

From: [Neeta Nagvekar](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Comprehensive Plan Update - Bear Creek UPD
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 2:38:41 PM

Dear King County Council Members,

I am a resident of Redmond Ridge for the past 20 years and have raised my two kids here in this wonderful community.

Thank you for providing an opportunity to listen to my neighbors during the public hearing.

I would like to re-emphasize that I am concerned and distressed about the new uses that are made possible by the proposed new UPD. As you heard from my neighbors, Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East communities are characterized by diversity, young families, thousands of school going children and people who love nature.

I strongly oppose any language that would implicitly allow the proposed uses including and not limited to:

1. Helipad
2. Sex offender support facilities
3. Prison
4. Solid waste dump facilities
5. Marijuana sale and distribution
6. Marijuana manufacturing
7. Adult entertainment

These are not congruous to the community character. They will cause pollution and increase crime. It will seriously hurt our property values. Like many of my neighbors, I am very concerned about the irreparable damage it will create to my home value.

In 2013 and 2016, you have worked with us to prevent marijuana business forcing its way into the community. In the ensuing years you have repeatedly assured us that any UPD update will preserve and protect the original intent and keep the community safe. Please do not go back on your promises.

As such, we do not have any local governance or representation. We do not have the same executive muscle as incorporated cities do. We have very little law enforcement coverage and services. Yet we are being stymied time and again with proposals that will destroy the community safety and health.

As you also heard from Skyway residents, their suffering should tell you, that a mistake was made. You cannot correct a mistake by repeating the same mistakes, which you will with the proposed UPD. Instead you should use current UPD of RR/RRE/Trilogy as a model to emulate across the entire county and make it a vibrant, peaceful and prosperous county. I do not wish any community to go through the suffering and irreversible damage.

Council Member Kathy Lambert has introduced some amendments to restrict marijuana and adult entertainment. However, these are:

1. Neither adequate nor comprehensive
2. Have serious loopholes
3. Can be easily overcome with wicked intent

I hope you will see the issue with the best interests of community residents as the top priority and protect their property values and preserve the character of the community for the foreseeable future. Please remove any implicit proposed land uses that are detrimental to the community.

As I conclude, I'd like to ask you to engage with the community and the owners' association openly and not suppress their opinions. For a while now, we have seen disengagement and rejection by the council.

Many thanks for your time and consideration.

Neeta Nagvekar

From: [Masuo, Janet](#) on behalf of [Clerk, King County Council](#)
To: [KCC - All Members \(Email Group\)](#)
Cc: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [Pedroza, Melani](#)
Subject: FW: Redmond Ridge resident on rezoning 2020
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 2:32:43 PM

From: akila <akilavenky@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 1:58 PM
To: Clerk, King County Council <Clerk@kingcounty.gov>
Subject: Redmond Ridge resident on rezoning 2020

To King County Mobility and Review Committee,
King County Representatives of the Department of Local Services and King County Councilmembers:

This letter is in response to the review of the Redmond Ridge and Trilogy UPD Comparison Chart with King County Code Chapter 21A.08 – Permitted Uses, and Striking Amendment S1 to proposed ordinance 2019-0413.

I am a long time resident of Redmond Ridge and a concerned parent of two daughters. It is with great distress that I write this email to you regarding the subject.

Not only my family but all of my neighbors and residents of Redmond Ridge are very concerned about the proposed permitted uses. After reading this I hope you will understand the citizens priorities and right to live in a clean, healthy neighborhood and raise our kids without the threat of violence, sex offences, pollution and vices. Further, I hope that you will put the welfare of the people above short term benefits which never materialize anyways.

Based on this detailed comparison chart which includes the Draft Comprehensive Use plan and new zoning changes, specifically referencing the Redmond Ridge Residential Owners Association and Master Planned Community, we would like to reiterate our position that it is imperative that the intent and the tested protections of the current UPD guidelines remain intact.

The only means to maintain the vitality of our community is to prevent negative business impacts and to preserve property values by upholding current UPD use restrictions for businesses.

Based upon King County Code 21A.38.100 Special district overlay - commercial/industrial. A. The purpose of the commercial/industrial special district overlay is to accommodate and support existing commercial/industrial areas outside of activity centers by providing incentives for the redevelopment of underutilized commercial or industrial lands and by permitting a range of appropriate uses consistent with maintaining the quality of nearby residential areas.

We strongly encourage the comparison chart's newly allowed uses be:

1) removed from the comprehensive plan, and/or

2) the adoption of an additional special district overlay to ensure the integrity of the UPD be maintained, and the land use remain consistent with maintaining the quality of the nearby residential areas, per K.C.C. 21.A.38.100.A.

We believe that this request is also consistent with King County Council's Motion 15329, Attachment A, Section II. Area Zoning and Land Use Proposals, which states: In advance of the expiration of development agreements for the Bear Creek Urban Planned Developments (Redmond Ridge, Trilogy, and Redmond Ridge East), review and establish the comprehensive plan land use designation and zoning classifications in a manner consistent with the development patterns in said agreements and reflecting current conditions in the area.

Thank you for your time and consideration of the above requests and recommendations.

Thank you,
Akila.

From: [Helen Shor-Wong](#)
To: [Dembowski, Rod](#); [Zahilay, Girmay](#); [Lambert, Kathy](#); [Kohl-Welles, Jeanne](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [von Reichbauer, Pete](#); [McDermott, Joe](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Cc: action@skywaycoalition.org
Subject: Vote YES to Broaden the Skyway Subarea Plan and Fund Skyway Community Priorities
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 2:24:36 PM

To All King County Councilmembers:

Today I'm writing to ask you to support the Skyway community's demands for equity, housing affordability and stability, and economic opportunity in the Skyway Community. Specifically, I urge you to vote YES on the following:

*Broaden the Skyway subarea plan to include more than land use and zoning changes by voting IN FAVOR OF THE STRIKING AMENDMENT S4 TO 2020 KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE [THE SECTIONS RELATED TO SKYWAY], in order to: 1) put aggressive anti-displacement strategies in place; and 2) build and execute a community-driven development plan by and for Black and POC who live here, not just for the land.

*Allocate funding to high priority community needs in the upcoming biennial budget, with significant input from the community to prioritize the list.

ADDITIONAL DEMANDS:

*Allocate marijuana tax dollars to invest in affordable housing and economic development in Skyway.

*\$10 million - invest in purchase of one Skyway property for affordable housing.

*\$55 million - invest in the development of a multi-service community/cultural center for programming and social services. Plus \$5 million additional support for operating costs for Black and POC-led community-based organizations in Skyway to manage the center.

Community has been advocating for this since 2008.

After decades of disinvestment in our community, we the people demand that you invest in Skyway today.

Regards,
Helen Shor Wong
(she/her)

Program Manager, Economic Development
White Center Community Development Association
P: 206 698-8679

From: [akila](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [Clerk, King County Council](#)
Subject: Redmond Ridge resident on rezoning 2020
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 2:06:49 PM

Dear King County Council members, Executive

I am a resident of Redmond Ridge community and a mother of two girls whom I have raised in this community for the last 13 years.

I am very concerned at the introduction of several uses into the revised UPD for our community which can easily bring businesses that create irreparable and irreversible damage to public health, quality of life and property values.

You listened to us in 2013, and 2016. You found the courage to do the right thing. Please stay courageous and do not give into pressures from businesses such as strip clubs, marijuana manufacturing and retail.

Thank you for your time. Here is the full press release from our community owner's association.

Redmond Ridge Residential Owners Association | Wednesday, June 8, 2020

Redmond Ridge ROA - Update Summary

King County Comprehensive Plan

King County and the developer created the Communities of Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East, and Trilogy & wrote customized, restrictive use conditions in the UPD Agreement (“Agreement”) that function as zoning for the residential areas & retail & business areas. Properties were sold under the binding Agreement’s protections and restrictions.

In 2013, King County Council proposed changes to the Agreement that many in the community did not agree with and fought against. The proposed new use lost by a narrow margin at King County Council vote.

In 2016 King County (“KC”) again proposed the same changes to allow new uses in the Business Park that many community members felt would be detrimental and undermined or conflicted with the restrictions in the Agreement. The community again fought to be heard and understood and rallied together to testify to KC against the changes. The ordinance to allow the proposed new uses lost by a very narrow margin at King County Council vote.

Other changes, like the construction of a Middle School, were proposed and accepted as the community deemed the new uses as beneficial to the community, were harmonious and in keeping with the intent of the Agreement.

The Agreement is expiring, and zoning is being written by KC as part of the Comprehensive Plan Update. Numerous KC officials have repeatedly assured residents in many different official meeting forums that the zoning will match—essentially replicate and extend—the critical conditions that currently exist in the Agreement and that special zoning overlays would be written to make the new zoning MATCH the current conditions. Residents believed the County was following the Executive’s Recommended Scope of Work that stated, “In advance of the expiration of development agreements for the Bear Creek UPD’s (Redmond Ridge, Trilogy, and Redmond Ridge East), the County will review and establish the comprehensive plan land use designation and zoning classifications in a manner consistent with the development patterns in said agreements and reflecting current conditions in the area.”

The residents trusted these clear promises and stayed involved in meeting with the Department of Local Services & Councilmember Lambert, repeatedly voicing the concern that KC not add new uses, take away existing uses, or try to again shove into their community the same new use proposed twice before. The Residential Owner’s Association continuously attended County meetings to monitor the progress and remind KC of resident concerns. REPEATEDLY, CLEARLY & UNEQUIVOCALLY the residents were reassured by numerous KC officials involved with writing the zoning that 1) current conditions would be written into zoning and that community outcry would not be needed this time, and 2) that negative new uses would not be allowed. Special zoning overlays would MATCH the Agreement conditions of allowed uses and disallowed uses.

The homeowners, residents, business owners and taxpayer stakeholders believed KC’s assurances and took the County officials at their word. They believed KC until the February meeting of the Greater Novelty Hill Community where County officials in a Q and A session explained that new uses would be permitted in the zoning and that the same new use as residents fought against in 2013 and 2016 would also be allowed. KC released a side-by-side comparison document of current conditions under the UPD and the proposed new uses the zoning would allow. The side-by-side document shocked the community. Several of the proposed new uses bring known negative impacts to nearby properties, businesses, and residences, with KC documents showing safety issues, crime increases, decreased property values, nuisance odors, and other serious negative impacts from these types of uses.

The Redmond Ridge Community questions why King County would say they would zone to match the UPD conditions but include many new allowable uses. Residents struggle to understand how KC said they will use customized special zoning overlays to zone for the real

look and feel of the community as it exists today but then say they cannot use customized special zoning overlays. Families in our community reject KC excuses that the new uses may be unlikely, perhaps are improbable, might be unattractive or unprofitable for the new-zoning-allowed uses, and could be excluded from new uses by other variables. These are vague hopes, not real protections.

King County did not write a zoning proposal that follows the Executive's directive to KC Council to zone "in a manner consistent with the development patterns in said agreements and reflecting current conditions in the area". They offer new uses that are not congruent with the community's repeated & consistently stated desires. Residents dare not place their trust in verbal promises from KC that things won't happen while the paperwork says the new uses are allowed to happen. Residents do not want to cross their fingers hoping that newly allowed uses don't become reality and that variances continue to remain effective means to block the shocking new uses, when they were promised protective zoning to prevent the new uses.

Now residents are contacting King County to ask that the new uses be removed from the Comprehensive Plan Update. In the uncertain times brought by Covid-19, in person testimony to KC Council is replaced with online feedback. Those who rallied in large groups to testify to Council against the 2013 and 2016 changes are concerned that our collective voice has been stifled and that KC did not realize the depth of the community's concerns in the June 4th virtual meeting.

We are asking KC Council to please hear the voices of King County residents and constituents.

Thank you,
Akila

From: [Tim Trohimovich](#)
To: [Policy Staff](#); [Council CompPlan](#); [Krekel-Zoppi, Leah](#); [Calderon, Angelica](#); [Compplan](#)
Cc: [Alex Brennan](#)
Subject: Comments on Striking Amendment S4 to Proposed Ordinance 2019-0413
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 1:34:42 PM
Attachments: [Futurewise Coms on Striking Amendment S4 & Comp Plan Line Amendments July 20 2020 Final.pdf](#)

Dear Councilmembers and Staff:

Enclosed please find Futurewise's comments on the proposed Striking Amendment S4 to Proposed Ordinance 2019-0413, Version 1 and line amendments all part of the 2020 Comprehensive Plan Update.

Thank you for considering our comments. If you require additional information, please let me know.

