

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
ATTACHMENT A: 2016 KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN						
CHAPTER 1 REGIONAL GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING						
<p>RP-109 King County shall establish and/or participate in regional and subregional partnerships to advance the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan such as:</p> <p>a. <u>The King County Cities Climate Collaboration (the "K4C") to confront climate change.</u></p> <p>b. <u>The Regional Transit Oriented Development Program to advance transit-oriented development around transit stations and hubs, and</u></p> <p>c. <u>The Eastside Rail Corridor to support a multi-use vision for the corridor.</u></p>	1-6, 1-7	<p>RP-109 King County ((shall)) should establish and/or participate in regional and subregional partnerships to advance the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan, such as:</p> <p>a. The King County Cities Climate Collaboration (the "K4C") to confront climate change,</p> <p>b. The Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Transit Oriented Development Program to advance transit-oriented development around transit stations and hubs, ((and))</p> <p>c. The Eastside Rail Corridor Regional Advisory Council, or successor groups, ((to support a multi-use vision for the corridor)) to support a vision that includes dual (recreation trail and public transportation) an multiple objectives, consistent with its federal railbanked status, and</p> <p>d. The Regional Code Collaboration to collaborate on development of and updates to green building codes.</p>	1-6	<p>RP-109 King County should establish and/or participate in regional and subregional partnerships to advance the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan, such as:</p> <p>a. The King County Cities Climate Collaboration (the "K4C") to confront climate change,</p> <p>b. The Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Transit Oriented Development Program to advance transit-oriented development around transit stations and hubs, ((and))</p> <p>c. The Eastside Rail Corridor Regional Advisory Council, or successor groups, to support a vision that includes dual (recreation trail and public transportation) and multiple objectives, consistent with its federal railbanked status, and</p> <p>d. The Regional Code Collaboration to collaborate on development of and updates to green building codes.</p>	Technical fix to correct strikethrough in subsection b and typo in subsection c.	<p>RP-1 <i>Dembowski</i> PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
CHAPTER 2 URBAN COMMUNITIES						
U-126 King County, when evaluating rezone requests for increases in density, shall ((work with)) <u>notify</u> the city whose PAA includes the property under review; <u>if a pre-annexation agreement exist, King County shall work with the city</u> to ensure compatibility with the city's pre-annexation zoning for the area. King County shall also notify special purpose districts and local providers of urban utility services and should work with these service providers on issues raised by the proposal.	2-14	U-126 King County, when evaluating rezone requests ((for increases in density)) , shall ((notify)) <u>consult with</u> the city whose PAA includes the property under review; if a pre-annexation agreement exist, King County shall work with the city to ensure compatibility with the city's pre-annexation zoning for the area. King County shall also notify special purpose districts and local providers of urban utility services and should work with these service providers on issues raised by the proposal.	2-13, 2-14	U-126 King County, when evaluating rezone requests, shall consult with the city whose PAA includes the property under review; if a pre-annexation agreement exists, King County shall work with the city to ensure compatibility with the city's pre-annexation zoning for the area. King County shall also notify special purpose districts and local providers of urban utility services and should work with these service providers on issues raised by the proposal.	Technical fix to correct a typo.	U-1 <i>Dembowski</i> PASSED
U-128 Density incentives should encourage private developers to: provide ((innovative)) affordable housing, significant open space, trails and parks; use the Transfer of Development Rights Program; locate development close to transit; participate in historic preservation; and include energy conservation measures exceeding state requirements.	2-15	U-128 Density incentives should encourage private developers to: provide affordable housing, significant open space, trails and parks; use the Transfer of Development Rights Program, <u>Low Impact Development and Green Building</u> ; locate development close to transit; participate in historic preservation; and include energy conservation measures exceeding state requirements.	2-14	U-128 Density incentives should encourage private developers to: provide affordable housing, significant open space, trails and parks; use the Transfer of Development Rights Program, Low Impact Development and Green Building; locate development close to transit; participate in historic preservation; and include energy conservation measures ((exceeding state requirements)) .	Would no longer encourage density incentives for energy conservation measures to exceed state requirements.	U-2 <i>Lambert</i> PASSED
U-149 New facilities and businesses that draw from throughout the region, such as large retail uses, large public assembly facilities and institutions of higher education should locate in the Urban <u>Growth Area</u> .	2-21	U-149 New facilities and businesses that draw from throughout the region, such as large retail uses, large public assembly facilities and institutions of higher education should locate in the Urban Growth Area.	2-20	U-149 New facilities and businesses that draw from throughout the region, such as large retail uses ((;)) <u>and</u> large public assembly facilities ((and institutions of higher education)) , should locate in the Urban Growth Area.	Would no longer encourage institutions of higher education to be located in the UGA.	U-3 <i>Lambert</i> FAILED

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>U-181 Except for existing Fully Contained Community designations, no new Fully Contained Communities shall be approved in King County.</p>	2-33	<p>U-181 Except for existing Fully Contained Community designations, no new Fully Contained Communities shall be approved in King County.</p>	2-32	<p>((U-181 Except for existing Fully Contained Community designations, no new Fully Contained Communities shall be approved in King County.))</p>	Removes current prohibition on new Fully Contained Communities in King County.	<p>U-4 <i>Lambert</i> FAILED</p>
<p>CHAPTER 3 RURAL AREAS AND NATURAL RESOURCE LANDS</p>						
<p>R-324 Nonresidential uses in the Rural Area shall be limited to those that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Provide convenient local products and services for nearby <u>Rural Area</u> residents; b. Require location in a Rural Area; c. Support natural resource-based industries; d. Provide adaptive reuse of significant historic resources; or e. Provide recreational opportunities that are compatible with the surrounding Rural Area. <p>These uses shall be sited, sized and landscaped to complement rural character as defined in policy R-101 and R-201, prevent impacts to the environment and function with rural services including on-site wastewater disposal.</p>	3-25	<p>R-324 Nonresidential uses in the Rural Area shall be limited to those that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Provide convenient local products and services for nearby(Rural Area) residents; b. Require location in a Rural Area; c. Support natural resource-based industries; d. Provide adaptive reuse of significant historic resources; or e. Provide recreational <u>and tourism</u> opportunities that are compatible with the surrounding Rural Area. <p>These uses shall be sited, sized and landscaped to complement rural character as defined in policy R-101 and R-201, prevent impacts to the environment and function with rural services including on-site wastewater disposal.