How to Get a copy of your Open Adoption Agreement

When the open adoption agreement came from a prior dependency Case

Do not use this instruction if you participated in a private adoption.

This motion is heard by the Lead Dependency Judge. You must contact the Judge's Bailiff to schedule the hearing.

Talk to a lawyer, if you can

These instructions have been developed by the King County Superior Court Family Law Information Center. They are not intended to be a substitute for legal advice. They provide only legal *information*. It's a good idea to talk to a lawyer before you file any forms. If you cannot afford a lawyer, you can:

- Find a lawyer to help you with the *most difficult* parts of your case, such as reviewing your forms, explaining how to get ready for court and confirming this is the best legal action for your case. You can contact the King County Bar Association to ask for a referral.
- Ask the Family Law Facilitator's office or the King County Adoption Paralegal for a list of low-cost and free legal resources.

King County Superior Court 516 3rd Ave, Room W-382 Seattle, WA 98104 Maleng Regional Justice Center 401 4th Ave North, Room 3D Kent, WA 98032

Step 1: Fill out these forms

This form can be downloaded at: www.kingcounty.gov/courts/scforms.aspx

Form Name	Form Number	Notes	Completed
Notice of Court Date (Judges)	Seattle or Kent	See Step 2	

Motion and Declaration to allow access to Open Adoption Agreement	Tell the Court what you are requesting and why.	
Order to allow access to Open Adoption Agreement	Fill this out the way you would like the Judge to decide.	
Proof of Mailing or Hand Delivery	This is for the server to fill out after copies of your court papers are served on the other party if service is necessary.	

Step 2: How to choose a court date.

To set your court date, you must fill out and file the *Notice of Court Date (Judges)*, Kent or Seattle.

- Contact the Lead Dependency Judge's bailiff and choose the "Calendar Date" for the hearing. The date you choose must be at least six court days from the date you plan to have the other party served.
- Next to "Nature of Motion" write "Motion to allow access to Open Adoption Agreement".
- Inside the box labeled "Cases Assigned to Individual Judges" check the box next to "With oral argument hearing" and write the Judge's name and your current trial date.
- Write the date <u>and</u> time the Judge's Bailiff gave you, next to "Date/Time".
- Write the name of your assigned judge next to "Judge's Name".
- Write the trial date (listed on your Order Setting Domestic Case Schedule), next to "Trial Date".
- Be sure to also write in the names of the parties and the case number on the top of page 1; you will also need to sign and provide your contact information on the bottom of page 1.
- On page 2, write all other parties' names and contact information (if applicable).

Step 3: Make copies

Make copies of your motion and proposed order and all other documents you want the court to consider when deciding on your motion.

How many copies do I need?

- Original set to file with the Clerk's Office
- Copy 1 is to be served to the other party
- Copy 2 is for your records
- Copy 3 is for the Judge

Step 4: Take your completed forms to the Clerk's office and file them.

File with the Clerk (2C in Kent and E-609 in Seattle) the originals of all papers from Step 1 **except** the Order.

Step 5: Deliver the Judge's Copy.

The Judge's copy is a set of **all** forms from Step 1, including the Order. Include a copy of your Petition to Enforce the Open Adoption Agreement. Take this set of copies to the Judge's Mailroom (C203 in Seattle and 2D in Kent) and turn it in any time before **12:00 noon** at least **3 court days** before your hearing date.

Copy and complete the chart below to the upper right hand corner of the first page of the set of forms you are delivering to the Judge:

Judge's Copies	
Name of Judge:	
Calendar Date:	
With Oral Argument	
Presented By: (your name):	, Moving Party

Step 6: Have the other party served.

If your motion asks the Court to waive notice to the other party then you may not be required to serve the other party and you can skip this step.

What to serve

Copies of all the forms from step 1 need to be served on the other party.

Deadline for service

If the documents will be delivered or personally served, the documents must be served at least **6 court days** before the hearing you scheduled.

If the documents will be mailed, generally you must add 3 days to the above deadline. (See Washington State Superior Court Civil Rule 6 for specific information.)

