Public Comments on Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

2018 Comprehensive Plan

August 31 – September 4, 2018

Comprehensive Plan PO 2018-0153

Public Comment List

9/4/2018

#	Name/Organization	Submitted
Exhibit 1	Tom Carpenter	Letter dated 9/4/2018
Exhibit 2	Futurewise	Letter dated 8/31/2018
Exhibit 3	Community Alliance to Reach Out & Engage	Email dated 9/2/2018 from
	(CARE), Friends of Bass Lake (FBL), Greater Maple Valley UAC (GMVUAC), Green	Gwendolyn High of CARE
	Valley/Lake Holm Association (GV/LHA),	Email dated 8/30/2018 from
	Hollywood Hill Association (HHA), and Upper Bear Creek UAC (UBCUAC)	Peter Rimbos of GMVUAC
		Cover Letter dated 8/30/2018
		Summary Matrix with UAC
		comments dated 8/30/2018

4 September 2018

To: Ivan Miller Kathy Lambert

cc: Joe McDermott

Re: 2018 KCCP changes

These are comments regarding the proposed changes to the King County Comprehensive Plan schedules, and the subarea planning part of the KCCP.

Overall KCCP schedule

Given the number of years the county has been working the GMA-required KCCP, it makes sense that the capabilities to do that planning mature. So, moving to an 8-year cycle for major updates makes sense.

However, the striker seems to want to provide relatively easy adjustments to interim year scope, which is a bit alarming.

Administrative land use actions have very comprehensive public involvement with high predictability, which makes public engagement easy enough for many residents to be able to use.

The same cannot be said of legislative land use actions. Legislative land use actions are among county decision with the least opportunity for meaningful public involvement.

The striker seems to allow pretty much any changes, short of UGB moves, every year. All that's required is a motion.

But the experience I have as a resident is that land use decisions are almost always unanimous, because the normal operating method of the council is to "respect" the representative from a jurisdiction. In other words, why would Claudia Balducci, for example, ever take a stand against a land use decision in Reagan Dunn's jurisdiction?

This means legislative land use decisions during interim KCCP cycles will rarely be influenced by public comment.

Even during major KCCP update years, this tendency of the council to align to the jurisdiction representative is another major contributor to how poorly legislative land use actions engage the public. Even when the public actively engages, the "consensus" tendency of the council makes legislative land use changes almost guaranteed to be approved, even if the administrative land use process would not have approved the change. This makes the legislative decision an exception, often benefiting only the land owners.

Assuming that administrative services related to GMA matured in pace with the maturing of the KCCP process, the county should be reducing the amount of legislative land use actions, not increasing them. trusting the administrative processes to engage the public much more appropriately and predictably than equivalent legislative processes.

The county needs to be careful when the council puts itself in positions best delivered by the administration. For legislative actions, as in land use decisions, it functions as an exception. Best business management practices recognize that exceptions are costlier, and should be used more and more rarely as the capabilities of normal (administrative) processes continue to mature.

The legislature needs to back-off it's insistence on having land use decision authority out of cycle to major KCCP updates.

I don't support any "exceptions" to the executive's proposal for KCCP change cycles, regardless of whether there is a motion or other legislative action to authorize.

Subarea planning: the math

The scope of subarea planning has been reduced, the number of staff increased, and the schedule extended considerably. What about this math makes any sense? If you reduce scope, and increase resources, the schedule should at least stay the same, if not shorten.

What was eliminated? Does the scope still include all the relevant KCCP topics?

Subarea planning: pent-up demand

It seems to be clear from the subarea planning done on Vashon and West Hill/Skyway, there is pent-up demand.

It's assumed that some of this demand is not relevant to subarea planning, an activity in the context of KCCP, a growth management discipline.

That demand could be characterized as focused on county services, not KCCP-related decisions, and therefore should be addressed outside the KCCP subarea process.

What's being done in the subarea planning process to capture this input, with significant influence over county service quality decisions? If captured, who is this important public input being communicated to?

Subarea planning: public engagement

It's assumed the best management practice would be for subarea plans to be updated perpetually, and not treated as something that's done when subarea plans get old enough, as is the case today.

Subarea planning has the positive attribute of direct public engagement. When done well, the act of subarea planning results in far more than land use decisions.

The apparent pent-up demand, even for those things outside the scope of subarea planning and the KCCP, should be seen as an opportunity by the county.

But the number of years just to get through the entire unincorporated area, is alarming. Some residents are not joking when they say they might not live long enough to see the benefits.

Can the county integrate subarea planning with CSA, replacing some of the current community meetings with subarea and service planning meetings?

Subarea planning: sequence priority

It appears from your comments that there are no criteria for deciding on the sequence for subarea planning? This is particularly alarming and brings into question why Vashon and West Hill/Skyway were first?

The priority for subarea planning should at least include the degree that land use is important. There is no area more subject to land use decisions that the urban unincorporated areas of the county.

Historically, these areas have been underserved, while suffering legislative land use decisions that would never have been approved via the administrative land use process.

• Urban unincorporated areas are at greatest risk from development

- Subarea planning can reconcile differences between county and city land use intents
- Assumed largest percentage of the unincorporated population is in the urban areas
- Consider grouping PAAs to the same city (e.g. east plateau, west hill/skyway, fairwood for Renton)

Criteria should be developed to assure that the subarea planning sequence is considered in KCCP planning.

Tom Carpenter

816 Second Ave, Suite 200, Seattle, WA 98104 p. (206) 343-0681 futurewise.org

August 31, 2018

The Honorable Kathy Lambert, Chair
The Honorable Dave Upthegrove, Vice-Chair
The Honorable Larry Gossett
The Honorable Joe McDermott
The Honorable Pete von Reichbauer
King County Council Planning, Rural Service and Environment Committee
1200 King County Courthouse
516 Third Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98104

Dear Chair Lambert and Councilmembers Upthegrove, Gossett, McDermott, and von Reichbauer:

Subject: Comments on the 2018 update to the 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan (Agenda Item 7 on the September 4, the King County Council's Planning, Rural Service and Environment Committee meeting).