</p>	3-26	<p>R-324 Nonresidential uses in the Rural Area shall be limited to those that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Provide convenient local products and services((for nearby residents))); b. Require location in a Rural Area; c. Support natural resource-based industries; d. Provide adaptive reuse of significant historic resources; or e. Provide recreational and tourism opportunities that are compatible with the surrounding Rural Area. <p>These uses shall be sited, sized and landscaped to complement rural character as defined in policy R-101 and R-201, prevent impacts to the environment and function with rural services including on-site wastewater disposal.</p>	Removes “for nearby residents” in subsection a.	<p>R-1 <i>Lambert</i> FAILED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>R-334 To maintain traditional rural development patterns and assure continued opportunities for resource activities in the Rural Area, large lot development is preferred in the Rural Area. Clustering of lots is permitted when:</p> <p>a. The development provides equal or greater protection of the natural environment, natural resource lands, historic resources or archaeological sites;</p> <p>b. Clusters are limited in size to be compatible with surrounding large lots or nearby agricultural and forestry uses;</p> <p>c. The clustered development is offset with a permanent resource land tract preserved for forestry or agriculture, as designated by the owner at time of subdivision or short subdivision, or a permanent open space tract. Under no circumstances shall the tract be reserved for future development; and</p> <p>d. The development can be served by rural facility and service levels (such as on-site sewage disposal, <u>private well(s) for on-site water supply, and rural fire protection</u>).</p>	<p>3-28</p>	<p>R-334 To maintain traditional rural development patterns and assure continued opportunities for resource activities in the Rural Area, large lot development is preferred in the Rural Area. Clustering of lots is permitted when:</p> <p>a. The development provides equal or greater protection of the natural environment, natural resource lands, historic resources or archaeological sites;</p> <p>b. Clusters are limited in size to be compatible with surrounding large lots or nearby agricultural and forestry uses;</p> <p>c. The clustered development is offset with a permanent resource land tract preserved for forestry or agriculture, as designated by the owner at time of subdivision or short subdivision, or a permanent open space tract. Under no circumstances shall the tract be reserved for future development; and</p> <p>d. The development can be served by rural ((facility))<u>facilities</u> and service levels (such as on-site sewage disposal((, private well(s) for on-site water supply,))) and rural fire protection).</p>	<p>3-28</p>	<p>R-334 To maintain traditional rural development patterns and assure continued opportunities for resource activities in the Rural Area, large lot development is preferred in the Rural Area. Clustering of lots is permitted when:</p> <p>a. The development provides equal or greater protection of the natural environment, natural resource lands, historic resources or archaeological sites;</p> <p>b. Clusters are limited in size to be compatible with surrounding large lots or nearby agricultural and forestry uses;</p> <p>c. The clustered development is offset with a permanent resource land tract preserved for forestry or agriculture, as designated by the owner at time of subdivision or short subdivision, or a permanent open space tract. Under no circumstances shall the tract be reserved for future development; and</p> <p>d. The development can be served by rural facilities and service levels (such as on-site sewage disposal and ((rural))fire protection).</p>	<p>Removes “rural” qualifier when referencing fire protection as an example of the types of facilities and services that are required for lot clustering.</p>	<p>R-2 <i>Lambert</i> PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
CHAPTER 4 HOUSING AND HUMAN SERVICES						
<p>((U-304)) H-102 King County shall work with ((cities)) <u>jurisdictions</u>, the private sector, <u>state and federal governments, other public funders of housing</u>, other public agencies such as the Housing Authorities, <u>regional agencies such as the Puget Sound Regional Council, intermediary housing organizations</u>, and the non-profit sector, to encourage a wide range of housing <u>and to reduce barriers to the development and preservation of a wide range of housing</u> within the Urban Growth Area that:</p> <p>a. Provides housing choices for people of all income levels, <u>particularly ((located)) in areas with existing or planned high-capacity and frequent public transportation access ((networks including those that make it)) where it is safe and convenient to walk, bicycle, and take public transportation to work and other key destinations such as shopping and health care;</u></p> <p>b. Meets the needs of ((our)) <u>a diverse population, especially families and individuals who have very-low to moderate incomes, older adults, people with developmental disabilities and people with behavioral, physical, cognitive and/or functional disabilities, and</u></p>	<p>4-4, 4-5</p>	<p>H-102 King County shall work with jurisdictions, the private sector, state and federal governments, other public funders of housing, other public agencies such as the Housing Authorities, regional agencies such as the Puget Sound Regional Council, intermediary housing organizations, and the non-profit sector, to encourage a wide range of housing and to reduce barriers to the development and preservation of a wide range of housing ((within the Urban Growth Area)), <u>at an appropriate size and scale</u>, that:</p> <p>a. Provides housing choices for people of all income levels, particularly in areas with existing or planned high-capacity and frequent public transportation access where it is safe and convenient to walk, bicycle, and take public transportation to work and other key destinations such as <u>educational facilities, shopping and health care;</u></p> <p>b. Meets the needs of a diverse population, especially families and individuals who have very-low to moderate incomes, older adults, <u>people of color, children and vulnerable adults (including victims and survivors of domestic violence, human trafficking, and commercial sexual exploitation)</u>, people with developmental disabilities, ((and</p>	<p>4-3</p>	<p>H-102 King County shall work with jurisdictions, the private sector, state and federal governments, other public funders of housing, other public agencies such as the Housing Authorities, regional agencies such as the Puget Sound Regional Council, intermediary housing organizations, and the non-profit sector, to encourage a wide range of housing and to reduce barriers to the development and preservation of a wide range of housing, at an appropriate size and scale, that:</p> <p>a. Provides housing choices for people of all income levels, particularly in areas with existing or planned high-capacity and frequent public transportation access where it is safe and convenient to walk, bicycle, and take public transportation to work and other key destinations such as educational facilities, shopping and health care;</p> <p>b. Meets the needs of a diverse population, especially families and individuals who have very-low to moderate incomes, older adults, people of color, children and vulnerable adults (including victims and survivors of domestic violence, human trafficking, and commercial sexual exploitation), people with developmental disabilities, people with behavioral, physical, cognitive</p>	<p>Adds a new subsection e requiring King County to work to address the issue of gun violence.