## REGULATORY NOTE CHECKLIST OF CRITERIA

Proposed No.: 2	2018 Prepared By: <u>Karen Wolf</u>
	Date:April 11, 2018
Yes No N/A [X] [ ] [ ]	NEED: Does the proposed regulation respond to a specific, identifiable need? If yes then explain.  This ordinance responds to the Sammamish Valley Wine and Beverage Study recommendations.
[X] [ ] [ ]	If so, is county government the most appropriate organization to address this need? If yes then explain. King County is land use authority for unincorporated King County.
[ ] [X] [ ]	ECONOMY & JOB GROWTH: Has the economic impact of the proposed regulation been reviewed to ensure it will not have a long-term adverse impact on the economy and job growth in King County? If yes then explain.
[X] [ ] [ ]	PURPOSE: Is the purpose of the proposed ordinance clear? Describe the purpose of the ordinance.  The ordinance establishes an adult beverage business license, adds new definitions for winery, brewery, distillery facilities, establishes new land use standards for those facilities, and creates two short-term demonstration projects in the Sammamish Valley.
[X] [ ] [ ]	Are the steps for implementation clear? Describe the steps for implementation.  Implementation requires a majority vote of the King County Council.  Once approved, the Department of Permitting and Environmental Review will implement the new code provisions
[] [X] []	EVALUATION: Does the proposed ordinance identify specific measurable outcomes that the proposed regulation should achieve? Describe the measurable outcomes.
[ ] [X] []	Is an evaluation process identified? Describe the evaluation process.
[X][][]	INTERESTED PARTIES: Has adequate collaboration occurred with all those affected by the proposed regulation (including the public, the regulated and the regulators)? Describe the level of collaboration that has been performed.  Robust stakeholder and community engagement guided our work at each step in the process. Public involvement included: five stakeholder meetings, one large public meeting, an online comment portal, issuance of

[ ] [ ] [X] **COSTS & BENEFITS:** Will the proposed regulation achieve the goal with the minimum cost and burden? [ ] [ ] [X] Has the cost of not adopting the proposed regulation been considered? Describe and quantify the cost of not adopting the proposed regulation. [ ] [ ] [X] Do the benefits of the proposed regulations outweigh the costs? Describe and the cost and benefits of proposed regulation. [ ] [X] [ ] **VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE:** Does the proposed ordinance inspire voluntary compliance? Describe how voluntary compliance is anticipated to take place. Adherence to adopted regulations is not voluntary. [X] [ ] [ ] CLARITY: Is the proposed ordinance written clearly and concisely, without ambiguities? **CONSISTENCY:** Is the proposed regulation consistent with existing [X] [ ] [ ] federal, state and local statutes?

over the course of developing the proposal.

the public review draft for broad public comment, and 213 emails received