Tim Trohimovich
Director of Planning & Law
Futurewise
816 Second Ave., Suite 200
Seattle, WA 98104
tim@futurewise.org
(206) 343-0681 Ext. 102



816 Second Ave, Suite 200, Seattle, WA 98104
p. (206) 343-0681
futurewise.org



July 20, 2020

The Honorable Claudia Balducci, Council Chair
The Honorable Rod Dembowski
The Honorable Reagan Dunn
The Honorable Jeanne Kohl-Welles
The Honorable Kathy Lambert
The Honorable Joe McDermott
The Honorable Pete von Reichbauer
The Honorable Dave Upthegrove
The Honorable Girmay Zahilay
King County Council
516 Third Ave, Room 1200
Seattle, Washington 98104

Dear Council Chair Balducci and Council Members Dembowski, Dunn, Kohl-Welles, Lambert, McDermott, von Reichbauer, Upthegrove, and Zahilay:

Subject: Comments on the proposed Striking Amendment S4 to Proposed Ordinance 2019-0413, Version 1 and line amendments all part of the 2020 Comprehensive Plan Update.

Send via email to: CouncilCompPlan@kingcounty.gov; Leah.Krekel-Zoppi@kingcounty.gov; Angelica.Calderon@kingcounty.gov; compplan@kingcounty.gov

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed 2020 update to the 2016 King County Comprehensive Plan and associated development regulations. Futurewise strongly supports the update including the fossil fuel facilities policies and regulations. The update and especially these policies and regulations are necessary to address the ongoing global climate catastrophe. We do have some suggestions to strengthen the updates to the comprehensive plan and development regulations and concerns related to some of the amendments described below.

Futurewise works throughout Washington State to support land-use policies that encourage healthy, equitable and opportunity-rich communities, and that protect our most valuable farmlands, forests, and water resources. Futurewise has members and supporters throughout Washington State including King County.

Comments on Striking Amendment S4 to Proposed Ordinance 2019-0413, Version 1

- Futurewise strongly supports the amendments to remove coal, oil, and gas from County identified mineral land resource lands and to prohibit and adequately regulate fossil fuel uses.



This includes policies F-344d through F-344h. These amendments will help reduce greenhouse gas pollution and the global climate crisis.

- Futurewise supports the protections for agricultural and forest land in Striking Amendment S4. While we support allowing “Renewable Energy Generation Facilities” in the County’s zones, if these uses are not properly managed they can convert hundreds of acres of farm and forest land to other uses.¹ We support addition condition 28 which applies only to the Agriculture (A) and Forestry (F) zones and limits the conversion of farm and forest land to two acres or 2.5 percent whichever is less. See Section 47 amending K.C.C. 21A.08.100A. p. 142 and adopting K.C.C. 21A.08.100B.28 p. 149.
- Futurewise supports that Striking Amendment S4 will not rezone additional land at the Pacific Raceways to Industrial and will retain the current requirements for conservation easements. This will retain protections for nearby properties and the environment.
- Futurewise appreciates that the Striking Amendment S4 does not amend existing industrial policies R-512, R-513, and R-515. This will retain existing protections for county residents and the environment.
- Futurewise supports streamlining regulations to encourage more accessory dwelling units (ADUs) in the urban growth area (UGA). We are concerned that the changes in the Striking Amendment S4 will encourage larger less affordable units and also encourage code violations by allowing large areas of unheated floor space that can later be illegally converted to heated space. We recommend that those changes not be adopted. Please see Section 42 amending K.C.C. 21A.08.030 on pages 76 through 79. We also recommend that detached ADUs outside rural areas and rural towns must meet the minimum lot size and density requirements to protect salmon and water quality.
- Futurewise appreciates and supports that the Striking Amendment S4 does not weaken the policies and regulations for the Four-to-One program. The program has worked because it has clear standards.
- Futurewise supported the original amendment to policy U-189 to not allow rural roads to serve land in added to the UGA by the Four-to-One program. The increased traffic from the UGAs added through the Four-to-One program increases road maintenance costs without adding tax base to maintain the road and increases impacts on property owners and residents in rural areas and on agricultural and forest lands. Not adopting the amendments to policy U-189 prohibiting rural roads from serving Four-to-One UGA expansions will make the County’s road maintenance problems worse.
- Futurewise strongly supports the comprehensive plan narrative and policy amendments calling for increased equity. These will lead to increased equality in land use decision making.
- We support improved policies R-652, R-655, and R-656 to better protect Agricultural lands. This will support the agricultural industry.

¹ Kirk Johnson, *Solar Plan Collides With Farm Tradition in Pacific Northwest* *The New York Times* p. 1 (July 11, 2018) enclosed with Futurewise’s letter to the County Council (July 2, 2020) and last accessed on July 17, 2020 at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/07/11/us/washington-state-rural-solar-economy.html>.

- Futurewise supports proposed policies 215bb and 215bbb and the implementing regulations. Sea level rise is accelerating and buildings need to be protected from increased flooding. Measures are also needed to protect the environment from the negative impacts of sea level rise. We recommend new regulations requiring that new lots shall be designed and located so that the buildable area is outside the area likely to be inundated by sea level rise in 2100 and outside of the area in which wetlands and aquatic vegetation will likely migrate during that time. We also recommend that a new regulation be adopted to require that where lots are large enough, new structures and buildings shall be located so that they are outside the area likely to be inundated by sea level rise in 2100 and outside of the area in which wetlands and aquatic vegetation will likely migrate during that time. Please see Striking Amendment S4 Section 65 on pages 181 – 183.
- Futurewise strongly supports Action 17, the Update to the Residential Density Incentive Code. This has the potential to increase the production of affordable housing through updated incentives.
- Futurewise strongly supports Action 18 the greenhouse gas mitigation and adaptation study. Washington is not on track to meet the 2020 greenhouse gas reduction requirement of 90.0 million metric tons (MMT).² We need to do more work to help meet the state emission reduction requirements and to protect people and property from the changes global climate change is causing. Action 18 will help address these needs.
- Futurewise strongly supports Action GMPC-1 to develop a countywide plan to move the remaining unincorporated urban potential annexation areas toward annexation. Cities are best able to serve urban areas long-term. They have more financial tools and provide a broader array of urban services. Action GMPC-1 will save the County money and provide better services for urban areas.
- The amendment in Striking Amendment S4 Section 16 on pages 61 and 62 allowing “freight-rail dependent uses” in railroad rights of way is impractical. It also violates RCW 36.70A.060(1)(a) and (1)(e) and RCW 36.70A.108(2) which limit the counties that can allow freight rail dependent uses to Clark and Okanogan Counties and the cities in those counties. Therefore, it is illegal for King County to authorize freight rail dependent uses in addition to the problems mentioned above. We recommend that the amendment in Section 19 be denied.
- Futurewise appreciates that Striking Amendment S4 is clear that the winery, brewery, and distillery regulations are not being readopted. Thank you.

² State of Washington Department of Ecology, *Washington State Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory: 1990-2015: Report to the Legislature* p. vii & p. 1 (Publication 18-02-043: Dec. 2018) last accessed on July 20, 2020 at: <https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/documents/1802043.pdf>.” Evan Bush, *Washington’s greenhouse-gas emissions continue to trend higher in latest inventory* *Seattle Times* (Nov. 19, 2019) last accessed on July 20, 2020 at: <https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/environment/washingtons-greenhouse-gas-emissions-continue-to-trend-higher-in-latest-inventory/>.

Comments on Selected Line Amendments

- The Snoqualmie Interchange UGA study must not be included in the comprehensive plan update. The last time this amendment was considered and wisely rejected by the County Council Snoqualmie appealed that decision. The Growth Management Hearings Board concluded that the denial of the UGA expansion was consistent with the Growth Management Act (GMA).³
- Futurewise supports Amendment 7 to S3 on Subdivision Ingress as first step. Two ways out from residential development is an important public safety measure, particularly in areas subject to wildfires and other natural hazards.⁴ From 1980 through 2012, 332 wildfires occurred in King County.⁵ “The Washington Department of Natural Resources and its federal and local partners have determined that six areas in King County are at a high risk to wildfire: Black Diamond/Green River, Carnation, Cumberland, Kanaskat/Selleck, Lake Retreat/Rock Creek, North Bend and Snoqualmie Pass.”⁶ We recommend adoption of Amendment 7. We also recommend that the County require two ways out for all short and long subdivisions, land divisions, and developments in areas with a high risk of wildfires.

Thank you for considering our comments. If you require additional information, please contact me at telephone 206-343-0681 Ext. 102 and email: tim@futurewise.org.

Very Truly Yours,



Tim Trohimovich, AICP
Director of Planning and Law

³ *City of Snoqualmie v. King County (Snoqualmie II)*, CPSRGMHB Case No. 13-3-0002, Corrected Final Decision and Order (Oct. 29, 2014), at 2 of 60 last accessed on July 20, 2020 at:

<http://www.gmhba.wa.gov/Global/RenderPDF?source=casedocument&id=3671>.

⁴ FEMA U.S. Fire Administration, *Wildfires: Protect Yourself and Your Community* p. *1 (Oct. 2017) last accessed on July 20, 2020 at:

https://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/publications/wildfires_protect_yourself_and_your_community.pdf

⁵ Tetra Tech, *King County Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Volume 1: Planning-Area-Wide Elements* p. 17-4 (Nov. 2014) last accessed on July 20, 2020 at: [https://www.kingcounty.gov/~media/depts/emergency-](https://www.kingcounty.gov/~media/depts/emergency-management/documents/plans/hazard-mitigation/KingCountyUpdateHMP_Vol1_AgencyReviewSubmittal.ashx?la=en)

[management/documents/plans/hazard-mitigation/KingCountyUpdateHMP_Vol1_AgencyReviewSubmittal.ashx?la=en](https://www.kingcounty.gov/~media/depts/emergency-management/documents/plans/hazard-mitigation/KingCountyUpdateHMP_Vol1_AgencyReviewSubmittal.ashx?la=en).

⁶ *Id.* at 17-8. These areas are mapped at p. 17-9.

From: [Michael Bradley](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Vashon Island Incorporation as a Town
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 1:34:00 PM

Council Members:

For many, many years, Vashon Island has been treated as a stepchild of King County. Our citizenry of approximately 10,000 residents are fully capable of governing and financing our own unique set of circumstances.

It should come as no surprise that our tax base is overvalued, and as such, our property taxes could support fire, police, roads and maintenance, parks, schools, a new voter approved hospital district, environmental oversight, downtown revitalization, low income housing, and much more. Building codes and inspections would adhere to the 2018 International Building Code

The Council should consider unburdening itself of Vashon Island and create a clear path for our citizens to govern and tax themselves. The antiquated 1996 Vashon Town Plan would be revisited and updated by people who live here.

Governance would be under the direction of an elected mayor and 7 elected council members.

Vashon Island, with its 55 miles of saltwater shoreline is truly a unique geographical treasure, and should be governed by its own citizens.

Thanks for listening and considering.

Michael Bradley
The Honorable Mayor of Frog Holler WA., Vashon, WA

From: [Masuo, Janet](#) on behalf of [Clerk, King County Council](#)
To: [KCC - All Members \(Email Group\)](#)
Cc: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [Pedroza, Melani](#)
Subject: FW: Concerns from a Redmond Ridge resident
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 11:19:33 AM

From: Sai Ramanath <saipramanath@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 11:17 AM
To: Clerk, King County Council <Clerk@kingcounty.gov>; Policy Staff, Council CompPlan <CouncilCompPlan@kingcounty.gov>
Subject: Concerns from a Redmond Ridge resident

Dear King County Council Members

I am writing this regarding the proposed zoning for Bear Creek neighborhood which gives extensive leeway in opening up a primarily residential community to indiscriminate industrial usage.

Just a walk in the neighborhood will reveal to you many kids - teenagers, pre-teens, toddlers and babies, young parents and families. This neighborhood was result of your vision for an inclusive community within a planned system.

There are two elementary and one middle school right in the midst of the neighborhood. It is also home to several miles of trails in protected forests and wet lands.

Twice before (in 2013 and 2016) there was an effort to bring marijuana industry in the business parks. With your openness to listen to the community, that threat was avoided.

Now with the proposed zoning, you are opening up the community for many harmful uses including:

- Marijuana retail and industry
- Adult entertainment
- Waste transfer stations
- Helipads
- Prison
- Sex offender support

We are genuinely concerned for the safety of the neighborhood, pollution (air, water, sound, ground) and increases traffic. Clearly this is not part of the vision and promises you have made to this community right from its creation.

Hence, please do not approve this new zoning proposal. You did the right thing in 2013 and 2016.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,
Sai Ramanath

From: [Stephanie Hillman](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Sierra Club Comments on 2020 Comprehensive Plan
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 10:08:38 AM
Attachments: [Sierra Club Comment Letter re KC Comp Plan.pdf](#)

Hello King County Council Members,

Please accept the attached letter containing Sierra Club's comments on the 2020 Comprehensive Plan. Thank you all for your hard work on this, and we look forward to an outcome that protects our environment and communities. If you have any questions, I can be reached at this email, or the below number.

Thank you!

