Public Comments Received on the

# King County Comprehensive Plan

Via the Council's Web Site and Email November 28, 2016 – December 5, 2016

#### **Christine Jensen**

Principal Legislative Analyst | King County Council 516 Third Ave, Room 1200 | Seattle, WA 98104 206.477.5702 | christine.jensen@kingcounty.gov

Learn more about the 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan Update

This email and any response to it constitute a public record and may be subject to public disclosure.

From: Compplan
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2016 9:58 AM
To: Jensen, Christine <Christine.Jensen@kingcounty.gov>
Subject: FW: Comment on Comprehensive Plan, Fairwood A Map Amendment

Ivan Miller, AICP Comprehensive Planning Manager | 206-263-8297 | <u>ivan.miller@kinacountv.gov</u>

From: Marilyn May [mailto:m.j.may@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2016 1:26 PM
To: Compplan
Subject: Comment on Comprehensive Plan, Fairwood A Map Amendment

Madams/Sirs:

I strongly urge <u>approval</u> of the rezone R6 to R 18 for Wesley Homes. I own a home in the Renton/Fairwood area and am familiar with the area of the subject property. There are no retirement communities and facilities in this area, and the need is great. The need for services for our seniors increases every year. Wesley Homes actively provides services not only to its residents, but also to the surrounding community. They are a good neighbor. Wesley Homes would be a positive, welcome addition to our community. Thank you for your approval.

Marilyn May

"Never believe that a few caring people can't change the world. For, indeed, that's all who ever have."

Margaret Mead

Christine Jensen Principal Legislative Analyst | King County Council 516 Third Ave, Room 1200 | Seattle, WA 98104 206.477.5702 | christine.jensen@kingcounty.gov

Learn more about the 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan Update This email and any response to it constitute a public record and may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----From: Compplan Sent: Monday, November 28, 2016 9:57 AM To: Jensen, Christine <Christine.Jensen@kingcounty.gov> Subject: FW: Comment on Comprehensive Plan

FYI

-----Original Message-----From: Porter, Kathryn [<u>mailto:Kathryn.Porter@kent.k12.wa.us</u>] Sent: Saturday, November 26, 2016 5:22 PM To: Compplan Subject: Comment on Comprehensive Plan

Comments regarding Fairwood A Map Amendment: I am in favor of re-zoning the area designated for the senior living facilities. Retirement communities are needed in our area. I do not understand why the apartment complex re-zoning was allowed to be lumped in with the retirement community plan. They are two different types of housing. We have a lot of apartments in our area. I am not sure we need anymore.

Kathy Porter 18205 133rd Ave SE Renton Sent from my iPhone Christine Jensen Principal Legislative Analyst King County Council

Begin forwarded message:

From: Compplan <<u>compplan@kingcounty.gov</u>> Date: November 29, 2016 at 10:35:33 AM PST To: "Jensen, Christine" <<u>Christine.Jensen@kingcounty.gov</u>> Subject: FW: Comment on Comprehensive Plan

Ivan Miller, AICP

Comprehensive Planning Manager | 206-263-8297 | ivan.miller@kingcounty.gov

From: Joanne Flagel [mailto:joflagel@comcast.net] Sent: Monday, November 28, 2016 3:32 PM To: Compplan Subject: Comment on Comprehensive Plan

As a resident of Fairwood Greens, I understand the need for a care facility in the area. I do hope the part of the comprehensive plan that involves the rezoning of the necessary piece of property will be approved. Joanne Flagel 16038 160<sup>th</sup> PI SE Renton, WA 98058 From: Highlands Neighbors [mailto:highlands\_neighbors@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 7:01 AM
To: KCCouncil@subscriptions.kingcounty.gov
Subject: Re: King County Council consideration of 2016 Comprehensive Plan

Hello!

Earlier in the process we submitted comments and non-rhetorical questions, but have never heard anything back. What is the correct process by which we would learn the answers to the questions previously submitted?