</p>	<p>H-1 <i>McDermott</i> PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>people who are homeless;</p> <p>c. Supports economic growth; and</p> <p>d. ((Ensures)) Supports King County's equity and social justice, and transformation plan goals, for an equitable and rational distribution of low-income and high-quality affordable housing, including mixed-income housing, throughout the county.</p>		<p>))people with behavioral, physical, cognitive and/or functional disabilities, and people who are experiencing homelessness;</p> <p>c. Supports economic growth; and</p> <p>d. Supports King County's E((e))quity and S((s))ocial J((j))ustice Initiative((,)) and Health and Human Services T((t))ransformation P((p))lan goals, for an equitable and rational distribution of low-income and high-quality affordable housing, including mixed-income housing, throughout the county.</p>		<p>and/or functional disabilities, and people who are experiencing homelessness;</p> <p>c. Supports economic growth; ((and))</p> <p>d. Supports King County's Equity and Social Justice Initiative and Health and Human Services Transformation Plan goals, for an equitable and rational distribution of low-income and high-quality affordable housing, including mixed-income housing, throughout the county; and</p> <p><u>e. Fosters safety from gun injury and violence, including through expanding access to and availability of gun storage safes and identifying and utilizing design standards that are shown to increase connectivity and reduce violence. King County shall identify opportunities to encourage permanent firearm and safe medicine storage locations in every new construction private and public residential buildings.</u></p>		
--	--	--	--	<p>NEW TEXT:</p> <p><u>As the Countywide Planning Policies note, residents in King County are facing an unmet need for housing that is affordable to households earning less than 80 percent of area median income. Recent data indicate that 295,000 households in King County spend more than 30 percent of their income on housing.¹ The lack of affordable housing is felt in every community in the county. A regional problem requires a regional approach. As such, King County and the</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds new lead-in text regarding affordable housing needs and Countywide Planning Policy requirements. • Adds a new policy, H-103a, that requires the county to work with jurisdictions and partners to identify and meet affordable 	<p>H-2 Balducci PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
				<p><u>jurisdictions within the county have a shared responsibility to increase the supply of housing affordable to these households.</u></p> <p><u>Based on the identified need for affordable housing for households who are spending more than 30 percent of their income on housing, Countywide Planning Policy H-1 has established estimates of the countywide need for housing affordable to households with moderate, low and very-low incomes. The Countywide Planning Policies require King County and the jurisdictions located within King County to identify barriers to housing affordability and implement strategies to overcome them. The Countywide Planning Policies also require regional collaboration in meeting countywide housing growth targets and affordable housing needs, as well as in developing resources and programs to provide affordable housing.</u></p> <p>NEW FOOTNOTE:</p> <p>1 <u>Briefing 2015-B0143, based on data from 2015-2019 Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan (Ordinance 18070)</u></p> <p>NEW POLICY <u>H-103a King County will work cooperatively with jurisdictions and partners to identify and meet affordable housing needs, including eliminating barriers to housing. This effort should take the form of a regional affordable housing plan that summarizes existing efforts and</u></p>	<p>housing needs, and encourages development of a regional affordable housing plan.</p>	

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
				<u>identifies the roles and strategies of the county, jurisdictions and partners to meet affordable housing needs.</u>		
<p>((U-327)) H-113 King County should support the development, preservation and rehabilitation of affordable housing that protects residents from exposure to harmful substances and environments, <u>including environmental tobacco smoke</u>, reduces the risk of injury, is well-maintained, and is adaptable to all ages and abilities. <u>King County should work on a regional level with jurisdictions to enact a comprehensive healthy housing code system in the county that provides for regular inspection of rental housing units for violations of healthy housing standards, including in unincorporated King County.</u></p>	4-8	<p>H-113 King County should support the development, preservation and rehabilitation of affordable housing that protects residents from exposure to harmful substances and environments, ((including environmental tobacco smoke,)) reduces the risk of injury, is well-maintained, and is adaptable to all ages and abilities. King County should work on a regional level with jurisdictions to ((enact a comprehensive healthy housing code system in the county that provides for regular inspection of rental housing units for violations of healthy housing standards, including in unincorporated King County)) <u>explore tools to ensure healthy housing is provided throughout the region.</u></p>	4-6	<p>H-113 King County should support the development, preservation and rehabilitation of affordable housing that protects residents from exposure to harmful substances and environments, <u>including lead poisoning</u>, reduces the risk of injury, is well-maintained, and is adaptable to all ages and abilities. King County should work on a regional level with jurisdictions to explore tools to ensure healthy housing is provided throughout the region.</p>	Calls out lead poisoning as part of the harmful substances and environments that affordable housing should protect residents from exposure to.	<p>H-3 <i>Kohl-Welles</i> <i>Dembowski</i></p> <p>PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p><u>H-154 King County shall work with partners and stakeholders to encourage the improvement in healthy housing elements in existing affordable housing sustainability standards, with emphasis on healthy housing elements that reduce asthma.</u></p>	4-20	<p>H-154 King County shall work with partners and stakeholders to encourage the improvement in healthy housing elements in existing affordable housing sustainability standards, with emphasis on healthy housing elements that reduce <u>problems such as asthma, falls and unintentional poisoning.</u></p>	4-16	<p>H-154 King County shall work with partners and stakeholders to encourage the improvement in healthy housing elements in existing affordable housing sustainability standards, with emphasis on healthy housing elements that reduce problems such as asthma, falls and unintentional poisoning. <u>King County shall work with housing stakeholders and residents to make available information and resources that will reduce gun-related injury and violence, including increasing availability of safer firearm storage locations and devices and choosing housing designs that increase connectivity and reduce violence.</u></p>	Adds new sentence on gun safety.	<p>H-4 <i>McDermott</i></p> <p>PASSED</p>
