSKC for services.	Establishes policy framework to comport with transformation and ESJ initiatives, as well as health care reform and behavioral health integration.	Yes	PRD	4, 6, 8, 18, 22, 27

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
CHAPTER ((4)) 5 ENVIRONMENT					
E-103 King County should coordinate with local jurisdictions, universities, federal and state agencies, tribes, citizen interest groups, special districts, businesses, and citizens to implement, monitor, and update Water Resource Inventory Area <u>salmon recovery</u> plans for all areas of King County.	Corrects an omission in the policy – policy relates to updating the WRIA salmon recovery plans.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 56
E-104 Development of environmental regulations, restoration and mitigation projects, and incentive and stewardship programs should be coordinated with local jurisdictions, federal and state agencies, tribes, special interest groups and citizens when conserving and restoring the natural environment consistent with Urban Growth Area, Rural Area and designated <u>Natural Resource Land goals, floodplain management plans, stormwater retrofitting plans and salmon recovery plans.</u>	Adds references to three major plans to the policy to reflect regional approaches. KC Flood Hazard Management Plan serves as a functional element of the Comp Plan, meets requirements for State Floodplain Mgmt. Plan, and Federal Disaster Planning requirements.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	3, 56
E-105 Environmental quality and important ecological functions shall be protected and hazards to health and property shall be minimized through development reviews and implementation of land use plans, Water Resource Inventory Area <u>salmon recovery</u> plans, <del>((surface))</del> <u>stormwater</u> management plans and programs, flood hazard management plans, environmental monitoring programs, and park master plans. These plans shall also encourage stewardship and restoration of critical areas as defined in the Growth Management Act, and include an adaptive management approach.	Corrects an omission in the policy – policy relates to updating the WRIA salmon recovery plans and changes clarifies that “storm” water is what the County manages – not all surface water.	clarifies language in policies.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	56

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
E-111	King County shall evaluate development proposals subject to drainage review <u>in unincorporated King County</u> to assess whether the proposed actions are likely to cause <del>((,))</del> <u>or</u> contribute to <del>((, or lead to))</del> violations of Washington State water quality standards in receiving waters for individual pollutants of concern and identify mitigation or requirements to avoid the impacts when appropriate.	Clarifies language – KC only evaluates development proposal in unincorporated area.	clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-112	When environmental monitoring indicates <u>human activities have caused</u> impaired water quality, such as increased water temperature, fecal contamination, low oxygen, excess nutrients, metals, or other contaminants, King County shall take actions which will help moderate those impairments.	Clarifies language – KC only addresses human caused impairments.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((E-106))</del> E-112b	The protection of lands where development would pose hazards to health, property, important ecological functions or environmental quality shall be achieved through acquisition, enhancement, incentive programs and appropriate regulations. The following critical areas are particularly susceptible and shall be protected <u>in King County</u> : a. Floodways of 100-year floodplains; b. Slopes with a grade of 40 percent or more or landslide hazards that cannot be mitigated; c. Wetlands and their protective buffers; d. Aquatic areas, including streams, lakes, marine shorelines and their protective buffers; e. Channel migration hazard areas; f. Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas; g. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas; and h. Volcanic hazard areas.	Policy moved under Growth Management Act and Critical Areas Protection. See note with policy at new location.	policy language moved to GMA/CAO section.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
E-113	King County should actively participate in updating and implementing the Puget Sound Partnership's 2020 Action Agenda, <u>including participating in the South Central Caucus Group and Snohomish-Stillaguamish Local Integrating Organizations, and supporting the Partnership's three Strategic Initiatives.</u>	Policy amended to reflect specific efforts in which the County is engaged with the PSP.	Reflects current collaborative efforts with PSP.	Yes	PRD	3, 56
E-115	<del>((The county))</del> King County should identify opportunities for coordinating its existing monitoring programs with monitoring and assessment work conducted through <u>Puget Sound Ecosystem Monitoring Program</u> , the Puget Sound Partnership's Strategic Science Plan and <u>the Puget Sound Partnership's Biennial Science Work Plan.</u>	Policy amended to reflect specific efforts in which the County is engaged with the PSP.	Reflects current collaborative efforts with PSP.	Yes	PRD	3, 56
E-115a	King County shall exercise its authority under RCW 17.10 to <u>(1) establish a county noxious weed control board to provide citizen oversight and direction, and (2) implement a program of activities that minimizes the impacts of noxious weeds to the environment, economy, recreation and public health within the County.</u>	Creates a new Comp Plan Section highlighting operational activities the County is taking to control noxious weeds. This policy formalizes planning authority for activities the Noxious Weed Control board is undertaking in King County.	Reflects operational activities of the Noxious Weed Control Board.	Yes	PRD	3
E-202	<del>((Through reporting on its major environmental sustainability programs,))</del> King County shall assess and publicly report on: a. Its normalized and total energy usage and total greenhouse gas emissions associated with county operations; b. Countywide greenhouse gas emissions associated with resident, business, and other local government activities; and c. <del>((e))</del> Countywide greenhouse gas inventories that quantify all direct local sources of greenhouse gas emissions as well as	Consistent with Countywide Planning Policy Environment 18A. Also consistent with measuring progress towards greenhouse gas emissions targets from pages 24 and 25 of the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	1, 3

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
emissions associated with local consumption.						
E-203	King County should collaborate <del>((with other local governments regionally, nationally and internationally))</del> to set transparent standards to account for the net energy and greenhouse gas emissions impacts of government actions such as constructing transportation infrastructure and providing services such as recycling and transit and should assess and publically report these impacts as practicable.	Simplification to reflect that many non-governmental agencies are taking leadership roles in developing greenhouse gas emissions accounting protocols and standards.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-204	King County should collaborate with experts in the field of climate change, including scientists at the University of Washington's Climate Impacts Group, to monitor, <del>((and))</del> assess <u>and publicly share information about</u> the impacts of climate change in King County.	Edit consistent with 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan goal (pg. 21) that "King County will cultivate an inclusive, shared regional vision for combatting climate change by working across County departments and through partnerships with other governments, Tribes, businesses, educational institutions, and philanthropic and community organizations."	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
E-206	King County shall reduce total greenhouse gas emissions from government operations, compared to a 2007 baseline by at least <del>((80% by 2050))</del> <u>15 percent by 2015, 25 percent by 2020, and 50 percent by 2030.</u>	Ensures consistency with near and long term greenhouse gas emissions targets outlined on page 24 of the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53, 54

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
<b>E-206a</b>	<b><u>King County's Department of Natural Resources and Parks, including the Wastewater Treatment Division, Solid Waste Division, Parks and Recreation Division, and Water and Land Resource Division, shall achieve net carbon neutrality for its operations by 2017.</u></b>	Ensures consistency with near and long term greenhouse gas emissions targets outlined on page 24 of the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53, 54
<b>E-206b</b>	<b><u>King County's Wastewater Treatment Division and Solid Waste Division shall each independently achieve carbon-neutral operations by 2025.</u></b>	Ensures consistency with near and long term greenhouse gas emissions targets outlined on page 24 of the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53, 54
<b>E-206c</b>	<b><u>King County shall develop and implement an operational "cost of carbon." The cost of carbon should be used in life-cycle assessments and decision making related to County operations, including for purchase of clean vehicles and alternative fuels, for facility construction and resource efficiency projects, and for related technology investments. King County should also pursue using the cost of carbon to inform broader County planning and decision making.</u></b>	Ensures consistency with a "Develop and Implement an Operational Cost of Carbon" commitment made on page 36 of the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
<b>E-210</b>	<b><u>King County shall collaborate with its cities, and other partners, to <del>((meet or exceed the statewide greenhouse gas emissions reduction requirement of 50 percent below 1990 levels by 2050))</del> reduce countywide sources of greenhouse gas emissions, compared to a 2007 baseline, by 25 percent by 2020, 50 percent by 2030, and 80 percent by 2050.</u></b>	Ensures consistency with near and long term greenhouse gas emissions targets outlined on page 24 of the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53, 54
<b><del>(E-211)</del></b>	<b><del>King County shall collaborate with its cities and other partners to</del></b>	Policy out of date; this work has	Deletes out of date	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>develop near term targets to achieve greenhouse gas emission reductions throughout the region to 80 percent below 2007 levels by 2050.))</del>	already been accomplished	policies			
<b>E-215a</b> <u>King County will collaborate with local cities, residents, and other partners to prepare for the effects of climate change on the environment, human health, public safety, and the economy.</u>	Added policy consistent with the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan (pg. 102) Section Two: Preparing for Climate Change Impacts” county services goal.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
<b>E-215b</b> <u>King County will plan and prepare for the likely impacts of climate change on County-owned facilities, infrastructure, and natural resources.</u>	Added policy consistent with the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan (pg. 103) “Section Two: Preparing for Climate Change Impacts” county operations goal.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
<b>E-215c</b> <u>King County should collaborate with the scientific community, state and federal agencies, and other jurisdictions to develop detailed, science-based estimates of the magnitude and timing of climate change impacts on air temperatures and heat waves, rainfall patterns and severe weather, river flooding, sea level rise, fish and wildlife, and ocean acidification in King County.</u>	Added policy consistent with the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan Section Two: Preparing for Climate Change Impacts” county services Strategy A under “Coordination with Other Partners”.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
<del>(E-216</del> <u>King County should take steps to raise awareness about climate change impacts, including impacts on human health, and should collaborate with climate science experts, federal and state agencies, and other local governments to develop strategies to adapt to</u>	Policy out of date; this work has already been accomplished	Deletes out of date policies	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>climate change.))</del>					
<b><u>E-215d</u></b> King County should share information on climate change impacts and collaborate on approaches to improving resiliency of infrastructure, disaster preparedness, and public engagement with local cities and other partners to make the best use of limited resources and more effectively engage King County residents.	Added policy consistent with the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan Section Two: Preparing for Climate Change Impacts” county services Strategy B under “Coordination with Other Partners”.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
<b><u>E-215e</u></b> King County shall integrate observed and projected climate change impacts, including severe weather, flooding, drought, fire, and landslides, into emergency management planning and programs.	Added policy consistent with the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan Section Two: Preparing for Climate Change Impacts” county services Strategy A under “Public Services and Education”.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
<del>(E-224)</del> <b><u>E-217a</u></b> King County should periodically review and evaluate climate change impacts on natural resources that its resource programs are designed to protect, such as open space, forests, fisheries, productive farmland, and water quality and treatment, in order to assess and improve the efficacy of existing strategies and commitments.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b><u>E-221a</u></b> King County shall apply its Equity Impact Review process to help prioritize investments in making infrastructure, natural resources, and communities more resilient to the impacts of climate change.	Added policy consistent with the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan Section Two: Preparing for Climate	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53, 53, 8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 62, 68, 83, 91,

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	Change Impacts” county services Strategy D under “Public Services and Education”.				99, 102
<del>E-218</del> <del>King County should collaborate with climate scientists, federal and state agencies, and other local governments to evaluate and plan for the potential impacts associated with sea level rise.</del>	Policy out of date; this work has already been accomplished	Deletes out of date policies	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>E-219</del> <del>King County shall consider projected impacts of climate change, including more severe winter flooding and heat events, when updating disaster preparedness, levee investment, and land use plans; siting King County infrastructure; and updating development regulations.</del>	Policy out of date; this work has already been accomplished	Deletes out of date policies	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>E-220</del> <del>The county should inventory essential county facilities and infrastructure, including roads and wastewater treatment and conveyance facilities, that are subject to impacts that may be exacerbated by climate change, such as flooding and inundation from sea level rise, and develop strategies for reducing risks and mitigating future damages.)</del>	Policy out of date; this work has already been accomplished	Deletes out of date policies	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>E-221b</b> <b>King County shall integrate estimates of the magnitude and timing of climate change impacts into capital project planning, siting, design, and construction and also implement infrastructure operation and maintenance programs that consider full life-cycle costs and climate change impacts in asset management.</b>	Added policy consistent with the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan Section Two: Preparing for Climate Change Impacts” county operations Strategy A under “County Infrastructure and Operations”.	Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
<b>E-224</b> <b>To foster resilience to climate change in ecosystems and species,</b>	Updated to reflect priorities of	Ensures Comp Plan	Yes	PRD	3, 53

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><del>the ((county))</del> <b>King County</b> should prioritize efforts such as the <u>restoration of floodplains to improve the resilience of major rivers to changing flow regimes and temperatures, the protection and restoration of riparian vegetation to reduce warming in cold water systems ((, restore)) and of wetlands to reduce drought and flooding, ((improve)) and of connections between different habitats to maintain current seasonal migration and ((,)) facilitate migration opportunities for species whose ranges shift in latitude and altitude ((and protect and restore areas most likely to be resistant to climate change))</u> .</p>	<p>the Flood Risk Reduction and Floodplain Management (pg. 113) and Salmon Recovery (pg. 115) sections of the “Preparing for Climate Change Impacts” section of the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan.</p>	<p>consistency with the SCAP.</p>			
<p><del>((E-227</del> <b>King County</b> should support appropriate comprehensive approaches to <u>reducing greenhouse gas emissions, such as market-based emissions reduction programs and products, renewable energy standards for electricity production, and vehicle efficiency performance standards.</u>)</p>	<p>Policy out of date; this work has already been accomplished; replaced by E-226a</p>	<p>Deletes out of date policies</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>E-226a</b> <b>King County</b> supports comprehensive federal, regional and state <u>science-based limits and a market-based price on carbon pollution and other greenhouse gas emissions. A portion of revenue from these policies should support local GHG reduction efforts, such as funding for transit service, energy efficiency projects, and forest protection and restoration initiatives. King County also supports renewable energy standards for electricity production and vehicle efficiency performance standards.</u></p>	<p>Added policy consistent with the 2015 King County Strategic Climate Action Plan Climate Policy Commitment on page 28.</p>	<p>Ensures Comp Plan consistency with the SCAP.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 53</p>
<p><b>E-401</b> <del>((The county))</del> <b>King County</b> shall strive to conserve the native diversity of species and habitats in the county.</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>E-402</b> In the Urban Growth Area, <b>King County</b> shall strive to maintain a quality environment that includes fish and wildlife habitats that</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
support the greatest diversity of native species consistent with ((GMA)) <u>Growth Management Act</u> -mandated population density objectives. In areas outside the Urban Growth Area, the county should strive to maintain and recover ecological processes, native landscapes, ecosystems, and habitats that can support viable populations of native species. This should be accomplished through coordinated conservation planning and collaborative implementation.					
E-407	Distribution, spatial structure, and diversity of native wildlife and plant populations should be taken into account when planning restoration activities, acquiring land, ((and)) <u>designing, planning and managing parks.</u>	Adds planning to the list of activities consider in this area of work	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD n/a
E-408	King County should carry out conservation planning efforts in close collaboration with other local governments, tribes, state and federal governments, land owners, <u>community groups,</u> and other conservation planning stakeholders.	Adds “community groups” to the list of entities with which King County should coordinate conservation planning efforts.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD n/a
E-410	Habitat networks for threatened, endangered and Species of Local Importance, as listed in this chapter, shall be designated and mapped. Habitat networks for other priority species in the Rural Area and <u>Natural Resource Lands</u> should be identified, designated and mapped using ecoregion information about the county and its resources and should be coordinated with state and federal ecosystem mapping efforts as appropriate.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA; makes explicit that habitat networks may extend into Natural Resource lands that are not in the Rural Area.	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD 11
E-412	King County should work with adjacent jurisdictions, state and federal governments, tribes, and landowners during development of land use plans, Water Resource Inventory Area <u>salmon recovery</u>	Corrects an omission in the policy – policy relates to updating the WRIA salmon	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD 56

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
plans, and site development reviews to identify and protect habitat networks at jurisdictional and property boundaries.	recovery plans.				
<b>E-416</b> King County should use a mixture of information on historic, current, and projected future conditions to provide context for managing public hazards and protecting <u>and restoring</u> habitat.	Clarifies that King County not only protects habitat, but in many cases is actively engaged in restoring habitat.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	56
<b>E-418</b> King County should assess the relative scarcity <u>and sensitivity</u> of different land types, <u>habitats</u> and resources, the role of these <del>((lands))</del> <u>land types, habitats and resources</u> in supporting sensitive species, and the level of threat to these <del>((lands))</del> <u>land types, habitats, and resources</u> in terms of habitat modifications that would likely reduce populations of sensitive species.	Adds clarity to policy and specifically identifies habitat as a land type Effect: No effect.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>E-422</b> King County's land use <u>and park</u> planning, regulatory, and operational functions related to environmental protection, public safety, and equity should be closely coordinated across departments and with other applicable agencies and organizations to achieve an ecosystem-based approach.	Makes inclusion of King County park planning efforts into ecosystem based approaches explicit.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>E-424</b> <del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> should steward public lands well and should integrate fish and wildlife habitat considerations into capital improvement projects whenever feasible. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas should be protected and, where possible, enhanced as part of capital improvement projects.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>E-425</b> Stream and wetland buffer requirements may be increased to protect King County species of Local Importance and their habitats, as appropriate. Whenever possible, density transfers, clustering and buffer averaging should be allowed <u>to protect adjacent wetlands and protect or improve aquatic habitats.</u>	Clarifies language – this change was made at the request of the GMV/UAC to make it clear that clustering and buffer averaging should be done in this context	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	to protect natural resources.				
E-429 King County should provide incentives for private landowners who are seeking to remove invasive plants and noxious weeds and replace them with native plants <u>such as providing technical assistance or access to native plants.</u>	Clarifies language – this change was made at the request of the GMV/UAC to make it clear the services that may be available to KC landowners.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>(E-505)</del> (E-431b) Through training and other programs, King County should actively encourage the use of environmentally safe methods of vegetation control. Herbicide use should be <del>((minimized))</del> <u>restricted to low toxicity products applied by trained and licensed staff or contractors, and used only as necessary.</u> King County should be a good steward of public lands and protect water quality, by reducing the use of insecticides, herbicides and fungicides through the use of integrated pest and vegetation management practices.	Strengthens policy to clarify how integrated pest management is incorporated in County vegetation control activities	Updates language to reflect existing county programs and approaches	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	58
E-443 <del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> should promote voluntary wildlife habitat enhancement projects by private individuals and businesses through educational, active stewardship, and incentive programs.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-444 King County should partner with community associations, realtors, community groups, and other agencies to conduct targeted outreach to potential and new property owners about fish and wildlife habitat education and forestry education and incentive programs, particularly in <del>((rural and resource lands areas))</del> <u>Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands</u> <del>((ef))</del> <u>in</u> the county.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
E-447 King County recognizes that <del>((protecting))</del> <u>conserving</u> and restoring headwater and upland forest cover is important for preventing flooding, improving water quality, and protecting salmon and other wildlife habitat. The central role that forest cover plays in supporting	Edit reflects current county practices and terminology	Language updated to reflect current terminology	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
hydrologic and other ecological processes should be reflected in policies and programs addressing stormwater management, flooding, wildlife, and open space.					
E-449 <del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> shall promote retention of forest cover and significant trees using a mix of regulations, incentives, and technical assistance.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-450 Site development practices should minimize soil disturbance and maximize retention of native vegetation and soils. Where soil disturbance is unavoidable, native soils should be stockpiled on site and reused on site in accordance with best management practices to the maximum extent <del>((possible))</del> <u>practicable</u> .	Change in terminology to reflect county resources.	Clarity in approach	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-461 King County shall use incentives, regulations, capital projects, open space acquisitions, public education and stewardship, and other programs like <del>((reclaimed water))</del> <u>recycled water</u> to manage its aquatic resources (Puget Sound, rivers, streams, lakes, freshwater and marine wetlands and groundwater) and to protect and enhance their multiple beneficial uses. Use of water resources for one purpose should, to the fullest extent practicable, preserve opportunities for other uses.	Updates language with a more accurate description; the county no longer references “reclaimed” water	Language updated to reflect current terminology	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-463 King County shall integrate watershed plans with marine and freshwater surface water, flood hazard management, stormwater, groundwater, drinking water, wastewater, and <del>((reclaimed water))</del> <u>recycled water</u> planning, as well as federal and state Clean Water Act compliance and monitoring and assessment programs to provide efficient water resource management.	Updates language with a more accurate description; the county no longer references “reclaimed” water	Language updated to reflect current terminology	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-465 King County should use the information from local and regional water supply planning processes to enhance the county’s water	Clarifies language – makes explicit that the policy refers to	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
resource protection and planning efforts, including <u>implementation of Water Resource Inventory Area salmon recovery plans</u> ( <del>planning and projects</del> ).	WRIA salmon recovery plans.				
E-468 King County’s Shoreline Master Program, watershed management plans, Water Resource Inventory Area <u>salmon recovery plans</u> , flood hazard management plans, master drainage plans, open space acquisition plans, and critical areas regulations should apply a tiered system of protection that affords a higher standard of protection for more significant resources.	Clarifies language – makes explicit that the policy refers to WRIA salmon recovery plans.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-481 <del>((Alterations))</del> <u>Provided all wetland functions are evaluated, the least harmful and reasonable alternatives are pursued, affected significant functions are appropriately mitigated, and mitigation sites are adequately monitored, alterations to wetlands may be allowed to:</u> a. Accomplish a public agency or utility development; b. Provide necessary crossings for utilities, stormwater tightlines and roads; or c. Allow constitutionally mandated “reasonable use” of the property ( <del>(, provided all wetland functions are evaluated, the least harmful and reasonable alternatives are pursued, affected significant functions are appropriately mitigated, and mitigation sites are adequately monitored)</del> ).	Clarifies language – Policy re-ordered without edit for clarity. The provisions in bullet (c) should apply to bullets (a) and (b).	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-483 Wetland impacts should be avoided if possible, and minimized in all cases. Where impacts cannot be avoided, they should be mitigated on site if <del>((possible and if))</del> <u>the proposed mitigation is feasible, ecologically appropriate, and likely to continue providing desired functions in perpetuity</u> . Where on-site mitigation is not possible or appropriate, King County may approve off-site mitigation.	Narrative language clarifying what’s already being done. The federal and state agencies prefer offsite strongly (per federal rules).	Moves KC offsite mitigation policy closer to federal rules.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
E-486	<b><del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> in partnership with other governmental entities and interested parties should encourage the development and use of wetland mitigation banks through which functioning wetlands <u>or aquatic areas</u> are enhanced, restored, or created prior to the impacting of existing wetlands <u>or aquatic areas</u>. The county shall encourage establishment of such banks by established government entities as well as by private, entrepreneurial enterprises.</b>	Narrative language clarifying what's already being done. The federal and state agencies prefer offsite strongly (per federal rules).	Moves KC offsite mitigation policy closer to federal rules.	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-487	<b><del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> should continue to implement and encourage use of its Mitigation Reserves Program to provide a fee-based option for permit applicants to mitigate for unavoidable impacts of permitted development on wetland and aquatic area functions and values. The fee structure shall be based on the full costs of land acquisition, <u>site selection, design</u>, construction and long-term maintenance and monitoring. Mitigation projects implemented through the Mitigation Reserves Program should occur within a watershed context.</b>	Clarifies language – changes County to King County, and adds two elements that drive cost of in wetland mitigation.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-491	<b><del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u>, in partnership with other governments and community groups, should monitor and assess lake water and sediment quality, physical habitat, and biotic resources. Assessment should identify trends and describe impacts on human health, aquatic life, and wildlife habitat. <u>The county should collaborate with other affected jurisdictions, Public Health -- Seattle &amp; King County, State, the State Department of Health, and the State Department of Ecology to identify pollutant sources adversely impacting aquatic life or human health, and through local or grant funding opportunities reduce or remove these inputs.</u></b>	Policy language addition suggests that KC should partner with other jurisdictions to identify grant or other funding to address pollutants that adversely impact health.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 58