Sent via email to: CouncilCompPlan@kingcounty.gov

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2018 update to the 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan and the Chair's Striker. While we support the reorganization concepts, we have concerns about some of the specifics discussed below.

Futurewise works throughout Washington State to support land-use policies that encourage healthy, equitable and opportunity-rich communities, and that protect our most valuable farmlands, forests, and water resources. Futurewise has supporters throughout Washington State including King County.

We recommend the County not consider amendments to Community Plans every year. Please see Section 9B of the Chair's Striker

We support King County's decision to reinvigorate its subarea planning program. Given the large size and diversity of the County, subarea plans allow the County to work directly it its diverse communities and prepare a plan that fits their needs in a countywide and regional context.

Subarea plans represent a significant commitment of resources by the County and the community. Given this commitment, we recommend that they not be allowed to be revised every year. This will strain the resources of the County including the Executive staff and County Council. It will also strain community resources with the potential to amend the subarea plan every year. This potential for the constant revisiting of subarea plans may lead community members to question the value of community plans and the County's commitment to them. In addition, it takes time to see how well a

King County Council Planning, Rural Service and Environment Committee August 31, 2018 Page 2

plan is working. We think considering amendments no more frequently than once every two years is a better balance between certainty and flexibility.

We recommend the County not have a two-year midpoint review, we think a four-year midpoint review is a better balance between certainty and flexibility. Please see Sections 9D, 13C, and 16B of the Chair's Striker and Attachment A to Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

We support a four-year midpoint review of the comprehensive plan. By evaluating how well the plan is working every four years, it will allow the County to adjust the plan as needed more frequently than once every eight years.

However, we are concerned that a two-year review is too soon to know if the plan is working or not and will strain limited County resources. The County's schedule for subarea plan updates is ambitious. Adding a two-year review to that will be difficult for the County to effectively carryout.

We recommend the County not consider amendments to the urban growth area every two-years. Please see Section 10C3 of the Chair's Striker and Attachment A to Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153 illustrative amendment to Chapter 1 Regional Growth Management Planning page 1-11

Urban growth area (UGA) amendments are fundamental amendments to the comprehensive plan. The Growth Management Act requires King County and its cities to prepare Buildable Lands Reports two years before the deadline for the periodic, or eight-year, reviews required by RCW 36.70A.130.¹ Buildable Lands Reports document among many things whether the existing UGAs can accommodate the planned 20 years of population and employment growth.² The fundamental criterion for UGA expansions is whether they are needed to accommodate the 20 year population and employment projections. As the Washington State Supreme Court has held an "UGA designation cannot exceed the amount of land necessary to accommodate the urban growth projected by the [State of Washington Office of Financial Management] OFM, plus a reasonable land market supply factor." Since UGA expansions can only be approved if they are needed to accommodate the 20 year population and employment projections and the Buildable Lands Reports only provide that data every eight years, it makes sense to consider UGA amendments every eight years, not every two years.

¹ RCW 36.70A.215(2)(b).

² RCW 36.70A.215(3).

³ Thurston Cty. v. Western Washington Growth Management Hearings Bd., 164 Wn.2d 329, 351 – 52, 190 P.3d 38, 48 – 49 (2008). See RCW 36.70A.110 and RCW 36.70A.115 which limit the size of UGAs.

King County Council Planning, Rural Service and Environment Committee August 31, 2018 Page 3

Thank you for considering our comments. If you require additional information, please contact me at telephone (206) 343-0681 Ext. 102 and email: <u>tim@futurewise.org</u>.

Very Truly Yours,

Tim Trohimovich, AICP

Director of Planning & Law

Policy Staff, Council CompPlan

From: Auzins, Erin

To: Policy Staff, Council CompPlan

Subject: RE: PUBLIC COMMENT--Proposed Ordin 2018-0153: Comprehensive Planning

& Subarea Planning Restructure

Attachments: KCCP Proc Chgs--UAC Cover Letter.pdf; ATT00001.htm; KCCP Chgs Matrix--UAC

COMMENTS.pdf; ATT00002.htm

From: Highlands Neighbors < highlands neighbors@hotmail.com >

Date: September 2, 2018 at 7:14:57 PM PDT

To: "athy.lambert@kingcounty.gov" <athy.lambert@kingcounty.gov>, "Dave.Upthegrove@kingcounty.gov" <Dave.Upthegrove@kingcounty.gov>, "Larry.Gossett@kingcounty.gov" <Larry.Gossett@kingcounty.gov>, "joe.mcdermott@kingcounty.gov" <joe.mcdermott@kingcounty.gov>, "Pete.vonReichbauer@kingcounty.gov" <Pete.vonReichbauer@kingcounty.gov>

Cc: "dow.Constantine@kingcounty.gov" <dow.Constantine@kingcounty.gov>, "jeanne.kohl-welles@kingcounty.gov", "claudia.balducci@kingcounty.gov" <claudia.balducci@kingcounty.gov>, "erin.Auzins@kingcounty.gov" <erin.Auzins@kingcounty.gov>, "Reagan Dunn, King County Councilmember" <CouncilDistrict9@subscriptions.kingcounty.gov>, "alan.painter@kingcounty.gov>, "marissa.alegria@kingcounty.gov"

Subject: Fw: PUBLIC COMMENT--Proposed Ordin 2018-0153: Comprehensive Planning & Subarea Planning Restructure

KC Council PRE Committee Chairwoman Lambert and Committee Members:

We hope you will review the strong, broad and specific agreement on issues articulated in the attached with care and due consideration of the needs of residents you represent from across both Urban and Rural King County.