How to serve

You may deliver or mail the documents yourself (provided that no order restrains you from having contact). If the other party has an attorney, deliver or mail the forms to the attorney instead. After you have delivered the forms to the other party or attorney, fill out the *Proof of Mailing or Hand Delivery (for documents after Summons and Petition)* form.

You may also have someone else serve the other party or his/her attorney for you. The server must be over 18. After serving, the server fills out the *Proof of Personal Service* form and returns it to you.

Make one copy of the *Proof of Mailing or Hand Delivery (for documents after Summons and Petition)* or *Proof of Personal Service* form for your records and one copy for the Judge. File the original form with the Clerk's Office and bring the copy you made for your records to the hearing.

Step 7: Prepare for and attend the hearing.

Each party will have approximately 10 minutes to present their arguments. Bring the original of the Order form plus copies of all your other forms to the hearing.

Go to the Lead Dependency Judge's courtroom a few minutes before the hearing time. Once the courtroom is unlocked, walk in and check in with the bailiff.

Step 8: Obtain the Open Adoption Agreement

If the judge grants your motion, they will sign an order allowing you access to the Open Adoption Agreement. Take that order to the clerk's office (2C in Kent and E-609 in Seattle) to get a copy of the open adoption agreement.

Make sure to file a copy of that agreement in your Enforcement of the Open Adoption Agreement Case.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

۷S.

CASE NO.
NOTICE OF COURT DATE (Judges)
(NOTICE FOR HEARING)
SEATTLE COURTHOUSE ONLY
(Clerk's Action Required) (NTHG)

THE CLERK OF THE COURT and to all other parties per list on Page 2: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an issue of law in this case will be heard on the date below and the Clerk is directed to note this issue on the calendar checked below.			
	Day of Week:		
Nature of Motion:	Day of Frook.		
CASES AS: If oral argument on the motion is allowed before filing this notice. Working Paperight corner of the Judge's copy. Deli [] Without oral argument (Mon - Fri)			
Date/Time:	Trial Date:		
[] Bond Forfeiture 3:15 pm, 2 nd Tr [] Extraordinary Writs from criminal or [] Certificates of Rehabilitation- W 3:30 First Tues of each month CHIEF CIVIL DEPARTA Deliver working copies to Judges' No Department" or judge's name and date [] Extraordinary Writs (Show Cause H [] Supplemental Proceedings/ Judicia	infraction (Show Cause Hearing) LCR 98.40(d) 3:00 p.m. Mon-Thurs. eapon Possession (Convictions from Limited Jurisdiction Courts) IENT – SEATTLE (Please report to W719 for assignment) ailroom, Room C203. In upper right corner of papers write "Chief Civil of hearing earing) (LCR 98.40) 1:30 p.m. Thurs/Fri -report to Room W719 Subpoenas (1:30 pm Thurs/Fri)(LCR 69) judges assigned (LCR 40(a)(4) (without oral argument) M-F thurs/Fri))(LCR 40(2)(S))		
	Non-Assigned Cases:		
[] Non-Dispositive Motions M-F (without [] Dispositive Motions and Revisions ([] Certificates of Rehabilitation (Empl			
Sign:	our residential address where you agree to accept legal documents. Print/Type Name:		
Address:	City, State, Zip		
Telephone:	elephone:Date:Date:		

DO NOT USE THIS FORM FOR FAMILY LAW OR EX PARTE MOTIONS.

NOTICE OF COURT DATE - SEATTLE COURTHOUSE ONLY JUDGESSEA01/11/2016

Page 1

LIST NAMES AND SERVICE ADDRESSES FOR ALL NECESSARY PARTIES REQUIRING NOTICE

Name	Name
Service Address:	
City, State, Zip	
WSBA#Atty. For:	
Telephone #:	Telephone #:
Email Address:	
Name	Name
Service Address:	
City, State, Zip	
WSBA#Atty. For:	WSBA#Atty. For:
Telephone #:	Telephone #:
Email Address:	Email Address:
Name	Name
Service Address:	Service Address:
City, State, Zip	
WSBA#Atty. For:	
Telephone #:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Email Address:	Fmail Address:

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING CASES

Party requesting hearing must file motion & affidavits separately along with this notice. List the names, addresses and telephone numbers of all parties requiring notice (including GAL) on this page. Serve a copy of this notice, with motion documents, on all parties.