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
E-495	King County should protect groundwater recharge quantity by promoting low impact development and other methods that infiltrate <u>stormwater</u> runoff where site conditions permit and where pollution source controls and stormwater treatment can prevent potential groundwater contamination.	Minor text addition.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-497	King County should protect groundwater in the Rural Area by: a. Preferring land uses that retain a high ratio of permeable to impermeable surface area, and that maintain and/or augment the natural soil's infiltration capacity and treatment capability for groundwater; <del>((and))</del> b. <u>Requiring risk assessments and monitoring, where appropriate, of rural potable water supplies in groundwater subareas, and coordinate findings with local and state governments, agencies, districts and local property owners to monitor potable water supplies at high risk and develop plans to mitigate for the loss or serious impairment of domestic water supply from wells and springs; and</u> c. <u>Requiring standards for maximum vegetation clearing limits, impervious surface limits, and, where appropriate, infiltration of surface water.</u>	The addition of the new sub b. is to make it clear that the County may require developers in areas with rural potable water supplies to conduct a risk assessment and monitoring of ground water, and coordinate with community members.	Add additional analytical and monitoring requirements of developers in areas of the county that impact rural water supplies.	Yes	PRD	58
E-498	<del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> should, in partnership with water utilities, evaluate the likely effects of climate change on aquifer recharge and groundwater supplies and develop a strategy to mitigate potential impacts in coordination with other climate change initiatives.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((E-499e))</del> <u>E-498b</u>	The existing flood storage and conveyance functions and ecological values of floodplains, wetlands, and riparian corridors shall be protected, and should, where possible, be enhanced or restored.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
E-499c	The designation of buffers for aquatic areas, including rivers and streams, should take into account watershed-scale actions to mitigate the impacts of upland development on flooding, erosion, and habitat <u>to protect adjacent wetlands and protect or improve aquatic habitats.</u>	Clarifies that these other lands are part of this planning process	Updated language	Yes	PRD	58, 59
E-499d	<del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> , should continue to monitor and assess river and stream flows, water and sediment quality, physical habitats, and biotic resources in rivers and streams. Assessment should identify trends and describe impacts on human health and safety, aquatic life, and wildlife habitat.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
E-499g	King County should collaborate with the federal and state agencies <u>(including the Puget Sound Partnership)</u> , cities, tribes, counties, and universities to monitor and assess <u>Puget Sound</u> marine waters, <del>((and))</del> nearshore areas, and embayments <del>((of Puget Sound))</del> . Monitoring and assessment should address water and sediment quality, bioaccumulation of chemicals, physical habitat, and biotic resources. Assessment should identify trends and describe impacts on human health and safety, aquatic life, and wildlife habitat. <u>The county should collaborate with other affected jurisdictions, Public Health -- Seattle &amp; King County, State, the State Department of Health, and the State Department of Ecology to identify pollutant sources adversely impacting aquatic life or human health, and through local or grant funding opportunities reduce or remove these inputs.</u>	Policy language addition suggests that KC should partner with other jurisdictions to identify grant or other funding to address pollutants that adversely impact health. Effect: Reflect efforts that are currently under way no effect.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 59
E-499i	King County should work with landowners, <u>other jurisdictions</u> , the state Department of Health, sewer districts, and the Puget Sound Partnership to <del>((develop more effective strategies and additional</del>	Policy language addition suggests that KC should partner with other jurisdictions to	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 59

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><del>resources for addressing))</del> <b>address</b> failing septic systems in constrained shoreline environments.</p>	<p>identify grant or other funding to address pollutants that adversely impact health. Effect: Reflect efforts that are currently under way no effect.</p>				
<p><b>E-499ii</b> <u>King County supports the coexistence of beavers and people in rural King County. King County should prepare a beaver management strategy to guide a program on issues such as where and how beavers and humans can co-exist with or without engineered solutions and where beavers should be excluded or removed.</u></p>	<p>Policy addresses the increasing conflict between humans and beavers in KC.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>57</p>
<p><b>E-499j</b> <b>King County shall continue to participate in the Water Resource Inventory Area((-based)) salmon((id)) recovery plan implementation efforts and in other regional efforts to recover salmon and the ecosystems they depend on, such as the Puget Sound Partnership. King County’s participation in planning and implementation efforts shall be guided by the following principles:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>a. Focus on federally listed salmonid species <u>and declining stocks protected under tribal treaty rights</u> first, take an ecosystem approach to habitat management and seek to address management needs for other species over time;</b></li> <li><b>b. Concurrently work on early actions, long-term projects and programs that will lead to improvements to, and information on, habitat conditions in King County that can enable the recovery of endangered or threatened salmonids, while maintaining the economic vitality and strength of the region;</b></li> <li><b>c. Address both King County’s growth management needs and habitat conservation needs;</b></li> </ul>	<p>Clarifies language and highlights that not only are salmon species protected by the ESA but under tribal treaty rights.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 56</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>d. Use best available science as defined in WAC 365-195-905 through 365-195-925;</p> <p>e. Improve water quality, water quantity and channel characteristics;</p> <p>f. Coordinate with key decision-makers and stakeholders; and</p> <p>g. Develop, implement and evaluate actions within a watershed-based program of data collection and analysis that documents the level of effectiveness of specific actions and provides information for adaptation of salmon conservation and recovery strategies.</p>					
<p><b>E-499k</b></p> <p>King County should use the recommendations of approved Water Resource Inventory Area salmon (<del>habitat</del>) <u>recovery</u> plans to inform the updates to development regulations as well as operations and capital planning for its surface water management, transportation, wastewater treatment, parks, and open space programs.</p>	<p>Clarifies language – WRIA plans are intended to address salmon recovery.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>E-499l</b></p> <p>King County should seek to support Water Resource Inventory Area <u>salmon recovery</u> plan goals of maintaining intact natural landscapes through:</p> <p>a. Retaining low density land use designations such as Agriculture, Forestry and Rural Area designations;</p> <p>b. Promoting Current Use Taxation and other incentives;</p> <p>c. Promoting stewardship programs including development and implementation of Forest Plans, Farm Plans, and Rural Stewardship Plans;</p> <p>d. Promoting the use of Low Impact Development methods; and</p> <p>e. Acquiring property or conservation easements in areas of high ecological importance with unique or otherwise significant</p>	<p>Clarifies language – adds salmon recovery to WRIA plans.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>habitat values.</p>					
<p><b>E-499m</b></p>	<p>King County will monitor and evaluate programs and regulations to determine their effectiveness in contributing to <del>((ESA))</del> <u>Endangered Species Act</u> listed species conservation and recovery, and will update and enhance programs and plans as necessary. King County should amend regulations, plans and best management practices to enhance their effectiveness in protecting and restoring salmonid habitat, using a variety of resources, including best available science as defined in WAC 365-195-905 through 365-195-925.</p>	<p>Clarifies language – eliminates acronym ESA.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD n/a</p>
<p><b>E-499n</b></p>	<p>Through the Watershed Resource Inventory Area planning process, geographic areas vital to the conservation and recovery of listed <del>((salmonid species have been))</del> <u>salmon species are</u> identified. King County will evaluate this information to determine appropriate short and long-term strategies, including, but not limited to: designation of Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas, development regulations (special district overlays, zoning, etc.), acquisitions, facility maintenance programs, and capital improvement projects.</p>	<p>Clarifies language – replaces unnecessary jargon “salmonid” with salmon.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD n/a</p>
<p><b>E-499p</b></p>	<p>King County shall, in cooperation with the cities, ensure a no net loss of housing capacity that preserves the ability to accommodate the 2022 growth targets, while pursuing compliance with Endangered Species Act requirements. To achieve this goal, densities shall be increased on buildable lands, consistent with <del>((U))</del> <u>H-319</u>.</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to reflect new location of policy</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD n/a</p>
<p><b>E-499q1</b></p>	<p><u>King County shall implement a comprehensive local floodplain management program that protects lives, minimizes damage and disruption to infrastructure and critical facilities, preserves and restores natural floodplain functions, and ensures that new</u></p>	<p>Creating a new policy articulating County's commitment to mitigating flood risk this language consistent</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD 3, 58</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>development does not put people in harm's way or cause adverse flooding impacts elsewhere.</u>	with language in the CRS / FEMA.				
<b>E-499q2</b> <u>King County shall continue to exceed the federal minimum standards stipulated by the National Flood Insurance Program for unincorporated areas to better protect public safety, reduce the risk of flood and channel migration hazards to existing public and private property.</u>	Creating a new policy articulating County's commitment to mitigating flood risk From KC FHMP Policy G 14.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 58
<b>E-499r</b> <u>King County's floodplain land use and floodplain management activities shall be carried out in accordance with policies, programs and projects detailed in the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan.</u>	Clarifies language by adding specific reference to elements of the KC Flood Hazard Management Plan.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>E-499u</b> <u>King County shall incorporate into its land use and transportation planning, economic development efforts, and natural resource management the most promising actions to reduce impacts from natural hazards, such as earthquake, flooding, and landslide risk.</u>	Policy statement that emphasizes cross-departmental coordination on mitigating risks from natural hazards.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 42, 58,
<b>E-507a</b> <u>King County should maintain a map and inventory of known and potential landslide hazard areas in unincorporated King County that is based upon the best available information. This information will be used to inform future planning and guide development regulations.</u>	In response to the 2014 Oso Landslide King County has undertaken a planning effort to refine our identified landslide hazard areas using updated mapping methods.	Improved identification of areas where landslide hazards exist	Yes	PRD	3, 42, 58
<b>E-507b</b> <u>King County should make landslide hazards information readily available to the public in order to improve the general understanding of landslides and their associated hazards. This may include making information available on a public web site and providing outreach and assistance to current and prospective property owners and developers.</u>	In response to the 2014 Oso Landslide King County has undertaken a planning effort to refine our identified landslide hazard areas using updated mapping methods and improve	Improved communication with the public about landslide hazard risks.	Yes	PRD	3, 42, 58

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	communications about those risks.				
<b>E-508</b> <del>((Avalanche or Landslide Hazard Areas))</del> <u>Landslide hazard areas (including snow avalanche zones and other features as defined in King County Code)</u> <del>((should))</del> <u>shall</u> not be developed unless the risks and adverse impacts associated with such development <del>((can be reduced to a non))</del> <u>are eliminated or minimized so that they are at a non-significant level. Development proposed in</u> <del>((or adjacent to avalanche or landslide hazard))</del> <u>areas affected by landslide hazards shall be adequately reviewed and mitigated as needed to eliminate or minimize risk to the development as well as to ensure the development does not increase landslide or erosion hazards that would adversely impact</u> <del>((downstream))</del> <u>adjacent</u> properties or natural resources.	Policy language edited to clarify intent and to replace reference to “downstream” with “adjacent” properties, as development on landslide prone areas may have impacts up/down/across a slope. Additional edits based on public comments related to runoff.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	3, 42, 58
<b>E-508a</b> <u>King County shall consider landslide hazards and related flooding hazards in the context of hazard communication, operational preparedness and emergency response.</u>	In response to the 2014 Oso Landslide King County has undertaken a planning effort to refine our identified landslide hazard areas using updated mapping methods and improve communications about those risks.	Improved communication with the public about landslide hazard risks.	Yes	PRD	3, 42, 58
<b>E-601</b> <u>King County should conduct a comprehensive and coordinated program of environmental monitoring and assessment to track long-term changes in climate (e.g., precipitation, temperature), water quality and quantity, <del>toxics in fish and shellfish</del>, land use, land cover and aquatic and terrestrial habitat, natural resource conditions, and</u>	Additional reference to monitoring toxics in fish and shellfish reflects ongoing work.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
biological resources as well as the effectiveness of policies, programs, regulations, capital improvement projects, and stormwater treatment facility design. This monitoring program should be coordinated with other jurisdictions, state and federal agencies, tribes, and universities to ensure the most efficient and effective use of monitoring data.					
<b>E-604</b> <del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> should continue to collect data on key natural resource management and environmental parameters for use in KingStat, King County's Strategic Plan implementation goals and objectives, and other environmental benchmarking programs. Findings should be reported to the public, partner agencies, and decision-makers. The information collected should be used to inform decisions about policies, work program priorities and resource allocation.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del><b>(E-605</b> <u>King County shall carry out monitoring in compliance with its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System municipal permit. Data collected through these monitoring efforts should be coordinated with King County's other monitoring efforts to the extent possible, and carried out in the most cost-effective and useful manner))</u></del>	Redundant with, and updated by, other new policies	Removes outdated language	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>E-605</b> <u>King County shall fully comply with its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits, including seeking compliance strategies that are cost-effective and useful.</u>	NPDES monitoring requirement changed from being required to do monitoring to paying into a regional monitoring fund so the original language was outdated.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 60
<b>E-606</b> <u>King County should work with other Water Resource Inventory Area</u>	Clarifies language – WRIA	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>salmon</u> plan partners to establish a program (framework and methodology) for monitoring project specific and cumulative effectiveness of King County salmonid recovery actions. This program should include data collection and analysis and should provide information to guide an adaptive management approach to salmonid recovery.	plans are intended to address salmon recovery.				
E-607 <del>((The county))</del> King County should coordinate with other governments, agencies, tribes, non-governmental organizations and others to develop and implement regional and watershed-based <u>Monitoring and Adaptive Management</u> programs focused on achieving salmon recovery goals. <u>The programs should include monitoring of salmon populations and habitat status and trends over time in order for the county and its partners in salmon recovery to be able to access the overall trajectory of salmon recovery efforts.</u>	KC/WRIAs are ten years into implementing salmon recovery plans. Monitoring will help assess whether or not we are meeting the department's goals and inform policy/budgetary choices.	Currently KC is investing in status and trends monitoring, but there is a potential for increased demand for monitoring.	Yes	PRD	3, 56
E-608 King County should <del>((develop and))</del> implement a framework for effectiveness monitoring of critical areas regulations, and use monitoring data to inform the future review and updates of its critical areas policies and regulations.	framework – striking “develop” indicates that the County is now focused		Yes		
CHAPTER <del>((5))</del> <u>6</u> SHORELINES					
S-205 The following policy goals apply to all of the shoreline jurisdiction. The goals are not ranked in importance and have been assigned a number for identification purposes only. <del>((4))</del> <u>a.</u> The use of the shoreline jurisdiction for those economically productive uses that are particularly dependent on shoreline location or use. <del>((2))</del> <u>b.</u> The use of the shoreline jurisdiction for public access and	Grammatical edit to fix number in policy to be consistent with numbering conventions in plan	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>recreation.</p> <p><b>((3)) c.</b> Protection and restoration of the ecological processes and functions of shoreline natural resources.</p> <p><b>((4)) d.</b> Protection of the public right of navigation and corollary uses of waters of the state.</p> <p><b>((5)) e.</b> The protection and restoration of buildings and sites having historic, cultural, and educational value.</p> <p><b>((6)) f.</b> Planning for public facilities and utilities correlated with other shorelines uses.</p> <p><b>((7)) g.</b> Prevention and minimization of flood damage.</p> <p><b>((8)) h.</b> Recognizing and protecting private property rights.</p> <p><b>((9)) i.</b> Preferential accommodation of single-family residential uses.</p> <p><b>((10)) j.</b> Coordination of shoreline management with other relevant local, state and federal programs.</p>					
<p><b>S-512</b></p> <p>A shoreline may be designated Rural Shoreline if the shore Landis characterized by rural levels of development or if the shoreland is zoned Rural Area (<u>RA-2.5, RA-5, RA-10, and RA-20</u>) and:</p> <p>a. The shoreland does not contain limitations on rural residential uses, such as geological hazards or flood hazards; and</p> <p>b. The shoreline does not provide important shoreline ecological processes and functions that would be significantly compromised by rural levels of residential development.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>S-903</b></p> <p>Upon receipt of the letter from the Department of Ecology approving the King County Shoreline Master Program (SMP) or any amendments to the <del>((SMP))</del> <u>Shoreline Master Program</u>, King County will promptly post on its website a notice that the Department of</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to fix number in policy to be consistent with numbering conventions in plan</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>Ecology has taken final action and approved the <del>((SMP))</del> <u>Shoreline Master Program</u> or SMP amendments. The notice will indicate the effective date.</p>					
<p>CHAPTER <del>((6))</del> <u>7</u> PARKS, OPEN SPACE AND CULTURAL RESOURCES</p>					
<p><b>P-101</b> For the purposes of the King County open space system: “<del>((parks))</del>” shall <del>((mean))</del> <u>define</u> sites and facilities that are large in size, have unique features or characteristics or significant ecological value, and serve communities from many jurisdictions; and “<del>((parks))</del>” shall <del>((mean))</del> <u>define</u> sites and facilities that serve unincorporated communities predominately in the <del>((rural-area))</del> <u>Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands</u>.</p>	<p>Clarifying language, substituting “define” for “mean”.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>P-102</b> King County shall be a regional leader in the provision of a regional open space system consisting of parks, <u>regional</u> trails, natural areas, <del>((working))</del> <u>natural</u> resource lands, and flood hazard management lands. The regional network of open spaces provides benefits to all county residents including: recreation facilities, conservation of natural and working resource lands, improving air and water quality, flood hazard management and related programs and services, thereby contributing to the physical, mental and emotional well-being of county residents.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>P-103</b> King County will preserve wildlife corridors, <del>((and))</del> riparian habitat, <u>contiguous forest land</u>, as well as open space areas separating Urban and Rural Areas as part of its open space system.</p>	<p>Clarifying language, inserting the “contiguous forest lands” as this is a major area of focus for the County.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>P-105</b> King County should facilitate <u>affordable and culturally-accessible</u> educational, interpretive and aquatic programs on county-owned</p>	<p>Integrate ESJ into planning objectives</p>	<p>References triple-bottom line of sustainability</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 8, 14</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
properties that further the enjoyment, understanding and appreciation of the natural, cultural and recreational resources of the park system and the region.					
<del>((P-109))</del> <u>P-107</u> King County shall provide local parks, trails and other open spaces in the Rural Area. Local parks, trails and other open spaces that complement the regional system should be provided in each community in Rural Areas to meet local recreation needs and enhance environmental and visual quality.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((P-110))</del> <u>P-108</u> King County should provide local parks within rural communities with fields and other facilities that provide opportunities for active sports. These facilities shall be in addition to and compatible with King County's regional parks.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>((P-107))</del> <u>P-109</u> King County shall complete a regional trail system, linking trail corridors to form a countywide network. King County will continue to primarily own the land necessary for the operation and management of the trail system <u>and pursue public-private funding opportunities for development and maintenance.</u>	Addition to the policy reflects that increasingly the County is seeking public-private opportunities to maintain and improve facilities.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	4, 73
<u>P-110</u> King County shall include the planning and development of a <u>regional trail in the Eastside Rail Corridor, to enhance regional recreation and nonmotorized mobility. This facility will be planned and developed in coordination with other owners, and in close coordination with King County Transportation and other state and local agencies, in support of the multiple objectives of King County and the other owners. The trail will be identified in King County's regional trails plan, the Regional Trails Needs Report (RTNR), as a priority capital facility.</u>	Policy makes clear that the use of the ERC as a regional trail is a major focus of the County and that the ERC will be incorporated into the RTNR as a priority Capital project..	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	4, 63
<u>P-110a</u> The Eastside Rail Corridor regional trail shall be developed to	Per Council request to include	Clarifies County policy	Yes	PRD	4, 63, 84