In the last update, King County added subarea planning back into its list of responsibilities under KCCP. In the first few communities in which they brought subarea planning back, some big lessons were learned and fixes are now proposed:

- There is tremendous pent-up interest/need from the communities. King County needs more people for this work. (proposed: hire 2 more people),
- The needs of the communities and the volume of issues to be addressed is overwhelming staff. (proposed: limit the topics under discussion during subarea planning), and
- The original schedule was too optimistic about how long the plan development will take.
 We need to slow the process down. (proposed: take a whole year working in the community, then another whole year to work through the County Council)

<marissa.alegria@kingcounty.gov>, "Ivan Miller" <ivan.miller@kingcounty.gov>,

[&]quot;<u>karen.wolf@kingcounty.gov</u>" < <u>karen.wolf@kingcounty.gov</u>>, Peter Rimbos < <u>primbos@comcast.net</u>>, "mtcdebi@msn.com" < mtcdebi@msn.com>, Rod Dembrowski

<Rod.Dembowski@kingcounty.gov>

Generally, these proposals seem reasonable, but again highlight the additional comments, and requests, the joint comments record. It is great that the KCCP, as a whole, is mature enough to move to an 8 year Major Update Cycle, but let us now prioritize local planning in our communities in a process logical and fair to all.

Our community, the East Renton Plateau Potential Annexation, was originally scheduled for our first ever community plan centered in our neighborhoods to happen in 2023 (5 years from now). One of the proposed changes is to stretch out the schedule. We are now proposed for planning in 2027 (9 years out from now).

The boom-to-bust building cycles seem to run about 8-10 years, so we are concerned. It looks like we have a very reasonable chance that we will be well into our 3rd building cycle since we first started asking for community planning (specifically in conjunction with Renton which will eventually annex us) before we can hope for planning. There is literally no other place besides King County where we can turn to fulfill this local governance responsibility. It should be a real-scheduled and funded - priority. Without planning, as we all know so well, development is haphazard, unintended consequences multiply, and we do not make the best and most efficient use of develop-able space left. We get developer-driven projects that press right up against the minimum requirements and the urban growth boundary, maximizing profits with no regard to existing infrastructure deficits.

There is an amendment proposed to allow consideration of zoning changes to support tax revenue generation, such as additional areas for neighborhood business or industrial development, every 2 years. Considering how far the Used-Car-Dealership-Inside-a-Class-I-Wetland and the SR 169/Cedar River Asphalt Plant rezones were/are from the expectations of the residents around those parcels and the tremendous environmental risks which have been newly created with these decisions, we most strongly oppose this proposal. There should be a moratorium on land use and zoning changes until after subarea planning is complete for those parcels.

Currently, the Comprehensive Plan only has major updates once every 4 years. "Insubstantial" updates, generally technical amendments to correct relatively minor errors or inconsistencies, have traditionally been all that is allowed annually.

In April, we heard the proposal to shift from the current 4 year update cycle to an 8 year cycle, with a "midpoint" adjustment to accommodate emergent issues. The current Striker recommends

- "midpoints" every 2 years in the 8 year cycle,
- things as drastic as moving the Urban Growth Boundary at a midpoint (every 2 years)
 where even now it is only every 4 years,
- and virtually anything including land use and zoning chances will be considered even on an annual basis because and meaningful "insubstantial" boundary would be removed.

Comprehensive Plan Updates are just about the biggest regularly scheduled change to all of King County's policies. It is long, tedious process subject to random proposals at the last minute from Council Members. The County Staff are paid to pay attention and participate in the

process. Representatives from the entire building and transportation industries are paid to pay attention and participate. These two groups of people can meet as often as they need to. Community advocates like us are at a real disadvantage - we have to take time away from our families (evening meetings and countless hours researching and writing comments like these) or off from work (when/if we can) for hearings, and thus, get to pay for the privilege to attempt to participate. The proposal for 2 year "midpoints" is a great advantage to commercial interests and an substantial blow to the ability of the residents to participate.

Changes to the UGA require substantial staff-time and process not only from King County and residents, but also from the entire community of 39 Sound Cities. Annual coordination and evaluation would be an unnecessary and expensive self-induced inefficiency. We request that UGA changes should only be allowed during a Major KCCP Update and after subarea planning has been completed for any potential parcel.

Thank you for your time and consideration, Gwendolyn High CARE - Community Alliance to Reach Out & Engage PO Box 2936 Renton WA 98056

From: Rimbos Peter < primbos@comcast.net Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2018 9:02 AM

To: <u>kathy.lambert@kingcounty.gov</u>; <u>Dave.Upthegrove@kingcounty.gov</u>; <u>Larry.Gossett@kingcounty.gov</u>; joe.mcdermott@kingcounty.gov; Pete.vonReichbauer@kingcounty.gov

Cc: dow.Constantine@kingcounty.gov; Rod Dembowski; jeanne.kohl-welles@kingcounty.gov; claudia.balducci@kingcounty.gov; Reagan Dunn; Community Service Area Program; Miller Ivan; Karen Wolf; erin.Auzins@kingcounty.gov

Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT--Proposed Ordin 2018-0153: Comprehensive Planning & Subarea Planning Restructure

KC Council PRE Committee Chairwoman Lambert and Committee Members,

Good morning. Attached please find a **Cover Letter** and a **Comments Matrix** from six King County Unincorporated Area Councils / Associations regarding the subject proposed Ordinance. Any questions can be directed to the undersigned. Thank you.

Peter Rimbos
Coordinator, KCCP Updates
Corresponding Secretary
Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council (GMVUAC)
primbos@comcast.net

[&]quot;To know and not to do is not to know."-- Chinese proverb

Comprehensive Planning and Subarea Planning Restructure

Public Comment

August 30, 2018

CARE, FBL, GMVUAC, GV/LHA, HHA, and UBCUAC













August 30, 2018

To: King County Council PRE Committee Chairwoman Kathy Lambert: kmcgourty@psrc.org and Committee Members: Dave Upthegrove: Dave.Upthegrove@kingcounty.gov; Larry Gossett Larry Gossett@kingcounty.gov; Larry Gossett Larry Gossett Larry.Gossett@kingcounty.gov; and Pete von Reichbauer Pete.vonReich-bauer@kingcounty.gov; and Pete von Reichbauer Pete.vonReich-bauer@kingcounty.gov

Re: Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153: Comprehensive Planning and Subarea Planning Restructure

PRE Committee Chairwoman Lambert and Members,

Please accept our set of detailed Public Comments on the subject Ordinance from King County's Unincorporated Area Councils (UACs) / Associations: Community Alliance to Reach Out & Engage (CARE), Friends of Bass Lake (FBL); Greater Maple Valley UAC (GMVUAC), Green Valley/Lake Holm Association (GV/LHA), Hollywood Hill Association (HHA); and Upper Bear Creek UAC (UBCUAC). We research and develop solutions on issues of interest to people who live in most of King County's unincorporated areas.

