

## **KING COUNTY**

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

## Signature Report

## January 31, 2017

## Ordinance 18452

	Proposed No. 2016-0429.2 Sponsors Kohl-Welles
1	AN ORDINANCE renaming and revising the King County
2	mental illness and drug dependency oversight committee;
3	and amending Ordinance 16077, Section 4, as amended,
4	and K.C.C. 2.130.010.
5	PREAMBLE:
6	In 2005, recognizing the need for additional mental health and chemical
7	dependency programs, the state Legislature authorized counties to
8	implement a one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax to support new
9	programs.
10	The one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax supporting new or
11	expanded chemical dependency or mental health treatment programs and
12	services and for the operation of new or expanded therapeutic court
13	programs and services, known as the mental illness and drug dependency
14	("MIDD") sales and use tax, generates between fifty and sixty-five million
15	dollars annually for King County.
16	King County council levied the one-tenth of one percent MIDD sales and
17	use tax in Ordinance 15949, which was enacted November 26, 2007.
18	The intent of the sales tax is to support new or expanded mental health and
19	substance abuse programs, now referred to as behavioral health programs.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

reflective of the integration of mental health and substance use disorder programs and services; and the operation of the county's therapeutic court programs. In March 2014, the Washington state Legislature passed Senate Bill 6312, which became Chapter 225, Laws of Washington 2014, calling for the integrated purchasing of mental health and substance abuse treatment services. Implementation of this law has brought about changes to how mental health and substance abuse treatment services are described and administered and delivered in King County. An integrated behavioral health system allows more flexibility to deliver holistic care especially for individuals with co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders. One change initiated by behavioral health integration is the evolution of terminology used to define and describe the mental health and substance use disorder systems. King County uses "behavioral health" when referencing mental health and substance use disorder systems, reflecting the joining of systems through behavioral health integration. The MIDD sales tax-funded initiatives, programs and services supported by taxes levied under K.C.C 4A.500.300 continue the county's work to transform the approach to health and human services by improving health and well-being and creating conditions that allow residents of King County to achieve their full potential. Much has changed locally, at the state level and nationally in the realm of behavioral health the eleven years since the state Legislature authorized counties to implement a one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax to

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

support new program and enhance the state's chemical dependency and mental health treatment services, and in the nine years since King County subsequently authorized the MIDD one-tenth of one percent sales and use tax levied under K.C.C. 4A.500.300: the formerly separate mental health and chemical dependency services are integrated into one behavioral health system; the nation and region experienced a severe economic downturn and recovery; the federal Affordable Care Act was enacted; and there have been several changes in state laws impacting behavioral health services. Throughout all of these change events, King County's MIDD work became a platform for cross system engagement and improvement. collaboration and policy dialogue between the criminal justice, health and human services sectors. King County, its behavioral health and community stakeholders, and the mental illness and drug dependency oversight committee ("MIDD oversight committee") embraced the opportunity to review and learn from the MIDD work accomplished between 2008 and 2016, and plan for a robust, forward looking MIDD for the next service period. The collaborative efforts over a nearly two-year period from a wide range of stakeholders including representatives from communities, provider agencies, courts, law enforcement, public health, the prosecuting attorney, public defense, juvenile and adult justice systems, staff and elected officials from jurisdictions in King County, council staff and many others

65 thoughtfully informed the recommendations to modify the composition of the MIDD oversight committee. 66 The executive recommends no changes to the purpose and role of the 67 MIDD oversight committee, maintaining the committee's role as an 68 advisory body to the executive and council as established in Ordinance 69 16077 and K.C.C. 2.130.010. The executive recommends amending the 70 name of the MIDD oversight committee to the MIDD advisory committee, 71 commensurate with the previously established purpose and role of the 72 committee via Ordinance 16077 and K.C.C. 2.130.010. 73 Throughout the course of planning for the 2017-2025 MIDD service 74 period, the MIDD oversight committee members and stakeholders were 75 76 exceedingly clear about the need to have the voices, perspectives and experiences of behavioral health consumers, youth, immigrants and 77 refugees, faith communities and specific cultural populations represented 78 on the MIDD advisory committee. The result of this direction is that a 79 consumers and communities ad hoc work group will be convened and two 80 members of the ad hoc work group will serve, if confirmed by the council, 81 as members of the MIDD advisory committee. 82 Utilizing an ad hoc work group for consumers and communities 83 84 recognizes that no one person should be asked to speak for an entire population or experience, particularly in an environment where lay people 85 are sharing decision- or recommendation-making platforms with those 86 who have significant positional authority, such as elected officials. Given 87