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><u>enhance non-motorized connectivity between regional growth centers, urban communities, other regional trails, and local and high-capacity transit. The trail will enhance the quality of life by providing important recreation and mobility options for adjacent land uses.</u></p>	<p>ERC policies, Chapter 6 policies focus on use of the ERC for regional trail development. Other chapters - Transportation and Regional Planning will also include ERC policies focusing on other corridor relationships</p>	<p>with respect to of non-motorized and recreational uses on the trail.</p>			
<p><b>P-110b</b> <u>The Eastside Rail Corridor regional trail shall be developed to the most current regional trail standards, ensuring safe recreation and mobility in accessing trails, street and transit. The trail corridor will include high-quality landscaping and environmental features where appropriate to enhance the trail experience and to provide ecologic benefits to the region.</u></p>	<p>Policy makes clear that the use of the ERC as a regional trail is a major focus of the County and that the development of the trail will be undertaken to most current safety and environmental standards.</p>	<p>Clarifies policies with respect to East Side Rail Corridor and importance of building to most current safety and environmental standards.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 63, 84</p>
<p><b>P-110c</b> <u>Multi-use sites include lands that have areas of environmental value, but also may accommodate extensive public access and active and/or passive recreation opportunities.</u></p>	<p>Policy makes clear that the use of the ERC as a regional trail is a major focus of the County and that design will maximize public access and passive recreational uses.</p>	<p>Clarifies policies with respect to East Side Rail Corridor and importance of maximizing public access and passive recreational uses.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 63</p>
<p><b>P-116</b> <u>((F)) Working forest land and conservation easements owned by King County shall provide large tracts of forested property in the Rural Forest Focus Areas, ((and)) the Forest Production District (FPD) and Rural Area that will remain in active forestry, protect areas from development or provide a buffer between commercial forestland and adjacent residential development.</u></p>	<p>Policy edits reflect that the County owns forest land in fee and easements, and that some of that land is in the FPD and the Rural Area.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>((P-408))</del> <b>P-118a</b> King County will continue to provide and manage a backcountry trail system on its lands in collaboration with other public and private landholders and consistent with its Trail Programmatic Permit.	Moved without edit	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>P-119</b> Open space lands should be acquired to expand and enhance the open space system as identified in the King County Open Space Plan: Parks, <u>Regional</u> Trails and Natural Areas( <del>(, or when needed to meet adopted local park and recreation guidelines, or to protect contiguous tracts of working resource lands or ecological resources under the Acquisition Criteria in the King County Open Space Plan))</del> ).	Clarifying language – stricken language is implicit in the policy and unnecessary.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>P-120</b> <del>((Trails))</del> <u>Regional trail corridors</u> should be acquired when identified in King County's <del>((Trails Plans, the))</del> Regional Trails Needs Report or <u>other trails plans</u> <del>((when identified as part of a regional community trail network))</del> .	Clarifying language – County is focused on acquiring regional trail corridors when identified in the RTN report or other plans.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	4, 66
<del>((P-121</del> <del>King County shall consider equity in the location, development and acquisition of its open space system to help in the reduction of health disparities and in the promotion of social and environmental justice. ))</del>	Clarifying language – Policy 121 is duplicative of other policies	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>P-122</b> Lands preserved for public parks, <u>regional</u> trails or other open space should provide multiple benefits whenever possible.	Clarifying language – County is focused on developing regional trails and trail corridors.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	4
<b>P-123</b> Decisions on acquisition and development of park, <u>regional</u> trail, and other open space sites should consider funding needs for long term maintenance and operations.	Clarifying language – County is focused on developing regional trails and trail corridors.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	4
<b>P-124</b> A variety of measures should be used to acquire, protect, manage and develop regional and local parks, <u>regional</u> trails and open space. Measures can include: county funding and other funding mechanisms, grants, partnerships, incentives, regulations,	Clarifying language – County is focused on developing regional trails and trail corridors.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
dedications and contributions from residential and commercial development based on their service impacts and trades of lands and shared development activities.					
<b>P-125</b> Management of the regional open space system of parks, <u>regional</u> trails, natural areas and working resource lands is guided by the King County Open Space Plan: Parks, Trails and Natural Areas. <del>((That plan includes policies on the management of parks and trails, natural areas, and working resource lands.))</del>	Clarifying language – County is focused on developing regional trails and trail corridors.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	4
<b>P-126</b> Development and management of parks, <u>regional</u> trails and open space sites should be consistent with the purposes of their acquisition and in consideration of their funding sources.	Clarifying language – County is focused on developing regional trails and trail corridors.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	4
<b>P-127</b> Open space lands shall be classified to identify their role in the open space system and the purpose of the acquisition as recreation site, trail, natural area park, multiuse site, or working <del>((resource))</del> <u>forest</u> land. They will also be classified as regional or local open space land.	Grammatical edit to clarify the type of resource land to which this policy applies; making clear that “resource” are really “forest” lands	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>P-128</b> King County will adopt an entrepreneurial approach to managing and operating the open space system and work aggressively to implement multiple and appropriate strategies to <u>fiscally</u> sustain <del>((fiscally))</del> the open space system.	Clarifying language – moving the location of the word “fiscally” in the policy.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>P-128a</b> <u>King County shall develop management plans (such as master plans, forest stewardship plans or site management guidelines) that outline goals and objectives and management recommendations for sites within the open space system as appropriate and as budget and staffing resources allow.</u>	New policy consistent with WLR and acquisition funding requirements.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	64
<b>P-128b</b> <u>King County's use of pesticides and fungicides will be based on integrated pest management principles.</u>	Strengthens policy to clarify how integrated pest	Updates language to reflect existing county	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	58

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	management is incorporated in County vegetation control activities	programs and approaches			
<b>P-129</b> King County shall be a leader in establishing partnerships with cities, adjacent counties, tribes, state and federal agencies, school and special purpose districts, community organizations, non-profit organizations, land owners and other citizens. The county and these partnerships should work to promote and protect all aspects of environmental quality <u>and address social and economic justice goals ((and)) to complete the regional parks and open space system through joint planning and management of ((-linking)) local and regional ((lands)) sites and facilities.</u>	New language added to reflect the County's commitment to integrating ESJ goals/principles in planning for parks facilities.	Integrates ESJ goals/ principles in planning for parks facilities.	Yes	PRD	62
<b>P-130</b> In the Urban Area, King County shall work in partnership with other jurisdictions to facilitate annexation and transfer of local parks, <u>and local trails ((and other open spaces)) to cities or other providers to ensure continued service to the community.</u>	Minor text changes.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>P-133</b> <u>King County will encourage and seek input, advice and participation from the public in decisions about management of the open space system that relate to key issues such as funding, planning, acquisition, development and stewardship.</u>	A new policies that establish a clear approach to community engagement and articulates the County's intent to address key financial aspects of land acquisition/management with the community.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	62, 64
<b>P-134</b> <u>King County will work to invite and involve a wide variety of interests via a diversity of individuals, groups and agencies consistent with the County's economic and social justice policies.</u>	A new policies that establish a clear approach to community engagement for planning of County open space, integrating	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	62, 64

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	the County's Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) principles into the policies.				
<b>P-135</b> <u>King County will use a variety of methods to ensure public involvement from all county residents such as public meetings, advisory groups, surveys, web and social media postings, news releases, park site signage, mailing lists, newsletters and through various community groups (including Community Service Areas). These methods will allow for early, continuous and broad public participation.</u>	New policies that articulates the County's commitment to utilizing various means to communicate with the public so that public outreach and engagement is meaningful and successful.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	63, 64
CHAPTER ((7)) 8 TRANSPORTATION					
<b>T-101</b> <u>The Strategic Plan for Public Transportation 2011-2021 and King County Metro Service Guidelines, or successor plans, and the King County Metro Long Range Plan for Public Transportation shall guide the planning, development and implementation of the public transportation system and services operated by the King County Metro Transit Division.</u>	Include all relevant transportation functional plans	Updated information	Yes	PRD	69
<b>T-101a</b> <u>The Strategic Plan developed for the King County Ferry District in 2014, or successor plans, shall guide the planning, development and implementation of the passenger only ferry system and services operated by the King County Marine Division.</u>	Include all relevant transportation functional plans	Updated information	Yes	PRD	69
<b>T-104</b> <u>King County should provide a system of transportation services and facilities that offers travel options to all members of the community, including people of color, low-income communities, <del>((people with limited English proficiency))</del> immigrant and refugee populations, and others who may have limited transportation options such as</u>	Reflects preferred language suggested by ESJ program staff	Consistency with current ESJ language	Yes	PRD	68

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
students, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities.						
<b>T-104a</b>	<b>King County should consider the equity impacts, and benefits, when <u>planning, developing, and implementing transportation programs, projects, and services.</u></b>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens by stating intent to consider equity impacts	Clarification and emphasis – the county already considers equity impacts and benefits transportation programs, projects, and services.	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102
<b>T-201</b>	<b>Multimodal transportation options such as <u>(transit) public transportation, bicycling and walking, are most effective in densely developed urban areas. As resources allow, King County’s transportation investments in urban areas should emphasize (transit) public transportation and road services and facilities that support multiple modes and facilitate connections between them.</u></b>	Chapter has been reorganized to include ferries in public transportation section so broadening language to include ferries	Broadens examples of modes	Yes	PRD	77
<b>T-202</b>	<b>Rural densities and distances between travel destinations are less conducive to efficient use of alternative modes of transportation. As resources allow, King County’s transportation investments in <u>(rural-areas) Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands</u> should emphasize maintaining and preserving safe road infrastructure that is compatible with the preservation of rural character and does not promote urban or unplanned growth. <u>In areas not well suited to fixed route transit, the County should work with partners to develop a range of alternative service options such as community shuttles, real-time ridershare, community vans, and other innovative options.</u></b>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA  Metro is expanding its program to provide alternative services and goal to provide right-size services	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA  Augments policy to reflect new transit program area	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	11, 69, 71
<b>T-203</b>	<b>King County should encourage transit-supportive land uses, development, facilities and policies that lead to communities that transit can serve efficiently and effectively. As funding permits, King</b>	Infrastructure that prioritizes transit movements helps transit services be more effective and	Augments policy	Yes	PRD	73

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	County should partner with jurisdictions and the private sector to spur transit-supportive development <u>and infrastructure investments</u> that enhance((s)) opportunities for transit, pedestrians, bicyclists, car and van pools, and other alternatives to single occupant vehicles.	efficient.				
T-205	King County should support, encourage, and implement high-capacity transit facilities and services that are consistent with, and supportive of, the comprehensive plan and Metro’s Strategic Plan for Public Transportation, <u>and Metro’s Long Range Plan for Public Transportation and the Marine Division’s 2014 Strategic Plan.</u>	Include all relevant transportation functional plans	Updated information	Yes	PRD	69
T-206	Except as provided in T-209, King County shall not construct and shall oppose the construction by other agencies of any new arterials or highways in the Rural Area or <del>((natural resource lands))</del> <u>Natural Resource Lands.</u>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
T-207	King County recognizes the importance to regional and local mobility of state highways that traverse the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u> and should advocate for state and federal agencies to improve performance of these facilities, consistent with the county’s adopted Comprehensive Plan policies to prevent unplanned development in the Rural Area <u>and Resource Lands</u> and preserve rural character.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
T-208	King County shall not add any new arterial capacity in the Rural Area or <del>((natural resource lands))</del> <u>Natural Resource Lands</u> , except for segments of rural regional corridors that pass through <del>((rural or resource lands))</del> <u>Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands</u> to accommodate levels of traffic between urban areas. Rural regional corridors shall be identified in the Transportation Needs Report	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>(Appendix C) and shall meet all of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Connects one urban area to another, or to a highway of statewide significance that provides such connection, by traversing the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u>;</li> <li>b. Classified as a principal arterial;</li> <li>c. Carries high traffic volumes (at least 15,000 ADT); and</li> <li>d. At least half of P.M. peak trips on the corridor are traveling to cities or other counties.</li> </ul>					
<p><b>T-209</b> King County shall avoid construction of major roads and capacity expansion on existing roads in <del>((rural and resource areas))</del> <u>Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands</u>. Where increased roadway capacity is warranted to support safe and efficient travel through <del>((rural areas))</del> <u>Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands</u>, appropriate rural development regulations and strong commitments to access management should be in place prior to authorizing such capacity expansion in order to prevent unplanned growth in <del>((rural))</del> <u>these</u> areas.</p>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
<p><b>T-210</b> Any capacity increases to rural regional corridors shall be designed to accommodate levels of traffic between urban areas consistent with the county's adopted Comprehensive Plan policies regarding development in the surrounding Rural Area or <del>((natural resource lands))</del> <u>Natural Resource Lands</u>. The county shall seek to maximize the efficient use of existing roadway capacity before considering adding new capacity to rural regional corridors.</p>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
<p><b>T-212</b> King County shall work with cities for the annexation of county-<del>((owned))</del> roadways and/or street segments located in the urban area and within or between cities, in order to provide for a</p>	Add language reflecting Bridges and Roads Task Force findings on burden of orphaned	Reflect recent task force work	Yes	PRD	69

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>consistent level of urban services on the affected roads and reduce the burden on unincorporated taxpayers that are supporting this urban infrastructure.</u>	roads. Also, Clarifies that County roads are not always “owned” by the County; some use easements...				
<del>T-214</del> <del>King County should support, encourage and be an active partner in local and regional passenger-only ferry transportation solutions that support mobility, accessibility, growth management, and help reduce road congestion.)</del>	Policies merged with public transportation section	Integration of Marine Division to the public transportation section	Yes	PRD	n/a
<del>T-214b</del> <del>King County shall design a new concurrency management methodology that is efficient to administer, incorporates travel demand management principles, supports reduction of vehicle miles traveled and reliance on single occupancy vehicle trips, and promotes increased efficiency of the transportation system as a whole.</del>	Concurrency program needs updating to reflect current county goals, development patterns, and lack of funding for additional road capacity	Provides guidance to modernize program	Yes	no	70
T-215 The LOS standard for the Urban Area shall be E except as provided in T-216. The LOS standard for the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u> shall be B except as provided in T-216, T-217, and T-218. These standards shall be used in concurrency testing.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
T-228 As mitigation for the impacts of new development and as a condition of development approval, <del>((the county))</del> <u>King County</u> shall require the improvement of existing offsite roadways and undeveloped road rights-of-way, and other strategies to reduce demand on roads. Impacts that may warrant such mitigation include, but are not limited to, those that create safety concerns, raise road operational issues or increase the number of residences served by a single access route.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
T-229 <del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> shall implement a system that	Grammatical edit to improve	Improved readability and	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
establishes fees needed to mitigate the growth-related transportation impacts of new development. The fees will be used to pay a development's proportionate share of transportation capital projects needed to support growth including, but not limited to, road, transit, and nonmotorized facilities. Such fees are in addition to any requirements established for transportation services and facilities needed solely as a result of the development.	readability and consistency	consistency			
T-231 Consistent with the priorities defined in the County's functional transportation plans, <u>and the regional growth strategy</u> , nonmotorized transportation system investments should aim to increase safety and mobility, facilitating mode integration <u>and intermodal connections, access to centers where appropriate</u> , and providing opportunities for healthy activity and alternatives to driving for all populations.	Updated to relate policy to the regional trails network goal to provide nonmotorized facilities to both transit and growth centers in urban areas, as appropriate, consistent with the regional growth strategy and Transportation 2040.	Alignment with trails goals and regional strategies	Yes	PRD	1 75
T-233 In <del>((both urban and rural))</del> unincorporated areas of King County, the following needs will be given the highest priority when identifying, planning, and programming nonmotorized improvements: a. Addressing known collision locations; b. Fostering safe walking and bicycling routes to schools and other areas where school-aged children regularly assemble; c. Filling gaps in, or enhancing connections to, the regional trail system; <del>((and))</del> d. Locations of high concentration of pedestrian and/or bicycle traffic; <u>and</u> e. <u>Providing safe routes to transit.</u>	To support increasing transit ridership and encourage travel modes other than HOV, it is important to also provide safe walking connections to transit	Support intermodal connections	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	75
T-234 In urban areas, nonmotorized improvements should <del>((also))</del> increase	Consistency with the regional	Promote active	Yes	PRD	1

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	growth strategy and T2040.	transportation			75
T-235	Improving access to transit by all modes is important, and consistent with Metro and regional policy	Promote active transportation and intermodal connections	Yes	PRD	75
T-236	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
T-237	Reflects language suggested by ESJ program staff	Consistency with current ESJ language	Yes	PRD	68
T-239	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
T-241	In supporting equestrian travel in the <del>((rural area))</del> <u>Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands</u> , King County should emphasize safety and connection to the Regional Trail System and other established trail networks open to equestrian use.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
T-244	<del>((King County will participate in and support the Puget Sound Regional Council's regional bicycle network planning efforts. Once a regional network is designated, related project needs within King County's jurisdiction should be incorporated into the county's nonmotorized planning and project prioritization processes.))</del> <u>King County participated in the Puget Sound Regional Council's regional bicycle network planning efforts; related project needs within King County's jurisdiction should be considered in the county's nonmotorized planning and project prioritization processes as financial resources allow.</u>	Update to reflect completed work	Updated information	Yes	PRD	75
T-247	King County should consider Transportation Demand Management <del>((TDM))</del> strategies, beyond those adopted as county regulation, among a menu of measures to mitigate for traffic impacts of proposed development or major highway construction projects. <del>((TDM))</del> <u>Transportation Demand Management</u> , as well as other mitigation requirements, may be imposed on new development as mandatory mitigation measures as necessary to meet the requirements for mitigation of impacts pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act and the State Subdivision Act.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
T-248	King County should actively participate in developing and implementing state-mandated Commute Trip Reduction <del>((and Growth and Transportation Efficiency Centers))</del> programs.	Edit to reflect termination of GTEC program	Updated information	Yes	PRD	n/a
T-248a	<u>King County should promote employee transportation programs that</u>	Reflect county strategy for	Promote active	Yes	PRD	75