Each of our organizations considers its work on the King County Comprehensive Plan (KCCP) as one of its most important duties. As such, changes in the process for review, update, and approval of the KCCP are of importance to us and the citizens with whom we work to represent. It is with that sense of duty we have carefully reviewed the King County Executive's proposed changes and the proposed Striker.

Attached please find an item-by-item *Comparison Matrix* which includes processes for the Existing KCCP, Executive's proposed changes, the PRE Committee Chair's proposed Striker, and our collective UAC comments (yellow highlighting).

Our comments emerged from multiple meetings and conversations spearheaded by the undersigned. This effort has grown out of our commitment to facilitate citizen participation in local governance and affirm the fact that each community and each individual has valid needs and that no single statement will capture 100% of that vision for the future.

In general, we support the Executive's proposed change to an 8-yr major update cycle with a 4-yr midpoint update, but also agree with several of the proposed Striker changes. We also believe the Subarea Planning process should be accelerated, especially for urban unincorporated potential annexation areas.

We encourage you to consider our concerns and those of citizens throughout King County based on the expertise of daily lived experience in order to minimize unintended negative consequences in the implementation of the KCCP. We wish to continue an open dialogue with King County officials on the subject Ordinance. Thank you in advance for your careful consideration of our comments.

Peter Rimbos <u>primbos@comcast.net</u>) Coordinator, KCCP Updates, GMVUAC

Gwendolyn High highlands_neighbors@hotmail.com
President, CARE

Gwyn Vukich

<u>GVLHAssn@gmail.com</u>

Chair, GV/LHA

Heather Boren boren5@hotmail.com President, FBL

Michael Tanksley <u>wmtanksley@hollywoodhillassoc.org</u> President, HHA

Steve Hiester info@gmvuac.org
Chair, GMVUAC

Nancy Stafford nm.staff@outlook.com Chair, UBCUAC

cc: Dow Constantine, King County Executive: dow.Constantine@kingcounty.gov
King County Councilmembers: rod.dembowski@kingcounty.gov, jeanne.kohl-welles@kingcounty.gov, claudia.balducci@kingcounty.gov, reagan.dunn@kingcounty.gov
Alan Painter, Manager, King County Community Service Areas: alan.painter@kingcounty.gov
Ivan Miller, Comprehensive Planning Mgr., King County: ivan.miller@kingcounty.gov
Erin Auzins, Lead Staff, King County Council PRE Committee: erin.Auzins@kingcounty.gov

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CURRENT

EXEC PROPOSED

CHAIR STRIKER

UAC COMMENTS

I. C	omprehensive planning cyc	le		
Maj	ior King County Comprehensiv	re Plan (KCCP) Updates		
1	Four-year update cycle	Eight-year update cycle	Agree with Executive's proposal, combined with changes below.	 Agree with Executive's proposal.
2	 Major KCCP update scope: Urban Growth Area (UGA) amendments Substantive policy changes Anything allowed during annual updates 	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal, combined with changes below.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
Mia	point KCCP Updates			
3	Allows for a "midpoint" KCCP update in Year Two of four-year cycle, if authorized via motion.	Midpoint is in Year Four of 8-year cycle, if authorized via motion.	 "Midpoint" updates allowed once every Two Years, if authorized via motion. Add allowance to carryover any outstanding midpoint issues into next annual update, if there is not enough time to finish adoption during midpoint year and if authorized via motion. 	• Agree with Executive's proposal of a Midpoint is in Year Four of 8-year cycle (we do not agree with Chair Striker proposed carryover. Some of the key purposes of increasing the cycle intervals are to: 1. Improve stability of our land-use policies 2. Reduce related workloads for both county staff and public).

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER

#

4	Midpoint KCCP update scope: Substantive policy changes Anything allowed during annual updates	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal + add consideration of UGA amendments during midpoint.	 Agree with Executive's proposal (we do not agree with Chair Striker proposed consideration of UGA amendments during midpoint).
5	Midpoint update only allowed if there is a demonstrated need supported by data; requires adoption of a motion initiating the update that includes identification of 1) the scope of the update, 2) available resources necessary to accomplish the work, and 3) fiscal impact of the work.	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal re: requirements for identifying scope, resources, and fiscal impacts + remove data requirement for motion authorizing midpoint update.	Agree with Executive's proposal (we do not agree with Chair Striker proposal to remove data requirement for motion authorizing midpoint update).
Ann	nual KCCP Updates			
6	Allows for annual KCCP updates	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal.	 Agree with Executive's proposal.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

#

CURRENT

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER

	33 1412111	2,120 : 110 : 0022		3,13 3 3
7	 Annual update scope: Technical and non-substantive changes Adoption of subarea plans Approval of Four-to-One proposals Approval of other zoning/land use designation changes that do not require substantive policy changes 	Same as current, plus adoption of substantive changes to the KCCP update schedule in response to ordinances	Agree with Executive's proposal + • carryover of midpoint issues as noted in #3 above (only for annual update immediately following midpoint); • amendments to workplan; and • amendments to subarea plans to address emergent needs.	 Agree with Executive's proposal + No carryover of midpoint issues as noted in #3 above; Allow amendments to workplan; and Allow amendments to subarea plans to address critical needs, as long as full legal notice is provided to communities to be affected by amendments and local meetings are convened, so that meaningful participation is possible.
II. C	Comprehensive planning tim	elines		
8	March 1 plan transmittal	June 30 plan transmittal	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CHAIR STRIKER