Stephanie Hillman

--

Stephanie Hillman
Northwest Campaign Rep
Our Wild America-Dirty Fuels
206-856-0340
www.sierraclub.org/Washington



180 Nickerson St, Suite 202
Seattle, WA 98109

July 17, 2020

Dear King County Council,

We greatly appreciate that King County is moving forward with making the moratorium on new fossil fuel infrastructure into a long-term plan to protect our communities from the health and safety risks associated with fossil fuels.

We urge you to take the bold step of adopting Councilmember Upthegrove's amendments in the 2020 Comprehensive Plan Update and prohibit new fossil fuel infrastructure. In the Sierra Club July 2019 comment letter on the Mid-Point Update Public Review Draft, we called for inclusion of climate notes (analogous to fiscal notes) in evaluation of fossil fuel related projects. But evidence has become clear that fossil fuels pose grave environmental, social, economic and health risks. There are no measures that can make fossil fuel infrastructure safe for our climate or public health, and the growth of these projects would further pollute our air and water, fragment habitats, and threaten communities here in King County and beyond.

It is also true that economically disadvantaged communities and communities of color are most impacted by exposure to higher levels of toxic pollutants from fossil fuels, as well, they are more affected by the harmful impacts of climate change. As King County moves forward with securing protections from pollution and the creation of healthier communities, we need to ensure we do not exacerbate these threats by allowing new dirty fossil fuel projects to be built.

Several proposed fossil fuel projects in the past few years throughout the state have revealed a poor record of evaluation, with impact statements containing grossly inaccurate and underestimated environmental impacts, and which were conducted without meaningful consultation with Tribes. We applaud the Upthegrove amendments for their strong review process that would include lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions, air and water quality impacts, health risks and early consultation with Tribes.

While climate change is the most serious environmental threat faced by humanity in the 21st century and beyond, other actions and policy initiatives in addition to prohibiting new fossil fuel infrastructure in this King County Comprehensive Plan Update can improve assurance of environmental quality. We support the amendments in the Environment chapter concerning regulations to prepare for impacts of climate change, such as sea level rise, rainfall pattern changes, and other effects. Resilience is enhanced through the requirement for assessment of sea level rise projections in advance of each eight-year Comprehensive Plan update. Sierra Club comments on other topics addressed in the Mid-Point Update are discussed below.

We urge Council to retain the existing Four-to-One Program (4:1 Program) consistent with recommendations from the Growth Management Planning Council to defer action on changes to the 4:1

Program that are related to the Countywide Planning Policies. This includes amendments allowing facilities that serve the new urban area to be located in the rural area (a policy fraught with potential for abuse), and reducing the requirement for at least four acres of new open space for each new acre of urban land. But we also strongly urge the urban policies in the Executive's Recommended Update to be restored in full, which consist of edits to Policies U-185 thru U-190, and the Executive's new proposed U-190a, which states:

For Four-to-One proposals adjacent to an incorporated area, approval of a Four-to-One proposal shall require:

- a. development shall only occur after the site has been annexed to the adjacent city or town; and*
- b. establishment of an interlocal agreement between King County and the adjacent jurisdiction that identifies conditions for site development that are consistent with the Four-to-One program requirements and goals.*

Making development of such additions to urban areas contingent on annexation to the adjacent jurisdiction is an important procedural requirement.

The protections and allowed uses within Rural Areas and Agricultural Production Districts (APDs) must not allow these lands to be degraded or subject to conversion to other uses not consistent with the State's Growth Management Act (GMA). Existing language in the Comprehensive Plan should be retained to ensure that industrial-scale facilities are not allowed in Rural Areas.

We urge the Council to retain existing policies that require any land removed from an APD must be replaced with an equal or greater area of arable land which is contiguous with the same APD. Quality farmland producing food crops immediately outside the UGB is a huge asset for the region in maintaining short supply chains for the metropolitan region's food system. To ensure resilience in food crop production, the County must not make any policy changes that allow for a net loss of acreage in any individual APD. Furthermore, any provisions in the Update that would attempt to codify King County Ordinance 19030 dealing with wineries, breweries, and distilleries should be removed. The Growth Management Hearings Board invalidated provisions from this Ordinance so the pre-existing conditions should be restored in the Update.

In its Plan Update, the County should recognize the adverse traffic impacts due to growth and discuss strategies to mitigate such impacts to meet GMA requirements to both anticipate and provide for strategies to address those impacts of growth. The use of Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) traffic forecast conditions for the Transportation Needs Report should be made consistent with the growth targets that PSRC has established for each city in the county. Where city plans are not consistent with PSRC growth targets, the County assessments of transportation needs and actions to address system deficiencies should hold those non-compliant plans accountable to be realigned with regional growth targets.

Future Comprehensive Plan updates must include assessments of climate change and the resulting impacts since the previous Plan update to better scale the next set of policy changes to the scope and magnitude of the challenge we face. Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions through land use policies, transportation system investments, and curtailment of fossil fuel supporting infrastructure will continue to be crucial steps in the implementation of the Comprehensive Plan and its subsequent Updates.

Again, we support King County in joining a growing list of cities taking the initiative to safeguard us all from the serious and severe threats of fossil fuels, and leading us toward a pollution-free and safer future for all communities.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Hillman
Campaign Representative, Sierra Club

From: [Masuo, Janet](#) on behalf of [Clerk, King County Council](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Cc: [Pedroza, Melani](#)
Subject: FW: KCCP 2020 MID-PT UPD--JOINT KC RA ORGAN--RESPONSE TO S4 AMENDMENTS
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:38:11 AM
Importance: High

From: Peter Rimbos <primbos@comcast.net>

Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:30 AM

To: Dembowski, Rod <Rod.Dembowski@kingcounty.gov>; Zahilay, Girmay <Girmay.Zahilay@kingcounty.gov>; Lambert, Kathy <Kathy.Lambert@kingcounty.gov>; Kohl-Welles, Jeanne <Jeanne.Kohl-Welles@kingcounty.gov>; Upthegrove, Dave <Dave.Upthegrove@kingcounty.gov>; Balducci, Claudia <Claudia.Balducci@kingcounty.gov>; von Reichbauer, Pete <Pete.vonReichbauer@kingcounty.gov>; McDermott, Joe <Joe.McDermott@kingcounty.gov>; Dunn, Reagan <Reagan.Dunn@kingcounty.gov>

Cc: Clerk, King County Council <Clerk@kingcounty.gov>; Communications, Comments <council@kingcounty.gov>; Logsdon, Kristina <Kristina.Logsdon@kingcounty.gov>; Lewis, Rhonda <Rhonda.Lewis@kingcounty.gov>; Brown, Dylan <Dylan.Brown@kingcounty.gov>; Cooper, Adam <Adam.Cooper@kingcounty.gov>; Phibbs, Diana <Diana.Phibbs@kingcounty.gov>; Camenzind, Krista <Krista.Camenzind@kingcounty.gov>; Pichette, Tyler <Tyler.Pichette@kingcounty.gov>; Lahmann, Grant <Grant.Lahmann@kingcounty.gov>; Bloom, Casey <Casey.Bloom@kingcounty.gov>; LaBrache, Lisa <Lisa.LaBrache@kingcounty.gov>; Miller, Ivan <Ivan.Miller@kingcounty.gov>; Taylor, John - Dir <John-Dir.Taylor@kingcounty.gov>; Daw, David <ddaw@kingcounty.gov>; Auzins, Erin <Erin.Auzins@kingcounty.gov>; Smith, Lauren <Lauren.Smith@kingcounty.gov>

Subject: KCCP 2020 MID-PT UPD--JOINT KC RA ORGAN--RESPONSE TO S4 AMENDMENTS

Importance: High

King County Councilmembers.

My apologies for the typo in our subject line of our 9:05 AM submittal today. Our Comments are a Response to the **S4** Amendments, not the S2 Amendments. We all need to get some sleep.

Peter Rimbos
Coordinator, King County Comprehensive Plan (KCCP)
Coordinator, Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs)
Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council (GMVUAC)
primbos@comcast.net

"To know and not to do is not to know."-- Chinese proverb

Please consider our shared environment before printing.

On Jul 20, 2020, at 9:05 AM, Peter Rimbos <primbos@comcast.net> wrote:

King County Councilmembers,

Please accept the attached Response to the Council's July 17 proposed S4 Amendments on the 2020 KCCP Mid-Point Update (Update). Our Response supplements our July 13 Revision to our June 26 ADDENDUM which itself supplemented our June 8 Joint Comments—all of which was preceded by our June 3 Process/Schedule Letter. Please consider the attached as our Joint Written Testimony for the July 21 Public Hearing.

Should any S5 Amendments be posted later today or early tomorrow, we reserve the right to provide further comments and written testimony.

The attached is jointly researched, prepared, and submitted by the following King County Unincorporated Rural Area organizations—Enumclaw Plateau Community Association (EPCA), Friends of Sammamish Valley (FoSV), Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council (GMVUAC), Green Valley/Lake Holms Association (GV/LHA), Hollywood Hills Association (HHA), Soos Creek Area Response (SCAR), and Upper Bear Creek Unincorporated Area Council (UBCUAC).

As with our four previous joint submittals—and with our work on KCCP Updates going back, in many cases, for 20 years, the attached represents in-depth research on all key aspects of the Update, a synthesis of the issues presented, and an understanding of how various aspects of the proposed Council Striker Amendments could affect the County's Rural Area—its residents, their quality of life, and our shared environment.

We thank you in advance for your time and effort in reviewing the attached as you deliberate and lead to a final vote tomorrow on the Update.

We request the Clerk of the Council acknowledge receipt of this e-mail and its attached comments--thank you.

Peter Rimbos
Coordinator, King County Comprehensive Plan (KCCP)
Coordinator, Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs)
Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council (GMVUAC)
primbos@comcast.net

"To know and not to do is not to know."-- Chinese proverb

Please consider our shared environment before printing.

<Response to S4 Amendments.pdf>

From: [Masuo, Janet](#) on behalf of [Clerk, King County Council](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Cc: [Pedroza, Melani](#)
Subject: FW: KCCP 2020 MID-PT UPD--JOINT KC RA ORGAN--RESPONSE TO S2 AMENDMENTS
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:30:34 AM
Attachments: [Response to S4 Amendments.pdf](#)

From: Peter Rimbos <primbos@comcast.net>

Sent: Monday, July 20, 2020 9:06 AM

To: Dembowski, Rod <Rod.Dembowski@kingcounty.gov>; Zahilay, Girmay <Girmay.Zahilay@kingcounty.gov>; Lambert, Kathy <Kathy.Lambert@kingcounty.gov>; Kohl-Welles, Jeanne <Jeanne.Kohl-Welles@kingcounty.gov>; Upthegrove, Dave <Dave.Upthegrove@kingcounty.gov>; Balducci, Claudia <Claudia.Balducci@kingcounty.gov>; von Reichbauer, Pete <Pete.vonReichbauer@kingcounty.gov>; McDermott, Joe <Joe.McDermott@kingcounty.gov>; Dunn, Reagan <Reagan.Dunn@kingcounty.gov>

Cc: Clerk, King County Council <Clerk@kingcounty.gov>; Communications, Comments <council@kingcounty.gov>; Logsdon, Kristina <Kristina.Logsdon@kingcounty.gov>; Lewis, Rhonda <Rhonda.Lewis@kingcounty.gov>; Brown, Dylan <Dylan.Brown@kingcounty.gov>; Cooper, Adam <Adam.Cooper@kingcounty.gov>; Phibbs, Diana <Diana.Phibbs@kingcounty.gov>; Camenzind, Krista <Krista.Camenzind@kingcounty.gov>; Pichette, Tyler <Tyler.Pichette@kingcounty.gov>; Lahmann, Grant <Grant.Lahmann@kingcounty.gov>; Bloom, Casey <Casey.Bloom@kingcounty.gov>; LaBrache, Lisa <Lisa.LaBrache@kingcounty.gov>; Miller, Ivan <Ivan.Miller@kingcounty.gov>; Taylor, John - Dir <John-Dir.Taylor@kingcounty.gov>; Daw, David <ddaw@kingcounty.gov>; Auzins, Erin <Erin.Auzins@kingcounty.gov>; Smith, Lauren <Lauren.Smith@kingcounty.gov>

Subject: KCCP 2020 MID-PT UPD--JOINT KC RA ORGAN--RESPONSE TO S2 AMENDMENTS

King County Councilmembers,

Please accept the attached Response to the Council's July 17 proposed S4 Amendments on the 2020 KCCP Mid-Point Update (Update). Our Response supplements our July 13 Revision to our June 26 ADDENDUM which itself supplemented our June 8 Joint Comments—all of which was preceded by our June 3 Process/Schedule Letter. Please consider the attached as our Joint Written Testimony for the July 21 Public Hearing.

Should any S5 Amendments be posted later today or early tomorrow, we reserve the right to provide further comments and written testimony.