The original must be filed at the Clerk's Office not less than **six** court days prior to requested hearing date, except for Summary Judgment Motions (to be filed with Clerk 28 days in advance).

THIS IS ONLY A PARTIAL SUMMARY OF THE LOCAL RULES AND ALL PARTIES ARE ADVISED TO CONSULT WITH AN ATTORNEY.

The SEATTLE COURTHOUSE is in Seattle, Washington at 516 Third Avenue. The Clerk's Office is on the sixth floor, room E609. The Judges' Mailroom is Room C203.

Superior Court of Washington, County of King In re: Petitioner/s (person/s who started this case): No. Order to Allow Access to Open Adoption Agreement And Respondent/s (other party/parties): Order to Allow Access to Open Adoption Agreement The court has considered the Motion and Declaration to Allow Access to an Open 1. Adoption Agreement filed by (name): > The Court Finds: Notice about the motion The other party (check one): Was properly served with the Motion and Notice of the hearing on (date): Was not provided notice of this hearing. The person seeking access could not locate the other party to provide notice. The requesting party has made good faith reasonable efforts to provide notice to the other party as required by the Superior Court Rules. Additional good faith efforts to locate the party are not likely to be successful. Was not provided notice of this hearing. The petitioner is required to give the opposing party notice. (Optional Form) Order: Access to Open Adoption Agreement

The petitioner has filed a petition to enforce the Open Adoption Agreement. The petitioner has signed the Open Adoption Agreement which may be under: Case Number
Case Number • The opposing party has failed to show that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; They have not had an opportunity to object to allowing access; There is a more restrictive means available that would be available and effective to protect threatened interests; The interests of the opposing parties are superior to the interests of the petitioner in keeping the record from the petitioner; There is a more narrow method in its application or duration than necessary to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The rourt should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; They have not had an opportunity to object to allowing access; There is a more restrictive means available that would be available and effective to protect threatened interests; The interests of the opposing parties are superior to the interests of the petitioner in keeping the record from the petitioner; There is a more narrow method in its application or duration than necessary to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The court should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
The opposing party has failed to show that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; They have not had an opportunity to object to allowing access; There is a more restrictive means available that would be available and effective to protect threatened interests; The interests of the opposing parties are superior to the interests of the petitioner in keeping the record from the petitioner; There is a more narrow method in its application or duration than necessary to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The rourt should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; They have not had an opportunity to object to allowing access; There is a more restrictive means available that would be available and effective to protect threatened interests; The interests of the opposing parties are superior to the interests of the petitioner in keeping the record from the petitioner; There is a more narrow method in its application or duration than necessary to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
They have not had an opportunity to object to allowing access; There is a more restrictive means available that would be available and effective to protect threatened interests; The interests of the opposing parties are superior to the interests of the petitioner in keeping the record from the petitioner; There is a more narrow method in its application or duration than necessary to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The court should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
There is a more restrictive means available that would be available and effective to protect threatened interests; The interests of the opposing parties are superior to the interests of the petitioner in keeping the record from the petitioner; There is a more narrow method in its application or duration than necessary to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The court should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
effective to protect threatened interests; The interests of the opposing parties are superior to the interests of the petitioner in keeping the record from the petitioner; There is a more narrow method in its application or duration than necessary to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The court should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
petitioner in keeping the record from the petitioner; There is a more narrow method in its application or duration than necessary to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The court should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
to allow the petitioner access. The facts supporting these findings are as follows: The court should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
The court should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
 ☐ The court should not allow access to the open adoption agreement The proponent(s) of keeping the document sealed has shown that: ☐ There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; ☐ Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; ☐ The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
 ☐ There is a need to keep the document sealed to the petitioner; ☐ Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; ☐ The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
Parties were not given an opportunity to object to the suggested restriction; The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
The court finds that the requested method for curtailing access would be both the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
the least restrictive means available and effective in protecting threatened interests;
The court has weighted the competing interests of the parties and the public and mos
that the interests in keeping the Open Adoption Agreement sealed are more
compelling than the interests of the petitioner;
(Optional Form) Order: Access to Open Adoption