EXEC PROPOSED

#

			O. I. II. (O.) . II. (O.)	
9	 Annual KCCP Docket: June 30 docket request submittal deadline December 1 deadline for Executive response to docket proponents December 1 transmittal of Docket Report to Council 	Annual KCCP Docket: • December 31 docket request submittal deadline • April 30 deadline for Executive response to docket proponents • April 30 transmittal of Docket Report to Council	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
10	December 31 transmittal of KCCP Performance Measures Report the year prior to Scoping Motion for major KCCP updates	March 1 transmittal of KCCP Performance Measures Report during year of Scoping Motion for major KCCP updates	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
11	Scoping Motion for major KCCP updates: • March 1 transmittal the year prior to transmittal of major KCCP update • April 30 deadline for adoption the year prior to transmittal of major KCCP update (two months)	Scoping Motion for major KCCP updates: • June 30 transmittal the year prior to transmittal of major KCCP update • August 31 deadline for adoption the year prior to transmittal of major KCCP update (two months, but overlaps with Council's summer recess)	Agree with Executive's proposal + change deadline for adoption to September 15 (in consideration of losing two weeks during summer recess, and has adoption occur before budget is transmitted).	Agree with Chair Striker proposal.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CHAIR STRIKER

EXEC PROPOSED

#

10		D		
12	Plan review and adoption by Council: • 9 months • No explicit deadline for adoption for major, midpoint, or annual KCCP updates, but updates are typically adopted before the Councils December recess later that year • Cannot adopt major, midpoint, or annual updates and/or subarea plans more than once per calendar year	Plan review and adoption by Council: 12 months Adoption of major KCCP updates no later than June 30 the following year No explicit deadline for adoption of midpoint or annual KCCP updates plans Cannot adopt major, midpoint, or annual updates and/or subarea plans more than once per calendar year	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
13	Plan review and adoption by Council overlaps with Council review and adoption of the Biennial Budget	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CHAIR STRIKER

EXEC PROPOSED

#

14 2020 adoption of next major KCCP update 2023 adoption of next major KCCP update	• Allow interim update for some substantive changes and consideration of UGA amendments in 2020 (see workplan action #15 below on line 45 of the matrix); • Include direction for new Department of Local Services to identify any necessary changes in interim update (see workplan action #16 below on line 46 of the matrix); and • Direct Executive to streamline the KCCP (reduce redundancies, increase readability, be more concise, and make it more user	ot allow interim te for some tantive changes consideration of amendments in de new Dept of I Services in aration of only r KCCP updates. Icing Indancies is OK, e keeping uate description ockground & cability of ies, because mented lative intent is istent and ect nistration and ementation of
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED	CHAIR STRIKER	UAC COMMENTS

15	Does not align with Growth Management Act (GMA) schedule	Aligns with GMA schedule	Agree with Executive's proposal.	 Agree with Executive's proposal.
III. S	Subarea planning cycle			
16	12 months for <i>plan</i> development and community outreach by Executive March 1 to March 1	12 months for <i>plan development</i> and community outreach by Executive June 30 to June 30	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
17	9 months for plan review/ adoption by Council March 1 to December (no deadline for adoption, but cannot adopt subarea plans and/or KCCP updates more than once per year)	12 months for <i>plan</i> review/adoption by Council June 30 to June 30 (no deadline for adoption, but cannot adopt subarea plans and/or KCCP updates more than once per year)	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

#	CURRENT		EXEC	PROPOSED)	CHAIR STRIKER	UAC COMMENTS
18	Subarea plans are developed/adopted whi major KCCP updates a developed by the Execu and reviewed/adopted in the Council	le (re i utive (•	adopted while oupdates are adopted		Agree with Executive's proposal + add that substantive amendments to subarea plans are allowed during annual updates to address emergent needs.	• Agree with Executive's proposal + add that amendments to subarea plans are allowed during annual updates only to address critical needs, as long as full legal notice is provided to communities to be affected by amendments and local meetings are convened, so that meaningful participation is possible.
IV.	Subarea planning geog	raphie	:S				
19	All six "rural" Community Service Areas (CSAs) will receive planning each subarea planning cycle	Same	as current	Agree with Executive' s proposal.	• 4	Agree with Executive's propos	al.

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CURRENT EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER UAC COMMENTS

20	Three out of the five major Potential Annexation Areas (PAAs) in the West King County CSA will receive planning each subarea planning cycle	All five major PAAs in the West King County CSA will receive planning each subarea planning cycle	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
21	Planning for Skyway- West Hill PAA and North Highline PAA occurs at the same time (transmittal of both subarea plans in 2018 and adoption of both subarea plans in 2018)	Planning for Skyway-West Hill PAA and North Highline PAA occurs at different times: Skyway-West Hill PAA subarea plan transmittal in 2019 and adoption in 2020; and North Highline PAA subarea plan transmittal in 2020 and adoption in 2021	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED	CHAIR STRIKER	UAC COMMENTS

22	Order of subarea plan development and adoption: 1. Vashon-Maury Island CSA 2. Skyway-West Hill PAA 3. North Highline PAA 4. Snoqualmie Valley/NE King County CSA 5. Greater Maple Valley/Cedar River CSA 6. Fairwood PAA 7. Bear Creek/Sammamish CSA 8. Southeast King County CSA 9. Four Creeks/Tiger CSA	Same as current, plus 10.E a s t Renton PAA 11.Federal W a y PAA	Agree with Executive's proposal.	 Do not agree with either Exec. or Chair Striker proposals. The order of Subarea Plans needs to be based on consistent and relevant criteria to ensure best stewardship of resources and the ability to address needs in a timely manner. Suggested criteria include (but should not be limited to): Capacity (buildable lands/jobs/concurrencies) Availability of funds to correct existing infrastructure deficits so land-use/zoning changes can be adequately accommodated The need and opportunity that land use and zoning changes can address equity and social justice imbalances between the planning areas Relative development pressures The development boom-bust cycle is faster than the proposed subarea planning cycle. This means development and land-use decisions will happen in a planning vacuum. Communities don't want to continue to bear the brunt of the resulting negative impacts. Communities are, however, eager to prepare as well and as fast as they can so when subarea planning finally comes up, they are best prepared to engage with County staff meaningfully and productively as possible. This would ensure the official plans are as thorough and complete as possible. To these ends we recommend: King County establish a volunteer citizen commission to spearhead advance preparation in the subareas. Citizen Commissions lead efforts to engage communities to become familiar with KCCP, land use, zoning, and the various other planning documents (CIP, TNR, Concurrency, etc.) and their attendant processes. Communities begin the conversations about their vision for their subarea plans, determining their current needs, and their future vision within the scope defined in the Executive's proposal for the subarea planning—with minimal support and guidance of County staff. Citizens must be deeply engaged in the Subarea Planning
				- in a control of the