I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
T-252	Revenue from <del>((variable tolling))</del> <b>congestion pricing</b> should be used to improve, preserve and operate the transportation system including transit and other multimodal investments, as well as to help fund improvements that address the diversionary impacts on non-tolled facilities.	Use current terminology that is more comprehensive and includes: HOT lanes, express toll lanes, pricing on entire roadway facilities, parking pricing, vehicle sharing, and VMT charges.	Broaden language to be more comprehensive	Yes	PRD	1
T-253a	<b><u>King County shall provide opportunities for residents of low income communities, people of color, and immigrant and refugee populations to inform and participate in programs to increase access to effective alternatives to driving alone.</u></b>	Added in response to public comments to emphasize ESJ commitment.	Adds ESJ emphasis	Yes	no	68
T-301	King County should provide reliable, safe, convenient public transportation services that are responsive to <u>the</u> needs of people, businesses and communities in King County.	Grammatical edit to fix typographic omission	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
T-301a	<b><u>The King County Marine Division should be a leader in regional mobility benefiting the community and economic development needs of King County through providing passenger-only ferry service that is safe, reliable and a great customer experience while being responsive and accountable to the public.</u></b>	New policy reflect that King County Department of Transportation now includes the Marine Division and of its key role in the region's transportation system	Updates the comp plan with regard to the dissolution of the KC Ferry District and integration of the Marine Division to the Public Transportation section of the chapter	Yes	PRD	n/a
T-302	<b><u>The King County Marine Division should work with the Washington State Department of Transportation, Kitsap County, and other entities offering passenger ferry services, <del>((including the King County Ferry District,))</del> to ensure that service and capital plans for ferries are consistent with <del>((transit service plans and goals))</del> the Marine Division's Strategic Plan.</u></b>	Policy revised to reflect the King County's Marine Division as the point of contact on matters dealing with passenger ferry service in King County.	Updates the comp plan with regard to the dissolution of the KC Ferry District and integration of the Marine Division	Yes	PRD	69

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
T-304	<del>((King County should implement a decision framework in order to keep the most vital components of the road system operational for users. This approach should both guide service provision and help direct investments towards the most critical needs when additional resources are available.))</del> <u>In order to keep the most vital components of the road system operational for users, King County should use a decision framework to both guide service provision and help direct investments towards the most critical needs when additional resources are available.</u>	Revised to reflect the framework already exists and in use.	Updated information	Yes	PRD	69
T-305	To ensure that the most vital components of the county's road system are kept operational, <u>safety</u> , essential regulatory compliance, <del>((safety,))</del> and maintenance and preservation needs of the <u>existing</u> road system should be funded prior to mobility and capacity improvements.	Revised to reflect the updated order of priorities in the approved 2014 Strategic Plan for Roads Services. Safety is first priority.	Updated priorities	Yes	PRD	69
T-306	Maintenance and preservation of the unincorporated rural roadway system shall be emphasized in long-term planning and asset management in recognition of the fact that Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Land</u> roads and bridges will remain the county's long-term responsibility after all annexations are complete.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
T-306a	<u>Decisions on road closures and abandonments should be made based on public safety considerations, technical/engineering standards, and the policy guidance set forth in the Strategic Plan for Road Services. Impacts to residents, businesses, and other road users or stakeholders should be identified and communicated to them in a timely manner.</u>	Closure decisions need to be made based on public safety, technical standards, and approved policy, and then communicated effectively.	Guides and explains framework for closure decisions.	Yes	PRD	n/a
T-308	Road projects and programs shall be implemented in ways that avoid or minimize negative impacts for people of color, low-income	Edit to reflect updated ESJ language	To be consistent with current ESJ language	Yes	PRD	68

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>communities, and <del>((people with limited English proficiency))</del> <u>immigrant and refugee populations</u>, and others who may have limited transportation options, such as students, youth, seniors, and people with disabilities and seek to provide tangible, positive benefits whenever possible.</p>					
<p><b>T-313</b> King County’s road design and construction standards shall promote safe, cost-effective roads that encourage multimodal use, and reflect the different needs and service levels for the Urban Growth Area and Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u>.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>T-320</b> Transportation improvements should be designed, built, and operated to minimize air, water and noise pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and the disruption of natural surface water drainage in compliance with provisions and requirements of applicable federal, state and local environmental regulations. Natural and historic resource protection should also be considered. Particular care should be taken to minimize impacts where the location of such facilities could increase the pressure for development in critical areas or <del>((rural or resource lands))</del> <u>Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands</u>.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>T-321</b> Within new developments, King County supports designing and building roads, bike <del>((lanes))</del> <u>facilities</u>, pedestrian ways and trails in ways that minimize pollution, provide opportunities for physical activity, promote energy conservation, increase community cohesion, and preserve natural flora and wildlife habitat.</p>	<p>Broadens language since bike lanes are just one type of bike facility</p>	<p>Clarification</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>75</p>
<p><b>T-322</b> Through its own actions and through regional partnerships, King County will promote strategies to reduce emissions from the transportation sector. The county will promote new vehicle</p>	<p>Edit to be consistent with 2015 SCAP</p>	<p>Provides consistent policy between the comp plan and the 2015 SCAP</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>76</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
technologies, <u>the use of low-carbon fuels</u> , and strategies to reduce <u>greenhouse gas</u> emissions, including land use changes, provision of transit, promotion of nonmotorized travel, joint purchasing, pilot projects, and other actions to reduce vehicle miles traveled.					
<b>T-323</b> King County will be a leader in the use of transportation fuels and technologies that reduce operational greenhouse gas emissions from its fleets <u>and vessels</u> by buying hybrid-electric, electric, <u>zero-emission</u> and other clean transportation technologies; using clean fuels in its fleets <u>and vessels</u> ; implementing demonstration projects that use alternative fuels and technologies; purchasing locally-produced energy sources when practical; seeking local and federal support to expand the use of <del>((alternative))</del> <u>low-carbon</u> fuels and <u>alternative, zero emission</u> technologies; and promoting best practices, innovations, trends and developments in transportation fuels and technologies. The county will also seek to deploy and use its vehicles in an energy-efficient manner through vehicle routing, <u>idling-reduction</u> , and operator practices.	Edit to be consistent with 2015 SCAP	Provides consistent policy between the comp plan and the 2015 SCAP	Yes	PRD	76
<b><u>T-324a</u></b> <u>King County will reduce greenhouse gas emissions from its off-road vehicles and equipment by using low-carbon fuels and advanced technologies, and by partnering with other agencies to implement demonstration projects using these vehicle technologies.</u>	New policy to address off-road vehicles and equipment that contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions.	Ensure that all County vehicle contribute to lowering greenhouse gas as outlined in the 2015 SCAP	Yes	PRD	76
<b>T-325</b> King County will develop methods to evaluate the climate change impacts of its actions and transportation services and will implement climate sensitive strategies and practices consistent with the environmental sustainability goals and policies described in Chapter <del>((4))</del> <u>5</u> , Environment, as well as existing state, regional and local	Grammatical edit to reflect updated chapter numbering	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	plans, laws, and regulations.					
T-326	To the extent practicable, future expansion or redevelopment of the county's road stormwater infrastructure should minimize pollutant discharges and flow alterations by <del>((preserving))</del> <u>mimicking</u> the natural drainage system or preserving the ability to create such a system in the future.	Administrative edit	Editorial correction	Yes	PRD	n/a
T-403	The unincorporated county road system provides transportation connections for large numbers of users that travel through the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u> to reach adjoining cities, other counties or regional destinations. King County should seek and support regional funding sources that could be used to repair and maintain the arterial system.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
T-405	During review of its Comprehensive Plan, King County should consider and address any potential shortfalls likely to occur between expected revenues and <del>((needed improvement))</del> <u>costs to maintain, preserve and improve existing transportation infrastructure and service levels</u> . Such review could include a reassessment of land use, growth targets, LOS standards, and revenue availability.	Reflects that maintenance and preservation are high priority needs	Reflects the county's critical transportation needs	Yes	PRD with refinements afterwards	69 74
T-407	New funding sources should be identified <u>and pursued</u> that would provide adequate and sustainable resources for transportation system <del>((improvements))</del> <u>investments, are not regressive, and whenever possible provide multi-jurisdictional benefits</u> .	Edited to reflect recent recommendations of Bridges and Roads Task Force	Provides direction that new funding should not be regressive	Yes	1st and 2 <sup>nd</sup> edit in PRD. 3 <sup>rd</sup> is new.	74
T-502	King County should promote a multi-jurisdictional, <u>multimodal</u> regional corridor approach to reducing congestion and improving efficiency on highways and arterial roads.	Reflecting regional emphasis on multimodal strategies	Consistency w/regional plans and goals	Yes	PRD	77
T-505	King County shall support active management of state-owned freeways to optimize movement of people. High Occupancy Vehicle	Revised to reflect actual state HOV lane performance	Consistency with existing standards	Yes	PRD	76

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><del>((HOV))</del>, High Occupancy Toll <del>((HOT))</del> or Express Toll lanes should be managed to prioritize reliable speed advantage for transit and vanpools, and maintain a reliable speed advantage for the other high occupancy vehicles consistent with the State's <del>((HOV))</del> <u>High-Occupancy-Vehicle</u> lane performance standard <u>of maintaining an average speed of 45 mph or greater at least 90% of the time during the morning and afternoon peak hours.</u></p>	standards.				
<p><b>T-507a</b> <u>King County shall support and participate in collaborative planning efforts both inter-departmentally and with other federal, state, and local agencies to develop the Eastside Rail Corridor in ways that enhance multimodal mobility and connectivity. Planning and development should consider opportunities for integration of transit and nonmotorized facilities, including regional trails into the greater transportation network.</u></p>	Reference adopted plans	More clarify on planning activities	Yes	PRD	16, 63, 72, 84
<p><b>T-510a</b> <u>King County should work with partners and stakeholders to plan for and develop adequate truck parking in high-demand locations along King County's Truck Freight Economic Corridors to improve safety and reduce negative impacts on local communities.</u></p>	New policy to address truck parking concerns in certain communities	Provide new guidance on issue	Yes	PRD	81
<p><b>T-511</b> King County should provide timely, accurate, and consistent public information about transportation services, infrastructure and funding issues, and ensure a wide range of opportunities for input and engagement with county residents, <u>including low income communities, people of color, and immigrant and refugee populations</u> and other stakeholders.</p>	Reflects ESJ emphasis and goals.	Articulates existing county practices.	Yes	no	68
<p>CHAPTER ((8)) 9 SERVICES, FACILITIES ((&amp;)) AND UTILITIES</p>					
<p><b>F-101a</b> <u>King County agencies will engage communities in a culturally- and</u></p>	Policy to engage all	Creates consistency with	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 83

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>audience-appropriate manner.</u>	communities in a culturally appropriate manner – integrating KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policy into day-to-day operations.	County ESJ policies			
<b>F-101b</b> <u>King County shall adhere to the Executive Order on Written Language Translation Process for those with limited English speaking abilities.</u>	Policy to engage all communities in a culturally appropriate manner – integrating KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policy into day to day operations.	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 83
<b>F-102</b> <u>King County shall work with cities, special purpose districts, other local service providers and citizens to identify and distinguish local and countywide services. Over time, as directed by the Growth Management Act, cities will assume primary responsibility for coordinating the provision of local services delivery. The county will assume primary responsibility for coordinating the provision of countywide services, including countywide services that must be delivered within city boundaries. The county will also work with cities, special purpose districts, and other counties to identify regional service and facility needs and develop strategies to provide them.</u>	This change was made to clarify this is a state GMA goal.	Creates clarity on policy consistency with WA state law.	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>F-103</b> <u>King County will provide or manage countywide services which include but are not limited to:</u> a. <u>Affordable housing coordination;</u>	Edit to clarify that KC does not provide affordable housing; it does provide coordination, per	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>b. Economic development; c. Flood warning and flood hazard management; d. Harborview Hospital; e. Hazardous waste management; f. Human ((S)) services; g. Protection and preservation of natural resource lands; h. Public health; i. Regional law and criminal justice services (including law enforcement, courts, prosecution, public defense, and the detention of adults and juveniles); j. Regional park, trails and open space systems; k. Regional wastewater collection and treatment, and reclamation; l. Solid waste management ((and recycling)) ; m. Surface water management; n. Transit; and o. Water resource management.</p> <p><u>Provisions related to housing and human services are found in Chapter 4.</u></p>	<p>the GMA requirements for CPPs, among jurisdictions and other stakeholders.</p> <p>Clarifying language that solid waste management includes recycling.</p>				
<p><b>F-104</b> <del>King County shall encourage new, rehabilitated, and preserved affordable housing development in areas with access to well-developed social, educational, and health services, as well as public transportation, sidewalks, and bicycle infrastructure.</del></p>	<p>In this Comprehensive Plan all housing related provisions deleted from this chapter and addressed in the new Chapter 4.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>F-105</b> <del>King County should encourage partnerships among housing providers, neighborhood groups and schools at all levels from pre-school through college especially in areas that currently have an</del></p>	<p>In this Comprehensive Plan all housing related provisions deleted from this chapter and</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>abundance of very low- to moderate income housing. King County should also promote collaboration with libraries, recreational and social service agencies. Among other strategies, these partnerships could involve mutually supportive planning and sharing of facilities and services.</del>	addressed in the new Chapter 4.				
<del>F-106 To the extent feasible, after a disaster which significantly affects housing, King County shall: a. Coordinate efforts to assist households with housing inspection and repair resources; b. Help displaced households find interim housing; and c. Work with federal, state, and both public and private local agencies to identify ways that available resources can assist those affected by a disaster.))</del>	In this Comprehensive Plan all housing related provisions deleted from this chapter and addressed in the new Chapter 4.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-107 King County will, in cooperation with special purpose districts or local service providers, continue to plan for and provide public services to the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u> , consistent with rural standards and needs.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
F-108 To support the intent of the Growth Management Act <u>and address historic inequities and disadvantaged communities both in rural and unincorporated urban areas</u> , King County should work with cities and other service providers to establish priority areas for public funding of capital facilities, services and infrastructure.	Edit to address equity issues in articulated in the Council's Scope for the Comprehensive Plan.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	6, 7, 8, 83
F-201 All facilities and services should be provided in compliance with provisions and requirements of the Endangered Species Act and the Clean Water Act <u>as well as the Growth Management Act</u> .	Edit to ensure that GMA goals are considered alongside other priorities such as the ESA.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3
F-202 King County should seek to create <u>equitable and quality</u> communities by defining the needs and proposing strategies for a	Edit to clarify that different levels of services are	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 83

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	<b>full range of public facilities and services, including physical infrastructure and health, human and public safety services. King County should strive to provide an adequate supply and appropriate level of public facilities necessary to support all communities.</b>	appropriate in different geographies				
F-203	<b>When service providers are planning and designing facilities, King County should encourage them to use sustainable development practices to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions in new buildings by 2030.</b>	Integrates an element of the King County Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP)	Creates consistency with SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 54
F-206	<b>Public and private community service providers should be encouraged to share or reuse facilities when appropriate to reduce costs, conserve land and provide convenience, access and amenity for the public and to reduce the generation of greenhouse gasses. Joint siting and shared use of facilities should be encouraged for schools, community centers, health facilities, cultural facilities, libraries, swimming pools and other social and recreational facilities. Sharing of facilities may include providing meeting space that can be accessed by the community.</b>	Policy to create more public meeting space.	Creates potential for more public meeting space.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-206a	<b>King County should make its public facilities and properties available for renewable energy production when such use is compatible with the primary use of the facility.</b>	Integrates an element of the King County Solid Waste Maser Plan and Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP)	Creates consistency with SWMP and SCAP	Yes	PRD	3, 53
F-209	<b>In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of development and not facilitate urbanization.</b>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
F-210a	<b>When siting new county facilities, ensure that county agencies identify and evaluate impacts on the “determinants of equity” for</b>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens intent	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52,

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
<u>low-income communities, people of color, and people with limited English speaking abilities.</u>	to identify and evaluate				62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102	
F-214	School districts that choose to have the county collect impact fees for them, and water and sewer utilities that provide their services to unincorporated King County, shall prepare capital facility plans consistent with requirements of the Growth Management Act, <u>the Countywide Planning Policies</u> and King County Code.	Edit to connect to the Countywide Planning Policies, which contain policies relevant to coordinated service provision.	Clarifying language	Yes	PRD	1, 3
F-215	Provision of an adequate supply of kindergarten through twelfth grade (K-12) public schools and K-12 public school facilities is essential to avoid overcrowding and to enhance the educational opportunities for <del>((our))</del> children. King County shall adopt regulations that are supportive of the permitting of K-12 public schools and K-12 facilities <u>in a manner consistent with the goals of the Growth Management Act and as provided in policies R-326 and R-327.</u>	Edit to connect to the Countywide Planning Policies and the Growth Management Act, without changing existing agreements regarding school siting	Clarifying language	Yes	PRD	1, 3
F-215a	<u>King County should plan to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions associated with new residential and commercial buildings built in King County by 2030.</u>	New Policy integrates elements of King County Green Building Code and Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).	Creates consistency with KC Green Building Code/SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
F-215b	<u>King County plans should guide practices that build and operate buildings and infrastructure that result in regenerative and net positive benefits related to energy, water, other resources and greenhouse gas emissions.</u>	New Policy integrates elements of King County Green Building Code and Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).	Creates consistency with KC Green Building Code/SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 23, 53
F-217	All eligible King County capital projects shall plan for and should	New Policy integrates elements	Creates consistency with	Yes	PRD	23, 86

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><b>achieve LEED ((Gold)) <u>Platinum</u> certification level using the LEED rating system or the or the Sustainable Infrastructure Scorecard, or achieve the highest certification level using an approved alternative rating system, and apply minimum performance standards when the incremental cost impacts do not exceed the maximums allowed by King County code.</b></p>	<p>of King County Green Building Code and Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP). SWD uses Sustainable Infrastructure Scorecard to rate facilities</p>	<p>KC Green Building Code/SCAP.</p>			
<p><del>((F-218 King County shall require those new county infrastructure projects ineligible for LEED certification to incorporate cost-effective sustainable development practices and document those practices by using the county's Sustainable Infrastructure Scorecard.))</del></p>	<p>Policy F-218 is superceded by policies included in the King County Green Building Code and Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).</p>	<p>Creates consistency with KC Green Building Code/SCAP.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>F-217a All King County owned new construction capital projects should achieve net-zero greenhouse emissions by 2030.</b></p>	<p>New Policy integrates elements of King County Green Building Code and Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).</p>	<p>Creates consistency with KC Green Building Code/SCAP.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 23, 53</p>
<p><b>F-217b All King County capital programs will evaluate their project portfolio for opportunities to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions through programs such as the Living Building challenge, Living Communities Challenge, Net Zero Energy, Envision, or EcoDistrict.</b></p>	<p>New Policy integrates elements of King County Green Building Code and Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).</p>	<p>Creates consistency with KC Green Building Code/SCAP.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 23, 53</p>
<p><b>F-217c King County will seek to build and operate buildings and infrastructure that result in regenerative and net positive benefits related to energy, water, greenhouse gas emissions and other resources</b></p>	<p>New Policy integrates elements of King County Green Building Code and Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).</p>	<p>Creates consistency with KC Green Building Code/SCAP.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 53</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
<b>F-217d</b>	<b><u>King County will increase water efficiency and conservation, and reduce purchased water consumption through appropriate reuse of wastewater effluent, recycled water, stormwater, and harvested rainwater.</u></b>	New Policy integrates elements of King County Green Building Code	Creates consistency with KC Green Building Code.	Yes	PRD	3
<b>F-219</b>	<b>King County should leverage its purchasing power related to capital improvement projects to help expand the markets for green building products, including recycled-content materials and clean, renewable energy technologies, particularly for products and services that are locally produced.</b>	Edit to support Rural Economic Strategy, as well as economic development concepts in Scope	Creates consistency with Rural Economic Strategy.	Yes	PRD	3, 45
<del><b>(F-220</b></del>	<del><b>King County should explore incorporating proven alternative sustainable development certifications into its capital planning procedures to further promote the county's commitment to cost-effective green building and sustainable development practices.)</b></del>	Policy F-220 is superseded by policies related to Green Building Ordinance/SCAP.	Clarifying deletion.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
<b>F-221</b>	<b>King County shall <del>(initiate)</del> <u>consider the initiation of a subarea ((planning process)) study, or other corrective action, with any service provider that declares, through their capital facilities plan, an inability to accommodate projected service needs inside their service area.</u></b>	Edit to clarify that KC has authority to make this decision rather than stating this is mandatory; language reflecting new CPPs re: coordination with schools	Clarifies language	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	3, 53
<b>F-221a</b>	<b><u>The King County Equity Impact Review Tool should be used prioritize funding and service delivery in cases where the failure to meet projected service needs would negatively and/or disproportionately impact low-income communities, people of color, and people with limited English speaking abilities.</u></b>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens by stating intent to use Equity Impact Review Tool	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102
<b>F-225a</b>	<b><u>King County should consider provisions for service to low-income households through discount or low-rate fees for services.</u></b>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens by	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52,