<p><u>H-204 King County shall apply principles that lead to thriving healthy communities in all neighborhoods of the region. King County will support public health investments that help all residents to live in thriving communities where they have the opportunity to make healthy choices. King County shall support:</u></p> <p>a. <u>Access to safe and convenient opportunities to be physically active, including access to walking, bicycling, recreation and transit infrastructure;</u></p> <p>b. <u>Access to healthy and affordable foods;</u></p> <p>c. <u>Protection from exposure to harmful environmental agents and infectious disease is reduced and minimized;</u></p>	4-31	<p>H-204 King County shall <u>strive to</u> apply principles that lead to thriving healthy communities in all neighborhoods of the region. King County will support public health investments ((tø-))that help all residents to live in thriving communities where they have the opportunity to make healthy choices. King County shall support:</p> <p>a. Access to safe and convenient opportunities to be physically active, including access to walking, bicycling, recreation and transit infrastructure;</p> <p>b. Access to healthy ((and)), affordable foods;</p> <p>c. Protection from exposure to harmful environmental agents and infectious disease is reduced and minimized;</p>	4-23, 4-24	<p>H-204 King County shall strive to apply principles that lead to thriving healthy communities in all neighborhoods of the region. King County will support public health investments that help all residents to live in thriving communities where they have the opportunity to make healthy choices. King County shall support:</p> <p>a. Access to safe and convenient opportunities to be physically active, including access to walking, bicycling, recreation and transit infrastructure;</p> <p>b. Access to healthy, affordable foods <u>and the elimination of food deserts;</u></p> <p>c. Protection from exposure to harmful environmental agents and infectious disease ((is reduced and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds to subsection b that the County will support the elimination of food deserts. • In subsection c, removes “is reduced and minimized” in regards to infectious disease, and adds a requirement that the county will support regional efforts to test children for exposure to lead poisoning. 	<p>H-5 <i>Kohl-Welles Dembowski</i></p> <p>PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>d. <u>Access to transportation systems that are designed to prevent pedestrian, bicyclist and driver injuries;</u></p> <p>e. <u>Residential neighborhoods free from violence and fear of violence;</u></p> <p>f. <u>Protection from involuntary exposure to second hand tobacco smoke and under-age access to tobacco products;</u></p> <p>g. <u>Community amenities and design that maximizes opportunities for social connectivity and stress reduction;</u></p> <p>h. <u>A range of health services, including timely emergency response and culturally-specific preventive medical, behavioral and dental care within their community.</u></p>		<p>d. Access to transportation ((systems))infrastructure ((that are))designed to prevent pedestrian, bicyclist and ((driver))motor vehicle-related injuries;</p> <p>e. Residential neighborhoods free from violence and fear of violence;</p> <p>f. Protection from involuntary exposure to second hand tobacco smoke and under-age access to tobacco products;</p> <p>g. Community amenities and design that maximizes opportunities for social connectivity and stress reduction; <u>and</u></p> <p>h. A range of health services, including timely emergency response and culturally-specific preventive medical, behavioral and dental care within their community.</p>		<p>minimized), including regional efforts to test children (at 12 months and 24 months) for exposure to lead poisoning;</p> <p>d. Access to transportation infrastructure designed to prevent pedestrian, bicyclist and motor vehicle-related injuries;</p> <p>e. Residential neighborhoods free from violence and fear of violence;</p> <p>f. Protection from involuntary exposure to second hand tobacco smoke and under-age access to tobacco products;</p> <p>g. Community amenities and design that maximizes opportunities for social connectivity and stress reduction; and</p> <p>h. A range of health services, including timely emergency response and culturally-specific preventive medical, behavioral and dental care within their community.</p>		

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
--	--	--	--	<u>H-209 King County shall work to address the public health crisis of gun violence. King County shall collect epidemiological and other data on gun-related injury and death in King County, and engage with cities, local neighborhoods, non-profit, and retailer partners in order to create policy and other solutions that can keep our families and communities safe. King County shall make available resources that foster safety from gun injury and violence, such as LOK-IT-UP, which promotes safe storage of firearms.</u>	Adds a new policy requiring King County to work to address gun violence.	H-6 <i>McDermott</i> PASSED
CHAPTER 5 ENVIRONMENT						
E-101 In addition to its regulatory authority, King County should use incentives to protect and restore the natural environment whenever practicable. Incentives should be monitored to determine their effectiveness in terms of protecting natural resources.	5-6	E-101 In addition to its regulatory authority, King County should use incentives to protect and restore the natural environment whenever practicable. Incentives should be monitored to determine their effectiveness in terms of protecting natural resources.	5-5	E-101 In addition to its regulatory authority, King County should use incentives to protect and restore the natural environment whenever practicable. Incentives <u>((should)) shall be monitored and periodically reviewed</u> to determine their effectiveness in terms of protecting natural resources.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would require, rather than encourage, environmental incentives to be monitored for effectiveness. • Would also add that the incentives will be periodically reviewed, in addition to monitored. 	E-1 <i>Kohl-Welles</i> PASSED

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>E-424 ((The county)) King County should steward public lands well and should integrate fish and wildlife habitat considerations into capital improvement projects whenever feasible. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas should be protected and, where possible, enhanced as part of capital improvement projects.</p>	5-44	<p>E-424 King County should steward public lands well and should integrate fish and wildlife habitat considerations into capital improvement projects whenever feasible. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas should be protected and, where possible, enhanced as part of capital improvement projects.</p>	5-42	<p>E-424 King County ((should)) shall steward public lands well and ((should)) shall integrate fish and wildlife habitat considerations into capital improvement projects whenever feasible. Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas should be protected and, where possible, enhanced as part of capital improvement projects.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would require, rather than encourage, the County to steward public lands well. • Would also require, rather than encourage, the county to integrate habitat considerations in to capital improvement projects whenever feasible. 	<p>E-2 <i>Kohl-Welles</i> PASSED</p>
<p>E-439 King County should review fish and wildlife surveys and assessments with local application to King County and consider additional habitat protections where warranted. Habitat protection should be accomplished through incentives, cooperative planning, education, habitat acquisition, habitat restoration, or other appropriate actions based on best available science.</p>	5-51	<p>E-439 King County should review fish and wildlife surveys and assessments with local application to King County and consider additional habitat protections where warranted. Habitat protection should be accomplished through incentives, cooperative planning, education, habitat acquisition, habitat restoration, or other appropriate actions based on best available science.</p>	5-48, 5-49	<p>E-439 King County ((should)) shall review fish and wildlife surveys and assessments with local application to King County and consider additional habitat protections where warranted. Habitat protection should be accomplished through incentives, cooperative planning, education, habitat acquisition, habitat restoration, or other appropriate actions based on best available science.</p>	<p>Would require, rather than encourage, fish and wildlife surveys and assessments to be reviewed with local application to King County.</p>	<p>E-3 <i>Kohl-Welles</i> PASSED</p>