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CURRENT

EXEC PROPOSED

CHAIR STRIKER

UAC COMMENTS

V. S	Subarea planning timelines		
23	Geography Devel-opment Adopt-ion Skyway-West Hill PAA + North Highline PAA 2017-18 2018 Snoqualmie Valley/NE King County CSA 2018-19 2019 Major KCCP Update + Greater Maple Valley/Cedar River CSA 2019-20 2020 Fairwood PAA 2020-21 2021 Bear Creek/Sammamish CSA 2021-22 2022 Southeast King County CSA 2022-23 2023 Major KCCP Update + Four Creeks/Tiger Mountain CSA 2023-24 2024	Adopt-ion Skyway-West Hill PAA 2018-19 2019-20 North Highline PAA 2019-20 2020-21 Snoqualmie Valley/NE King County CSA 2020-21 2021-22 Major KCCP Update 2021-22 three 2022-23 Greater Maple Valley/Cedar River CSA 2022-23 2023-24 Fairwood PAA 2023-24 2024-25 Bear Creek/Sammamish CSA 2024-25 2025-26 Southeast King County CSA 2025-26 Southeast King County CSA 2025-26	Agree with Executive's Proposal + Following Completion of first I3-year planning Cycle, add Chirection to review The schedule for Future updates of Subarea plans as Foart of the 2031 KCCP update, Including Evaluating Evaluation Executive or Chair Striker Proposals. We recomment that all ten Subarea Plans Identified be Completed by The next KCCP Major Update In 2024, with Possibly two Completed per Evacutive or Chair Striker Proposals. We recomment that all ten Subarea Plans Identified be Completed by The next KCCP Major Update In 2024, with Possibly two Completed per Evacutive or Chair Striker Proposals. We recomment that all ten Subarea Plans Identified be Completed by The next KCCP Major Update In 2024, with Possibly two Completed per Evacutive or Chair Striker Proposals. We recomment Identified be Completed by The next KCCP Major Update In 2024, with Possibly two Completed per Evacutive or Chair Striker Proposals. We recomment Identified be Completed by The next KCCP Major Update In 2024, with Possibly two Completed per Evacutive or Chair Striker Proposals. We recomment Identified be Completed by The next KCCP Major Update In 2024, with Possibly two Completed per Evacutive or Chair Striker Proposals.

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CURRENT EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER UAC COMMENTS

VI.				
24	 Is a more robust plan specific to the subarea, which creates new policy direction Addresses local issues across all comprehensive planning policy areas Potential for many new, subarea-specific policies Implementation matrix primarily driven by policies in subarea plan 	 Primarily an evaluation and implementation of existing KCCP policy direction specific to this geography Local land use focus Focused new, subarea-specific policies Implementation matrix reflects prioritized community goals within the context of the KCCP and King County Budget 	Agree with Executive's proposal.	• Agree with Executive's proposal.
VII.	Executive staffing			

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CHAIR STRIKER

EXEC PROPOSED

#

25	Code requires the Office of Performance, Strategy and Budget (PSB) to be lead in developing comprehensive plans in coordination with Department of Permitting and Environmental Review (DPER)	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal + add language in code and KCCP re: requiring providing public review drafts to the public and the Council (which are currently used in practice, but there are not any definitions / parameters about them).	Agree with Chair Striker proposal.
----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

EXEC PROPOSED CURRENT CHAIR STRIKER # **UAC COMMENTS** Code and Motion 14341 Agree with Chair Striker proposal, Agree with Same as current requires **DPER** to be lead Executive's proposal except that Executive Office of Performance, Strategy and in developing subarea **plans** in coordination and Budget (PSB) should lead Add language collaboration with PSB subarea plan development with that gives support from the new Dept. of direction on Local Services, DNRP, etc. involving (apparently, this could require a Councilmember change to existing code offices in language). subarea plan development; Clarifying in the KCCP that DPER is lead on subarea plan development (consistent with existing code language); and Add language in code and KCCP re: requiring providing public review drafts to the public and the Council (which are currently used in practice, but

14

there are not any definitions /

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CURRENT EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER UAC COMMENTS

27	One subarea planner in DPER	Three subarea planners in DPER	Agree with Executive's proposal + add language re: intent to evaluate subarea plan staffing and process via a future performance audit.	• Agree with Chair Striker proposal, except that the three subarea planners would reside in the Executive Office of Performance, Strategy and Budget (PSB). Also, add additional contract or Term Limited Temporary (TLT) staff to assist FTE staff in this initial planning cycle. There is overwhelming interest in the Communities and a huge backlog of issues to be addressed through planning. This is a fundamental responsibility of the local
				jurisdiction – the Communities have no other entity from which to seek help. Contract and TLT staff are much less expensive than fully weighted FTEs. The current and proposed FTEs will be in place to oversee and build this institutional knowledge from the beginning, but the contract/TLT staff will greatly increase their capacity to perform this vital responsibility.