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
	<p>stating intent to consider providing discounted rates</p>				<p>62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102</p>
<p><b>F-228</b>      <b>King County should strive to site essential public facilities equitably so that no racial, cultural, or socio-economic group is unduly impacted by essential public facility siting or expansion decisions. No single community should absorb an inequitable share of these facilities and their impacts <u>and an assessment of existing facilities should be conducted when siting new facilities.</u> Siting should consider equity, environmental justice and environmental, economic, technical and service area factors <u>and communities with a disproportionate share of existing facilities should be actively engaged in the planning and siting process for new facilities.</u> The net impact of siting new essential public facilities should be weighted against the net impact of expansion of existing essential public facilities, with appropriate buffering and mitigation. Essential public facilities that directly serve the public beyond their general vicinity shall be discouraged from locating in the Rural Area.</b></p>	<p>Edit to ensure that the location of existing facilities be clear before new facilities are sited</p> <p>Edit to support active engagement of disproportionately impacted communities in planning and siting processes and Integrate element of KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policies.</p>	<p>Creates consistency with County ESJ policies</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p> <p>Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102</p>
<p><b>F-230</b>      <b>Siting analysis for proposed new or expansions to existing essential public facilities shall consist of the following:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>a. An inventory of similar existing essential public facilities in King County and neighboring counties, including their locations and capacities;</b></li> <li><b>b. A forecast of the future needs for the essential public facility;</b></li> <li><b>c. An analysis of the potential social and economic impacts and benefits to jurisdictions <u>and local communities</u> receiving or surrounding the facilities;</b></li> </ul>	<p>Edit to ensure that the location of existing facilities be clear before new facilities are sited</p> <p>Edit to support active engagement of disproportionately impacted communities in planning and siting processes and Integrate</p>	<p>Creates consistency with County ESJ policies</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 6, 7, 8, 83</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>d. An analysis of the proposal’s consistency with policies F-226 through F-229;</p> <p>e. An analysis of alternatives to the facility, including decentralization, conservation, demand management and other strategies;</p> <p>f. An analysis of economic and environmental impacts, including mitigation, of any existing essential public facility, as well as of any new site(s) under consideration as an alternative to expansion of an existing facility;</p> <p>g. Extensive public involvement <u>which effectively engages communities so that no racial, cultural, or socio-economic group is excluded; ((and))</u></p> <p>h. Consideration of any applicable prior review conducted by a public agency, local government, or citizen’s group; <u>and</u></p> <p>i. <u>To the extent allowable under the Growth Management Act, the locational criteria in policy R-326.</u></p>	<p>element of KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policies.</p> <p>Edit for consistency with location criteria that facilities be sited in the geography they are serving.</p>				
<p>F-231</p> <p>King County supports coordination of regional water supply planning, sales of excess water supplies among municipalities in the region, water quality programs and water conservation, reuse and <del>((reclaimed water))</del> <u>recycled water programs. This regional planning should support King County’s goals of focusing growth in the Urban Growth Area.</u></p>	<p>Uses updated terminology; edit to ensure water supply planning supports related GMA Planning goals</p>	<p>Connects two related functions to same goals</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3, 88</p>
<p>F-235</p> <p>In the Urban Growth Area, if an existing Group A water provider cannot provide direct or indirect service to new development under Policy F-233, a new public water system may be established if it is owned or operated by the following, in order of preference:</p> <p>a. By a satellite management agency approved by the State</p>	<p>Edit to connect regional coordination to King County’s goals</p> <p>Edit to clarify that homeowners</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>3</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>Department of Health under contract with the Group A system in whose service area the system is located, provided that the existing Group A water system remains responsible for meeting the duty to serve the new system under RCW 43.20.260; or</p> <p>b. By a satellite management agency approved by both the State Department of Health and King County.</p> <p>All new public water systems formed in the <del>((UGA))</del> <u>Urban Growth Area</u> shall connect to the Group A water system in whose service area the new system is located when direct service becomes available. <u>It is the responsibility of the homeowner or association for ensuring the connection is made in a timely manner.</u></p>	<p>or associations are responsible for making water supply connections.</p>				
<p><b>F-243a</b> <u>The King County Equity Impact Review Tool should be used to identify and assess the impacts of proposed service changes on low-income communities, people of color, and people with limited English speaking abilities.</u></p>	<p>Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens by stating intent to use Equity Impact Review Tool</p>	<p>Creates consistency with County ESJ policies</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102</p>
<p><b>F-244</b> King County shall participate in the development of a regional water supply plan or plans addressing potable water supply service by multiple water purveyors to ensure that uses of <del>((reclaimed water))</del> <u>recycled water</u> intended to augment or replace potable water supplies will be considered in the development of any such plans, and for such other purposes as are authorized in the underlying authority for such a plan. King County’s participation in the development of such plans shall be carried out in accordance with RCW 90.46.120, and pursuant to processes provided in the underlying planning authority.</p>	<p>Clarifying that King County now uses the term Recycled Water rather than Reclaimed Water.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
F-248	King County shall partner with utilities to publicize water conservation and encourage best management practices that conserve potable water supply through measures that include use of alternative supplies such as <del>((reclaimed water))</del> <u>recycled water</u> .	Clarifying that King County now uses the term Recycled Water rather than Reclaimed Water.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-249	Utilities with more than one thousand service connections required to submit water system plans for approval to King County shall include an evaluation of <del>((reclaimed water))</del> <u>recycled water</u> use opportunities by completing King County's Water Reclamation Evaluation Checklist.	Clarifying that King County now uses the term Recycled Water rather than Reclaimed Water.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F250	<del>((The County))</del> <u>King County</u> shall encourage local developers with new projects in unincorporated King County to explore the possibility of using <del>((reclaimed water))</del> <u>recycled water</u> for nonpotable purposes when a plan for <del>((reclaimed water))</del> <u>recycled water</u> has been approved for the area.	Clarifying that King County now uses the term Recycled Water rather than Reclaimed Water.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-251	In its review of water system plans, the UTRC shall consider the criteria provided in K.C.C. 13.24.010, 13.24.060, and 13.24.070, and determine the plan's consistency with the following: a. Applicable provisions of the King County Comprehensive Plan, land use plans, and development regulations adopted under the Growth Management Act; b. Approved or adopted regional water resource plans, such as basin plans, groundwater plans, watershed-based conservation and recovery plans developed under <del>((ESA))</del> <u>Endangered Species Act</u> , salmon recovery plans developed under chapter 77.85 RCW, water resource plans developed under chapter 90.54 RCW, watershed plans developed under chapter 90.82 RCW, and a regional water supply plan or water resource management	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>plan;</p> <p>c. The county’s Regional Wastewater Services Plan; and</p> <p>d. Other applicable provisions of countywide plans managed by King County, as specified in UTRC guidance or checklists.</p> <p>The UTRC shall work with state agencies, water utilities, and other parties to develop any necessary rules, policies or checklists to provide clear information and guidance as to the county’s expectations for its reviews. For each plan submitted to the county for review, the UTRC should have the goal of providing an initial response and comments to the water utility within the same timeframes as the state Department of Health under RCW 43.20.250.</p>					
<p><b>F-260</b></p> <p>Onsite wastewater treatment systems in the Rural Area and <u>Natural Resource Lands that serve Rural Areas and Natural Resource Lands</u> should be designed, built and operated as permanent methods of sewage disposal.</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>F-261</b></p> <p>King County should monitor onsite <u>wastewater</u> systems that have shown evidence of failure or potential for failure. The data should be used to correct existing problems and prevent future problems. King County should analyze public funding options for correcting on-site wastewater system failures (<del>which may include, where feasible</del>) <u>and only as a last resort in Rural and Natural Resource Lands</u>, and <u>as</u> otherwise consistent with this plan, conversion to community sewage systems or installation of public sewers.</p>	<p>Edit to clarify conversion to sewer is a last resort in the Rural and Resource Lands.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>F-262</b></p> <p>Collective on-site systems may be used only in the following circumstances in the Rural Area and Resource Lands:</p> <p>a. Existing on-site systems are failing within an area and the</p>	<p>Edit to ensure lot consolidation occurs as part of permitting an on-site collective system.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>Seattle/King County Department of Public Health concurs that long-term individual on-site system repairs are not feasible or water quality is threatened by the presence of or potential for health hazards resulting from inadequate on-site wastewater disposal methods;</p> <p>b. An authorized public agency will manage the community system; and</p> <p>c. The community system is designed only to serve existing structures and lots and cannot be used as a basis to increase density or to expand permitted nonresidential uses. Substandard vacant lots must be combined to the extent feasible to meet rural density policies <u>as a precondition to establishing a collective on-site system</u>. Management of the community system must be by an authorized public agency.</p>					
<p><b>F-264</b> Except as otherwise provided for in this policy, public sewer service shall be prohibited in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands.</p> <p>a. Public sewer service may be expanded to the Rural Area or to Natural Resource Lands, only:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Where needed to address specific health and safety problems threatening the use of existing structures <u>and the use of septic or other onsite wastewater systems has been determined to be not feasible</u>; or</li> <li>2. To serve a new school authorized to be located in the Rural Area by R-327.</li> </ol> <p>b. Public sewers may be extended, pursuant to this policy, only if they are tightlined and only after a finding is made by King County that no reasonable alternative technologies are feasible.</p>	<p>Edit to ensure consistency with F 260 and F 261.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
c. Public sewers that are allowed in the Rural Area or on Natural Resource Lands pursuant to this policy shall not be used to convert Rural Area land or Natural Resource Lands to urban uses and densities or to expand permitted nonresidential uses.					
F-267 <b>King County should achieve Zero Waste of Resources <u>by 2030 by targeting areas of the waste stream that have the greatest potential for diversion and recovery. ((to eliminate the disposal of materials with economic value — by 2030, through a combination of efforts in the following order of priority: a. waste prevention and reuse, b. product stewardship, recycling, and composting, c. beneficial use.))</u></b>	Integrates elements of KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Yes	PRD	3, 54, 89
F-269 <b>King County shall operate a transfer system that is dispersed throughout the county to ensure access to safe, reliable, efficient, and affordable solid waste services, <u>and improves recycling opportunities for all residents and businesses.</u></b>	Integrates elements of KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Yes	PRD	3, 89
F-269a <b>King County should consider demand management strategies that <u>maximize the efficiency of the transfer system and encourage use of solid waste curbside collection services.</u></b>	Integrates elements of KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Yes	PRD	3, 89
F-269b <b>King County should implement frequency and separation policies for <u>curbside collection of garbage, recyclables, and organics in the unincorporated area that support achieving a 70 percent recycling goal.</u></b>	Integrates elements of KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Yes	PRD	3, 89
F-270 <b>King County should maximize the capacity and lifespan of the Cedar Hills Regional Landfill, subject to environmental constraints, relative costs to operate, and stakeholder interests, <u>and overall solid waste system optimization.</u></b>	Integrates elements of KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Yes	PRD	3, 89
F-271 <b>King County shall encourage sustainable development <u>and development of markets for recyclable materials,</u> and provide</b>	Integrates elements of KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master	Yes	PRD	3, 89

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
consumer education in the public and private sectors regarding green building practices, product stewardship, recycling, purchasing, and consumption in order to reduce the amount of waste disposed.		Plan.			
<b>F-271a</b> <u>King County should increase energy recovery from select solid waste materials including organics, mixed plastics, and the non-recyclable portion of the waste stream.</u>	Integrates elements of KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Yes	PRD	3, 89
<b>F-271b</b> <u>The King County Equity Impact Review Tool should be used to identify and assess the impacts of proposed service changes on low-income communities, people of color, and people with limited English speaking abilities.</u>	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens by stating intent to use Equity Impact Review Tool	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies; Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102
<b>F-272</b> <u>To reduce flooding, erosion and sedimentation, prevent and mitigate habitat loss, enhance groundwater recharge and prevent groundwater and surface water quality degradation, and promote the goals of the Growth Management Act, ((the surface waters of )) King County shall ((be)) managed <u>stormwater</u> through plans, programs and regulations developed by King County in cooperation with affected jurisdictions and agencies whenever possible.</u>	Edit to reinforce the link between stormwater planning and facilities to GMA goals.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 60
<b>F-273</b> <u>A watershed approach shall be taken ((to surface)) <u>for stormwater</u> management, with responsibility shared ((among)) <u>between</u> King County and affected jurisdictions. This approach should emphasize prevention of ((water quality)) <u>surface water and groundwater</u> degradation through education programs, <u>retrofits of existing stormwater controls or the placement of new controls</u>, and implementation of best management practices to reduce pollution entering the region’s groundwater and surface waters, including</u>	Emphasizes new focus on collaborative efforts between jurisdictions in managing stormwater.	Creates consistency with operational practices.	Yes	PRD	3, 60

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<b>Puget Sound.</b>					
<b>F-274</b> In the Rural Area, King County shall minimize the use of constructed facilities for <del>((surface-water))</del> <u>stormwater</u> management and, <u>through Low Impact Development</u> , maximize the use of natural systems, provided that the ecological functions of the natural systems are not harmed. The county should provide incentives to keep these natural systems intact. <del>((Natural systems are also))</del> <u>Low Impact Development is also</u> preferred in the Urban Growth Area, but it is recognized that structural systems <del>((will))</del> <u>may</u> be needed to realize urban growth and density goals <u>in these areas.</u>	Edit consistent with location criteria, such as R 326.  Requested by the GMVUAC as well as the Green Valley Lake Holmes Association.	Creates consistency between Comp Plan policies and operational practices.	Yes	PRD	3, 60
<b>F-275</b> King County will plan and manage <del>((surface waters on a watershed basis pursuant to))</del> <u>stormwater by basin or sub-basin consistent with Policies E-463 and E-464.</u> To accomplish this goal, <del>((surface waters))</del> <u>stormwater runoff</u> should not be diverted from one <del>((watershed))</del> <u>basin or sub-basin</u> into another <del>((, nor from one drainage basin into another))</del> , unless no other reasonable alternative is available for managing <del>((surface-water))</del> run-off within the same <del>((watershed and drainage))</del> basin. Where such diversions are permitted, King County will require <del>((such))</del> environmental analysis and mitigation <del>((as is needed))</del> <u>adequate</u> to protect surface water <u>and groundwater</u> resources from significant adverse impacts.)	Emphasizes increasing focus on basin or sub basin approaches to managing stormwater.	Creates consistency with operational practices.	Yes	PRD	3, 60
<b>F-277</b> Stormwater programs including <u>public education, stormwater system mapping, construction of regional and shared stormwater facilities, retrofitting developed areas, <del>((and operations))</del> operation and maintenance programs</u> should be funded through an adequate and equitable funding mechanism. Stormwater facilities required for new development, redevelopment and retrofitting should be	Emphasizes increasing focus on public education and outreach approaches to managing stormwater.	Creates consistency with operational practices.	Yes	PRD	3, 60

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
designed and built for aesthetic value, as well as for low-cost, long-term maintenance.					
F-279	King County should incorporate state-of-the art stormwater management techniques including low impact development <del>((principles and practices))</del> into the design, construction and operation of all county facilities and county-funded projects to the maximum extent feasible.	Strikes redundant words from the policy.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD n/a
F-280	King County shall continue to promote <u>the</u> preservation of native vegetation and soils and <u>the</u> restoration of disturbed soils on rural residential zoned parcels to the maximum extent feasible. <del>((D))</del> <u>Minimized impervious footprints and the dispersion of stormwater runoff from impervious surfaces into native vegetation in accordance with the Surface Water Design Manual ((is)) are the preferred methods of stormwater management in the Rural Area.</u>	Emphasizes the importance of limiting new impervious area as a SW management practice.	Creates consistency with operational policies.	Yes	PRD 3, 60
F-281	King County should work with residential and commercial developers to incorporate state-of-the art stormwater management techniques, <u>such as Low Impact Development</u> , that protect native vegetation and soils, restore disturbed soils <u>by increasing the use of compost</u> , facilitate reuse of resources such as <u>recycled or harvested water</u> , reduce the carbon footprint of the project, and <del>((reduce))</del> <u>minimize</u> impervious surfaces.	Emphasizes the importance of Low Impact Development as a SW management practice.	Creates consistency with operational policies.	Yes	PRD 3, 5, 60
F-282a	King County should work with landowners, other jurisdictions, the <u>state Department of Health, sewer districts, and the Puget Sound Partnership to develop effective strategies and additional resources for managing onsite septic systems and addressing failing septic systems.</u>	On-site septic systems are a major contributor of fecal coliform and are difficult to regulate and funding to assist private property owners is limited.	Acknowledges reality of limited funding and reflects operational practices.	Yes	PRD 3, 59

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
F-284 King County should work cooperatively with other jurisdictions to develop and implement plans and programs that address the appropriate recycling, reuse, reclamation and disposal of the materials <del>((and wastes))</del> generated from maintenance of stormwater <del>((facilities))</del> infrastructure.	Strikes redundant words from the policy.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-285 King County shall work with jurisdictions to ensure that <del>((storm and surface water management facilities are))</del> <u>stormwater infrastructure</u> is transferred from King County to the local jurisdiction that annexes or incorporates that portion of King County.	Strikes redundant words from the policy.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-287 King County shall <del>((consider))</del> <u>include</u> equity and social justice <u>principles</u> in <u>planning and</u> implementing the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan to assure floodplain property owners and residents are given equal access to flood risk reduction services. Outreach should consider vulnerable populations that may face barriers based on age, income, <u>English</u> language <u>proficiency, access to services and program</u> , race or other factors.	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens focus from consider to include	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102
F-296 King County will work cooperatively with the King County Flood Control District, cities and other stakeholders to implement the Flood Hazard Management Plan to protect public safety, prevent property damage, <u>promote the goals of the Growth Management Act</u> , and help protect the greater King County economy.	Uses updated terminology; edit to ensure water supply planning supports related GMA Planning goals	Connects two related functions to same goals	Yes	PRD	3, 88
F-299b <del>((The county))</del> <u>King County</u> should work with cities, businesses, and landowners to evaluate the alternatives for levee setbacks that would provide a higher level of risk reduction, reduce long-term maintenance costs, and enhance habitat while promoting long-term economic resilience and vitality.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
F-304	All King County departments and divisions shall use the <del>((Energy))</del> <u>Strategic Climate Action Plan</u> as the basis for strategic energy planning and direction.	Clarifies that the SCAP superseded the Energy Plan.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3
F-305	King County shall plan for further reduction in its energy use from government operations by setting near <u>and long term energy use reductions</u> , consistent with its long term goals of <del>((reducing))</del> <u>working to continuously reduce</u> operating costs and environmental impacts, maximizing energy efficiency and minimizing waste.	Clarifies language.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-306	King County shall <del>((continue to produce, use or procure))</del> <u>maximize the production of renewable energy ((equal to at least 50 percent of total County not energy requirements on an ongoing basis))</u> <u>at its wastewater treatment plants and Cedar Hills Landfill, and pursue other renewable energy generation projects where cost-effective.</u>	Integrates elements of KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Creates consistency with KC Solid Waste Master Plan.	Yes	PRD	3, 89
F-308	King County shall: a. Continue to increase <del>((the use of renewable fuel in and))</del> the <u>energy efficiency of county buses and vehicles ((where cost effective and environmentally sustainable))</u> , and shall support adoption and promotion of innovative technology vehicles <u>and greenhouse gas reducing fuels</u> with a focus on electric vehicles where appropriate; and b. Consistent with policy E-203, collaborate with other local governments regionally, nationally and internationally to develop a common approach to accounting for the Greenhouse Gas Emissions resulting from the operation of its public transportation system, and for claiming rights to any GHG reduction attributes associated with its operation.	New Policy integrates elements of King County Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).	Creates consistency with SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
F-310	King County shall support the conversion of renewable resources	New Policy integrates elements	Creates consistency with	Yes	PRD	3, 53