<p>CHAPTER 9 SERVICES, FACILITIES AND UTILITIES</p>						
<p>F-208 Public spending to support growth should be directed to the Urban Growth Area and prioritized and coordinated through Capital Facility Plans to comply with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management Act.</p>	9-5	<p>F-208 Public spending to support growth should be directed to the Urban Growth Area and prioritized and coordinated through Capital Facility Plans to comply with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management Act.</p>	9-5	<p>((F-208 Public spending to support growth should be directed to the Urban Growth Area and prioritized and coordinated through Capital Facility Plans to comply with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management Act.))</p>	<p>Would remove policy F-208, which encouraged public spending to be directed to the UGA and coordinated to comply with concurrency requirements.</p>	<p>F-1 <i>Lambert</i> WITHDRAWN</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>F-209 In the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u>, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of development and not facilitate urbanization.</p>	9-5	<p>F-209 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of development and not facilitate urbanization.</p>	9-5	<p>F-209 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of <u>((development and not facilitate urbanization)) service that meets the needs of the community.</u></p>	<p>Would encourage that rural services support a rural level of service that meets the needs of the community, rather than supporting a rural level of development that does not facilitate urbanization.</p>	<p align="center">F-2 <i>Lambert</i> WITHDRAWN</p>
<p>F-209 In the Rural Area <u>and Natural Resource Lands</u>, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of development and not facilitate urbanization.</p>	9-5	<p>F-209 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of development and not facilitate urbanization.</p>	9-5	<p>F-209 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of development <u>and support service that meets the needs of the community</u> and not facilitate urbanization.</p>	<p>Would encourage that rural services support service that meets the needs of the community.</p>	<p align="center">F-2a <i>Balducci Lambert</i> PASSED</p>
<p>CHAPTER 12 IMPLEMENTATION, AMENDMENTS AND EVALUATION</p>						
--	--	<p>I-101a King County should evaluate <u>the potential equity and social justice implications of policies and regulations involving locally unwanted land uses (LULUs). Locally unwanted land uses should be sited with equity considerations in mind in order to avoid concentrations in disadvantaged communities.</u></p>	12-3	<p>NEW TEXT: <u>Siting public and private uses in communities can at times lead to concerns and objections from neighbors resulting from the real or perceived impacts of proposed uses. There is also a risk that objections to these “locally unwanted land uses” (LULUs) can lead to some uses becoming overly-concentrated in some communities, particularly in historically disadvantaged areas. In response, governments should evaluate the potential equity and social justice implications, including the beneficial and/or adverse impacts, of policies and regulations involving such uses.</u></p> <p>I-101a ((King County should</p>	<p>Replaces I-101a with a new version, which includes: new lead-in text regarding LULUs and replaces the policy with language that utilizes the Equity Impact Review Tool, consistent with other policies in the plan.</p> <p>Relates to Amendment G-1.</p>	<p align="center">I-1 <i>Dembowski</i> PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
				<p>evaluate the potential equity and social justice implications of policies and regulations involving locally unwanted land uses (LULUs). Locally unwanted land uses should be sited with equity considerations in mind in order to avoid concentrations in disadvantaged communities.) <u>Equity and social justice principles will be used by King County as an important consideration in developing zoning and development regulations governing public and private uses, in siting public facilities, and in evaluating land use decisions. Results from the Equity Impact Review Tool will be used where appropriate.</u></p>		
<p>I-203 Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the annual cycle shall not consider proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan that require substantive changes to comprehensive plan policies and development regulations or that alter the Urban Growth Area ((UGA)) Boundary. Substantive amendments and changes to the ((UGA)) <u>Urban Growth Area</u> Boundary may be considered in the annual amendment cycle only if the proposed amendments are necessary for the protection and recovery of threatened and endangered species, or to implement:</p> <p>a. A proposal for a Four-to-One project; or</p>	<p>12-4, 12-5</p>	<p>I-203 Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the annual cycle shall not consider proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan that require substantive changes to ((e))<u>Comprehensive</u> ((p))<u>Plan</u> policies and development regulations or that alter the Urban Growth Area Boundary. Substantive amendments and changes to the Urban Growth Area Boundary may be considered in the annual amendment cycle only if the proposed amendments are necessary for the protection and recovery of threatened and endangered species, or to implement:</p> <p>a. A proposal for a Four-to-One project; or</p>	<p>12-4, 12-5</p>	<p>I-203 Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the annual cycle shall not consider proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan that require substantive changes to Comprehensive Plan policies and development regulations or that alter the Urban Growth Area Boundary. Substantive amendments and changes to the Urban Growth Area Boundary may be considered in the annual amendment cycle only if the proposed amendments are necessary for the protection and recovery of threatened and endangered species, or to implement:</p> <p>a. A proposal for a Four-to-One project; or</p>	<p>Removes subsection c, which allowed for consideration of a mining site conversion demonstration project during the annual Comprehensive Plan update cycle.</p> <p>Relates to Amendment 1 to the code.</p>	<p>I-2 <i>Kohl-Welles</i> PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>b. <u>An amendment regarding the provision of wastewater services to a Rural Town. Such amendments shall be limited to policy amendments and adjustments to the boundaries of the Rural Town as needed to implement a preferred option identified in a Rural Town wastewater treatment study.</u>((Changes related to a mining site conversion demonstration project. The demonstration project shall evaluate and address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the feasibility of residential use and/or long-term forestry on the demonstration project site; 2. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for residential use, the impacts to carbon sequestration when implementing modified standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights; 3. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed residential development on the demonstration project site with uses occurring on the adjacent rural or forest production district lands, especially if the proposed residential development utilizes modified standards for lot clustering and/or transfer of development rights; 		<p>b. An amendment regarding the provision of wastewater services to a Rural Town. Such amendments shall be limited to policy amendments and adjustments to the boundaries of the Rural Town as needed to implement a preferred option identified in a Rural Town wastewater treatment study((-));<u>or</u></p> <p>c. <u>Changes related to a mining site conversion demonstration project. The authority for consideration of such a demonstration project shall expire with adoption of the 2019 annual comprehensive plan update or December 31, 2019, whichever is later. To be considered during an annual update cycle, no later than December 31 of the year proceeding the update, the project proponent shall submit to the county council its proposal for alternative development standards and processes to be tested an evaluated through the demonstration project. The demonstration project shall evaluate and address:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the feasibility of residential use and/or long-term forestry on the demonstration project site;</u> <u>2. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for residential use, the impacts to carbon sequestration when implementing</u> 		<p>b. An amendment regarding the provision of wastewater services to a Rural Town. Such amendments shall be limited to policy amendments and adjustments to the boundaries of the Rural Town as needed to implement a preferred option identified in a Rural Town wastewater treatment study((-));<u>or</u></p> <p>c. Changes related to a mining site conversion demonstration project. The authority for consideration of such a demonstration project shall expire with adoption of the 2019 annual comprehensive plan update or December 31, 2019, whichever is later. To be considered during an annual update cycle, no later than December 31 of the year proceeding the update, the project proponent shall submit to the county council its proposal for alternative development standards and processes to be tested an evaluated through the demonstration project. The demonstration project shall evaluate and address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the feasibility of residential use and/or long-term forestry on the demonstration project site; 2. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for residential use, the impacts to carbon 		

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>4. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are appropriate to their use either for long-term forestry and/or for residential development; and</p> <p>5. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall public benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated park or open space, of lands in the vicinity of the demonstration project site that form the headwaters of critical, high valued habitat areas; or that remove the development potential from nonconforming legal parcels in the forest production district; or that provide linkages with other forest production district lands.)</p>		<p><u>modified standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights;</u></p> <p><u>3. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed residential development on the demonstration project site with uses occurring on the adjacent rural or forest production district lands, especially if the proposed residential development utilizes modified standards for lot clustering and/or transfer of development rights;</u></p> <p><u>4. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are appropriate to their use either for long-term forestry and/or for residential development; and</u></p> <p><u>5. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall public benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated park or open space, of lands in the vicinity of the demonstration project site that form the headwaters of critical, high valued habitat areas; or that remove the development potential from nonconforming legal parcels in the forest production district; or that provide linkages with other forest production district lands.</u></p>		<p>sequestration when implementing modified standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights;</p> <p>3. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed residential development on the demonstration project site with uses occurring on the adjacent rural or forest production district lands, especially if the proposed residential development utilizes modified standards for lot clustering and/or transfer of development rights;</p> <p>4. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are appropriate to their use either for long-term forestry and/or for residential development; and</p> <p>5. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall public benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated park or open space, of lands in the vicinity of the demonstration project site that form the headwaters of critical, high valued habitat areas; or that remove the development potential from nonconforming legal parcels in the forest production district; or that provide linkages with other forest production district lands.)</p>		

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
--	--	--	--	<p>I-203 Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the annual cycle shall not consider proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan that require substantive changes to Comprehensive Plan policies and development regulations or that alter the Urban Growth Area Boundary. Substantive amendments and changes to the Urban Growth Area Boundary may be considered in the annual amendment cycle only if the proposed amendments are necessary for the protection and recovery of threatened and endangered species, or to implement: ...</p> <p><u>;</u> or</p> <p>d. Changes related to the 2016 Sammamish Valley Area Wine and Beverage Industry Study.</p>	<p>Amends policy I-203 to add ability to address changes related to the 2016 winery study during the annual Comprehensive Plan update cycle.</p> <p>Relates to Amendment 2 to the code.</p>	<p>I-3 <i>Dembowski</i> WITHDRAWN</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>TEXT CHANGE:</p> <p>In accordance with the Growth Management Act (((GMA))) , King County and its cities work together to <u>monitor the Countywide Planning Policies and their respective comprehensive plans. Past programs have included the <i>Annual Growth Report</i> and the <i>King County Benchmark Program</i>. ((employ an established review and evaluation program through the <i>King County Benchmark Program</i>, as provided by the King County Countywide Planning Policies. The purpose of the program is to determine whether the county and its cities are achieving urban densities within urban growth areas by comparing growth and development assumptions, targets, and objectives contained in the Countywide Planning Policies and the county and city comprehensive plans with actual growth and development in the county and cities.</u></p>	<p>12-6</p>	<p>TEXT CHANGE:</p> <p>In accordance with the Growth Management Act, King County and its cities ((work together to monitor the Countywide Planning Policies and their respective comprehensive plans. Past programs have included the <i>Annual Growth Report</i> and the <i>King County Benchmark Program</i>.)<u>employ an established review and evaluation program through the King County Benchmark Program, as provided by the King County Countywide Planning Policies. The purpose of the program is to determine whether the county and its cities are achieving urban densities within urban growth areas by comparing growth and development assumptions, targets, and objectives contained in the Countywide Planning Policies and the county and city comprehensive plans with actual growth and development in the county and cities.</u></p>	<p>12-7</p>	<p>TEXT CHANGE:</p> <p>In accordance with the Growth Management Act, King County and its cities <u>will work together to</u> employ an established review and evaluation program through the King County Benchmark Program, as provided by the King County Countywide Planning Policies. The purpose of the program is to determine whether the county and its cities are achieving urban densities within urban growth areas by comparing growth and development assumptions, targets, and objectives contained in the Countywide Planning Policies and the county and city comprehensive plans with actual growth and development in the county and cities.</p>	<p>Amends lead-in text to direct that the County and its cities will work together to employ review and evaluation through the King County Benchmark Program.</p>	<p>I-4 Lambert PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