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED	CHAIR STRIKER	UAC COMMENTS
---	---------	---------------	---------------	--------------

28	One comprehensive planning manager in PSB	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
VIII	. Workplan impacts			
201	6 KCCP Workplan			
29	Action 1: Initiation of the CSA Subarea Planning program Transmit subarea plans by March 1 the year following the CSA's planning year	Transmit subarea plans at a time consistent with the King County Code	Agree with Executive's proposal Add code reference; and Clarify that DPER is the lead on developing subarea plans.	• Agree with Chair Striker proposal, except that Executive Office of Performance, Strategy and Budget (PSB) should lead subarea plan development with support from the new Dept. of Local Services, DNRP, etc.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER

#

30	Action 2: Develop a Performance Measures Program for the Comprehensive Plan • Transmit initial Performance Measures Report by December 1, 2018 so as to inform 2019 Scope of Work for the 2020 major KCCP update	Transmit initial Performance Measures Report by March 1, 2021 so as to inform 2021 Scope of Work for the 2023 major KCCP update	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
31	Action 3: Implement a Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Unincorporated Urban Receiving Area Amenity Funding Pilot Project • Transmit report on results of the pilot project by June 1, 2018 so as to inform the 2019-2020 Biennial Budget • Transmit any recommended KCCP and/or Code changes as part of 2020 major KCCP update	 Transmit report on results of the pilot project by June 1, 2018 so as to inform the 2019-2020 Biennial Budget Transmit any recommended KCCP and/or Code changes as part of 2023 major KCCP update The Executive will work with the Council to determine whether any KCCP amendments are appropriate for inclusion in an annual KCCP update prior to the 2023 major KCCP update 	Agree with Executive's proposal. As part of reviewing the Executive's proposed restructure, the Council worked with the Executive evaluate the timing of potential KCCP amendments. Striker changes the transmittal date back to 2020 (as part of the interim KCCP update) with agreement from the Executive.	Agree with Executive's proposal + support the 2023 major KCCP update.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER

#

32	 Action 4: TDR Program Review Transmit annual TDR activity report by December 1 Transmit study results and KCCP and/or Code changes by December 1, 2018 	 Transmit annual TDR activity report by December 1 Transmit study results and KCCP and/or Code changes by December 1, 2018 The Executive will work with the Council to determine whether any KCCP amendments are appropriate for inclusion in an annual KCCP update prior to the 2023 major KCCP update 	Agree with Executive's proposal. As part of reviewing the Executive's proposed restructure, the Council worked with the Executive evaluate the timing of potential KCCP amendments. Striker changes the transmittal date to June 30, 2019 (as part of the 2020 interim KCCP update) with agreement from the Executive.	• Agree with Executive's proposal + support the 2023 major KCCP update.
33	 Action 5: Review 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan Implementation Needs Transmit implementation report by July 31, 2017 Transmit Code changes by December 31, 2019 	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal.	• Agree with Executive's proposal.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER

#

34	Action 6: Alternative Housing Demonstration Project • Transmit demonstration project ordinance by December 31, 2018 • Transmit report and KCCP and/or Code changes by December 31, 2020	 Transmit demonstration project ordinance by December 31, 2018 Transmit report and KCCP and/or Code changes by December 31, 2020 The Executive will work with the Council to determine whether any KCCP amendments are appropriate for inclusion in an annual KCCP update prior to the 2023 major KCCP update 	Agree with Executive's proposal. As part of reviewing the Executive's proposed restructure, the Council worked with the Executive evaluate the timing of potential KCCP amendments. Striker changes the transmittal date for the demonstration project ordinance to June 30, 2019 and transmittal of the report and ordinance to December 31, 2021 with agreement from the Executive.	• Agree with Executive's proposal + supports the 2023 major KCCP update.
35	 Action 7: Agricultural Related Uses Zoning Code Updates Transmit report and Code changes by September 30, 2017 	Same as current (completed)	Agree with Executive's proposal.	• Agree with Executive's proposal.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CHAIR STRIKER

EXEC PROPOSED

#

CURRENT

 Agree with Chair Action 8: Cottage Housing Agree with Executive's Striker proposal. Regulations Review proposal. As part of reviewing the Executive's but not the 2019 Transmit report and KCCP Transmit report and KCCP proposed restructure, the date, as we and/or Code changes by and/or Code changes by support the 2023 Council worked with the December 31, 2018 December 31, 2018 Executive evaluate the maior KCCP The Executive will work timing of potential KCCP update. with the Council to amendments. Striker Further, Chair determine whether any changes the transmittal Striker proposed **KCCP** amendments are date of any KCCP or added language appropriate for code changes to June reflects inclusion in an annual **30, 2019** (as part of the Development KCCP update prior to 2020 interim KCCP Standards that the 2023 major KCCP update) with agreement belong in King update from the Executive. County Code. They are not policies and Add language to should not be include evaluation of: included in the 1) encouraging close KCCP. proximity of garages to housing, and 2) encouraging a wide variety of square footages to address various needs and populations.

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CHAIR STRIKER

EXEC PROPOSED

#

37	 Action 9: Carbon Neutral King County Plan Transmit progress report on plan development by December 31, 2017 Transmit plan and motion adopting the plan by February 28, 2019 	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal.	• Agree with Executive's proposal.
38	Action 10: Green Building Handbook Review Transmit handbook and motion approving the handbook by March 1, 2017	Same as current (completed)	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal.
39	Action 11: Bicycle Network Planning Report Transmit report and motion approving report by December 31, 2017	Same as current (completed)	Agree with Executive's proposal.	• Agree with Executive's proposal.