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
and service by-products to energy for beneficial use consistent with E-208. King County shall claim <del>((rights to))</del> and/or generate economic benefit for any and all renewable energy and greenhouse gas reduction attributes <u>resulting from renewable energy generation.</u>	of King County Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).	SCAP.			
F-311 King County should encourage its energy utilities to provide energy efficiency services and renewable energy options to all their customers. Additionally, the County should encourage the state and energy utilities to mitigate the environmental and greenhouse gas emissions impacts of energy and, as conservation and alternative energy sources demonstrate capacity to address energy needs, phase out existing <u>coal and other</u> fossil fuel based power plants, <del>((especially coal based sources))</del> and replace such facilities with <u>resource efficiency and renewable generation sources.</u>	New Policy integrates elements of King County Strategic Climate Action Plan (SCAP).	Creates consistency with SCAP.	Yes	PRD	3, 53
F-312 King County shall develop and adopt strategic energy management, efficiency and conservation programs in its own operations, including: a. Consolidated energy accounting of county facilities to establish baseline energy performance for the county, benchmarking of facilities against comparable best practices where possible, setting goals for facility efficiency improvements, and measuring and reporting progress toward county energy goals; b. Energy efficiency audits of all <del>((significant))</del> county facilities <u>over 20,000 square feet</u> and the creation of <del>((a-prioritized))</del> action plans for reducing energy use at such facilities; c. Energy management plans for energy-intensive or special-purpose county facilities such as wastewater treatment plants, correctional facilities and transit bases that focus on	Creates a clear threshold size of County facilities (20,000 s.f.) for energy audits of County facilities.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3, 53

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>least-cost management and that include specific approaches for each facility's use, as well as the production and sale of energy where appropriate;</p> <p>d. Mandatory energy efficiency and resource use guidelines for operation and maintenance of all county-occupied facilities, while recognizing the unique operating requirements of specialty facilities;</p> <p>e. Programs to encourage employees to implement energy conserving measures at work; and</p> <p>f. Incentives, including retaining a portion of energy cost savings, to county agencies and departments for achieving energy efficiency.</p>					
<p><b>F-313</b> King County should benchmark all applicable county buildings as a basis for measuring energy efficiency improvements, <u>using the EPA Portfolio Manager Tool, where applicable.</u></p>	<p>EPA Portfolio Manager is the tool typically used for benchmarking energy efficiency of County Buildings.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>86</p>
<p><b>F-314</b> King County should purchase only certified energy efficient appliances and office equipment (such as ENERGY-STAR labeled equipment) <del>((where))</del> <u>when available for specific equipment</u> and shall require consideration of energy efficiency in all procurement decisions as an element of determining the lowest price bids.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p><b>F-315</b> King County shall <del>((develop criteria))</del> <u>use its Resource Life Cycle Cost Assessment calculator</u> to evaluate energy projects to determine if the operations and maintenance cost savings over the life of an energy project's assets exceed the implementation costs, taking into account <del>((alternative funding mechanisms available for))</del> <u>all identified costs associated with</u> energy efficiency and renewable</p>	<p>Clarifies that Resource Life Cycle Cost Assessment calculator is the tool the County uses to evaluate O/M cost savings on County projects.</p>	<p>Clarifies language.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>86</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
energy projects.					
F-320 King County shall continue to <del>((explore and develop))</del> <u>optimize the</u> productive uses for and marketing of methane gas from its sewage treatment plants and landfills where appropriate.	Clarifies language.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-324 To address the cumulative effects of multiple energy facilities, King County should continue to participate in the state and federal processes for licensing, authorizing or certifying, and any such renewals, of existing and proposed power generation projects within King County. King County’s review of individual projects in the state and federal processes should consider consistency with designated land uses and environmental protection goals. Specifically, power generation projects should: a. Have climate change impacts considered and mitigated to the greatest extent practical; b. Be consistent with, and preferably directly incorporated in, utility integrated Resource Plans; c. Use renewable resources to the greatest extent practical; d. Include public engagement; e. Not significantly interfere with commercial forestry operations; f. Be located and operated in a manner such that impacts to salmonid fish and wildlife are minimized; g. Avoid unstable and erosion-prone areas; h. Include performance bonding to fund erosion control; i. Provide full mitigation for construction and operation impacts; j. Avoid, to the extent practicable, diminishing scenic values; <del>((and))</del> k. Incorporate adequate public safety measures; <u>and</u>	Addition to policy F324 to clarify that hydro facilities should not be located within a “Protected Area” as designated by the NPCC	May limit locations for some hydro facilities.	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>j. Not be located within a Protected Area as designated by the Northwest Power and Conservation Council.</u>					
F-325 King County and the utilities should identify and preserve corridors, <u>consistent with the goals of focusing growth</u> , to accommodate future electric power transmission and distribution lines. Corridor designation should include: a. Identification of appropriate shared uses and recognition of the values provided by nonutility uses, such as recreation; b. Recognition of county roads as utility corridors; and c. Evaluation of proposed facility plans on a system-wide basis, rather than project-by-project.	Adds a reference to GMA/smart growth goals.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	3
<u>F-325a King County should strive to ensure that no racial, cultural, or socio-economic group is unduly impacted by decisions to add new, expand or upgrade transmission and distribution lines.</u>	Integrates element of KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policies.	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 83
F-328 King County will monitor scientific research on potential human health effects of extremely low frequency electric and magnetic fields (EMF). If federal or state agencies promulgate rules to reduce exposure to EMF — through changes in the use of appliances, construction practices, the location of electrical infrastructure or other activities — the county shall inform its citizens, <u>in adherence with the Executive Order on written language and translation process</u> , and take appropriate actions.	Integrates element of KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policies.	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 83
F-329 King County should work to remove barriers to the availability and efficient use of <u>renewable</u> natural gas.	Clarifying language that policy refers to “renewable” natural gas.	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a
F-330 King County will provide leadership in and promotion of the use of <del>((biologically-sourced methane fuel gas))</del> <u>Renewable Natural Gas</u> to	Clarifying language that policy refers to “renewable” natural	Clarifies language.	Yes	PRD	n/a

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>minimize climate change impacts, including that from its own sources, as a substitute for fossil-sourced natural gas where practical.</p>	<p>gas.</p>				
<p><b><u>F-332a</u></b> King County should strive to site new gas or hazardous liquid transmission pipelines equitably so that no racial, cultural, or socio-economic group is unduly impacted by siting or expansion decisions.</p>	<p>Integrates element of KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policies.</p>	<p>Creates consistency with County ESJ policies</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>6, 7, 8, 83</p>
<p><b><u>F-344a</u></b> King County Office of Emergency Management will continue to convene local emergency managers, first responders, railroads and others to prepare for and mitigate the increasing risk of oil spills, fire and explosions posed by oil-by-rail transport.</p>	<p>Policies describe role and interests of King County related to the transport of oil by rail</p>	<p>Clarity on county role and interests</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>4, 2, 58, 59</p>
<p><b><u>F-344b</u></b> King County should advocate for environmental reviews of proposed oil terminals in Washington State to assess and mitigate for area-wide, cumulative risks and impacts to public safety, infrastructure, traffic, health, water supplies and aquatic resources from increased oil train traffic.</p>	<p>Policies describe role and interests of King County related to the transport of oil by rail</p>	<p>Clarity on county role and interests</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>4, 2, 58, 59</p>
<p><b><u>F-344c</u></b> King County should collaborate with local and tribal governments to jointly advocate for stronger federal and state disclosure requirements for hazardous materials being transported by rail, safety requirements and speed limits for tank cars, minimum liability coverage for rail roads and oil shippers, and financial support for increased local emergency planning and response to oil spills, fires, and explosions.</p>	<p>Policies describe role and interests of King County related to the transport of oil by rail</p>	<p>Clarity on county role and interests</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>4, 2, 58, 59</p>
<p><b><u>F-354</u></b> Cable companies should take <del>((affirmative steps to ensure that reasonable services are available regardless of income or the income of other people in the person's neighborhood))</del> proactive steps to ensure that there is widespread availability of cable service</p>	<p>Integrates element of KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policies.</p>	<p>Creates consistency with County ESJ policies</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>6, 7, 8, 83</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>and diverse information is available to county residents, especially low-income communities.</u>					
F-358 Builders and architects should work with the telecommunication industry to design <u>and retrofit</u> state-of-the art cable-ready homes and offices <u>and community centers, social service agencies, community health clinics, and other buildings that serve low-income citizens.</u>	Integrates element of KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policies.	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 83
F-359 King County encourages public and private organizations to create wireless internet connections where the public can access the Internet, <u>including in community centers, social service agencies, community health clinics, and other buildings that serve low-income citizens.</u> This will create additional opportunities to reduce traffic, lower Greenhouse Gas Emissions and enhance convenient information exchange.	Integrates element of KC Equity and Social Justice (ESJ) policies.	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	6, 7, 8, 83
CHAPTER ((9)) 10 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT					
ED-101 King County has a long-term commitment to sustainable <u>and equitable</u> economic development throughout the county.	Broadens policy to reflect ESJ goals	Better reflects existing approach	Yes	PRD	91, 94, 96
ED-103 King County policies, programs, and strategies shall recognize the importance of, and place special emphasis on, <u>start-up companies as well as</u> retaining and expanding homegrown firms in basic industries that bring income into the county and increase the standard of living of <del>((e#))</del> <u>the County's</u> residents.	Edit reflecting importance of start-up companies in E.D.; grammatical edit	Better guides E.D. activities; public clarity	Yes	PRD	98, 95
ED-104 King County policies, programs, and strategies shall recognize the importance of a diversified economic base to provide a continuum of <del>((job))</del> <u>skill training</u> opportunities to meet the skill level <del>((s-of all workers))</del> <u>needs of industry.</u>	Edit to clarify government role– to assist in job and skill training needed by industries, not providing jobs matching worker skills	Better reflects county role	Yes	PRD	98

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
ED-105	King County recognizes the <u>natural</u> environment as a key economic value that must be protected.	Clarify that this refers the "natural environment", rather than something such as the "business climate"	Greater clarity on purpose of policy	Yes	PRD	58, 98
ED-201	<p>King County shall partner with federal, state, and local governments, economic development organizations, <u>schools, educational and research institutions</u> and the private sector to foster an innovative and entrepreneurial environment and support programs and strategies that promote sustainable business development and job creation. Programs that provide technical and financial assistance to businesses include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Financial, marketing, expansion, and general operations assistance for small businesses to help them become competitive in the private sector;</li> <li>b. Technological, efficiency, and managerial assessments to help manufacturers reduce costs and use smaller footprints for existing or expanded production; and</li> <li>c. Assessment and/or remediation of contaminated property (Brownfields) in order to continue or expand operations to help individual small businesses or jurisdictions impacted by Brownfields.</li> </ul>	Edit to include other sectors that play an important role	Greater clarity on how County will partner	Yes	PRD	98
ED-202	King County shall emphasize continued support for the aerospace and information technology industrial clusters as well as industrial clusters offering the best opportunities for business development, job creation, and economic growth including those identified in the <del>((Prosperity Partnership's))</del> <u>Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Economic Strategy ((for urban areas))</u> , <u>the Local Food</u>	Updated reference to other agency's programs and the Local Food Initiative	Public clarity	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><u>Initiative</u> and the King County Rural Economic Strategies for rural areas (including resource lands).</p>					
<p><b>ED-210</b> King County should support programs and strategies to expand international trade, including those that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Promote, market, and position the county for increased export, import, and foreign investment opportunities; <del>((and))</del></li> <li>b. <u>Promote the health and viability of the region's export and import gateways through active collaboration with the Northwest Seaport Alliance and the Port of Seattle;</u></li> <li>c. <u>Provide technical assistance, training, and opportunities for local firms wishing to export; and</u></li> <li>d. <u>Partner with regional trade groups to promote assistance, opportunities and partnerships to connect current and potential exporters with international markets.</u></li> </ul>	<p>Edit reflecting importance of import and export sectors in E.D.; Edit to include other sectors that play an important role</p>	<p>Better guides County E.D. activities; Greater clarity on how County will partner</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>98</p>
<p><b>ED-212</b> <u>King County shall encourage and support community based and community led efforts to support and retain existing small businesses while improving and revitalizing business corridors and districts in need of such.</u></p>	<p>Adds economic development policy framework to support transformation and communities of opportunity.</p>	<p>Adds healthy communities policy framework to Economic Development chapter of Comprehensive Plan.</p>	<p>Yes</p>		<p>4, 6, 8, 91, 94, 95, 96</p>
<p><b>ED-213</b> <u>King County shall coordinate with a broad range of partners, organizations, businesses and public sector agencies to support the development of business innovation districts and related initiatives in lower income communities, with an emphasis on food innovation districts, in particular. Food innovation districts may encompass anchor food businesses, small food business incubation, food industry education and training, markets and food hubs, food programs and partnerships with urban and rural food growers and</u></p>	<p>Adds economic development policy framework to support transformation and communities of opportunity.</p>	<p>Adds healthy communities policy framework to Economic Development chapter of Comprehensive Plan.</p>	<p>Yes</p>		<p>4, 6, 8, 91, 94, 95, 96, 97</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>cooperatives, and food aggregation and processing.</u>					
<p><b>ED-301</b></p> <p><b>King County should support workforce development programs that are integrated with the county’s overall economic strategies, including but not limited to:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>a. Apprenticeship opportunities on county public works projects to ensure a continual pipeline of skilled, local construction trades workers and to encourage family-wage job opportunities.</b></li> <li><b>b. Development and growth of clean technology “green” jobs linked to the preservation and sustainability of the natural environment, including jobs in pollution prevention, Brownfields cleanup, energy efficiency, renewable energy industries, <u>natural resource management</u>, and other technologies that address climate change.</b></li> <li><b>c. Training in skills (job clusters) that apply to and are in demand across multiple industry clusters.</b></li> </ul>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><b>ED-302</b></p> <p><b>King County supports the King County Workforce Development Council, established by the federal (<del>Workforce Investment Act of 1998</del>) <u>Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act of 2014</u>, composed of high-level representatives from business, local government, labor, education and training institutions, advocacy organizations, and human service providers. The purpose of the council is to coordinate and improve employment, training, literacy, and vocational rehabilitation programs to meet the needs of workers and employers. <u>King County will work with the Workforce Development Council to emphasis the need in and highlight opportunities for communities that have the highest unemployment rates in the region.</u></b></p>	<p>Update in legal framework, and alignment of DCHS workforce activities with transformation and communities of opportunity</p>	<p>Adds policy emphasis for workforce system in areas of County with highest unemployment rate.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 6, 8, 91, 94, 95, 96, 97</p>

I-207	Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
ED-303	<p><b>King County policies programs, strategies, and partnerships shall recognize the importance of worker training and retraining, especially for low-income and low-skilled residents, <u>and communities with the highest unemployment rates, to provide the skilled workers needed by local businesses and industry.</u> King County shall support and partner with other jurisdictions, educational institutions and industry to promote programs such as:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>a. Programs that retrain dislocated workers for jobs in growing industries;</b></li> <li><b>b. Training for jobs in growing industries that require post-technical or post-secondary training and credentials and provide a career pathway to self-sufficiency;</b></li> <li><b>c. Programs that facilitate employer involvement in hiring workers with limited experience and skills and provide successful strategies for skills training, job placement, and worker retention;</b></li> <li><b>d. Programs that reduce recidivism by helping residents exiting the criminal justice system gain access to training and employment services;</b></li> <li><b>e. <u>Alignment of homeless housing and employment systems to assure homeless residents have access to both housing and employment opportunities;</u></b></li> <li><b>f. <u>Food-related workforce development activities and opportunities;</u></b></li> <li><b>g. School-to-work programs and effective alternatives for out-of-school youth to provide a clear pathway to self-sufficiency through career options and applied learning</b></li> </ul>	<p>Edit to include ESJ; Edit to reflect importance of local business; edit to reflect importance of affordable housing and housing for homeless in E.D. activities; Edit to reflect Local Food Initiative; Edit to reflect new transit pass program for low income residents</p>	<p>Reflects numerous County programs that, collectively, represent a holistic approach to E.D.; adds policy emphasis for workforce system in areas of County with the highest unemployment rate, and for populations with high need for particular services.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>4, 6, 8, 91, 94, 95, 96, 97</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>opportunities; ((f)) h. Summer youth employment programs for at-risk youth; ((g)) i. Access to alternative modes of transportation by providing transportation information, financial assistance <u>programs such as OrcaLift</u>, and services to jobseekers and workers; and ((h)) i. Access to childcare by increasing the availability and affordability of quality childcare for low-income families.</p>					
<p><b>ED-304</b> <u>King County shall continue to increase equity in jobs and career opportunities for youth through programs such as the Education Engagement Strategy launched by Public Health in 2013, and others.</u> a. <u>Partner with private businesses, community organizations and educational institutions to provide job shadowing, internship and summer job opportunities for King County youth.</u> b. <u>Partner with Maritime and Manufacturing industry businesses, and other business sectors, to engage high school students in vocational programs that offer training for living wage industry jobs. Work with these businesses to engage schools in promoting regional opportunities for apprenticeships and internships for high school students.</u></p>	<p>Edit to reflect ESJ in workforce training;</p>	<p>Recognizing existing and future E.D. activities and partners</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>94, 95, 8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102</p>
<p><b>ED-305</b> <u>King County shall help promote and develop opportunities for limited English proficiency populations.</u> a. <u>Partner and invest in community organizations that represent limited English proficiency populations</u> b. <u>Improve translation services.</u> c. <u>Partner with private business to promote the hiring of limited English proficiency populations.</u> d. <u>Partner with regional educational institutions to develop</u></p>	<p>Edit to reflect ESJ in County E.D. activities</p>	<p>Recognizing existing and future E.D. activities and partners</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>94, 95, 8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><u>methods for recertification for limited English proficiency professionals with credentials from other countries. Partner with community organizations to promote and increase access to recertification programs.</u></p>					
<p><b>ED-306</b> <u>King County shall work with regional workforce development organizations and regional educational institutions, especially community colleges to promote greater alignment between educational programs and workforce needs.</u></p>	<p>Edit to reflect ESJ in workforce training;</p>	<p>Recognizing existing and future E.D. activities and partners</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>94, 95, 8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102</p>
<p><b>ED-401</b> <u>King County recognizes that adequate infrastructure is essential to support existing economic activity and to attract new industry and development. The county therefore supports and partners on programs and strategies to maintain existing infrastructure and construct new facilities (transportation, utilities, schools, information, communications, including an adequate supply of housing) necessary to accommodate current and future economic demand, in locations, and at a size and scale, consistent with other policies in the Comprehensive Plan.</u></p>	<p>Policy to ensure locational consistency with other policies in the plan</p>	<p>Links infrastructure to GMA goals, consistent with other policies in the Comp Plan</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>98</p>
<p><b>ED-404</b> <u>Through local subarea planning and partnerships with other agencies and organizations, King County should use zoning, incentives, or other measures to <del>((ensure that an appropriate proportion of the land adjacent or near to major public infrastructure facilities is used to capitalize on the economic benefit of that infrastructure. The surrounding land uses should be compatible with the economic development uses or a buffer provided as necessary))</del> capitalize on the economic benefit of infrastructure</u></p>	<p>Policy re-ordered to ensure that infrastructure investments support land use plans, not the reverse</p>	<p>Links infrastructure to GMA goals, consistent with other policies in the Comp Plan</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>98</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<u>projects, in a manner consistent with existing and forecasted land uses, and other locational criteria.</u>					
<b>ED-405</b> <u>King County support programs and partnerships to facilitate the development of adequate technology infrastructure, to meet growing technological demand and ensure high quality infrastructure for the regional economy.</u>	Edit to reflect importance of technology infrastructure in E.D.	Reflects importance of this sector	Yes	PRD	98
<b>ED-501</b> King County should encourage, support and promote the application of sustainable development practices in all private sector development within the county. This may be accomplished through working with residential and commercial developers to <del>((reduce impervious surface areas))</del> <u>use Low Impact Development principles and practices, including minimized impervious surface areas, protect ground and surface water within a watershed, ((assure)) ensure</u> that habitat protection needs are incorporated into development proposals to the extent possible, incorporate greater use of green building materials, eliminate, to the extent possible, the use of materials that pose health hazards, and utilize systems that conserve or reuse resources, including those that use energy more efficiently. When King County provides technical assistance and incentives for the use of sustainable development practices, it shall be at no cost to any private sector development. King County shall collaborate with the private sector on potential future regulatory tools.	Edit recognizing the importance of use of low impact development techniques	Language reflects existing approaches	Yes	PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan	5
<b>ED-501a</b> <u>King County shall strive to promote green building and smart building practices throughout private, public and residential uses and support programs that foster this type of development through collaboration with jurisdictions and other sectors.</u>	Edit to reflect importance of green building sector	Reflects importance of this sector	Yes	PRD	23, 98