Thank you, Gwendolyn High CARE President

From: King County Council <KCCouncil@subscriptions.kingcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 3:32 PM
To: highlands\_neighbors@hotmail.com
Subject: King County Council consideration of 2016 Comprehensive Plan

Not displaying correctly? View here.

King County Council consideration of 2016 Comprehensive Plan

The King County Council is currently reviewing the proposed 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan (KCCP) and the 2016 Real Property Asset Management Plan (RAMP). A public hearing on these items is scheduled for **Monday**, **November 28 at 1:30 p.m.**, which will be an opportunity to provide public comment on any aspect of the proposed 2016 KCCP and 2016 RAMP. A final vote by the Council on both items is scheduled for **Monday**, **December 5**. The scheduled vote on December 5 will include potential action on:

- Proposed Ordinances 2016-0155 (KCCP) and 2016-0159 (RAMP), and
- Amendments to 2016-0155, which includes changes to the proposed 2016 KCCP, technical appendices, Skyway-West Hill Action Plan (SWAP), development code updates, and land use proposals.

Draft versions of the amendments that are currently proposed can be found on the Council's Comprehensive Plan <u>website</u>; these are subject to change. More amendments may also be proposed up until final action.

Both the November 28 and December 5 meetings will take place in the Council Chambers on the 10th floor of the King County Courthouse, at 516 3rd Ave, Seattle, WA. If you are not able to attend these meetings in person, they can be viewed live on KCTV cable channel 22 or <u>online</u>.

Online public comments are also welcome at any time prior to final action, which can be submitted from the home page of the Council's Comprehensive Plan <u>website</u> by clicking on the "Submit online public testimony" button. *The full schedule for the Council's 2016 Comprehensive Plan update process can be found on the Council's <u>website</u>. If you have questions, please email <u>CouncilCompPlan@kingcounty.gov</u>.* 

<u>Update your preferences or unsubscribe</u>. If you have questions about this service, you may <u>contact us directly</u> or search <u>FAQs</u> <u>here</u>. View King County's <u>privacy policy</u>.

Having trouble viewing this email? <u>View it as a Web page</u>.

## 2016 Comprehensive Plan Comments from Council Web Site Received September 20 through November 28, 2016

| Name |        | District | <b>Comment</b><br>December 3, 2016 King County Councilmembers RE: 2016 Comp Plan Zoning<br>Proposal - Fairwood Area Dear King County Councilmembers: Please deny the<br>Fairwood rezone requests. If approved, this rezone would result in the most dense, and<br>tallest development in all of the Fairwood area. The proposed rezone would allow up<br>to five story complexes, adjacent to existing single-family on three sides. This is not<br>compatible development. This does not provide a sense of community or a sense of<br>place. Listen to the paid County staff that originally recommended to not approve<br>these rezone requests. The Schneider rezone request on the most southerly parcel is<br>out of character, and out of place, and should be denied. Do not buy into the idea that<br>this could be a transit oriented or transit dependant multi-family development. This<br>letter represents my comments on the proposed Fairwood Area collective<br>comprehensive plan designation and zoning change. I live in Fairwood Firs, a single<br>family neighborhood across 140th Ave SE from the proposed rezone area. I believe the<br>County Council should deny the request based on the following: •The allowed density<br>in the requested UH Comp plan designation and corresponding R-18 is much higher<br>than the allowed and existing density in the R-6 zoning on three sides of the subject<br>properties being the southerly most zoned R-18 properties in Fairwood, and<br>inconsistent with surrounding zoning and surrounding existing single-family uess.<br>•As requested and proposed, the applicants have requested to move the R-18 zoning<br>and comp plan designation line further to the south than in the subject proposal. In this<br>scenario, the northerly most parcel in the rezone area, may qualify for R-18, however<br>the three southerly parcel is should remain R-6. •The proposed height of 65 feet<br>and/or 68 feet is incompatible with surrounding areas. Buildings 65 plus feet tall would<br>be out of character in Fairwood and incompatible with the suburban character of the<br>area. The tallest buildings in all of Fairwood area ar |
|------|--------|----------|--|
| Jim  | Harris | 9        |  |

