

King County Youth Action Plan Task Force Meeting #3

Date: Friday, August 22, 2014
Time: 9:30 – 12:00pm
Location: Green River Community College – Kent Campus, Room 317

Attendance		
Task Force Members Present	Task Force Members Absent	Staff & Consultants Present
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adrienne Quinn • Bobbe Bridge • Judge Saint Clair • Meg Pitman (delegate for Calvin Lyons) • Darryl Cook • Terry Pottmeyer • Janis Avery • Darryck Dwelle • Beratta Gomillion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mahnaz Eshetu • Mark Putnam • Mike Heinisch • Rochelle Clayton-Strunk • Rod Dembowski • Sam Whiting • Shomari Jones • Terry Pottmeyer • Terry Smith • Carla Lee (representative for Leesa Manion) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deanna Dawson • Helena Stephens • Sheriff John Urquhart • Leesa Manion (represented by Carla Lee) • Mark Putnam • Calvin Lyons (delegate Meg Pitman present) • Miguel Maestas • Bruce Knutson • Kelly Goodsell (represented by Jill Pathnode) • Katie Hong • Sorya Svy • Melinda Giovengo •
		Public Participants Present
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kelli Carroll • Kristina Logsdon • Jenny Bonilla Moreno • Wendy Watanabe • Jairus Cater
Agenda Item		

<p>I. Welcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Reviewed meeting agenda B. Reviewed task force's operating guidelines C. Introductions: Jenny Moreno and Jairus Cater, Forum for Youth Investment <p>II. Review final Vision Statement per pre-meeting survey</p> <p><i>"King County is a place where everyone has equitable opportunities to progress through childhood safe and healthy, building the academic and life skills to be thriving members of their community."</i></p> <p>per pre-meeting survey findings</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggestion: remove "the" before academic • no other comments or objections <p>III. Progress Report Feedback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Overview of Progress Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Task Force deliverables per ordinance include a progress report due to County Council and Executive by September 18. 2.Progress report is meant to inform the county leadership of what work has been accomplished thus far, what work lies ahead and perhaps garner greater energy and support for the Task Force's future work B. Consultants requested overall feedback on report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.No feedback offered on contents of report, but Adrienne requested more time to review the report and get feedback from her colleagues.

- 2. County staffers requested that Task Force members provide feedback by the original deadline – Tuesday 8/26
- 3. Sam Whiting highlighted a document that identified additional “moving trains” that should be listed in the report. Possible to include this document as an appendix, but Partnerships team who worked on moving train identification thought it would be best to just list the additional moving trains in the content of the report.
- C. Strategy Teams convened to review individual team sections
 - 1. Submitted written comments on their sections to Jenny
- D. Other feedback must be emailed to Jenny and (jenny@forumfyi.org) and Kelli (Kelli.Carroll@kingcounty.gov) in track changes **no later than Tuesday, August 26**

IV. Community Engagement – Youth Update

- A. Review Youth Engagement Approach & Timeline
 - 1. Ordinance calls for youth input
 - 2. Purpose of youth engagement is not to create a new youth movement but to seek out existing youth movements and bring their voices into the work wherever possible and feasible.
 - 3. Youth Engagement Timeline
 - August
 - Youth Leader Kick-off Meeting
 - Finalize Youth Survey
 - Sept – October
 - Online Youth Survey open from mid-Sept. – mid Oct.
 - Recruit youth participants for Youth Survey & Community Conversations
 - November
 - Synthesize findings of Youth Survey with research on best practices that contribute to successful engagement of youth in local government
 - December
 - Present synthesized findings to Task Force for use in developing recommendations regarding whether the County should have a Youth Bill of Rights, and/or questions the county should ask in determining whether it should have such a document.
- B. Engaging with Youth Leaders
 - 1. Initial meeting with youth leaders held last night at Thrive by Five
 - 2. The King County Youth Advisory Council (KCYAC), hosted and staffed by Roslyn Kagy at SOAR is very active group that is eager to participate in the survey and community conversations.
 - 3. Discussion Items from initial meeting:
 - How to best connect active youth groups to County government?
 - Feedback on Youth Survey Design
 - Recruitment strategies for Youth Survey and Community Conversations
 - 4. Approximately 10 attendees; 3 adults; and youth members of KCYAC (housed at SOAR)
- C. Youth Survey development progress
 - 1. Broad youth engagement called for in the ordinance, and in addition to recruiting youth to participate in Community Conversations, a youth survey is being undertaken to hear directly from youth on two main foci:

- (1) what are the most pressing issues facing youth in King County; and
- (2) how do youth want to be engaged in local government/how do they want their voices to be heard

2. Core Survey questions

- What are the top three issues facing people your age?
- Where do you have the most chance of being listened to?
- In the past 12 months have you experienced any of the following? Choose all that apply.
- How can King County government leaders increase youth involvement in decision-making?

3. Consultant team to email task force draft survey questions for feedback

4. Consultants will have follow up discussion with youth leaders from KCYAC on Thursday 8/28 at noon to refine survey questions, and whittle list of questions down to appx. 10 questions (per their suggestion)

5. Goal is appx. 1000 responses

6. Concern about raising expectations for what will become of the survey data, we can't make any guarantees that action will result.

D. Survey-related feedback from Task Force

1. Task force members committed to using their networks and social media to disseminate survey

2. Have hard copies of survey available for youth who don't have easy access to computers (i.e. shelters,)

3. Use social media to distribute and promote survey

- Kristina Logston suggested Councilmember Lambert's staff might support data entry of hard copy surveys
- Councilmember Lambert's staff has offered their intern to disseminate the survey over social media

4. Important to leverage schools to distribute

- PSESD committed to using their network to distribute and promote participation
- There is also a list serve type tool for districts that PSESD can assist with

5. Incentives for completion?

- Survey completion enters youth into raffle for prize
 - Many TF members committed to rounding up items that could be used for incentivizing/prize; Ross Marzolf has connection for pizza donations
 - It was also suggested that a lunch/meeting with county leaders/decision-maker might be a prize

6. Survey Content

- Shomari Jones suggested including question about whether survey participant wants to be connected to local youth leader group focusing on policies affecting youth – and then connect to KCYAC and local city councils. This may partially resolve the concern that we cannot guarantee any action on the County's part.
- What do King County youth want from the county to help them succeed?

7. Extend time period survey is open – suggestion of 2 months

V. Community Engagement – Community Conversation Prep

A. Expectations of Task Force Members

1. It is important that all TF members attend the next task force meeting for the Community Conversation facilitator training

2. Each TF member is asked to attend at least one community conversation meeting

3. Actively use networks to get folks to the conversation meetings

B. Overview of Community Conversation Format

1. Model developed by the Forum for Youth Investment

2. Format

- Intro
- (20 min) Review King County data (data walk) about youth and select important indicators (provided by Outcomes Strategy Team), stakeholder wheel
- (30 min) Small group discussion to identify *root causes* of issues/needs; Identify *local conditions* related to root cause of issue
- (15-20 min) Large group clustering root causes into *common cause* clusters
- (45 min) Small group discussion of possible solutions for common causes
- Wrap up

C. Logistics & Review Survey Results

1. Due to limited resources and logistical constraints of consistently collecting qualitative data from the community conversations, County only able to host 3 community conversations:

- Kirkland / Northeast County
- South Seattle
- Kent/South County

2. Deanna Dawson and Rochelle Clayton-Strunk have graciously volunteered to host one additional community conversation in Snoqualmie/Rural East county and collect data for the Task Force's consideration.

3. There was discussion around interpretation/translation services and the need for the county to provide them. Kelli is following up with County staff and will provide additional information at a later date.

D. Small Group Discussion on Logistics for each Conversation site (see next page for details)

E. Materials Needed for Community Conversation Recruitment

- Flyers (and key translations)
- Email blurb
- FB post
- Twitter handle/ hashtag

F. Other Comments:

- After community conversations, review stakeholder worksheets to see which groups we actually reached
- Janis Avery noted timeline of October is challenging with beginning of school year – so many other things going on