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
ED-502	In the Rural Area, King County shall provide assistance through development of customized stewardship plans for individual properties, to help property owners understand their properties' characteristics and the potential impacts of their actions, and to make sustainable land <del>((use))</del> <u>management</u> choices that protect natural resources.	Minor update to reflect current practices and clarify the intent relates to management, not land use	Updated language	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	n/a
ED-504	King County should participate in the development <u>and use</u> of national standards for measuring sustainability at the community scale and the breadth and effectiveness of county policies and practices that improve community-scale sustainability.	Edit clarifying County may use existing national standards	Public clarity	Yes	PRD	98
ED-601	King County is committed to a sustainable and vibrant rural economy that allows rural residents to live and work throughout the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands. County policy, regulations, programs should be reviewed and developed in partnership with rural businesses, the Agriculture and Rural Forest Commissions, the community service area <u>community groups</u> , <del>((the unincorporated area councils,))</del> and others to support the preservation and enhancement of traditional rural economic activities and lifestyles, while supporting evolving compatible commercial uses and job opportunities.	Updated reference to community groups, with UACs	Public clarity	Yes	PRD	n/a
ED-603	King County should partner with other Puget Sound counties and businesses to analyze the need and possible sites for regional agricultural <del>((including))</del> <u>such as</u> beef and poultry) and forest product processing <del>((facilities that may require regional demand to make them economically feasible. The county should also explore options and incentives to encourage entrepreneurs to invest in mobile forest and food production processing facilities that can</del>	Allows a more inclusive approach that recognizes other types of agricultural products; Local Food Initiative; Edit to generalize approach, rather than narrow focus on specific facilities	Updated reference. Reflects other County programs	Yes	PRD	97

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>serve the region.))</del> <u>King County recognizes the importance of food and forest processing for the regional economy and should partner with regional communities, governments and residents to ensure that the challenges and opportunities within this industry are analyzed and addressed as needed.</u>					
<b>ED-604</b> <u>King County will continue to partner with organizations that support programs and strategies that strengthen the interdependence and linkage between the rural, resource and urban economies, such as the Regional Food Policy Council and Puget Sound Fresh and other "Farm to Table" programs.</u>	Strengthen existing policy with emphasis on connecting farm land products to local consumers. Consistent with Local Food Initiative.	Provides implementation steps for the local food initiative	Yes	PRD	4, 8, 11, 97
<b>ED-605</b> <u>King County recognizes the value of open and green space in promoting social and economic health and wellness throughout the county. The county will continue to invest in public lands and partner with organizations that support and strengthen the linkages between rural and urban communities use and maintenance of these open spaces.</u>	Edit to reflect importance of natural systems in planning approach	Update to reflect County's holistic approach	Yes	PRD	98
<b>ED-606</b> <u>King County will encourage economic analysis and economic development of the local food system as called for in the Local Food Initiative.</u>	Edit to address multiple issues in Scope – Land Use Transportation, Healthy Communities, Local Food Initiative	Expresses intent for component of sustainable communities	Yes	Executive Recommend Plan	4, 24, 33, 37
CHAPTER ((40)) 11 <b>COMMUNITY SERVICE AREA PLANNING ((PLANS))</b>					
<b>CP-102</b> <u>Urban planned development will be permitted in the Novelty Hill subarea only when the following planning policies are met:</u> a. <u>To protect existing wetlands, streams and wildlife habitat, urban planned development shall be consistent with the intent of King</u>	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>County ordinances, King County Comprehensive Plan policies, and sensitive areas regulations. The design of the proposed development shall protect and preserve existing wetlands, streams and wildlife habitat by several methods including (but not limited to) minimizing alterations to the natural drainage features, maintaining water quality, preserving storage capacity, providing undisturbed unique/outstanding wetlands and undisturbed or enhanced buffers, restricting the number of stream crossings, and minimizing erosion and sedimentation. To achieve the intent of this policy it may be necessary to exceed the requirements of the King County wetland guidelines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>b. A master drainage plan for the Novelty Hill subarea shall be approved by King County.</li> <li>c. New development adjacent to a unique/outstanding or significant wetland should preserve or enhance the wetland and provide an undisturbed buffer around the wetland adequate to protect its natural functions. Encroachments into significant wetlands may be allowed when no feasible alternative exists and enhancements are provided to replace the lost wetland functions; and</li> <li>d. Groundwater recharge areas should be identified and protected to ensure that groundwater resources are protected from potential pollution.</li> <li>e. To ensure that the existing road system in both King County and Redmond is not adversely affected, on-site and off-site traffic impacts shall be mitigated consistent with the Integrated Transportation Program (K.C.C. chapter 14.65).</li> </ul>					

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>f. A project environmental impact statement (EIS) shall be required for all property proposed for urban planned development within the <del>((UPD development))</del> <u>Urban Planned Development</u> area. The project EIS shall address the full range of public services necessary to serve urban development on Novelty Hill. The EIS shall include the cost of these services, the financial responsibility of the developer(s) and affected jurisdictions, and the method of phasing development to coincide with availability of these public services.</p> <p>g. Since the remainder of residential land in Bear Creek will either be recognized as existing one-acre neighborhoods or designated as <del>((rural areas))</del> <u>Rural Areas</u>, all improvements to public facilities, including but not limited to road construction and sewers, shall be financed by the <del>((UPD))</del> <u>Urban Planned Development</u> developers provided the impacts are the result of UPD developments or according to a fair-share formula agreed to by affected parties.</p> <p>h. A full range of housing densities, types and prices including housing for low-, moderate-, and medium-income groups shall be included in the <del>((UPD))</del> <u>Urban Planned Development</u>. The mix of single-family and multifamily housing in the <del>((UPDs))</del> <u>Urban Planned Developments</u> shall approximate the existing county housing stock mix.</p> <p>i. Urban planned development shall maintain and keep open for public use identified major equestrian and hiking trails.</p> <p>j. Urban planned development shall provide active recreation facilities that adequately serve the needs of future residents and</p>					

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>employees.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>k. Urban planned development shall provide a minimum of 25% open space in addition to the preservation of all surveyed wetlands.</li> <li>l. The Novelty Hill urban planned development area shall contain an urban activity center, which includes a commercial center to provide for the everyday shopping needs of the planned ((UPD)) <u>Urban Planned Development</u> population.</li> <li>m. The activity center shall also contain a business park of sufficient size to provide a diversity of employment opportunities and a balance of jobs and households for the ((UPD)) <u>Urban Planned Development</u> area.</li> <li>n. In order to preserve opportunities for a variety of employment types in the business park areas, retail development in freestanding buildings should be excluded. Up to 10% of gross floor area in business park buildings may be planned for retail uses, such as restaurants and business services, to serve business park employees.</li> <li>o. Development conditions for the shopping and business park areas should encourage high quality development and site design.</li> </ul> <p>The area will revert to rural if UPD development is denied or not pursued. If the UPD area reverts to rural, the zoning shall be RA-5. (BC-4)</p>					
<p>CP-103 Sewer facilities necessary to serve urban planned development on Novelty Hill are planned, designed and constructed to serve only such development and are prohibited from serving nearby</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land,</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p>surrounding low-density urban and <del>((rural areas))</del> <u>Rural Areas</u>. Proposals to extend sewer service or expand urban development outside the Novelty Hill subarea are not appropriate and are inconsistent with the purpose of the King County Comprehensive Plan. (BC-5)</p>	<p>Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>				
<p><b>CP-112</b> Mitigation of traffic impacts to the City of Redmond arterial system will be accomplished through the interlocal agreement process. The Avondale arterial corridor study recommendations shall be used as a basis for traffic mitigation requirements for both city and county development affecting the corridor.</p> <p><b>a.</b> Mitigation shall preserve the operational integrity of the corridor and maintain existing local access. The primary arterial corridor between the Novelty Hill urban area and SR-520 should be located and designed to encourage transit and ride-sharing alternatives to single-occupant vehicle travel.</p> <p><b>b.</b> Transportation planning of new facilities and management of the transportation system should be coordinated with current and forecast needs of the <del>((East Sammamish and Northshore planning))</del> areas, adjacent areas of Snohomish County <del>((, and with the cities of Redmond and Kirkland, ))</del> and should be a cooperative effort of the affected jurisdictions. Phasing of Bear Creek and Redmond development should be strongly linked to the provision of adequate transportation facilities and travel demand management programs. (BC-45A)</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to fix numbering of policies to match numbering in other policies</p> <p>Changes delete references to former planning areas that are no longer being used.</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p> <p>These policies continue to address the transportation needs of Eastside cities.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100, 101</p>
<p><b>CP-114</b> Road improvements in Cottage Lake, Ring Hill, Ames Lake, Union Hill, and the <del>((rural areas))</del> <u>Rural Areas</u> should incorporate design features such as grass-lined swales to minimize surface water</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land,</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<b>disruption and to protect and enhance water quality. (BC-49)</b>	Cities in Rural Area, per GMA				
<b>CP-116</b> Park-and-ride and park-and-pool lots should be developed in Redmond, Cottage Lake, Ring Hill, Ames Lake, and Union Hill to provide focal points for transit and ride sharing. Park-and-pool lots should be located in <del>((rural areas))</del> <u>Rural Areas</u> along major commuting corridors such as SR-202, Redmond-Fall City Road, Novelty Hill Road, and Woodinville-Duvall Road. (BC-52)	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
<b>CP-117</b> The Northwest Gas Pipeline and Puget Sound Power Line should be established as regional trails in Bear Creek/ <u>Sammamish</u> to tie in with <del>((the East Sammamish))</del> <u>other planning areas</u> and to connect with the King County Tolt Pipeline Trail and the Snoqualmie Valley Trail. (BC-61)	This change clarifies the name of the Community Service Area (CSA).	Makes the language consistent with the new planning geographies.	Yes	PRD	100, 101
<b>CP-118</b> When the development of property occurs in Bear Creek/ <u>Sammamish</u> , adequate rights-of-way should be provided for <u>local</u> trail use. <del>((Trails))</del> <u>Local hiking, biking and equestrian trails</u> should connect to existing and proposed schools, parks, riding stables, and recreation areas. (BC-62)	Clarifies the name of the Community Service Area. Clarifies the trails are local and for local use, rather than regional in nature.	Specifies these trails are intended for local use and are not in the County's regional funding plans.	Yes	PRD	100, 101
<del>((CP-706))</del> <b>CP-119</b> King County recognizes the importance of existing mobile home parks in providing affordable housing options. Mobile home parks outside of the Woodinville and Kenmore commercial core areas are designated for mobile home park uses, and shall be zoned appropriately. a. King County shall continue to examine the feasibility of funding and developing a replacement mobile home park in north King County for displaced mobile homes on county-owned or privately owned sites. b. King County should develop interlocal agreements with the	Relocates existing policy into Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA planning geography.	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	99, 101

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
cities of Bothell, Redmond, Kirkland, Woodinville and Kenmore for joint development of replacement parks to accommodate mobile home owners if they are displaced from mobile home parks within cities. (R-21)					
<b>((CP-707)) CP-120</b> Roadway improvements addressing the transportation needs in the Sammamish Valley from the South Woodinville bypass to Northeast 124th Street should carefully preserve the rural character of the valley as indicated by this and other adopted land use plans. Incorporating roadway design characteristics, such as tree windbreaks and shoulders instead of curb and gutter, will enhance this rural atmosphere. Access from adjacent properties to the proposed Willows Road extension shall be discouraged. Where access is necessary from adjacent properties, access shall be consolidated. (T-11)	Relocates existing policy into Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA planning geography.	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	99, 101
<b>((CP-743)) CP-121</b> When the development of properties occurs in the <del>((Northshore Planning Area))</del> <u>area</u> , public access or easements should be required to complete the development of a local trail system. Adequate right-of-way should be provided for trail use. To ensure that the provision of trail corridor right-of-way does not result in a reduction in the number of permitted building lots, the area within the trail right-of-way, not otherwise credited as part of a road right-of-way dedication, should also be credited toward the lot area of any proposed development. Trails should connect to existing and proposed schools, parks, riding stables, recreation areas and neighborhoods. (P-9)	Relocates existing policy into Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA planning geography. Deletes the reference to the old Northshore Planning Area which has been annexed to the City of Bothell.	Continues existing policy.	Yes	PRD	11, 100
<b>((CP-744)) CP-122</b> King County should work closely with other jurisdictions and public agencies to seek appropriate trail links between elements of the	Relocates existing policy into Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	65, 100

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
open space system including, but not limited to the Burke-Gilman trail, Sammamish River trail, and the Tolt Pipeline Trail. (P-10)	planning geography.				
<del>((CP-745)) CP-123</del> Existing public access points to the Sammamish River should be maintained and additional access points acquired and developed to ensure the use of this river as trail corridor and fishing area. (P-11)	Relocates existing policy into Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA planning geography.	Improved clarity within new structure	Yes	PRD	11, 65, 100
<del>((CP-601) King County supports the nomination of the Odd Fellows Cemetery and counterbalance right-of-way to the National and State Registers of Historic Places. (N-33))</del>	This policy is for an area that has incorporated (City of Newcastle) and which the County does not control	Recognizes an annexation.	Yes	PRD	100
CP-603 May Creek is acknowledged as a regional asset and should be protected. Thus, King County shall not increase zoning density on lands that drain into May Creek (i.e. the May Valley Basin) without first determining and implementing <del>((surface water))</del> <u>stormwater</u> runoff mitigation necessary to control flooding and siltation in May Creek.	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency and bring terminology up to date	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
CP-1004 Within the Soos Creek basin, bare ground associated with clearing, grading, utility installation, building construction, and other development activity should be covered or revegetated between October 1 and March 31 each year. Earth-moving and land-clearing activity should not occur during this period within the Soos Creek basin except for regular maintenance of public facilities and public agency response to emergencies that threaten the public health, safety and welfare. Landscaping of single-family residences, existing permitted commercial forestry and mining activities and development sites with approved and constructed drainage facilities that infiltrate 100 percent of <del>((surface))</del> <u>stormwater</u> runoff should be exempt from these restrictions. (NR-8)	Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency and bring terminology up to date	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #	
CP-1010	Equestrian crossings of arterials should be permitted only where they do not greatly disrupt traffic. Where possible, these crossings should be combined with pedestrian and bicycle crossings. <del>((There should be no at grade equestrian crossings of SR-516, except at Lake Meridian. ))</del> (T-29)	Deletes a policy for an area that has been annexed to the City of Kent and which the County no longer controls.	Updates existing policy.	Yes	PRD	100
CP-1103	Existing businesses which qualify as legal uses located at Highway 169 and Cedar Grove Road should be given the same land use map designation as surrounding <del>((rural))</del> Rural Area or <del>((resource))</del> Natural Resource Land properties, but recognized as Rural Businesses with neighborhood-scale business zoning. Any such development should not be expanded beyond the limits of the existing zoning of the specific parcel on which it is currently located, and if the use is abandoned the zoning should be redesignated to a <del>((rural))</del> Rural Area zone consistent with that applied to surrounding properties.	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	Executive Rec. Plan	11
<del>((C-1104</del>	<del>King County supports annexation of the lands within the City of Black Diamond's Urban Growth Area subject to the requirements of the Black Diamond Urban Growth Area Agreement as adopted by Ordinance 12534. If the agreement is terminated, the affected lands under King County jurisdiction shall be treated as follows: a.— Land within the designated Urban Growth Area shall be redesignated to Rural and reclassified to the rural zoning in place prior to the effective date of Ordinance 12534. This zoning shall continue for a period of at least five years from the date of reclassification. b.— The areas identified in the agreement as county open space shall be maintained at the rural zoning in place prior to the</del>	This annexation has occurred and the policy is no longer necessary.	Deletes a completed policy.	Yes	PRD	100

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><del>effective date of Ordinance 12534. This zoning shall continue for a period of at least five years after the date of termination of the agreement.))</del></p>					
<p><b>CP-1105</b> King County supports expansion of the network of <del>((regional))</del> <u>local hiking, biking and equestrian trails</u> and conservation of natural resource lands and environmentally sensitive areas through community efforts such as the Rock Creek Valley Conservation Plan and the Friends of Rock Creek.</p>	<p>Clarifies the trails are local and for local use, rather than regional in nature.</p>	<p>Specifies these trails are intended for local use and are not in the County's regional funding plans.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>101</p>
<p><del>((CP-201 For all new development, increased standards for retention/detention, water quality facilities, and monitoring shall be considered, adopted and implemented as appropriate within the areas identified in surface water management basin planning and reconnaissance study areas. (NE-1)</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>
<p><del>CP-202 As new roads are built and existing roads widened, special consideration shall be taken to create or retain the aesthetic character of the area through the use of vegetated buffers that utilize native vegetation. (NE-3)</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
	<p>continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>				
<p><del>CP-203 Control mechanisms equal to or more effective than those adopted by Ordinance 9365 limiting or removing phosphorus and other non-point source pollutants from water bodies should be established and implemented as special requirements in area-specific basins plans to provide added protection to streams, lakes, wetlands. The Lake Sammamish Water Quality Management Project Report and, upon their adoption, the Issaquah Creek and East Lake Sammamish Basin and Non-point Source Control Plan, the Pine Lake Management Plan and the Beaver Lake Management Plan recommendations should be implemented to protect water bodies from non-point source pollution. (NE-7)</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>
<p><del>CP-204 Development shall protect wildlife through site design and landscaping. New development within or adjacent to the wildlife habitat network should incorporate design techniques that protect and enhance wildlife habitat values. (NE-10)</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>
<p><del>CP-205 All golf course proposals shall be carefully evaluated for their impacts on surface and groundwater quality, sensitive areas, and</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><del>fish and wildlife resources and habitat. (NE-11)</del></p>	<p>and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>				
<p><del>CP-206</del> <del>Water used for irrigating golf courses should come from nonpotable water sources wherever possible. Use of natural surface water sources, such as streams, should be avoided due to impacts on fish and other wildlife habitat. A water conservation plan shall be submitted with golf course applications which should address measures such as the use of drought-tolerant plant species. (NE-12)</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>
<p><del>CP-207</del> <del>The Patterson Creek Basin currently provides highly-productive aquatic habitat. Urban development within this basin should be conditioned to protect this resource by minimizing site disturbance, impervious surfaces and disturbances of wetlands and streams. (No Community Plan Policy Number)</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)				
<del>CP-208</del> <del>The Northwest Pipeline office and maintenance shop is an existing use and is recognized by this plan as providing a needed service to the area. This 6.5 acre site may redevelop for pipeline utility and/or school bus base uses exclusive of major maintenance functions that are compatible with the surrounding rural development and agricultural uses. Redesignation of additional properties in the immediate vicinity of Northwest Pipeline for manufacturing park uses or other urban uses shall not be permitted. (CI-13)</del>	These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.  CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)	These changes recognize previous annexations.	Yes	PRD	100
<del>CP-209</del> <del>New developments should be designed and constructed with an internal road system which includes a Neighborhood Collector linking with existing or planned adjacent developments, creating a complete Neighborhood Collector circulation system and such linkage should be designed to ensure safety of local streets. Through traffic on local access streets should be discouraged. (T-9)</del>	These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.  CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)	These changes recognize previous annexations.	Yes	PRD	100
<del>CP-210</del> <del>Metropolitan King County Government should establish</del>	These policies were in the East	These changes recognize	Yes	PRD	100