The attached is jointly researched, prepared, and submitted by the following King County Unincorporated Rural Area organizations—Enumclaw Plateau Community Association (EPCA), Friends of Sammamish Valley (FoSV), Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council (GMVUAC), Green Valley/Lake Holms Association (GV/LHA), Hollywood Hills Association (HHA), Soos Creek Area Response (SCAR), and Upper Bear Creek Unincorporated Area Council (UBCUAC).

As with our four previous joint submittals—and with our work on KCCP Updates going back, in many cases, for 20 years, the attached represents in-depth research on all key

aspects of the Update, a synthesis of the issues presented, and an understanding of how various aspects of the proposed Council Striker Amendments could affect the County's Rural Area—its residents, their quality of life, and our shared environment.

We thank you in advance for your time and effort in reviewing the attached as you deliberate and lead to a final vote tomorrow on the Update.

We request the Clerk of the Council acknowledge receipt of this e-mail and its attached comments--thank you.

Peter Rimbos
Coordinator, King County Comprehensive Plan (KCCP)
Coordinator, Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs)
Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council (GMVUAC)
primbos@comcast.net

"To know and not to do is not to know."-- Chinese proverb

Please consider our shared environment before printing.

2020 KCCP Mid-Point Update

Council Strikers S1 & S2 (6/5-6/8/20), S3 (7/2), & S4 (7/17) to Executive's Recommended Plan (9/30/19)

KC Rural Area UAC/UAA/Organizations **Response Comments**

The following King County Unincorporated Rural Area organizations—Enumclaw Plateau Community Association (EPCA), Friends of Sammamish Valley (FoSV), Greater Maple Valley Unincorporated Area Council (GMVUAC), Green Valley/Lake Holms Association (GV/LHA), Hollywood Hills Association (HHA), Soos Creek Area Response (SCAR), and Upper Bear Creek Unincorporated Area Council (UBCUAC) request the Council consider comments herein on proposed *S4 Amendments* for the **2020 KCCP Mid-Point Update (Update)**.

Please note our past comments (and dates of submittal) on proposed *Amendments*: S1 (June 8), S2 (June 26), and S3 (July 13).

Four-to-One Program

Thank you for reverting back to the *existing* Four-to-One (4:1) Program; however, we fail to see why all the Executive's *recommendations* in Policies **U-185** thru **U-190** are to be eliminated, as well as his excellent *new* Policy **U-190a**. All were included, with some edits, in S2, but inexplicably removed in S3/S4. These should be restored and included in the *Update*. **The Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) agrees with this position.** S3, by eliminating all the Executive's *recommendations* here, is inconsistent with the GMPC's Motion. S4 did not fix this and the Council should rectify it.

Non-Resource Industrial Uses in the Rural Area

Thank you for reverting back to *existing* KCCP Policies **R-512** through **R-515**, as this will maintain the integrity of the Rural Area as encapsulated in the State's Growth Management Act (GMA). Again, **Industrial-scale facilities simply do not belong in the Rural Area.** However, further action is required as the Council must amend the Zoning Code to implement the *existing and long-standing KCCP policies* as required by the GMA and its State-adopted implementing regulations (as the law mandates that the comprehensive plan takes precedence over and controls the zoning code, and not vice versa):

[RCW 36.70A.040\(3\): Development regulations must implement comprehensive plans.](#)

[RCW 36.70A.130\(1\)\(d\): Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.](#)

[WAC 365-196-500\(3\): The development regulations must be internally consistent and be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.](#)

[WAC 365-196-640\(1\): Each county or city should provide for an ongoing process to ensure: . . .](#)

[\(b\) The development regulations are consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.](#)

[WAC 365-196-800\(1\): Development regulations under the act are specific controls placed on development or land use activities by a county or city. Development regulations must be consistent with and implement comprehensive plans adopted pursuant to the act. "Implement" in this context has a more affirmative meaning than merely "consistent." See WAC 365-196-210.](#)

2020 KCCP Mid-Point Update

Council Strikers S1 & S2 (6/5-6/8/20), S3 (7/2), & S4 (7/17) to Executive's Recommended Plan (9/30/19)

KC Rural Area UAC/UAA/Organizations **Response Comments**

"Implement" connotes not only a lack of conflict but also a sufficient scope to fully carry out the goals, policies, standards, and directions contained in the comprehensive plan.

WAC 365-196-810(1): When adopting any development regulation intended to carry out a comprehensive plan, the proposing county or city should review its terms to ensure it is consistent with and implements the comprehensive plan and make a finding in the adopting ordinance to that effect.

Development regulations must be consistent with and implement comprehensive plans.

Please also see our comments on "Property Specific Development Standards" (-P Suffix) and "Special District Overlay" (-SO Suffix) under our **King County Code** section further below.

Agricultural Production Districts (APDs)

Long-standing policies for maintaining *existing* acreages in each of our APDs should be retained. These policies require that any land taken out of an APD must be replaced with an equal or greater area of arable land and that is contiguous with the same APD. S4 did not do this.

Climate Change

Human-induced Climate Change is the major issue facing humanity in the 21st century and beyond. Consequently, we must take research, analysis, and mitigation seriously, such that any assessment of Climate Change-induced impacts must be based on science, not simply "information." Hence, Policy **E-215bb** must be revised as follows: *"King County should implement regulations that mitigate and build resiliency to the anticipated impacts of climate change, based on best available (~~information~~) science...."* Climate Change is the most serious environmental challenge humanity has ever faced. S4 did not fix this.

Pathways/ Sidewalks in Rural Area

We see no reason for the addition in KCCP Chapter 8 (lines 1180-1182) of the following: *"Sidewalks are allowed in Rural Towns and, under certain circumstances, sidewalks are allowed in the Rural Area as a spot improvement to address an existing safety or high-use issue when other walkway alternatives would not be as effective, or for safe routes to school."* We see "Sidewalks for Schools" is both misleading and distracting. In 2011-2012 the School Siting Task Force (several members from our organizations served on the task force) was successful in finding agreement between school districts, cities, rural area, and the county that new schools serving primarily urban populations should be sited *inside* the UGA. The non-conforming schools already sited in the Rural Area have long-since established protocols to accommodate their access needs. We do not know of any existing schools in the Rural Area requiring or asking for "sidewalks to schools." S4 did not fix this.

2020 KCCP Mid-Point Update

Council Strikers S1 & S2 (6/5-6/8/20), S3 (7/2), & S4 (7/17) to Executive's Recommended Plan (9/30/19)

KC Rural Area UAC/UAA/Organizations **Response Comments**

Transportation

We again call on the Council to recognize in the *Update* the many adverse traffic impacts due to growth and discuss strategies to mitigate such impacts to meet State Growth Management Act (GMA) requirements to both anticipate and provide for the impacts of growth. S4 did not fix this.

Pacific Raceways—Map Amendment 9

The *S4 Amendments* state three main effects: (1) Modify existing P-suffix condition to also allow a new range of industrial uses; (2) Repeal the 2012 zoning map change, deleting the Conservation Easement; and (3) Require a new conservation easement be dedicated to King County prior to issuing development permits.

The P-suffix condition language would adopt these changes into the *KCCP Update* with no environmental review, which is at odds with how the Council said this would be done when it passed the demonstration project/master planned development ordinance in 2012. In addition, it would have the Executive use these standards for sending an ordinance the Council for the *2024 KCCP Major Update*. This could pre-determine the scope of the SEPA review for the EIS, or outright bypass SEPA review by altering the current baseline conditions on the property without environmental review.

The striking of the 2012 Conservation Easement only lessens the protection of the environment and the public interest, while it benefits a single property owner. There is no environmental review, no balancing of interests, and provides yet another promise for some time in the future for yet more impacts today, which simply continues the primary injustice the community has been subject to for 20 years from the original failure to follow through on the Conservation Easement that was supposed to result from the 2001 rezone to benefit the race track, and the 8 years of the property owners refusal to sign the 2012 Conservation Easement. In spite of the property owner agreeing to sign, and failing to appeal the adoption of the 2012 ordinance that required the easement be recorded on title *prior* to the rezone becoming effective, he is further rewarded by making the rezone anyhow (after 20 years of failing to meet obligations), which is the only purpose served by the proposed Map Amendment.

The revised language in *S4* makes it clear the Council is aware the current action doesn't meet basic standards of review, and the matter belongs in the 8-year full review cycle, not the 4-year cycle. Indeed, trying to shoehorn some portion of the changes in such a flawed manner into the current 4-year cycle is simply not supportable, and only serves to constrain a future planning process from doing an analysis of the entire range of impacts, by simply adopting a set of changes with no analysis. This doesn't meet any rationale standard of good governance, let alone balancing of the public interest with the development proposal(s).

2020 KCCP Mid-Point Update

Council Strikers S1 & S2 (6/5-6/8/20), S3 (7/2), & S4 (7/17) to Executive's Recommended Plan (9/30/19)

KC Rural Area UAC/UAA/Organizations **Response Comments**

In summary, the changes contemplated in S4 should either go under environmental review now, or the entirety of the changes should wait until 2024, and only be considered when the uses proposed are clearly defined as per the site master plan, and subject to environmental review as defined alternatives in an EIS as stipulated in the 2012 ordinance. The P-suffix changes to industrial uses to be broadly enacted with S4 have not been subject to environmental review, or even consistency with KCCP and other policies and County Code provisions that apply. Should the Council pass this as is, it will confer unqualified, unquantified benefits now that only will be subjected (if then) to substantive review in the as yet *speculative* 2024 8-year review process. The proposals are badly flawed, subject to almost no environmental review (certainly none that meets current standards), and should be considered fresh during the 2024 cycle, based on conditions and analysis at that time.

Snoqualmie Interchange Study

The "*special study*" of zoning or Rural lands at the Snoqualmie interchange raises suspicions, questions, and concerns. These lands were the source of two earlier battles over proposed Urban *rezoning* and under no circumstances should any similar initiative for free urban *upzoning* be considered. The study only should consider if and how rezoning of these lands could be accomplished under existing County policies and programs like 4:1 or TDR, without altering such policies and programs in any way that opens new loopholes for other Rural lands to seek rezoning. The study should not seek to employ, nor enable, new varieties of undefined "*public benefits*" as justification for Urban upzoning in lieu of 4:1 land-conservation criteria. The landowner seeking this study purchased Rural-zoned land in hopes of gaining Urban zoning and making a large profit. The only way this land should be considered for rezoning is via the existing 4:1 program.

King County Code

There exist standards for *alternative* development for sites with unique characteristics not addressed by the general zoning requirements of County Code. These include "*Property Specific Development Standards*" (-P Suffix) and the designation for "*Special District Overlay*" (-SO Suffix), as described in County Code **Chapter 21A.38, General Provisions- Property Specific Development Standards/ Special District Overlays**. The need for such standards, in themselves, is understandable, but they should not be misapplied (as they have been, e.g., Buckley Recycling Center, Pacific Raceways, and various Sand & Gravel and Quarry operations). Further, such standards often are, but should not be, wide open to interpretation when permit applications are reviewed. The County should not provide any special consideration to private developers at the public's expense.

From: [Masuo, Janet](#) on behalf of [Clerk, King County Council](#)
To: [KCC - All Members \(Email Group\)](#)
Cc: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [Pedroza, Melani](#)
Subject: FW: Comprehensive Plan Update - Bear Creek UPD proposed new zoning
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 8:32:24 AM

From: Vineet Kumar <srivineet@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:26 PM
To: Compplan <compplan@kingcounty.gov>; Clerk, King County Council <Clerk@kingcounty.gov>
Subject: Comprehensive Plan Update - Bear Creek UPD proposed new zoning

Dear King County Council members, Executives,

I am a resident of Redmond Ridge East community and a father of two, a boy and a girl whom I have raised in this community for the last 9 years.

I am very concerned at the introduction of several uses into the revised UPD for our community which can easily bring businesses that create irreparable and irreversible damage to public health, quality of life and property values.

You listened to us in 2013, and 2016. You found the courage to do the right thing. Please stay courageous and do not give into pressures from businesses such as strip clubs, marijuana manufacturing and retail.

Thank you for your time and patiently listening to us.

Regards,
Vineet

Here is the full press release from our community owner's association.

Redmond Ridge East Home Owners Association | Wednesday, June 8, 2020
Redmond Ridge East HOA - Update Summary

King County Comprehensive Plan:

- King County and the developer created the Communities of Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East, and Trilogy & wrote customized, restrictive use conditions in the UPD Agreement ("Agreement") that function as zoning for the residential areas & retail & business areas. Properties were sold under the binding Agreement's protections and restrictions.
- In 2013, King County Council proposed changes to the Agreement that many in the community did not agree with and fought against. The proposed new use lost by a narrow margin at King County Council vote.
- In 2016 King County ("KC") again proposed the same changes to allow new uses in the

Business Park that many community members felt would be detrimental and undermined or conflicted with the restrictions in the Agreement. The community again fought to be heard and understood and rallied together to testify to KC against the changes. The ordinance to allow the proposed new uses lost by a very narrow margin at King County Council vote.