<p><u>Kirkland/Northeast County</u> Date: Wednesday, October 1 Time: 6-8:30pm Location: Kamiakin Middle School Lead Contact: Terry P. Site Members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Sam Whiting o Terry Smith o Shomari Jones o Beratta Gormillion o Darryck Dwelle o Terry Pottmeyer <p>Setup/Cleanup: All Food: All Directional Signs: Terry P. Interpretation: Spanish – Terry P. Reader boards @ LKWS – Terry P.</p>	<p><u>Kent / South County</u> Date: Saturday, October 4 Time: 3-5pm Location: Green River Community College – Kent Campus Lead Contact: Jill (location) & Mike (incentives) Site Members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Mike Heinisch o Anica Stieve o Jill Patnode o Bobbe Bridge o Jennifer Hill <p>Alternate/Backup Locations: Kent Middle School Millcreek; Kent Commons Food/Refreshments: Mike to follow up with Kent Station Clean Up: all Outreach: Mike – Kent area, Jill – school groups, Anica – SKCCCG</p> <p>Groups to Contact: Open Doors Multicultural Families – gingerk@multiculturalfamilies.org</p> <p>*Group to revisit key constituent list</p>	<p><u>Snoqualmie / Rural East County</u> Date: Saturday, October 11 Time: 10am-12pm Location: TBD Lead Contact: Rochelle Site Members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Rochelle Clayton Strunk o Adrienne Quinn o Terry Pottmeyer o Ross Marzolf <p>Potential Locations: Snoqualmie Valley Alliance, Si View Community, YMCA –Ridge, School Outreach Groups: Kinship Collaboration (Rochelle); Snoqualmie Valley Community Network & youth council (Rochelle)</p>	<p><u>South Seattle</u> Date: Saturday, October 25 Time: 3-5pm Location: Ranier Valley Boys & Girls Club or REWA (to be confirmed by Wed 8/27) Lead Contact: Darryl & Meg Site Members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Calvin Lyons o Judge Saint Clair o Mahnaz Eshetu o Darryl Cook o Calla? <p>Food: have \$300 budget from Raikes; Rainer Vista will coordinate ordering and delivery of food. Interpretation: REWA Setup/Clean up: Darryl, Judge Saint Clair Outreach: all Note-takers: TBD Subpopulation Targets: immigrant and refugee, youth Outreach Groups: SOAR, YMCA, Boys and Girls Club Leadership Groups, SYUPI, Youth Undoing Institutional Racism</p>
---	--	---	--

- VI. Next Steps**
- A. Presentation of Indicators Dashboard
1. Will be displayed during “Data Walk” portion of community conversations.
 2. What it says:
 - a. Fairly decent coverage across dashboard, but;
 - b. Nothing in civic engagement;
 - c. Heavy amount of data in academic
 - d. Gaps in academic indicators for early and older ages
 - e. Of the trend lines the Outcomes team has identified, really only academic can be disaggregated
 3. Color coding according to whether the trendline indicators in each of these areas are improving (green), staying neutral (yellow) or getting worse (red)
 - a. So during the data walk, we are going to present this color coded dashboard to the participants, and some underlying trendlines and ask two questions:
 - i. Why is this happening?
 - ii. Why is this happening in King County?

b. What the Forum has found in other places is that when these issues are broken down into root causes, there are often a fair amount of causes that are common to various issues.

B. Review YAP Timeline (see below)

VII. Wrap-Up

A. Q&A

1. Adrienne reiterated request for additional time to review materials
2. Terry P. reiterated concern about using county email; Mike indicated there was a way to have it automatically forwarded to personal/work email address; Kelli will work with Mike to forward instructions if possible.

VIII. Public Comment (none)

IX. Adjourn

Target dates	Activity
✓ May 21	Task Force Kickoff Meeting
✓ Week of June 16 th or 23 rd	Strategy team meetings – Round 1
✓ Week of July 14 th or 21 st	Strategy team meetings – Round 2
✓ July 23	Full Task Force Meeting #2
✓ August	Drafting progress report
✓ August 22	Task Force Meeting #3
August 26 (next TUESDAY)	Deadline for Task force members to submit comments/edit to progress report
September 11	Submit progress report to King County Council
October 1	Task Force Meeting #4 + Community Conversation #1
Oct./Nov.	Host three Community Conversations
Nov./Dec. – December 3	Task Force Meeting #5
Jan – March 2015	Approx. three Task Force Meetings January 28, February 26
April 2015	Submit Report to County Council