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

the number of communities identified as needing a voice on the MIDD advisory committee, and because there are many diverse lived experiences involved with behavioral health, an additional twelve to twenty consumer and community positions to the MIDD advisory committee would have been necessary. A MIDD advisory committee of fifty or more would not be feasible to operate as the MIDD oversight committee has operated, and more so given the operational improvements planned; therefore, the recommendation to convene an ad hoc consumers and communities work group balances the need to enable a greater number of experiences and perspectives to be brought forward to the MIDD advisory committee with efficiency and effectiveness of operation. The recommended additional members of the MIDD advisory committee are also driven by the county's equity and social justice agenda which finds that race, place and income impact quality of life for residents of King County and people of color, and those who have limited English proficiency and/or low-incomes persistently face inequities in key educational, economic and health outcomes. These inequities are driven by an array of factors including the tax system, unequal access to the determinants of equity, subtle but pervasive individual bias, and institutional and structural racism and sexism. These factors, while invisible to some, have profound and tangible impacts for others, particularly those who also may be living with behavioral health conditions and experiencing criminal justice involvement.

111	Each of the recommended sector additions to the composition of the
112	MIDD advisory committee: recovery, education, philanthropy and
113	managed care is intended to enrich and deepen the advice and guidance
114	provided by the committee to the King County executive and council. The
115	added seats expand the expertise around the table and strengthen system
116	connections.
117	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
118	SECTION 1. Ordinance 16077, Section 4, as amended, and K.C.C. 2.130.010 are
119	hereby amended to read as follows:
120	A. There is hereby established a King County mental illness and drug
121	dependency ((oversight)) advisory committee.
122	B.1. The ((oversight)) advisory committee shall act as an advisory body to the
123	county executive and council. The ((oversight)) advisory committee ((is responsible for
124	oversight of)) shall conduct reviews, provide comment on and make recommendations on
125	the mental illness and drug dependency tax-funded ((strategies)) initiatives, services,
126	programs and policy goals outlined in Ordinance 15949, Section 3, as amended, and
127	K.C.C. ((ehapter 4.33)) 4A.500.300 through 4A.500.340 and consistent with the mental
128	illness and drug dependency ((action)) service improvement plan that is approved in
129	accordance with Ordinance 17998. The ((oversight)) advisory committee shall provide
130	ongoing review, comments and recommendations on ((oversight of)) mental illness and
131	drug dependency tax-funded programs until all sales tax revenues have been expended
132	and the final evaluation of the mental illness and drug dependency programs and services
133	has been submitted to the council.

134	2. The ((oversight)) advisory committee shall:
135	a. review and provide written recommendations to the executive and the
136	council on the implementation and effectiveness of the county's sales tax funded
137	programs in meeting the goals established in Ordinance 15949, Section 3, as amended,
138	and K.C.C. ((ehapter 4.33)) 4A.500.300 through 4A.500.340;
139	b. review and report to the executive and the council on the quarterly, annual
140	and evaluation reports as required by Ordinance 15949, Section 3, as amended, and
141	K.C.C. ((ehapter 4.33)) 4A.500.300 through 4A.500.340;
142	c. review and make comment on emerging and evolving priorities for the use
143	of the mental illness and drug dependency sales tax revenue;
144	d. serve as a forum to promote coordination and collaboration between entities
145	involved with sales tax programs;
146	e. educate the public, policymakers and stakeholders on mental illness and drug
147	dependency sales tax funded programs; and
148	f. coordinate and share information with other related efforts and groups.
149	C. The ((oversight)) advisory committee shall be composed of one representative
150	from each of the following ((in addition to two members from the King County
151	behavioral health advisory board)):
152	1. The council;
153	2. The executive;
154	3. The superior court;
155	4. The district court;
156	5. The prosecuting attorney's office;