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><del>park-and-ride facilities in the East Sammamish Community Planning area. Park-and-ride facilities should be built along 228th Avenue and/or adjacent to I-90 and SR-202. The park-and-ride lots should be sited adjacent to and connect with existing or proposed community or neighborhood centers or within the employment center located around the intersection of E. Lake Sammamish Parkway and SE 56th Street. Establishment of a site near, but to the north of, I-90 should be high priority response to current and anticipated I-90 access problems. (T-13)</del></p>	<p>Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>	<p>previous annexations.</p>			
<p><del>CP-211 Consistent with the King County Open Space Plan, the county shall encourage establishment of an open space system in East Sammamish and give priority to protecting recreational, cultural and natural and sensitive areas such as shorelines, aquifer recharge areas, wildlife habitat, historic properties, archaeological sites, scenic vistas and community separators or greenbelts. The county may require lot clustering within or adjacent to open space areas; linkages between open spaces and may provide density bonuses or incentives to developers who preserve significant open space or establish trails beyond usually applied mitigation. (P-14)</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>
<p><del>CP-212 Urban separators should be established to provide visual relief from continuous development, provide important linkages for wildlife habitat, and maintain a visual separation between distinct communities. (P-17)</del></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
	<p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>				
<p><del>CP-213</del> <b>There are areas within the urban separators that are especially suitable for trail connections for recreational use by present and anticipated population. King County should develop a trail and/or parks system utilizing the preserved open space within the urban separators. (P-18)</b></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>
<p><del>CP-214</del> <b>When the development of properties occurs in the East Sammamish planning area, public access or easements should be required to complete the development of a local trail system for those areas where existing trails have historically been used by the public, or where the King County Open Space Plan identifies proposed trail alignment for regional and local trails. The Parks Division shall review the application during the development review process. (P-23))</b></p>	<p>These policies were in the East Sammamish Community Plan and are no longer applicable because the areas to which they apply have been annexed into cities.</p> <p>CP-301 is included because it continues to apply to the Enumclaw area (it was in the Enumclaw Community Plan)</p>	<p>These changes recognize previous annexations.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<p><b>CP-304</b> <b>King County should work with the City of Enumclaw to establish an agreement guiding future annexations, <u>as shown by the Potential Annexation Areas on the King County Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map</u>, including but not limited to the following elements:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>a. Commitment from the city to extend and maintain public services to the area, including police, fire, transportation, sewer, water, storm water management and general government services.</b></li> <li><b>b. Commitment from the city to provide a variety of residential development at an overall density for unconstrained land of at least four to eight units per acre.</b></li> <li><b>c. Commitment from the city that the extension of public services to meet the needs of future residents will maintain service levels to existing city residents.</b></li> <li><b>d. Commitment that the city will continue environmental protection for sensitive areas, (including but not limited to flood plains, steep slopes, wetlands, seismic and landslide hazard areas) at or above King County standards.</b></li> <li><b>e. Commitment from the city to use measures to buffer or protect abutting forest or agriculture resource lands.</b></li> <li><b>f. Commitment that the city will provide protection of historic sites and areas equal to the county's Historic Preservation Ordinance.</b></li> <li><b>g. Commitment by King County to consult with the city on public improvement standards, such as local road standards, drainage control requirements and transportation standards that will apply to development in expansion areas.</b></li> <li><b>h. Commitment by King County to notify the city of development</b></li> </ul>	<p>Clarifies the area subject to an agreement guiding future annexations.</p>	<p>Removes confusion about the area subject to an annexation agreement.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>20, 21, 100</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>proposals in the expansion area and to consult with the city to condition development approvals to mitigate adverse impacts on city services and to implement city plans, policies and standards.</p> <p>i. Commitment by King County to notify the city of development proposals in an impact area, which includes all lands within a one-mile radius of the expansion area, and to consult with the city where applicable to condition development approvals to mitigate adverse impacts on city services.</p> <p>j. Agreement on which jurisdiction will have responsibility for parks, roads, storm water or other public facilities after annexation. (EN-40)</p>					
<p><b>CP-307</b> Redevelopment of the Enumclaw landfill site should be subject to studies to assure public health and safety. If these studies determine that there is no threat to public health and safety the site's <del>((rural))</del> <u>Rural Area</u> designation may be changed to accommodate a public use such as a park or other facility without an amendment to the King County Comprehensive Plan. (EN-71)</p>	<p>Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA</p>	<p>Internal consistency and consistency with GMA</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>11</p>
<p><del>((CP-701</del> <del>The north and east slopes of Norway Hill have an established neighborhood character and limited future development potential. They are, therefore, designated low density urban, 1 home per acre. King County recognizes that extensive steep slopes and erosive soils at the top of Norway Hill (above the 300-foot elevation mark) warrant lower residential densities. (E-10)</del></p>	<p>These areas have been annexed into cities (Bothell, Redmond, etc.).</p>	<p>Deletes policies that no longer apply to areas within the unincorporated County.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>
<p><del>CP-702</del> <del>The undeveloped area to the south of Metro's Brickyard Park and Ride lot should retain its office-only designation in recognition of its proximity to a major transportation corridor and the need for</del></p>	<p>These areas have been annexed into cities (Bothell, Redmond, etc.).</p>	<p>Deletes policies that no longer apply to areas within the unincorporated</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>100</p>

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>increased employment opportunity in proximity to planned high-density residential areas. (E-13)</del>		County.			
<del>CP-703 King County, Snohomish County, the City of Bothell, and the City of Woodinville should work on specific areas of mutual concern, such as the Swamp Creek and Daniels Creek drainage basins, the SR-527 transportation corridor, the proposed regional facilities of the University of Washington, and the future expansion of the City of Bothell. (E-25)</del>	These areas have been annexed into cities (Bothell, Redmond, etc.).	Deletes policies that no longer apply to areas within the unincorporated County.	Yes	PRD	100
<del>CP-704 Significant vegetation is a diminishing resource in the Northshore community. Significant vegetation contributes significantly to environmental quality, neighborhood character, and the quality of life in Northshore. All new residential development shall retain significant existing vegetation. Native vegetation should be utilized wherever possible. (R-17)</del>	These areas have been annexed into cities (Bothell, Redmond, etc.).	Deletes policies that no longer apply to areas within the unincorporated County.	Yes	PRD	100
<del>CP-705 New development must provide pedestrian connections to off-site facilities such as existing trails, walkways, community facilities and services, transit, schools and surrounding residential neighborhoods. Pedestrian links should be provided internally in all new residential development. Bicycle and equestrian links should be provided where possible. (R-19)</del>	These areas have been annexed into cities (Bothell, Redmond, etc.).	Deletes policies that no longer apply to areas within the unincorporated County.	Yes	PRD	100
<del>CP-708 Transportation projects in Northshore should incorporate bicycle friendly design, utilizing a variety of design techniques appropriate to the particular project and right-of-way characteristics, including, but not limited to, bicycle lanes, wide outside travel lanes, paved shoulders, bicycle sensitive signal detectors, and appropriate signing. Existing bicycle facilities should be preserved or enhanced when general road improvements are made. Secure parking for</del>	This policy was moved into the Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA section of Chapter 11.	This policy continues to be in force.	Yes	PRD	99

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>bicycles should be provided at activity centers throughout Northshore. (T-33)</del>					
<del>CP-709</del> Pedestrian and bicycle linkages are encouraged and should be planned. There should also be a link for equestrian uses from Hollywood Hill and NE 171st Street to the Sammamish River trail in the vicinity of the South Woodinville CBD bypass. (W-14)	This policy was moved into the Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA section of Chapter 11.	This policy continues to be in force.	Yes	PRD	99
<del>CP-710</del> Protection of natural vegetation coverage at levels sufficient to moderate surface water runoff and erosion and to protect the integrity of stream channels should be required through special zoning requirements, critical drainage basin requirements, or countywide ordinance. When revegetation is required, appropriate native vegetation should be used. (NR-4)	This policy was moved into the Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA section of Chapter 11.	This policy continues to be in force.	Yes	PRD	99
<del>CP-711</del> Unique geologic conditions in Northshore have resulted in hillsides that have a high risk of large scale erosion. Increased on-site retention/detention requirements in areas drainage over steep and erosive slopes should be adopted and implemented as special zoning requirements. (NR-9)	This policy was moved into the Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA section of Chapter 11.	This policy continues to be in force.	Yes	PRD	99
<del>CP-712</del> A community wide trail system for pedestrians, equestrians, and bicyclists should be developed. This trail system should connect regional trails with local trails and walkways. (P-6))	This policy was moved into the Bear Creek/ Sammamish CSA section of Chapter 11.	This policy continues to be in force.	Yes	PRD	99
<del>((CP-903</del> Properties in erosion-prone drainage basins are subject to special development conditions applied to protect the safety and property of county residents through reducing or eliminating the occurrence of gully formation and sever erosion. These conditions may include: a. A drainage control plan; b. Installation of drainage control features prior to any land clearing, vegetation removal, site grading, road construction, or	This policy was deleted because it applied to land currently within the city of Snoqualmie boundaries.	This policy is no longer in effect in unincorporated King County.	Yes	PRD	100

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<del>utility installation; and c. Runoff control requirements. (SQP-21))</del>					
CP-937 King County should work with the State of Washington and the Fall City community to <u>continue to make transportation improvements in Fall City that will favor safe and pleasant pedestrian and other nonmotorized links between downtown businesses, the residential areas, and nearby King County Parks, and safe walkways to schools, rather than rapid through traffic.</u>	This change recognizes the County has made transportation improvements but should continue to do more.	The County will make future transportation improvements.	Yes	PRD	44
CP-938 King County should expand the soft surface pedestrian, equestrian and bicycle trail opportunities serving the <u>Preston Fall City area.</u> <del>((Trail route options serving the community shall be reviewed to include a route along the left bank levee easement directly adjacent to the Raging River, historically used by the public as a pedestrian, equestrian and bicycle trail. This historically used trail generally follows the "wildlife corridor" along the bank of the Raging River from 328th Way SE approximately NE to the Preston Fall City Road.))</del> The selected <u>local</u> trail system for the <u>Preston</u> Fall City area shall be identified in the King County Parks and Recreation trail system plan for local and backcountry trails.	Clarifies the trails are local and for local use, rather than regional in nature.	Specifies these trails are intended for local use and are not in the County's regional funding plans.	Yes	PRD	44, 101
CP-940 Land uses at freeway interchanges without existing commercial or industrial development, and outside rural neighborhoods and <del>((rural cities))</del> <u>Cities in the Rural Area</u> , are designated rural residential to support development in rural neighborhoods and <del>((rural cities))</del> <u>Cities in the Rural Area</u> , and to preserve the scenic nature of the corridor. (SQP-98)	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
CP-951 King County shall <del>((put high priority on the acquisition and development of a))</del> <u>seek to acquire and develop regional trail system</u>	This change updates the County's direction in securing	This change recognizes that some of the County's	Yes	PRD	44

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
<b>connections linking the Snoqualmie Valley planning area to other parts of the county. (SQP-143)</b>	trail connections, rather than new trail systems.	regional trail system has already been acquired.			
<b>CP-1201</b> <b>All of Vashon-Maury Island is recognized for its unique ecological functions as a Puget Sound island, and is designated in this plan as a <del>((rural area))</del> Rural Area. Development activities should protect the entire ecological system, including the Puget Sound shoreline, island habitat areas, and ground and surface water resources. (V-1)</b>	Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land, Cities in Rural Area, per GMA	Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11
<b>CP-1207</b> <b>Fish and wildlife habitats identified on Vashon Island and considered to be especially unique and valuable or of potential countywide significance should receive special attention. Where these occur within a proposed plat or subdivision, Department of <u>Permitting and Environmental Review</u> (<del>Development and Environmental Services (DDES)</del>), or its successor, may require the developer to submit a special report to assess more closely the impacts of the proposal on the habitat and to recommend specific measures to protect them. (V-35)</b>	Grammatical edit to bring terminology up to date to reflect current departmental naming	Improved readability and consistency	Yes	PRD	n/a
<b>CP-1216</b> <b>Provide a safe and efficient system of <u>local</u> commuter and recreational routes for bicyclists, pedestrians, and equestrians. (V-69)</b>	Clarifies the trails are local and for local use, rather than regional in nature.	Specifies these trails are intended for local use and are not in the County's regional funding plans.	Yes	PRD	44
<b>CP-1228</b> <b>King County should work with residential builders and developers on Vashon-Maury Island to encourage the use of low impact development practices that protect and enhance native vegetation and soils and reduce impervious surface. King County should promote preservation of at least 65% forest cover on rural-residential zoned parcels. The 65% forest cover goal may be adjusted for parcels less than 2 ½ acres in size. Dispersion of <u>stormwater</u> runoff</b>	Non-substantive clarification of terminology – runoff means stormwater runoff  Edits for consistent use of terminology related to Rural Areas, Natural Resource Land,	Public clarity  Internal consistency and consistency with GMA	Yes	PRD	11

I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment	A. Rationale	B. Effect	C. Compliance	D. Public Review	Scope of Work #
from impervious surfaces into native vegetation in accordance with the Surface Water Design Manual shall be the preferred method of stormwater management in the <del>((rural area))</del> Rural Area.	Cities in Rural Area, per GMA				
CP-1241 King County should develop an on-going island-wide education program to inform Islanders about groundwater resources, drinking water supplies, water availability, and water quality issues. The education program should include alternative water supply choices such as water retention, rain water harvesting, use of gray water, deepening of wells, groundwater recharge, water rationing in emergencies, <del>((reclaimed water))</del> recycled water and desalinization.	Terminology is corrected.	This policy is still in effect.	Yes	PRD	n/a
CHAPTER <del>((44))</del> 12 IMPLEMENTATION, AMENDMENTS <del>((&amp;))</del> AND EVALUATION					
I-101 King County's regulation of land use should: a. Protect public health, safety and general welfare, and property rights; b. Protect consumers from fraudulent practices in land use, land sales and development; c. Implement and be consistent with the comprehensive plan and other adopted land use goals, policies and plans; d. Be expeditious, predictable, clear, straightforward and internally consistent; e. Provide clear direction for resolution of regulatory conflict; f. Be enforceable, efficiently administered and provide appropriate incentives and penalties; g. Be consistently and effectively enforced; h. Create public and private benefits worth their cost; i. Be coordinated with timely provision of necessary public	Integrate ESJ into planning objectives – strengthens focus from evaluating to implementing	Creates consistency with County ESJ policies	Yes	PRD	8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>facilities and services;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>j. Encourage creativity and diversity in meeting county goals and policies;</li> <li>k. Be coordinated with cities, special purpose districts and other public agencies to promote compatible development standards throughout King County;</li> <li>l. Be responsive, understandable and accessible to the public;</li> <li>m. Provide effective public notice and reasonable opportunities for the public (especially those directly affected) to be heard and to influence decisions;</li> <li>n. Avoid intruding on activities involving constitutionally protected freedoms of speech, petition, expression, assembly, association and economic competition, except when essential to protect public health, safety and welfare (and then the restriction should be no broader than necessary);</li> <li>o. Treat all members of the public equally regardless of race, culture or class and base regulatory decisions wholly on the applicable criteria and code requirements, <u>including the county Equity and Social Justice goals</u>;</li> <li>p. Make development requirements readily accessible to the public through up-to-date codes, technical assistance materials and other relevant documents; and</li> <li>q. Provide for relief from existing regulations when they would deprive a property of uses allowed to similar properties with the same zoning or environmental or other constraints, and when such relief would neither endanger public health and safety nor conflict with adopted use policies. This policy is not intended</li> </ul>					

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>for relief from rules governing the subdividing of land.</p>					
<p>I-203 Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the annual cycle shall not consider proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan that require substantive changes to comprehensive plan policies and development regulations or that alter the Urban Growth Area ((UGA)) Boundary. Substantive amendments and changes to the ((UGA)) <u>Urban Growth Area</u> Boundary may be considered in the annual amendment cycle only if the proposed amendments are necessary for the protection and recovery of threatened and endangered species, or to implement</p> <p>a. A proposal for a Four to One project; or</p> <p>b. <u>An amendment regarding the provision of wastewater services to a Rural Town. Such amendments shall be limited to policy amendments and adjustments to the boundaries of the Rural Town as needed to implement a preferred option identified in a Rural Town wastewater treatment study.</u><del>((Changes related to a mining site conversion demonstration project. The demonstration project shall evaluate and address:</del></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>1. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the feasibility of residential use and/or long-term forestry on the demonstration project site;</del></li> <li><del>2. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for residential use, the impacts to carbon sequestration when implementing modified standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights;</del></li> <li><del>3. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed residential development on the demonstration</del></li> </ol>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p> <p>Removal of mining site conversion demonstration project; this program was not supported by the Executive when the 2012 Comprehensive Plan was adopted. Further, there has been significant negative reaction from communities regarding their impacts and consistency with the other policies in the Comprehensive Plan</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p> <p>Removes program not supported by Executive; the County has other programs for transitioning mining sites when they are finished in resource extraction and programs such as this, that create alternate pathways to the standards process, undermine public confidence in planning system</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>n/a</p> <p>47, 93, 98</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p><del>project site with uses occurring on the adjacent rural or forest production district lands, especially if the proposed residential development utilizes modified standards for lot clustering and/or transfer of development rights;</del>  <del>4. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are appropriate to their use either for long-term forestry and/or for residential development; and</del>  <del>5. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall public benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated park or open space, of lands in the vicinity of the demonstration project site that form the headwaters of critical, high valued habitat areas; or that remove the development potential from nonconforming legal parcels in the forest production district; or that provide linkages with other forest production district lands.))</del></p>					
<p>I-204 The four-year cycle shall consider proposed amendments that could be considered in the annual cycle and also those outside the scope of the annual cycle, proposed amendments relating to substantive changes to comprehensive plan policies and development regulations, and proposals to alter the ((UGA)) <u>Urban Growth Area</u> Boundary in accordance with applicable provisions of Countywide Planning Policies.</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>I-601 King County should develop incentives for the <u>Unincorporated</u> Urban ((Growth)) Area that encourage the development industry to provide a broad range of housing and business space. Incentives could include:  a. Identification of geographic areas with infill opportunities,</p>	<p>Grammatical edit to improve readability and consistency</p>	<p>Improved readability and consistency</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD</p>	<p>n/a</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>granting them budget priority status and subjecting new development in these areas to more flexible standards – <u>this should include disadvantaged areas an areas with significant concentrations of low-income or minority groups</u>;</p> <p>b. Density bonuses for site designs which provide public benefits (for example, grid roads that connect with other developments and limit impacts on arterials);</p> <p>c. Incentives which lower financial development risk;</p> <p>d. Joint development opportunities at county-owned or operated facilities, utilization of air rights on county-owned or operated facilities, and the establishment of transit-supportive design guidelines; and</p> <p>e. County capital improvement funding for public urban amenities including transportation, parks, open space, cultural and other facilities for cities participating in the King County Transfer of Development Rights Program.</p>	<p>Edit to include equity to the list of considerations when developing incentive programs</p>	<p>Increases attention to issue, and can create greater opportunities</p>		<p>PDR</p>	<p>3, 8, 14, 6, 7, 17, 36, 52, 62, 68, 83, 91, 99, 102</p>
<p><b>Workplan:</b> Identifies major initiatives to be undertaken in between major update cycles to implement the Comprehensive Plan. The workplan includes the following actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Initiation of the Community Service Area Subarea Planning Program</li> <li>2. Develop a Plan, with the Growth Management Planning Council, To Move Remaining Unincorporated Urban Potential Annexation Areas Towards Annexation</li> <li>3. Develop a Performance Measures Program for the Comprehensive Plan</li> <li>4. Review the Four To One Program</li> </ol>	<p>Increased transparency through including the tasks in the body of the document; workplan items operate in conjunction with the other tools such as regulations, incentive programs, and other core regional planning and implementation activities</p>	<p>Increased public clarity</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PRD  Revised in Executive Rec. Plan</p>	<p>2, 9, 19, 35, 38, 103, 104</p>

<p>I-207 Proposed Policy Amendment</p>	<p>A. Rationale</p>	<p>B. Effect</p>	<p>C. Compliance</p>	<p>D. Public Review</p>	<p>Scope of Work #</p>
<p>5. Implement a TDR Unincorporated Urban Receiving Area Pilot Project</p>					