## 2016 Comprehensive Plan Comments from Council Web Site Received September 20 through November 28, 2016

| Name |            | strict | <b>Comment</b><br>I am writing on behair of a large group or community members that are seriously<br>concerned about the proposed "Reserve at Covington Creek" Map Amendment #11. I<br>am deeply disturbed that such a proposal is even being considered. This is a rural<br>community that is quickly being swallowed up by the money driven hands of urban<br>sprawl. Areas such as this were set aside and zoned as "Urban Reserve" areas for a<br>reason. In reality this parcel should probably be set aside completely and kept as a<br>wildlife sanctuary and greenbelt. I have lived in the area most of my life and I for one<br>cannot believe the level of reckless development going on around me. All in the name<br>of the all mighty dollar. I am not against growth or development as long as it's done<br>with some common sense, at what point does that start? When do the States, Counties<br>or Cities begin to look past the mark of revenue and begin to look at what's truly best<br>for the residing community and the environment within. Soon there will be no such thing<br>as rural communities. I am not sure how much actual research the County has done<br>on this particular parcel of land but I can tell you first hand that if this parcel doesn't<br>qualify as "Urban Reserve" than nothing does. Aside from the obvious community<br>impacts such as overcrowded road conditions, schools and public services. The parcel<br>in question is home to an abundance of wildlife, Covington Creek with it's endangered<br>salmon run flows right through the middle of this parcel, eagles and osprey hunt and<br>nest in this location, deer, elk, bear, cougar, fox, and many other species all use this<br>area as home and/or a traveling corridor. You are once again driving out the very<br>people and wildlife that have maintained a life and called these rural areas home for<br>generations. Granted they will be replaced by the more urban cultures but what<br>becomes of the rural natured folks and what of the environmental impacts of all this?<br>It's very difficult to un-ring the bell. We asky ou to seriously conside |
|------|------------|--------|---|
| Rich | Waltenburg | 7      | car radios, and squealing of tires, but during such times as the 4th of July it is like a war   |

## 2016 Comprehensive Plan Comments from Council Web Site Received September 20 through November 28, 2016

| Name       | District | Comment   |
|------------|----------|---|
| Name       | District | I am Elke B. Lewis and I reside at 2618 - 271 Avenue S.E., Issaquah, WA, 98029<br>(Duthie Hill Notch). I am a neighbor of Robert and Peggy Braeutigam and Vince and<br>Martha Learnard. I, the Braeutigams, Learnards, and others in the "Notch" continue to<br>oppose the revision of the Urban Growth Boundary to inlcude the Duthie Hill Notch<br>within the UGA which would open us up to annexation and development. We<br>religiously watch the telecasts and review the King County Website. We respectfully<br>make our concerns known to those involved with the Comprehensive Plan. We value<br>our lifestyle here in the Duthie Hill Notch. We believe our area is unique in that our<br>setting offers the perfect environment for wildlife with a pond and wetlands and game<br>trails in our rural landscape. To alter this area in any way would shatter such a rural,<br>private location and would forever negatively impact our lives and the wildlife that call<br>our Notch "home". If you are not familiar with this area, please make a quick trip up<br>to the Plateau. You will be amazed at what seems to be uncontrolled development<br>going on almost everywhere you look. Trees are being removed by the thousands,<br>land leveled and houses and businesses being constructed. It's all quite remarkable<br>and alarming at the same time. I was reading an article in a past Issaquah Press<br>newspaper written by an lady who lives in Issaquah. Even she is concerned about the<br>rate of development here commenting her concern that Lake Sammamish just might be<br>cemented over in order for more development! Thank you very much for your<br>consideration. I believe the "Duthie Hill Notch" is a gem that needs to be preserved as<br>is and not fall victim to those just concerned in land they see as dollar signs. The |
| Elke Lewis | 3        | Notch is a very special haven to our wildlife and those of us who treasure our special lifestyle here. Respectfully, Elke B. Lewis  |