157	6. The sheriff's office;
158	7. The department of public health;
159	8. The department of judicial administration;
160	9. The department of adult and juvenile detention;
161	10. The department of community and human services;
162	11. A provider of both mental health and chemical dependency services in King
163	County;
164	12. A provider of culturally specific mental health services in King County;
165	13. A provider of culturally specific chemical dependency services in King
166	County;
167	14. A representative of an organization with expertise in helping individuals
168	with behavioral health needs in King County get jobs and live independent lives;
169	15. A provider of domestic violence prevention services in King County;
170	((15.)) 16. A provider of sexual assault victim services in King County;
171	((16.)) 17. An agency providing mental health and chemical dependency
172	services to youth;
173	(( <del>17.</del> )) <u>18.</u> Harborview Medical Center;
174	((18. The Committee to End Homelessness in King County)) 19. All Home;
175	((19.)) 20. King County systems integration initiative, which is an ongoing work
176	group established by the executive for addressing juvenile justice matters;
177	((20.)) 21. The Community Health Council;
178	((21-)) 22. The Washington State Hospital Association, representing King
179	County hospitals;

180	(( <del>22.</del> )) <u>23.</u> The (( <del>Suburban</del> )) <u>Sound</u> Cities Association;
181	((23.)) 24. The city of Seattle;
182	((24.)) 25. The city of Bellevue;
183	((25.)) 26. Labor representing a bona fide labor organization;
184	((26.)) 27. The office of the public defender;
185	((27.)) 28. The $((n))$ Alliance on $((m))$ ental $((i))$ Illness; $((and))$
186	((28. A representative from a public defender agency that the county contracts
187	with to provide services)) 29. Puget Sound educational services district;
188	30. A representative of a philanthropic organization;
189	31. The King County behavioral health advisory board;
190	32. A representative of an organization with expertise in recovery;
191	33. A representative of the five managed care organizations operating in King
192	County;
193	34. An individual representing behavioral health consumer interests from the
194	mental illness and drug dependency advisory committee's consumers and communities
195	ad hoc work group;
196	35. An individual representing community interests from the mental illness and
197	drug dependency advisory committee's consumers and communities ad hoc work group;
198	36. A representative of a grassroots organization serving a cultural population of
199	cultural populations; and
200	37. A representative of unincorporated King County.
201	D.1. Separately elected officials and King County agency directors or their
202	designees are not required to be appointed or confirmed.

203	2. A member of the ((oversight)) advisory committee who has been confirmed
204	to serve on another county board or commission is not required to be confirmed to serve
205	on the ((oversight)) advisory committee.
206	3. All other members of the ((oversight)) advisory committee are subject to
207	appointment by the county executive and confirmation by the county council.
208	4. The executive shall appoint ((oversight)) advisory committee members to
209	staggered terms in accordance with K.C.C. 2.28.010.C.
210	E.1. The ((oversight)) advisory committee shall adopt rules governing its
211	operations at its first meeting.
212	((1-)) 2. The committee shall elect a chair or cochairs.
213	((2.)) 3. Subcommittees and workgroups may be formed at the discretion of the
214	((oversight)) advisory committee.
215	((3.)) 4. At each meeting of the ((eversight)) advisory committee, the
216	((oversight)) committee shall provide an open comment period.
217	F. The ((oversight)) advisory committee shall coordinate with other county
218	groups including, but not limited to, ((the Committee to End Homelessness in King
219	County)) the All Home coordinating board, the regional human services levy citizen
220	oversight board, the veterans levy citizen oversight board, the children and youth
221	advisory board, the behavioral health and recovery board, the board of health and the
222	adult and juvenile justice operational master plan advisory groups, or their successors, to
223	ensure that information is shared and, when appropriate, efforts are ((linked)) coordinated
224	and not duplicated.

225	G. The office of performance, strategy and budget and the behavioral health and
226	recovery division of the department of community and human services shall provide
227	staffing of the ((oversight)) advisory committee.

H. Members of the ((oversight)) advisory committee who are not full-time county

when attending meetings of the committee.

231

230

Ordinance 18452 was introduced on 8/29/2016 and passed as amended by the Metropolitan King County Council on 1/30/2017, by the following vote:

> Yes: 9 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Dunn, Mr. McDermott, Mr. Dembowski, Mr. Upthegrove, Ms. Kohl-Welles and Ms. Balducci

No: 0

Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

J. Joseph McDermott, Chair

ATTEST:

Melani Pedroza, Acting Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 9 day of FETRUARY.

Dow Constantine, County Executive

Attachments: None