- Other changes, like the construction of a Middle School, were proposed and accepted as the community deemed the new uses as beneficial to the community, were harmonious and in keeping with the intent of the Agreement.
- The Agreement is expiring, and zoning is being written by KC as part of the Comprehensive Plan Update. Numerous KC officials have repeatedly assured residents in many different official meeting forums that the zoning will match—essentially replicate and extend—the critical conditions that currently exist in the Agreement and that special zoning overlays would be written to make the new zoning MATCH the current conditions. Residents believed the County was following the Executive’s Recommended Scope of Work that stated, “In advance of the expiration of development agreements for the Bear Creek UPD’s (Redmond Ridge, Trilogy, and Redmond Ridge East), the County will review and establish the comprehensive plan land use designation and zoning classifications in a manner consistent with the development patterns in said agreements and reflecting current conditions in the area.”
- The residents trusted these clear promises and stayed involved in meeting with the Department of Local Services & Councilmember Lambert, repeatedly voicing the concern that KC not add new uses, take away existing uses, or try to again shove into their community the same new use proposed twice before. The Residential Owner’s Association continuously attended County meetings to monitor the progress and remind KC of resident concerns. REPEATEDLY, CLEARLY & UNEQUIVOCALLY the residents were reassured by numerous KC officials involved with writing the zoning that 1) current conditions would be written into zoning and that community outcry would not be needed this time, and 2) that negative new uses would not be allowed. Special zoning overlays would MATCH the Agreement conditions of allowed uses and disallowed uses.
- The homeowners, residents, business owners and taxpayer stakeholders believed KC’s assurances and took the County officials at their word. They believed KC until the February meeting of the Greater Novelty Hill Community where County officials in a Q and A session explained that new uses would be permitted in the zoning and that the same new use as residents fought against in 2013 and 2016 would also be allowed. KC released a side-by-side comparison document of current conditions under the UPD and the proposed new uses the zoning would allow. The side-by-side document shocked the community. Several of the proposed new uses bring known negative impacts to nearby properties, businesses, and residences, with KC documents showing safety issues, crime increases, decreased property values, nuisance odors, and other serious negative impacts from these types of uses.
- The Redmond Ridge East Community questions why King County would say they would zone to match the UPD conditions but include many new allowable uses. Residents struggle to understand how KC said they will use customized special zoning overlays to zone for the real look and feel of the community as it exists today but then say they cannot use customized special zoning overlays. Families in our community reject KC excuses that the new uses may be unlikely, perhaps are improbable, might be unattractive or unprofitable for the new-zoning-allowed uses, and could be excluded from new uses by other variables. These are vague hopes, not real protections.

King County did not write a zoning proposal that follows the Executive's directive to KC Council to zone "in a manner consistent with the development patterns in said agreements and reflecting current conditions in the area". They offer new uses that are not congruent with the community's repeated & consistently stated desires. Residents dare not place their trust in verbal promises from KC that things won't happen while the paperwork says the new uses are allowed to happen. Residents do not want to cross their fingers hoping that newly allowed uses don't become reality and that variances continue to remain effective means to block the shocking new uses, when they were promised protective zoning to prevent the new uses.

- Now residents are contacting King County to ask that the new uses be removed from the Comprehensive Plan Update. In the uncertain times brought by Covid-19, in person testimony to KC Council is replaced with online feedback. Those who rallied in large groups to testify to Council against the 2013 and 2016 changes are concerned that our collective voice has been stifled and that KC did not realize the depth of the community's concerns in the June 4th virtual meeting.
- **We are asking KC Council to please hear the voices of King County residents and constituents.**

From: [Masuo, Janet](#) on behalf of [Clerk, King County Council](#)
To: [KCC - All Members \(Email Group\)](#)
Cc: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [Pedroza, Melani](#)
Subject: FW: Comprehensive Plan Update - Bear Creek UPD proposed new zoning
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 8:32:07 AM

From: rumi sinha <rumisinha@hotmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2020 10:25 PM

To: Policy Staff, Council CompPlan <CouncilCompPlan@kingcounty.gov>; Compplan <compplan@kingcounty.gov>; Clerk, King County Council <Clerk@kingcounty.gov>

Subject: Comprehensive Plan Update - Bear Creek UPD proposed new zoning

Dear King County Council members, Executives,

I am a resident of Redmond Ridge East community and a father of two, a boy and a girl whom I have raised in this community for the last 9 years.

I am very concerned at the introduction of several uses into the revised UPD for our community which can easily bring businesses that create irreparable and irreversible damage to public health, quality of life and property values.

You listened to us in 2013, and 2016. You found the courage to do the right thing. Please stay courageous and do not give into pressures from businesses such as strip clubs, marijuana manufacturing and retail.

Thank you for your time and patiently listening to us.

Regards,
Rumi Sinha

Here is the full press release from our community owner's association.

Redmond Ridge East Home Owners Association | Wednesday, June 8, 2020
Redmond Ridge East HOA - Update Summary

King County Comprehensive Plan:

- King County and the developer created the Communities of Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East, and Trilogy & wrote customized, restrictive use conditions in the UPD Agreement ("Agreement") that function as zoning for the residential areas & retail & business areas. Properties were sold under the binding Agreement's protections and restrictions.
- In 2013, King County Council proposed changes to the Agreement that many in the community did not agree with and fought against. The proposed new use lost by a narrow margin at King County Council vote.
- In 2016 King County ("KC") again proposed the same changes to allow new

uses in the Business Park that many community members felt would be detrimental and undermined or conflicted with the restrictions in the Agreement. The community again fought to be heard and understood and rallied together to testify to KC against the changes. The ordinance to allow the proposed new uses lost by a very narrow margin at King County Council vote.

- Other changes, like the construction of a Middle School, were proposed and accepted as the community deemed the new uses as beneficial to the community, were harmonious and in keeping with the intent of the Agreement.
- The Agreement is expiring, and zoning is being written by KC as part of the Comprehensive Plan Update. Numerous KC officials have repeatedly assured residents in many different official meeting forums that the zoning will match—essentially replicate and extend—the critical conditions that currently exist in the Agreement and that special zoning overlays would be written to make the new zoning MATCH the current conditions. Residents believed the County was following the Executive’s Recommended Scope of Work that stated, “In advance of the expiration of development agreements for the Bear Creek UPD’s (Redmond Ridge, Trilogy, and Redmond Ridge East), the County will review and establish the comprehensive plan land use designation and zoning classifications in a manner consistent with the development patterns in said agreements and reflecting current conditions in the area.”
- The residents trusted these clear promises and stayed involved in meeting with the Department of Local Services & Councilmember Lambert, repeatedly voicing the concern that KC not add new uses, take away existing uses, or try to again shove into their community the same new use proposed twice before. The Residential Owner’s Association continuously attended County meetings to monitor the progress and remind KC of resident concerns. REPEATEDLY, CLEARLY & UNEQUIVOCALLY the residents were reassured by numerous KC officials involved with writing the zoning that 1) current conditions would be written into zoning and that community outcry would not be needed this time, and 2) that negative new uses would not be allowed. Special zoning overlays would MATCH the Agreement conditions of allowed uses and disallowed uses.
- The homeowners, residents, business owners and taxpayer stakeholders believed KC’s assurances and took the County officials at their word. They believed KC until the February meeting of the Greater Novelty Hill Community where County officials in a Q and A session explained that new uses would be permitted in the zoning and that the same new use as residents fought against in 2013 and 2016 would also be allowed. KC released a side-by-side comparison document of current conditions under the UPD and the proposed new uses the zoning would allow. The side-by-side document shocked the community. Several of the proposed new uses bring known negative impacts to nearby properties, businesses, and residences, with KC documents showing safety issues, crime increases, decreased property values, nuisance odors, and other serious negative

impacts from these types of uses.

- The Redmond Ridge East Community questions why King County would say they would zone to match the UPD conditions but include many new allowable uses. Residents struggle to understand how KC said they will use customized special zoning overlays to zone for the real look and feel of the community as it exists today but then say they cannot use customized special zoning overlays. Families in our community reject KC excuses that the new uses may be unlikely, perhaps are improbable, might be unattractive or unprofitable for the new-zoning-allowed uses, and could be excluded from new uses by other variables. These are vague hopes, not real protections.
- King County did not write a zoning proposal that follows the Executive's directive to KC Council to zone "in a manner consistent with the development patterns in said agreements and reflecting current conditions in the area". They offer new uses that are not congruent with the community's repeated & consistently stated desires. Residents dare not place their trust in verbal promises from KC that things won't happen while the paperwork says the new uses are allowed to happen. Residents do not want to cross their fingers hoping that newly allowed uses don't become reality and that variances continue to remain effective means to block the shocking new uses, when they were promised protective zoning to prevent the new uses.
- Now residents are contacting King County to ask that the new uses be removed from the Comprehensive Plan Update. In the uncertain times brought by Covid-19, in person testimony to KC Council is replaced with online feedback. Those who rallied in large groups to testify to Council against the 2013 and 2016 changes are concerned that our collective voice has been stifled and that KC did not realize the depth of the community's concerns in the June 4th virtual meeting.
- **We are asking KC Council to please hear the voices of King County residents and constituents.**

From: [Christabel Fowler](#)
To: [Dembowski, Rod](#); [Zahilay, Girmay](#); [Lambert, Kathy](#); [Kohl-Welles, Jeanne](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [von Reichbauer, Pete](#); [McDermott, Joe](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Cc: action@skywaycoalition.org
Subject: Vote YES to Broaden the Skyway Subarea Plan and Fund Skyway Community Priorities
Date: Monday, July 20, 2020 7:14:28 AM

To All King County Councilmembers:

Today I'm writing to ask you to support the Skyway community's demands for equity, housing affordability and stability, and economic opportunity in the Skyway Community. Specifically, I urge you to vote YES on the following:

*Broaden the Skyway subarea plan to include more than land use and zoning changes by voting IN FAVOR OF THE STRIKING AMENDMENT S4 TO 2020 KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE [THE SECTIONS RELATED TO SKYWAY], in order to: 1) put aggressive anti-displacement strategies in place; and 2) build and execute a community-driven development plan by and for Black and POC who live here, not just for the land.

*Allocate funding to high priority community needs in the upcoming biennial budget, with significant input from the community to prioritize the list.

ADDITIONAL DEMANDS:

*Allocate marijuana tax dollars to invest in affordable housing and economic development in Skyway.

*\$10 million - invest in purchase of one Skyway property for affordable housing.

*\$55 million - invest in the development of a multi-service community/cultural center for programming and social services. Plus \$5 million additional support for operating costs for Black and POC-led community-based organizations in Skyway to manage the center.

Community has been advocating for this since 2008.

After decades of disinvestment in our community, we the people demand that you invest in Skyway today.

From: [Robin Briggs](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: fossil fuel infrastructure ban
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 8:21:21 PM

I live in Seattle, and am writing to support Dave Upthegrove's amendment to make the fossil fuel moratorium a permanent ban. We need this for our health, and we also need it to fight climate change. Thanks.

Robin Briggs

From: [PAUL PATU](#)
To: [Dembowski, Rod](#); [Zahilay, Girmay](#); [Lambert, Kathy](#); [Kohl-Welles, Jeanne](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [von Reichbauer, Pete](#); [McDermott, Joe](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Cc: action@skywaycoalition.org
Subject: Vote YES to Broaden the Skyway Subarea Plan and Fund Skyway Community Priorities
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 7:34:33 PM

To All King County Councilmembers:

Today I'm writing to ask you to support the Skyway community's demands for equity, housing affordability and stability, and economic opportunity in the Skyway Community. Specifically, I urge you to vote YES on the following:

*Broaden the Skyway subarea plan to include more than land use and zoning changes by voting IN FAVOR OF THE STRIKING AMENDMENT S4 TO 2020 KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE [THE SECTIONS RELATED TO SKYWAY], in order to: 1) put aggressive anti-displacement strategies in place; and 2) build and execute a community-driven development plan by and for Black and POC who live here, not just for the land.

*Allocate funding to high priority community needs in the upcoming biennial budget, with significant input from the community to prioritize the list.

ADDITIONAL DEMANDS:

*Allocate marijuana tax dollars to invest in affordable housing and economic development in Skyway.

*\$10 million - invest in purchase of one Skyway property for affordable housing.

*\$55 million - invest in the development of a multi-service community/cultural center for programming and social services. Plus \$5 million additional support for operating costs for Black and POC-led community-based organizations in Skyway to manage the center. Community has been advocating for this since 2008.

After decades of disinvestment in our community, we the people demand that you invest in Skyway today.

“When the student is ready...the teacher will appear”

From: [Eve Ong](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Review of the Redmond Ridge and Trilogy UPD Comparison Chart with King County Code Chapter 21A.08
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 6:05:48 PM

To King County Mobility and Review Committee, King County Representatives of the Department of Local Services and King County Councilmembers:

This letter is in response to the review of the Redmond Ridge and Trilogy UPD Comparison Chart with King County Code Chapter 21A.08 – Permitted Uses, and Striking Amendment S1 to proposed ordinance 2019-0413.