☐ Keep	ing the document sealed is no broader in its application or duration than
neces	ssary to serve its purpose.
The facts su	upporting these facts are as follows:
-	
The Court Ore	ders:
Order on Notice	Requirements
□ Notice	requirements for this motion are waived.
The motion to A	Allow Access to Open Adoption Agreement is hereby:
Clerk of	 Upon payment of the appropriate fees, and verification of identity, the this Court is authorized to issuenumber of certified/plain of the Open Adoption agreement
Denied	
	☐ The other party must still be given copies of documents filed and notice of hearings scheduled in this case.
	☐ The opposing party has shown that access to the document should not be granted as described above.
4. Other orde	rs (if any)
Ordered.	
Oracioa.	
Date	Judge or Commissioner
Presented by:	Petitioner or his/her lawyer Respondent or his/her lawyer
Sign here	Print name (and WSBA No., if lawyer)
/O	
(Optional Form)	Order: Access to Open Adoption Agreement

Superior Court of Washington, County of King

ln	re:				
Petitioner/s (person/s who started this case): And Respondent/s (other party/parties):		No			
		Proof of Mailing or Hand Delivery (for documents after Summons and Petitic (AFSR)		d Petition)	
l de	Proof of Mailing (for documents after		_		
ı de	clare:				
1.	I am <i>(check one):</i> the Petitioner this and am competent to be a witness in this		ame):		
2.	On (date):, I se	erved copies of the doc	uments listed in	3 below to	
	(name of party or lawyer served):			by:	
	☐ mail (check all that apply): ☐ first class ☐ certified ☐ other				
	mailing address	city	state	zip	
		City	Siate	ΖΙΡ	
	<pre>email to (address): (only if allowed by agreement, order, or your</pre>	county's Local Court Rule)			
	fax to (number):	odaniy o Lodar odan Naroj			
	(only if allowed by agreement, order, or your	county's Local Court Rule)			
	☐ Hand delivery at (time): ☐ a.m. ☐ p.m. to this address:				
	street address	city	state	zip	
	I left the documents (check one):				
	with the party or lawyer name				
	at his/her office with the clerk	or other person in cha	rge.		
CR 5	(b) Proof of Mailin	g or Hand Delivery			

	 with (name):	
3.	List all documents you served (The most common documents are listed by	
	Response to:	Declaration of:
	Other:	
	Other:	Declaration of:
	Other:	
4.	Other:	
	clare under penalty of perjury under his form are true.	the laws of the state of Washington that the statements
Sigr	ned at (city and state):	Date:
	nature of server	Print or type name of server

Superior Court of Washington, County of King In re: No. Petitioner/s (person/s who started this case): Proof of Personal Service (AFSR) And Respondent/s (other party/parties): **Proof of Personal Service** Server declares: My name is: ______. I am **not** a party to this case. I am 18 or older. Personal Service 2. I served court documents for this case to (name of party): by (check one): giving the documents directly to him/her. giving the documents to (name): a person of suitable age and discretion who lives at the same address as the party. 3. Date, time, and address of service Date: Time: □ a.m. □ p.m. Address: Number and street city state zip

	J	ed or sworn before a court clerk. , your signature does not need to be notarized or sworn
	To the Server: check here if you pers state. Your signature must be notarize	sonally served the documents <i>outside</i> Washington
		I by the court, you must also give a copy of this <i>Proof</i> nent Information Sheet to law enforcement.
	File the original Proof of Personal Service	
Го	the party having these documents	s served:
Sigr	nature of server	Print or type name of server
,		
	his form are true. ned at <i>(city and state):</i>	Date:
		laws of the state of Washington that the statements
===		
5.	Other Information (if any):	
	☐ Does not apply. ☐ Fees: \$ + Mileage \$	= Total: \$
5.		
	U Other:	Other:
	Other:	
	☐ Order Setting Case Schedule	
		Other:
	☐ Summons (Attach a copy.)	☐ Notice of Hearing

	My commission expires:
	☐ I am a court clerk in a court of record in
	(county):
(Print seal above.)	(state):