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED	CHAIR STRIKER UA	COMMENTS
40	Action 12: Update Plat Ingress/ Egress Requirements Transmit amendments to Code and Road Standards by December 31, 2018	Same as current	Agree with Executive's proposal + add language to address: 1) access for emergency vehicles (re: roadway width), 2) more than one access point in/ out of a community, and 3) proximity of 2 nd entry point from 1 st entry point.	language reflects Road Standards that belong in King County Road Standards. They

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED CHA	AIR STRIKER U	AC COMMENTS
41	Action 13: Water Availability and Permitting Study • Transmit initial report by December 1, 2017 • Transmit final report and KCCP and/or Code amendments by July 1, 2018	 Transmit initial report by December 1, 2017 Transmit final report and Kondy and Code amendments July 1, 2018 The Executive will work with Council to determine whether any KCCP amendments are appropriate for inclusion in an annual KCCP update prior to the 2023 major KCCP update 	riate I proposed restructure, the Council worked w the Executive evaluate the timin of potential KCCP amendments. Striker changes the	mid-point review not an update. ith g

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure EXEC PROPOSED CHAIR STRIKER

#

42 n/a	n/a	evaluation services. 3 identific geograph that lace broadbar and/or of for area access, service technol evaluation areas we such as warn the available services evaluation optic necession county facilitate reliabilities a scheet	ion of installing vithout cellular possignage at trail at coverage may le for some or al s; ion of the Count etwork and possing access; cation of options nendations for a can take to ence infrastructure,	ck technology include: unities and natural areas) for/access to internet access overage; astructure/eliability of ntioned signage in whone coverage heads that y not be il cellular sy's I-Net fiber sible role in and ctions the ourage and/or access, and enting the	

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED	CHAIR STRIKER	UAC COMMENTS
43	n/a	n/a	Add a new workplan item #15 regarding an interim KCCP update in 2020 as part of the transition to a new eight-year planning cycle. 2020 update will be considered as a "midpoint"/Two-Year update.	• Do <u>not</u> agree with Chair Striker proposal (see comments under item #14).
44	n/a	n/a	Add a new workplan item #16 regarding direction for the new Department of Local Services to review the policies in the KCCP related to unincorporated areas and make recommendations for possible amendments as part of the 2020 interim update.	• Do <u>not</u> agree with Chair Striker proposal (see comments under item #14).

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED	CHAIR STRIKER	UAC COMMENTS
45	n/a n	n/a	Add a new workplan item #17 regarding direction for the Executive to streamline the KCCP and King County Code Title 20 (reduce redundancies, increase readability, be more concise, and make it more user friendly) as part of transmittal of 2023 update. Includes direction that the Executive shall work on this between 2018-2022 for inclusion in the 2023 major update transmittal. The direction requires providing copies to the Council of draft changes to each chapter by certain milestones.	• (see comments under item #14).

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED	CHAIR STRIKER	UAC COMMENTS
46	n/a	n/a	Add a new workplan item #18 regarding direction for the Executive to develop a sustainability plan for remaining unincorporated areas to reflect the County's role as the local government provider for these areas. Include consideration of zoning changes to support tax revenue generation, such as additional areas for neighborhood business or industrial development. The plan will include a timeline for meeting key milestones and outcomes, as well as performance measures.	 Agree with Chair Striker proposal, except: Do not allow ANY new Industrial zoning in the Rural Area. Enact moratorium on all landuse and zoning changes in the Urban subareas until after subarea planning is complete. Recent legislative rezones in the East Renton PAA (Regional Business to allow a used car dealership on a parcel entirely within the wetland buffer of a Class I wetland under joint stewardship agreement between community organization and DNRP) has created significant hazard to environmental features critical to the public good, and dear to the vision of the Community's vision of its home and future. Striker's proposal ensures additional uninformed and detrimental decisions will continue.

 Tax revenue sharing should be addressed at the State

<mark>level.</mark>

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CURRENT

EXEC PROPOSED

CHAIR STRIKER

UAC COMMENTS

2016 KCCP Workplan Actions Related to the Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) Agree with Chair Striker Action 14: Develop a Agree with Executive's proposal + adds proposal, except: Countywide Plan to Move allowance for Do not agree with the Remaining Unincorporated possible Two-Year update as Urban PAAs Toward Complete GMPC review amendments during addressed in our Annexation and recommendations the Two-Year update. comments on earlier by December 1, 2018 Complete GMPC review items above. With due consideration and recommendations Add language to (Also although we share regarding the by December 1, 2018 include review of tax the concerns regarding outcomes of the work revenue impacts to "orphaned" roads, we of the GMPC, the the County resulting believe the Boundary **Executive will work** from annexations. Review Board already with the Council to Add language to considers these issues determine whether any evaluate requiring with every proposed **KCCP** amendments are jurisdictions to take annexation and has the appropriate for 1) all of the roads authority to rectify them. inclusion in an annual within a PAA when it The Chair Striker's **KCCP** update prior to is annexed and/or 2) proposal appears the 2023 major KCCP orphan roads in their unnecessary and update jurisdiction. duplicative.)

#	CURRENT	EXEC PROPOSED	CHAIR STRIKER	UAC COMMENTS
48	Action 15: Review the Four-to-One Program • Complete GMPC review and recommendations by December 1, 2018	 Complete GMPC review and recommendations by December 1, 2018 With due consideration regarding the outcomes of the work of the GMPC, the Executive will work with the Council to determine whether any KCCP amendments are appropriate for inclusion in an annual KCCP update prior to the 2023 major KCCP update 	Agree with Executive's proposal + adds allowance for possible amendments during the Two-Year update.	Agree with Executive's proposal (we do not agree with Chair Striker proposed possible amendments during the Two-Year update).

Proposed Ordinance 2018-0153

Comprehensive and Subarea Planning Restructure

CHAIR STRIKER

EXEC PROPOSED

#

201	Action 16: Buildable Lands Program Methodology Review • Complete GMPC review and recommendations by December 1, 2018	 Complete GMPC review and recommendations by December 1, 2018 With due consideration regarding the outcomes of the work of the GMPC, the Executive will work with the Council to determine whether any KCCP amendments are appropriate for inclusion in an annual KCCP update prior to the 2023 major KCCP update 	Agree with Executive's proposal + adds allowance for possible amendments during the Two-Year update.	Agree with Executive's proposal (we do not agree with Chair Striker proposed possible amendments during the Two-Year update).
50	VMI Action 1: P-suffix Conditions Transmittal by December 31, 2018	• Transmittal by June 30, 2022	Agree with Executive's proposal.	Agree with Executive's proposal,