Based on this detailed comparison chart which includes the Draft Comprehensive Use plan and new zoning changes, specifically referencing the Redmond Ridge Residential Owners Association and Master Planned Community, we would like to reiterate our position that it is imperative that the intent and the tested protections of the current UPD guidelines remain intact.

The only means to maintain the vitality of our community is to prevent negative business impacts and to preserve property values by upholding current UPD use restrictions for businesses.

Based upon King County Code 21A.38.100 Special district overlay - commercial/industrial. A. The purpose of the commercial/industrial special district overlay is to accommodate and support existing commercial/industrial areas outside of activity centers by providing incentives for the redevelopment of underutilized commercial or industrial lands and by permitting a range of appropriate uses consistent with maintaining the quality of nearby residential areas.

We strongly encourage the comparison chart's newly allowed uses be:

- 1) removed from the comprehensive plan, and/or
- 2) the adoption of an additional special district overlay to ensure the integrity of the UPD be maintained, and the land use remain consistent with maintaining the quality of the nearby residential areas, per K.C.C. 21A.38.100.A.

We believe that this request is also consistent with King County Council's Motion 15329, Attachment A, Section II. Area Zoning and Land Use Proposals, which states: In advance of the expiration of development agreements for the Bear Creek Urban Planned Developments (Redmond Ridge, Trilogy, and Redmond Ridge East), review and establish the comprehensive plan land use designation and zoning classifications in a manner consistent with the development patterns in said agreements and reflecting current conditions in the area.

Thank you for your time and consideration of the above requests and recommendations.

Yours Truly,

Eve Ong

Resident at Redmond Ridge

From: [mohan.nalinaranjan](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: RE: New zoning CONCERNS for business park Redmond Ridge (Unincorporated King County 98053)
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:40:10 PM

Dear King County Council Members

I am a resident of Redmond Ridge for 8 years and have raised my two daughters here. Making money should not be the only motivation that we strive for.

I would like to emphasize that I am concerned and distressed about the new uses that are made possible by the proposed new UPD. As you heard from my neighbors, Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East communities are characterized by diversity, young families, thousands of school going children and people who love nature.

I strongly oppose any language that would implicitly allow the proposed uses including and not limited to:

1. Helipad
2. Sex offender support facilities
3. Prison
4. Solid waste dump facilities
5. Marijuana sale and distribution
6. Marijuana manufacturing
7. Adult entertainment

These are not congruous to the community character. They will cause pollution and increase crime. It will seriously hurt our property values. Like many of my neighbors, I invested my lifetime savings into my house and am very worried about the irreparable damage it will create to my home value.

In 2013 and 2016, you have worked with us and did not let marijuana business force their way into the community. In the ensuing years you have repeatedly assured us that any UPD update will preserve and protect the original intent and keep the community safe. Please do not go back on your promises.

As such, we do not have any local governance or representation. We do not have the same executive muscle as incorporated cities do. We have very little law enforcement coverage and services. Yet we are being stymied time and again with proposals that will destroy the community safety and health.

As you also heard from Skyway residents, their suffering should tell you, that a mistake was made. You cannot correct a mistake by making two or more same mistakes, which you will with the proposed UPD. Instead you should use current UPD of RR/RRE/Trilogy as a model to emulate across the entire county and make it a vibrant, peaceful and prosperous county. I do not wish any community to go through the suffering and irreversible damage.

Council Member Kathy Lambert has introduced some amendments to restrict marijuana and adult

entertainment. However, these are:

1. Neither adequate nor comprehensive
2. Have serious loopholes
3. Can be easily overcome with wicked intent

I hope you will see the issue with the best interests of community residents as the top priority and protect their property values and preserve the character of the community for the foreseeable future. Please remove any implicit proposed land uses that are detrimental to the community.

As I conclude, I'd like to ask you to engage with the community and the owners' association openly and not suppress their opinions. For a while now, we have seen disengagement and rejection by the council.

Many thanks for your time and consideration.

Mohan Nalinaranjan

From: [Neeta Nalinarajan](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#); [von Reichbauer, Pete](#); [pete.vonreichbauer@kingcounty.go](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#)
Cc: [Calderon, Angelica](#); [Calderon, Angelica](#); [AskLocalServices](#); [AskLocalServices](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#)
Subject: CONCERNS over proposed new zoning changes
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 5:28:28 PM

Dear King County Council Members

I am a resident of Redmond Ridge for 8 years and have raised my two daughters here.

I would like to emphasize that I am concerned and distressed about the new uses that are made possible by the proposed new UPD. As you heard from my neighbors, Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East communities are characterized by diversity, young families, thousands of school going children and people who love nature.

I strongly oppose any language that would implicitly allow the proposed uses including and not limited to:

1. Helipad
2. Sex offender support facilities
3. Prison
4. Solid waste dump facilities
5. Marijuana sale and distribution
6. Marijuana manufacturing
7. Adult entertainment

These are not congruous to the community character. They will cause pollution and increase crime. It will seriously hurt our property values. Like many of my neighbors, I invested my lifetime savings into my house and am very worried about the irreparable damage it will create to my home value.

In 2013 and 2016, you have worked with us and did not let marijuana business force their way into the community. In the ensuing years you have repeatedly assured us that any UPD update will preserve and protect the original intent and keep the community safe. Please do not go back on your promises.

As such, we do not have any local governance or representation. We do not have the same executive muscle as incorporated cities do. We have very little law enforcement coverage and services. Yet we are being stymied time and again with proposals that will destroy the community safety and health.

As you also heard from Skyway residents, their suffering should tell you, that a mistake was made. You cannot correct a mistake by making two or more same mistakes, which you will with the proposed UPD. Instead you should use current UPD of RR/RRE/Trilogy as a model to emulate across the entire county and make it a vibrant, peaceful and prosperous county. I do not wish any community to go through the suffering and irreversible damage.

Council Member Kathy Lambert has introduced some amendments to restrict marijuana and adult entertainment. However, these are:

1. Neither adequate nor comprehensive
2. Have serious loopholes
3. Can be easily overcome with wicked intent

I hope you will see the issue with the best interests of community residents as the top priority and protect their property values and preserve the character of the community for the foreseeable future. Please remove any implicit proposed land uses that are detrimental to the community.

As I conclude, I'd like to ask you to engage with the community and the owners' association openly and not suppress their opinions. For a while now, we have seen disengagement and rejection by the council.

Many thanks for your time and consideration.

Neeta Nalinaranjan

From: [Ryan Quigtar](#)
To: [Dembowski, Rod](#); [Zahilay, Girmay](#); [Lambert, Kathy](#); [Kohl-Welles, Jeanne](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [von Reichbauer, Pete](#); [McDermott, Joe](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Cc: action@skywaycoalition.org
Subject: Vote YES to Broaden the Skyway Subarea Plan and Fund Skyway Community Priorities
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 3:22:16 PM

To All King County Councilmembers:

Today I'm writing to ask you to support the Skyway community's demands for equity, housing affordability and stability, and economic opportunity in the Skyway Community. Specifically, I urge you to vote YES on the following:

*Broaden the Skyway subarea plan to include more than land use and zoning changes by voting IN FAVOR OF THE STRIKING AMENDMENT S4 TO 2020 KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE [THE SECTIONS RELATED TO SKYWAY], in order to: 1) put aggressive anti-displacement strategies in place; and 2) build and execute a community-driven development plan by and for Black and POC who live here, not just for the land.

*Allocate funding to high priority community needs in the upcoming biennial budget, with significant input from the community to prioritize the list.

ADDITIONAL DEMANDS:

*Allocate marijuana tax dollars to invest in affordable housing and economic development in Skyway.

*\$10 million - invest in purchase of one Skyway property for affordable housing.

*\$55 million - invest in the development of a multi-service community/cultural center for programming and social services. Plus \$5 million additional support for operating costs for Black and POC-led community-based organizations in Skyway to manage the center. Community has been advocating for this since 2008.

After decades of disinvestment in our community, we the people demand that you invest in Skyway today.

Thank you,
Ryan Quigtar

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Jean Buckner](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Time sensitive - Might we get a copy of the Comp plan with all the amendments redlined or highlighted?
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 2:35:26 PM

North Bend has insufficient water to continue development as the mitigation requirement set in their 2009 Water Right Permit have still not been delivered. The Snoqualmie River remains in danger. Also, we believe the City's actual residential numbers have skyrocketed and, based on our preliminary analysis are likely in exceedance of the 2031 GMTs. Do you have up to date number of where North Bend is relative to their Growth Management Targets and if so, would you please send them to us? Also have they proposed an expansion of their targets? Might we get a copy of the Comp plan and if possible, please include all the presently relevant amendments redlined or highlighted? Your help is greatly appreciated.

Best,

Jean

Jean Buckner, EdD - President of Friends of The Snoqualmie Valley Trail and River
Facebook: [The Friends of The Snoqualmie Valley Trail and River](#)
Website: <http://fosvtr.org/>
GoFundMe: <https://www.gofundme.com/Friends-of-The-Snoqualmie-Valley-Trail-and-River>
Phone: 425-747-9187; Cell 425-766-8595

From: [Christina Black](#)
To: [Dembowski, Rod](#); [Zahilay, Girmay](#); [Lambert, Kathy](#); [Kohl-Welles, Jeanne](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [von Reichbauer, Pete](#); [McDermott, Joe](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Cc: action@skywaycoalition.org
Subject: Vote YES to Broaden the Skyway Subarea Plan and Fund Skyway Community Priorities
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 2:13:35 PM

To All King County Councilmembers:

Today I'm writing to ask you to support the Skyway community's demands for equity, housing affordability and stability, and economic opportunity in the Skyway Community. Specifically, I urge you to vote YES on the following:

*Broaden the Skyway subarea plan to include more than land use and zoning changes by voting IN FAVOR OF THE STRIKING AMENDMENT S4 TO 2020 KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE [THE SECTIONS RELATED TO SKYWAY], in order to: 1) put aggressive anti-displacement strategies in place; and 2) build and execute a community-driven development plan by and for Black and POC who live here, not just for the land.

*Allocate funding to high priority community needs in the upcoming biennial budget, with significant input from the community to prioritize the list.

ADDITIONAL DEMANDS:

*Allocate marijuana tax dollars to invest in affordable housing and economic development in Skyway.

*\$10 million - invest in purchase of one Skyway property for affordable housing.

*\$55 million - invest in the development of a multi-service community/cultural center for programming and social services. Plus \$5 million additional support for operating costs for Black and POC-led community-based organizations in Skyway to manage the center. Community has been advocating for this since 2008.

After decades of disinvestment in our community, we the people demand that you invest in Skyway today.

Thank you!
Christina Black
206-383-7251
12660 74th Place South
Seattle, WA 98178

From: [Jonathon Pinter](#)
To: [Dembowski, Rod](#); [Zahilay, Girmay](#); [Lambert, Kathy](#); [Kohl-Welles, Jeanne](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [von Reichbauer, Pete](#); [McDermott, Joe](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Cc: action@skywaycoalition.org
Subject: Vote YES to Broaden the Skyway Subarea Plan and Fund Skyway Community Priorities
Date: Sunday, July 19, 2020 1:55:10 PM

To All King County Councilmembers:

Today I'm writing to ask you to support the Skyway community's demands for equity, housing affordability and stability, and economic opportunity in the Skyway Community. Specifically, I urge you to vote YES on the following:

*Broaden the Skyway subarea plan to include more than land use and zoning changes by voting IN FAVOR OF THE STRIKING AMENDMENT S4 TO 2020 KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE [THE SECTIONS RELATED TO SKYWAY], in order to: 1) put aggressive anti-displacement strategies in place; and 2) build and execute a community-driven development plan by and for Black and POC who live here, not just for the land.

*Allocate funding to high priority community needs in the upcoming biennial budget, with significant input from the community to prioritize the list.

ADDITIONAL DEMANDS:

*Allocate marijuana tax dollars to invest in affordable housing and economic development in Skyway.

*\$10 million - invest in purchase of one Skyway property for affordable housing.

*\$55 million - invest in the development of a multi-service community/cultural center for programming and social services. Plus \$5 million additional support for operating costs for Black and POC-led community-based organizations in Skyway to manage the center. Community has been advocating for this since 2008.

After decades of disinvestment in our community, we the people demand that you invest in Skyway today.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Brian Simpson](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: abolish police
Date: Saturday, July 18, 2020 3:43:35 PM

abolish police
abolish prisons
abolish jails
abolish the criminal justice system
disarm the police
legalize all drugs
end SWAT
housing, food, healthcare, college education are rights not privileges

From: [Wei Tao](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Redmond Ridge zoning concerns
Date: Saturday, July 18, 2020 2:36:41 PM

Dear Council,

I am very concerned about the new zoning proposal for the Redmond Ridge.

