

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF KING

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| _____, Respondent | Case No. _____ FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER AUTHORIZING ADMINISTRATION OF ANTI-PSYCHOTIC MEDICATIONS (ORAUMED) |
|----------------------|--|

HEARING

THIS MATTER having come before the Court upon a petition for involuntary treatment with anti-psychotic medication, the Petitioner(s) being represented by the undersigned Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for King County, the Respondent being represented by counsel, and:

- Respondent present
- Respondent present via video link
- Respondent not present
- Respondent waived presence
 - Separate presence waiver has been filed.
 - Respondent has orally waived his/her presence to defense counsel, and the Court accepts this waiver.
- G.A.L. present
- G.A.L. waived presence
- G.A.L. waived Respondent's presence
- Interpreter present
- Deputy Prosecuting Attorney _____ present
- Respondent's Attorney _____ present
- Other present: _____.

the Court makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Respondent was provided all notice and statements of rights relative to the petition filed herein, and that petition was filed on _____.

The Respondent has refused to consent to treatment with anti-psychotic medications:

The Petitioners have a compelling interest in administering anti-psychotic medication to the Respondent because:

Anti-psychotic medication is a necessary and effective course of treatment for the Respondent, as evidenced by Respondent's prognosis with and without the treatment and the lack of effective alternative courses of treatment. The alternatives are less effective than anti-psychotic medication because:

- they are more likely to prolong the length of commitment for involuntary treatment.
- they are more intrusive as to the Respondent's liberty and/or privacy interests.
- _____.

The Respondent would consent to being treated with anti-psychotic medication if the Respondent were capable of making a rational decision concerning treatment, and this Court is hereby substituting its judgment for that of the Respondent.

Other Findings: _____.

AND FROM the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Court makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Court has jurisdiction over the person and subject matter of this cause.

The Petition for Involuntary Treatment With Anti-psychotic Medication was filed in a timely manner.

The Respondent should be involuntarily treated with anti-psychotic medication at clinically appropriate levels over her objections and over her express refusal for the period of the current involuntary treatment order, and any interim period during which she is awaiting trial or hearing on a new petition for involuntary treatment or involuntary medication.

</br>

X

Interpreter