--	--	<p>WORKPLAN ACTION 11 CHANGE:</p> <p><u>This Workplan item directs the King County Department of Transportation, in coordination with the Department of Natural Resources and Parks and the Department of Permitting and Environmental Review, to evaluate and report on how to enhance the bicycle network within unincorporated King County and address identified regional and local bicycle infrastructure needs (such as standards for bicycle lanes, tracks and trails; plans and financing for capital improvements; bicycle racks and parking; etc). This report will include:</u></p> <p>a. <u>Evaluation of existing King County planning efforts and possible areas for improvement, such as addressing bicycle facility provisions in:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o <u>roadway designs and standards,</u> o <u>plat approvals,</u> o <u>commercial developments,</u> o <u>parks & trails planning, and</u> o <u>transit planning and access to transit.</u> 	12-19	<p>WORKPLAN ACTION 11 CHANGE:</p> <p>This Workplan item directs the King County Department of Transportation, in coordination with the Department of Natural Resources and Parks and the Department of Permitting and Environmental Review, to evaluate and report on how to enhance the bicycle network within unincorporated King County and address identified regional and local bicycle infrastructure needs (such as standards for bicycle lanes, tracks and trails; plans and financing for capital improvements; bicycle racks and parking; air filling stations; etc). This report will include:</p> <p>a. Evaluation of existing King County planning efforts and possible areas for improvement, such as addressing bicycle facility provisions in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o roadway designs and standards ,including lighting standards, o plat approvals, o commercial developments, o parks & trails planning, and o transit planning and access to transit. 	<p>Amends Workplan Action 11: Bicycle Network Planning Report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adds air filling stations to the list of examples of potential bicycle infrastructure needs. • Calls out lighting standards as an area that the report will evaluate as part of the review of roadway designs and standards. 	<p>I-5 Lambert Dembowski</p> <p>PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
--	--	--	--	<p>NEW WORKPLAN ITEM:</p> <p><u>Action 12: Update Plat Ingress/Egress Requirements. State law gives King County the responsibility to adopt regulations and procedures for approval of subdivisions and plats. The Department of Permitting and Environmental Review reviews ingress and egress to subdivisions and plats during the preliminary subdivision approval process using the Department of Transportation Roads Division’s “King County Road Design and Construction Standards – 2007” (Roads Standards). In recent years, subdivision layouts have included one entry/exit (or ingress/egress) point and a looped road network within the subdivision.</u></p> <p><u>Utilizing one entry/exit point can cause access issues if the roadway were to be physically impeded (such as due to: a fire, debris, flooding, ice, snow, etc). This configuration may also cause traffic backups while waiting for ability to turn in to or out of the development. Sometimes, this one access point may also be located too close to other intersecting roadways to the roadway that the development intersects; this can contribute to traffic back-ups.</u></p> <p><u>This Workplan item directs the Executive to transmit legislation to update the code, (such as K.C.C. Title 21A), and the King County Department of Transportation Roads Standards to address these access issues. This code update will include requiring two entry/exit points for plats and</u></p>	<p>Adds a new Workplan action item that directs the Executive to update the County’s development and roadway standards to address ingress/egress issues for plats and subdivisions.</p>	<p>I-6 Lambert</p> <p>PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
				<p><u>subdivisions over a certain size and increasing the distance between adjacent intersecting streets. The transmittal letter for the ordinance(s) shall indicate the rational for the chosen size threshold for when the County will require two entry/exit points.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Timeline:</u> The proposed amendments to the King County Code and the King County Roads Standards shall be transmitted to the Council for consideration by December 31, 2018. • <u>Outcomes:</u> The Executive shall file with the Council an ordinance(s) adopting updates to the King County Code and the King County Roads Standards. • <u>Lead:</u> Department of Transportation and Department of Permitting and Environmental Review. 		

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
GLOSSARY						
--	--	Locally Unwanted Land Use (LULU) <u>A locally unwanted land use is a use that has real or perceived externalities that leads individuals to object to the use locating in their community. Externalities such as environmental or health hazards, impacts to local property values, loss of views or other aesthetic impacts, or security risks are often cited as reason for objection to the use.</u>	G-14	((Locally Unwanted Land Use (LULU) A locally unwanted land use is a use that has real or perceived externalities that leads individuals to object to the use locating in their community. Externalities such as environmental or health hazards, impacts to local property values, loss of views or other aesthetic impacts, or security risks are often cited as reason for objection to the use.))	Removes definition for LULUs. Relates to Amendment I-1.	<p align="center">G-1 <i>Dembowski</i> PASSED</p>
KING COUNTY CODE						
ORDINANCE 2016-0155, Section 6: B. Every year the Comprehensive Plan may be amended to address technical updates and corrections, and to consider amendments that do not require substantive changes to policy language, changes to the priority areas map, or changes to the urban growth area boundary, except as permitted in subsection B.5, 10. and 12. of this section. This review may be referred to as the annual cycle. The Comprehensive Plan, including subarea plans, may be amended in the annual cycle only to consider the following: ... 5. ((A mining site conversion demonstration project. The	Lines 153-171	ORDINANCE 2016-0155 (S1), Section 7: B. Every year the Comprehensive Plan may be amended to address technical updates and corrections, and to consider amendments that do not require substantive changes to policy language, changes to the priority areas map, or changes to the urban growth area boundary, except as permitted in subsection B.5, 10. and 12. of this section. This review may be referred to as the annual cycle. The Comprehensive Plan, including subarea plans, may be amended in the annual cycle only to consider the following: ... <u>5. A mining site conversion demonstration project. The authority</u>	Lines 280-304	AMDT TO ORDINANCE 2016-0155 S1, Section 7: B. Every year the Comprehensive Plan may be amended to address technical updates and corrections, and to consider amendments that do not require substantive changes to policy language, changes to the priority areas map, or changes to the urban growth area boundary, except as permitted in subsection B.5, 10. and 12. of this section. This review may be referred to as the annual cycle. The Comprehensive Plan, including subarea plans, may be amended in the annual cycle only to consider the following: ... 5. ((A mining site conversion demonstration project. The	Removes allowance for consideration of a mining site conversion demonstration project during the annual Comprehensive Plan update cycle. Relates to Amendment I-2 to policy I-203.	<p align="center">1 <i>Kohl-Welles</i> PASSED</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>demonstration project shall evaluate and address:</p> <p>a. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the feasibility of residential use and/or long term forestry on the demonstration project site;</p> <p>b. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for residential use, the impacts to carbon sequestration when implementing modified standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights;</p> <p>c. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed residential development on the demonstration project site with uses occurring on the adjacent rural or forest production district lands, especially if the proposed residential development utilizes modified standards for lot clustering and/or transfer of development rights;</p> <p>d. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are appropriate to their use either for long term forestry and/or for residential development; and</p> <p>e. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall public benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated</p>		<p><u>for consideration of such a demonstration project shall expire with adoption of the 2019 annual comprehensive plan update or December 31, 2019, whichever is later. To be considered during an annual update cycle, no later than December 31 of the year proceeding the update, the project proponent shall submit to the county council its proposal for alternative development standards and processes to be tested an evaluated through the demonstration project. The demonstration project shall evaluate and address:</u></p> <p><u>a. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the feasibility of residential use and/or long-term forestry on the demonstration project site;</u></p> <p><u>b. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for residential use, the impacts to carbon sequestration when implementing modified standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights;</u></p> <p><u>c. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed residential development on the demonstration project site with uses occurring on the adjacent rural or forest production district lands, especially if the proposed residential</u></p>		<p>demonstration project shall evaluate and address:</p> <p>a. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the feasibility of residential use and/or long term forestry on the demonstration project site;</p> <p>b. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for residential use, the impacts to carbon sequestration when implementing modified standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights;</p> <p>c. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed residential development on the demonstration project site with uses occurring on the adjacent rural or forest production district lands, especially if the proposed residential development utilizes modified standards for lot clustering and/or transfer of development rights;</p> <p>d. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are appropriate to their use either for long term forestry and/or for residential development; and</p> <p>e. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall public benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated</p>		

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
<p>park or open space, of lands in the vicinity of the demonstration project site that form the headwaters of critical, high-valued habitat areas; or that remove the development potential from nonconforming legal parcels in the forest production district; or that provide linkages with other forest production district lands;))</p>		<p><u>development utilizes modified standards for lot clustering and/or transfer of development rights;</u> <u>d. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are appropriate to their use either for long-term forestry and/or for residential development; and</u> <u>e. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall public benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated park or open space, of lands in the vicinity of the demonstration project site that form the headwaters of critical, high-valued habitat areas; or that remove the development potential from nonconforming legal parcels in the forest production district; or that provide linkages with other forest production district lands;</u></p>		<p>park or open space, of lands in the vicinity of the demonstration project site that form the headwaters of critical, high-valued habitat areas; or that remove the development potential from nonconforming legal parcels in the forest production district; or that provide linkages with other forest production district lands;))</p>		
--	--	--	--	<p>AMDT TO ORDINANCE 2016-0155 S1, Section 7:</p> <p>B. Every year the Comprehensive Plan may be amended to address technical updates and corrections, and to consider amendments that do not require substantive changes to policy language, changes to the priority areas map, or changes to the urban growth area boundary, except as permitted in subsection B.5, 10. and 12. of this section. This review may</p>	<p>Amends policy I-203 to add ability to address changes related to the 2016 winery study during the annual Comprehensive Plan update cycle.</p> <p>Relates to Amendment I-4 to policy I-203.</p>	<p>2 <i>Dembowski</i> WITHDRAWN</p>

PASS/FAIL status of Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1: 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan

Executive Transmittal Proposed Policy	Location in Transmittal	Striking Amendment S1 Proposed Policy	Location in Striking Amendment	Amendment to S1 Proposed Policy (Compared to S1)	Effect of Amendment to S1	#
				<p>be referred to as the annual cycle. The Comprehensive Plan, including subarea plans, may be amended in the annual cycle only to consider the following: ...</p> <p><u>13. Changes related to the 2016 Sammamish Valley Area Wine and Beverage Industry Study;</u></p>		
ATTACHMENT H: APPENDIX D – GROWTH TARGETS AND THE URBAN GROWTH AREA						
<p>Key factors used in setting the UGA include population forecasts, growth targets, and land capacity. Population forecasts are predictions about future behavior based on past trends. Growth targets are a jurisdiction's policy statement on how many net new <u>housing units</u> (households) it intends to accommodate in the future based on population forecasts and the expected size of the average household. Land capacity is derived from an estimate of vacant land plus the redevelopment potential of land already partially developed or underutilized. Discount factors are applied to the estimate of land capacity to account for probable constraints to actually developing the land.</p>	D-4	<p>Key factors used in setting the UGA include population forecasts, growth targets, and land capacity. Population forecasts are predictions about future behavior based on past trends. Growth targets are a jurisdiction's policy statement on how many net new housing units it intends to accommodate in the future based on population forecasts and the expected size of the average household. Land capacity is derived from an estimate of vacant land plus the redevelopment potential of land already partially developed or underutilized. Discount factors are applied to the estimate of land capacity to account for probable constraints to actually developing the land.</p>	D-4	<p>Key factors used in setting the UGA include population forecasts, growth targets, and land capacity. Population forecasts are predictions about future behavior based on past trends. Growth targets are a jurisdiction's policy statement on ((how many)) <u>the minimum number of</u> net new housing units it intends to accommodate in the future based on population forecasts and the expected size of the average household. Land capacity is derived from an estimate of vacant land plus the redevelopment potential of land already partially developed or underutilized. Discount factors are applied to the estimate of land capacity to account for probable constraints to actually developing the land.</p>	<p>Would express the intention that the growth targets set by the GMPC would refer to a minimum rather than a maximum</p>	<p>APPX D-1 <i>Lambert</i> WITHDRAWN</p>