Redmond Ridge is a family community. Most families living here have school age or younger kids. My daughter walks or bikes to Timberline middle school every day. Many kids walk to the nearby tennis club or dance club by themselves. It is really inappropriate to have adult entertainment in this area.

Please don't make this dense residential area a heavy industrial zone. The Redmond Ridge business park is right next to a daycare center, a community baseball field, two schools, and many residential homes.

Thank you for your consideration. Please vote No to this rezoning proposal.

Best regards,
Wei

From: [Beth Hintz](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: In support of Skyway elements of KCCP Striking Amendment S4
Date: Saturday, July 18, 2020 1:50:59 PM

Hello,

I am a resident of Skyway. I'm writing in support of the Skyway elements of KCCP Striking Amendment S4.

While I have only lived in Skyway for two years, I have gotten involved in local issues, and I have learned a lot about the fight for equitable resources and services that Black and Brown community leaders and residents have been engaged in for decades, with little to no--and sometimes oppositional--action by King County. I am committed to being an ally in the fight for **Black and people of color-led community development without displacement in Skyway.**

That is why I support the comprehensive plan striking amendment 4--specifically the elements related to CSA subarea planning and Skyway. I have heard many residents from other regions opposing specific elements of KCCP striking amendment (most recently S2, not sure how those other people feel about S3/S4). **If necessary, I urge you to separate those pieces out to honor those residents' demands, but VOTE YES ON THE ELEMENTS PERTAINING TO SKYWAY** (the Community Service Area (CSA) Subarea Planning Changes in KCCP Chapter 11 and Chapter 12, K.C.C. Title 2 and Title 20 and the Skyway-West Hill Plan, and associated Code changes, and map amendments – Proposed Ordinance, Attachments A, F (Subarea Plan) and G (Land Use and Zoning Map Amendments) Changes in KCCP Chapter 11, K.C.C. Title 20 and Title 21A.

In addition, I urge King County to involve Skyway community members--in particular Black and Brown residents of this community--in the upcoming budget process and to prioritize budget allocation to a community-informed, community-prioritized needs list. Skyway community leaders are already working hard to make recommendations on prioritization and will be working hard to get broader input from community members, as well. I ask you to allocate **significant budget resources to Skyway community needs, to start to make up for the decades King County has not allocated funding to Skyway.**

Thank you,
Beth Hintz
Skyway resident

From: [Farhat Godil](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Redmond Ridge UDP Zoning
Date: Saturday, July 18, 2020 12:14:29 PM

Dear Council Members,

Thank you for taking the time to hear the voices of those most impacted by the proposed changes to the communities of Redmond Ridge, Redmond Ridge East and Trilogy.

I, like most of my neighbors, feel very confused and upset by the proposed changes to our zoning. We chose this community for many reasons including its profusion of nature, abundance of children and families from differing cultures and socio-economic backgrounds, and quality schools. We felt it was a beautiful, safe and healthy environment in which to raise our family and believed it would remain so based on the agreements we understood to be in place. It was a surprise the first time we found ourselves having to fight to keep inappropriate businesses out of our residential neighborhood that includes critical and protected environmental areas. I was proud that our community, it's leaders and common sense prevailed. I was shocked when we had to go through it a second time. But when ultimately, a marijuana factory was not allowed to move in, reassured that our leaders understood and upheld the original intent, agreements and promises of our community – not only for the residents but for the protected wetlands and animals within. We thought we had laid the matter to rest.

When once again we learned that wildly inappropriate businesses were being proposed, we felt betrayed. We had heard so many promises that our agreements would be upheld. We have proven time and again that this is a residential community with protected wetlands and UPDs and zoning that defines and protects the original and promised intent of these communities. We were told, clearly and unequivocally that businesses known to bring negative impacts would not be permitted, so the current zoning proposal needs to be amended. We have three schools in walking distance and critical environmental areas surround us. There is no way that it is appropriate or acceptable in any way to allow the following in our community:

- Adult Entertainment
- Marijuana Processing
- Wastewater Treatment, Landfill, or Transfer Station
- Petroleum Refining
- Primary Metal Industries
- Industrial Launderers or Dry-Cleaning Plant
- Asphalt or Concrete Plant
- Fossil Fuel or Renewable Energy Generation Facility

They are a threat and a danger to the health and wellbeing of the nature, humans and wildlife, in addition to a violation of the intent and promises of the community we bought our home in.

I am asking that you vote to uphold and protect the current use conditions of our original UPD, zone the community accordingly and maintain the integrity of our community and your leadership as our representatives.

Sincerely,
Farhat Godil
Redmond Ridge Resident for 10 years

From: quarantine@messaging.microsoft.com
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Spam Notification: 1 New Messages
Date: Friday, July 17, 2020 6:42:30 PM



Review These Messages

1 messages are being held for you to review as of **7/18/2020 12:00:00 AM (UTC)**.

Review them within **30 days of the received date** by going to the Quarantine page in the Security & Compliance Center.

Prevented spam messages

Sender: michaelvsh@aol.com
Subject: Vashon Island Incorporation as a Town
Date: 7/17/2020 10:46:23 PM

Block Sender

Release

Review

© 2020 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved.

[Privacy Statement](#)

[Acceptable Use Policy](#)

From: [Ingrid Archibald](#)
To: [Dembowski, Rod](#); [Zahilay, Girmay](#); [Lambert, Kathy](#); [Kohl-Welles, Jeanne](#); [Upthegrove, Dave](#); [Balducci, Claudia](#); [von Reichbauer, Pete](#); [McDermott, Joe](#); [Dunn, Reagan](#); [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Our community is calling for bold protections from fossil fuels
Date: Friday, July 17, 2020 4:05:10 PM
Attachments: [Petitions in support of permanent protections from fossil fuels.pdf](#)

Dear Councilmembers,

My name is Ingrid Archibald, I'm a King County resident and an organizer at [Stand.earth](#). My team and I support communities mobilizing to end local fossil fuel expansion and secure a clean energy future, through the SAFE Cities movement.

My team and I are very excited for the upcoming hearing and vote on Tuesday – we hope that you all will vote in favor of strong protections from fossil fuel threats. This is an incredible opportunity to serve as a model in this growing movement, and we strongly believe that bold leadership from King County will set the pace for the rest of Washington and the country.

Stand's community of leaders and activists in King County have sent in letters, called, and signed our petition (below) calling on this Council to adopt strong proposals for the Comprehensive Plan. **I'm attaching the names of 519 individuals who signed on to support permanent and bold protections from fossil fuel expansion in King County.**

Here's the letter our community signed:

Right now, there's a proposal on the table for King County that, if passed, would take huge steps to phase out toxic fossil fuels and fast-track clean energy solutions.

Community organizers at 350 Seattle organized and fought hard to make King County a leader in the fight against fossil fuel expansion. In a huge victory last January, King County passed a temporary moratorium on new fossil fuel infrastructure – and now it's time to pass permanent protections.

The County Council has the power to make protections against oil and gas expansion even better and bolder, but only if there's a huge outpouring of public support before the comment deadline on July 7th.

Join local activists in calling on the King County Council to enforce a robust review process with strong environmental and public health considerations, to hold oil and gas corporations accountable for the risks and costs of their facilities in our communities, and to meaningfully consult with Tribes when a proposed fossil fuel project crosses waterways and treaty lands.

Will you sign on to support stronger protections against fossil fuel expansion in King County and a more just, healthy climate future for all?

We hope that you will be guided by highly impacted communities, environmentalists, scientists and health care professionals, workers, and all King County residents who are calling on you to take this opportunity to lead us into a better future.

Thank you for all you do for this community,

Ingrid Archibald

Ingrid Archibald

SAFE Cities Field Organizer

she/they

C: 323.376.6555

I live and work on unceded ancestral lands of the Duwamish people – the first people of Seattle, WA. If you also occupy Duwamish land, I encourage you to consider paying [Real Rent](#).

Stand is an advocacy organization that brings people together to demand that corporations and governments put people and the environment first.



First Name	Last Name	Zip or Postal Code
Victoria	Urias	98125
Ruth Neuwal	Falcon	98125
Leon	Robert	98107
Ann	Becherer	98004
Sharon	Burke	98126
Shary	B	98101
David	Stetler	98034
Alexa	Fay	98103
Noel	Barnes	98058
I.	DANILOVS	98008
Matthew	Boguske	98052
RICHARD	HODGIN	98115
Mary Kristin	Michael	98136-2205
Kyle	Petlock	98103
Victoria	Holman	98002
Nancy	Ellingham	98040
Joyce	Grajczyk	98031
debbie	thorn	98033
John	Dunn	98070
melodie	martin	98102
Jeannie	Park	98103
Rory	Link	98103
Anthony	Buch	98115
Steve	Uyenishi	98115-6009
Rich	Lague	98117-3014
JoAnn	Keenan	98125
Clayton	Jones	98168
Guy	Chan	98195
Alba	Sari	98144
Pawiter	Parhar	98056
Nance	Epstein	98034
Laura	Reigel	98110
Michael	Williams	98004
YING	COOPER	98004
CHARLES	POMEROY	98005
Vonda	Vandaveer	98005
Cindy	Williamson	98006
Judy	Tralnes	98009
Magdalena	Dittmar	98023
Tara	Leigh	98023
Kristina	Gravette	98027
Lynnette	Anderson	98029

Elon	de Arcana	98208
Diane	Weinstein	98029
Mr. Shelley	Dahlgren, Ph	98029
Charles	Ellenberger	98031
Donna	Chatel	98033
PAM	BONAVENTU	98039
Jean	Conley	98042
Lisa	Karas	98042
Kathlene	Croasdale	98052
Jayson	Luu	98055
Diane	Bisset	98056
N	Robinson	98058
Kris	Brown	98058
Elizabeth	Nedeff	98058
Leonard	Obert	98059
Harry	Gerecke	98070
Jackie	Cole	98072
Suzanne	Hamer	98072
Nancy	Peters	98033
Jonny	Hahn	98101
Scott	Species	98101
Bobby	Righi	98103
John	Birnel	98103
Judy	Anderson	98103
Lauren	Tozzi	98103
Lynne	Bannerman	98103
Janice	Holkup	98103
Asphodel	Denning	98104
Linda	Dodson	98104
Stephanie	Broughton	98105
Julia	Larsen	98105
Angie	Nall	98106
Joan	DeVries	98107
Jared	Howe	98108
Jay	Hollingswort	98108
Sarah	Kavage	98112
Cynthia	Jatul	98115
Terry	Markmann	98115
Anne	Thureson	98116-2069
Monica	Miklova	98116
Jennifer	Mazuca	98116
Joanne	Watchie	98116
Marie	Miles	98116

Sara	Williams	98116
Andrew	R	98115
Delia	Gerhard	98117
Kathryn	Lambros	98117
Margaret	Graham	98117
Mary	Keeler	98117
Heidi	Klee	98117
p	perron	98117
Sue	Gibbs	98118
Peter	Renner	98119
Andrew	Wilks	98122
Kathryn	Fletcher	98122
Patricia	Fong	98122
Anita	Das	98125
carrie	lafferty	98133
Michael J.	Dabrowski	98133
Jennifer	Nelson	98133-8027
Holger	Mathews	98134
MARGIE	SORLIE	98136
Barbara	Gulbran	98126
Valentina	warner	98144
Sally	Hurdt	98144-7025
Sophia	Keller	98146
Kimberly	Seater	98146
Dominic	Petoud	98146
Rev. Amy	Hitchens	98148
Claudia	Morgan	98155
Kristina	Fury	98155
Lawrence	Gales	98155-6543
Colleen	Hinton	98166
Gabriel	Newton	98166
Nancy	Kick	98166
Nathan	Tallar	98166
Sally	Mackey	98166
Thomas	Furlong	98405
Johnny	Townsend	98178
carrie	foster camp	98198
Anne	Kroeker	98198
Barbara	CHIN	98198-7404
G	Washburn	98199
Lester	Thompson	98199-4016
Diana	Johnson	98116
Sharon	Parshall	98024-0593

Tim	Rettmann	98155
Patrick	Conn	98031-9669
Margaret	McKasy	98116
Steve	Ditore	98125
Kathy	Golic	98045
Carolyn	Jscobson	98023
Nancy	Dahlberg	98107-3057
Liza	Martin	98008
Delorse	Lovelady	98028-7945
miriam	israel	98117
r	wood	98105
Donna	Rowland	98126
Susan	MacGregor	98052
Lisa	Halpern	98118
Darius	Mitchell	98199
Kevin	Milam	98117
Jo	Harvey	98047
William	Osmer	98029
Lorraine	Johnson	98125
Joy	Bo	98105
John	Guros	98198
George	Summers	98144-3463
David	Peha	98053
Patricia	Rodgers	98034-5324
Karris	Shia	98118
Sherry	Bupp	98052
Yolanda	Sayles	98335
Giles	Sydnor	98107
Tracy	Wang	98107
Randal	Jeter	98118
Pamela	Rains	98029
Loretta	Arvizu	98072
Cynthia	Lachance	98055
Judith	Ryan	98133
Tammi	Turner	98027
Megan	Greenfield	98103
Jay	Hollingswort	98108
Paul	Parker	98133
Lori	Spears	98070
Virginia	Paulsen	98155
Fiona	Crocker	98034
deborah	merrill	98177
Peggy J.	Printz	98115

Carol	Turnidge	98042
R	Weiss	98177
Susan	Loomis	98058
Kenneth	Geddes	98052
Lea	Rash	98092
Conor	Corkrum	98102
Jackie	Gause	98125
Jim and Nan	Roberts	98033
Emma	Klein	98118
Kristin	Crawford	98010
kathy samp	o Sompognaro	98122
J.	Weichman	98105
Madelon	Bolling	98115
Leonard	Elliott	98002
Karen	Loeser	98040
Kim	Steffen	98115
Eve	McClure	98144
Marshall	Wagner	9019
Adeline	Parker	98003
Anna	Harris	98118
Cathea	Stanley	98198
Christine	Psyk	98112
Christopher	Jeffries	98144
Debra	Scheuerman	98133
Dennis	Mace	98177
Gail	Hapeman	98070
John	Samaras	98125
Judy	Greene	98133
Keiko	Yanagihara	98040
Kimberly	Walker	98058
Linda	Cheng	98059
Lore	Wintergreen	98070
Matt	Shuh	98116
Meital	Smith	98115
Nancy	Nickerson	98155
Naomi	Botkin	98103
Robin	Barr	98125
Sharon	Pederslie	98112
Tamara	Kustka	98146
TERRILL	CHANG	98166-3268
U	M	98027
E	Clark	98107
Tana	Anderson	98074

Darlene	Baker	98075
Christy	Bear	98007
Alfred	Birnbaum	98115
Kathleen	Boley	98155
Julia	Brasch	98122
Wally	Bubelis	98136
Annette	Frisbie	98004
Gary	Gill	98038
Deanna	Glass	98133
Linda	Golley	98032
Blanche	Hill	98166
Maureen	Kearney	98116
Nicholas	Kovalcik	98053
Brian	Kramer	98125
Diane	Langgin	98122
L.	McLean	98117
Joe	Mayo	98112
Ruth	Mulligan	98101
Cece	P	98118
Spencer	Rawls	98144
Roger	Robinson	98101
margot	rosenberg	98118
Mark	Russell	98136
Barbara	Sim	98105
Friend	Friend	98116
Jennifer	Smoose	98122
Sara	Stalman MD	98103
Janie	Starr	98070
David	Rosenberg	98136
Steve	Steakley	98121
Wm	Jones	98201
Elizabeth	Blakney	98119
D.	Bouta	98032
Curtis	Whelpley	98052
Andrea	Swickard	98166
Ursula	Myers	98038
Jorge	Harcia	99032
Eric	Clifton	98146
Michael	Hartley	98106
LaVonne	Paul	98092
Madeleine	Taney	98003
Helen	Kuhar	98116
Charlie	Fink	98116

Betty	McNiel	98006
Hanna	Victory	98011
Elizabeth	Cunningham	98107
James	H	98052
Lynda	Bennett	98001
Mel	ONeal	98118
Alice	Prugh	98103
Teresa	Kogan	98070
clayton	murray	98133
Janice	Lonergan	98178
Maureen	O'Reilly	98155
Dennis	Raymond	98117
Kathleen	Weller	98030
Maggie	Keech	98203
Jeff	Marcin	98027
MaryJane	Brown	98052
Erenie	Skouras	98125
Deborah	Fountain	98178
Adam	Rogers	98045
Jackie	Goedde	98270
Sharon	Maffett	32312
John	Hannaman	98030
Stephanie	Weiss	98144
L	M	98101
Catherine	Adams	98108
Quiauhxochi	Martinez	98198
Megan	Rodenbeck	98122
LaVonne	Paul	98092
Chris	Olson	98003
Janet	Riordan	98177
Kay	Taylor	98106
Roger	McMullan	98105
SJ	Wall	98146
Carlee	Savage	98133
Donna	De La Cruz	98031
Leslie	Masters	98033
Sharlot	Tietjen	98125
Keri	Short	98144
T	Payne-Cuswo	98296
James	Ellis	98045
Jae	Geller	98052
Paula	Derrington	98730
Anna	Power	98115

Janet	Kuhl	98103
Shari	Brown	98178
Don	Huling	98092
Britt	Berg	98058
Brian	Bygland	98031
Nancy	Runkle	98006
Jackson	Brown	98118
Jessie	Holloway	98065
Amanda	Baldacci	98391
John	Miller	98199
Linda	Shephard	98045
Marjon	Riekerk	98177
Jessica	Boger	98168
Mary Ann	Soule	98117
Angie	Mowrer	98133
Erin	Derrington	98005
MARIANNE	SCOTT	98003
Amanda	Engels	98136
Lynn	Rogers	98008
Julia	Gordon	98074
Danny	Mansmith	98146
Joni	Dennison	98003
Elizabeth	Gray	98072
Mari	Malcolm	98117
Alexis	Frane	98546
Anna	Bezzo-Clark	98028
Richard	Lancaster	98027
Linden	Kimbrough	98201
Aisha	Lane	98074
Lisa	Haglund	98103
Anita	Stegeman	98032
Madeline	Cole	98199
Sylwia	Jarosz	98036
Mary	Bell	98070
H	N	98118
Belinda	Monteferran	98945
L	Poetre	87136
Fariha	Sethi	98008
Leah	Stevenson	98109
Karen	O'Donnell	98168
Kathleen	Tillinghast	98033
Sharon	Goldberg-Ge	98125
Thomas	Wollam	98024

Dr. Cairo	D'Almeida	98188
Asantawa	Al-Kazim	98020
Amelia	Brower	98105
Wynne	Lavinthal	98033
Julie	Palumbo	98366
Becky	McCarther	98052
Jerry	DuPuis	98052
Aubrey	Morris	98103
Janet	Loreen-Mart	98004
Tracy	Patton	98125
Cristina	Martinez	98040
Michele	Giannini	98031
Joseph	Townsend	98133
Laurie	Tucker	98070
Tara	S	98045
Megan	Davies	98058
Shannon	Sullivan	98105
Thereesa	Langley	98032
Cynthia	Roberts	98037
Dawn	Uza	98116
Andrea	O,ÄôFerrall	98106
Taylor	Speegle	98119
Tailer	emrick	98055
April	Brown	98155
Hallie	Sykes	98209
Debra	Gard	98166
Jeremy	Kaufman	98146
Kristin	Rivas	98118
Reeca	Nash	98122
LaVonne	Ector	98146
Michelle	Conquest	98026
Vicki	Farmer	98144
Elisabeth	Davison	98036
Leanna	Patwell	98092
Bernedine	Lund	98003
Richard	Deskin III	98052
Julie	Cabell	98166
Kaylee	Becker	98052
Kaitlyn	Ault	98028
Bridget	O'Brien	98102
Christine	Angerer	98052
Dana	Catts	98136
Jane	Teske	98199

Jane	Storrs	98065
Kierstin	Swanson	98008
Todd	Bohannon	98053
Michael	Dillon	98199
Starcia	Willey	98199
Max	Romero	98103
Amy	Stromme	98125
Matthew	Benedict	98166
James	Little	98105
shaunna	mullins	98117
Debbie	Rowe	98092
Sharon	Vatne	98052
Donna	Rasmussen	98028
Zjannae	Baen	98092
Desiree	Hoolahan	98019
Kathleen	Harris	98156
Kayleigh	Capelle	98115
Sally	Rawlings	98115
Corrine	Anderson-Ke	98198
Scout	Khelian	98119
Audrey	Young	98057
Barbara	Kirkevold	98042
Melissa	Goshe	98116
Hui-Jung	Ko	98075
Teri	Cobourn	98188
Erin	Inclan	98112
Lina	Oppenheime	98118
Kevin	Klein	98012
Chelsea	Graham	98372
Adele	Breier	98074
Elizabeth	Pisciotta	98118
jennifer	riker	98177
Valerie	Hancock	98117
Lechai	Salah	98107
Serina	Patterson	98014
Meghan	Courtney	98030
Patty	Cole	98112
Susan	Pitiger	98070
Tasha	Delos Santos	98122
Kari	Aspaas	98146
David	Reeves	98198
Jan	Wilson	98155
Laura	Blankinship	98051

Justin	Hart	98056
Lalena	Fournier	98148
Marsha	Houk	98077
Adrienne	Byers	98002
Lynn	Ohls	98109
Brandon	Tuccori	98103
Colleen	Barrans	98208
Diane	Morrison	98126
Teresa	Luengo	98005
Laura	Nosko	98034
Tadd	Morgan	98119
Mike	Rule	98039
Anne	Beaverson	98102
Jennifer	Streit	98136
Aleana100	Waite	98118
Heather	Iverson	98106
Rebecca	Lombardo	98270
Anna	Zagorodniy	98116
Kathy	Sparks	98027
Elizabeth	Baze	98008
Tiffany	Lockhart	98105
marlene	allen	98107
Tim	Jaureguy	98105
Lana	Nyman	98199
Earlene	Benefield	98033
Deborah	Layton	98105
Susa	Oram	98026
Astrid	Sanna	98052
Nancy	Sanders	98122
Denise	Roux	98198
Ivy	Street	98002
Tova	Ramer	98115
Jessica	Hammer	98118
Matt	Remle	98108
Sonya	De Leon	99202
Jordan	Dreyer	98107
Daysha	Gunther	98133
Annette	Toutonghi	98107
Elana	Sulakshana	98122
Alicia	Keefe	98125
Nicole	Baker	98499
Audrey	Hansen	98109
Renee	Chu	98144

kent	willson	98115
Julie	Vanderschae	98382
Jade	Getz	98122
Beth	Murphy	98122
Diane	Benitez	33186
Faith	Fogarty	98103
Karen	Hyams	98077
Page	Atcheson	98070
Leila	El-Wakil	98117
carol	isaac	98119
Emily	Aring	98245
JoAnn	Polley	98370
Shira	SM	98225
Gloria	Sohappy Jon	98271
Christine	Lovelace	98021
Mark	Velez	98059
Ryan	Daniels	98122
Jeff	busch	98133
Cameron	Quinn	98042
Eric	Fernandez	98030
Karen	Crosby	98115
Esther	Brewer	98444
Anteia	DeLaney	98133
Elisha	Klco	98225
Niko	Hudecek	98103
Robert	Comstock	98057
Arielle	Knowles	98007
Saiga	Valentine	97219
David	Marshall	98178
Ira	Walker	98107
Cynthia	OKeefe	98056
Ruth	Schaefer	98139
Mandee	Fry	98177
Grace	Padelford	98034
Cheri	Settle	98377
Thorly	James	98166
Tanette	Landon	98042
Bianca	Green	98034
Susan	Moffitt	98125
Laurie	Black	98102
Diane	Tepfer	98118
Susannah	Miller	98117
Pascha	Schmidt	98133

Stephanie	White	20720
Norah	Willett	98125
Teresa	Selfe	98119
Jeri	Simpson	98030

From: [Barbara Hallowell](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: Redmond Ridge Golf Course --Comprehensive Plan Update
Date: Friday, July 17, 2020 3:27:04 PM

We were told that the new zoning use of R-1 for the golf course will be the same as the current zoning for Marymoor Park and that no less dense zoning use was possible for the golf course. We just want to make sure that this is true. We always thought that allowing one residence per 5 acres would be a more appropriate zoning for the golf course but if it can't be, then R-1 will have to do.

When we bought our homes in Trilogy, we were told by the developer (Shea) that the density of Trilogy was allowed because of the open area of the golf course. We don't know if this was or is true but we do know Shea sold about 1,500 houses in Trilogy based on the concept of the neighborhood including a golf course. The open area as you enter Trilogy is the result of holes 8, 9, 10 and 18 abutting Trilogy Parkway. The entire community benefits from this wonderful entry way. Many of the owners of the lots adjacent to the golf course paid \$100,000.00 or more extra to have their homes abutt the golf course. We know that the golf course matters strongly to many of the homeowners in Trilogy.

When King County representatives came out to Trilogy, they said "trust King County as the County wants to keep Redmond Ridge Golf Course active and alive" or words to that effect. We just want to make sure that the Council is aware that we strongly desire that the Redmond Ridge Golf Course remains an active 18 hole golf course.

Thank you. Barbara Hallowell and Joseph Romatowski, Trilogy homeowners

From: [Priscilla Martinez](#)
To: [Policy Staff, Council CompPlan](#)
Subject: 2020 Comprehensive Plan
Date: Friday, July 17, 2020 2:48:12 PM

We need to take better care of what is left of our environment, for our people, wildlife, and marine life.