


DAJD PREA Annual Report

2019 Reported Incident Data

Prepared by: Dawn Holmes, PREA Coordinator



2019

Contents

PREA Intent, Purpose & Background 3

Set of Definitions/Acronyms: 4

Population Information..... 6

PREA Cases & Allegations/Reports 7

 PREA Allegations by Facility 8

 Findings of Allegation Investigations 8

 PREA Allegations by Investigation Determination 11

Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee 12

Summary of Corrective Actions 12

 King County Correctional Facility (KCCF) 12

 Regional Justice Center (RJC) 12

 Juvenile Detention Facility 13

 Work, Education & Release (WER) 13

References 13

Appendix A. PREA Annual Report Methodology..... 14



Department of Adult
& Juvenile Detention

PRISON RAPE ELIMINATION ACT (PREA) ANNUAL REPORT

This Annual Report of the King County Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention includes PREA information for the Adult Jails (KCCF & RJC), Juvenile Facility, and Work Release (WER).

PREA Intent, Purpose & Background

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) was established in 2003 to address the problem of sexual abuse and sexual harassment of persons in the custody of U.S. correctional agencies. Major provisions of PREA include the development of standards for detection, prevention, reduction and punishment of prison rape. The Act applies to all public and private institutions that house adult or juvenile offenders and is also relevant to community-based agencies. DAJD has adopted a zero tolerance policy on issues pertaining to sexual abuse and sexual harassment involving inmates and is working continuously to implement new policies, training requirements for staff and inmates, and standards for detection, prevention, reduction and punishment of prison rape.

PREA requires that each facility collect and review data "...in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies and training" (Standard 115.88a). In addition, PREA requires all correctional facilities to conduct sexual abuse incident reviews and collect "accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions." (Standard 115.87 a).

Annual reports from prior years can be retrieved online at:

<https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/jails/prison-rape-elimination-act.aspx>

Set of Definitions/Acronyms:

ADP: Average Daily Population

Allegation: A claim or assertion that someone has done something illegal or wrong, typically one made without or prior to proof. The term “PREA Allegations/Reports” refers to claims made by each and every victim and suspect involved in a PREA Case to ensure all distinct attributes (race, gender, age, etc.) are acknowledged and included in the data provided.

BJS: Bureau of Justice Statistics

DOJ: Department of Justice

Inmate Abusive Sexual Contact: Sexual contact of any person without his or her consent, or of a person who is unable to consent or refuse; And Intentional touching, either directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks of any person. [PREA 28 CFR Part 115] [SURVEY OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION]

Inmate Nonconsensual Sexual Act/Abuse: Any of the following acts between inmates, detainees, or residents if the victim does not consent, is coerced into such act by overt or implied threats of violence, or is unable to consent or refuse: contact between genitals, between mouth and genitals, penetration of genital or anal opening of another person, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument; [PREA 28 CFR Part 115] [SURVEY OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION]

Inmate Sexual Harassment: Repeated and unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or verbal comments, gestures, or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature by one inmate, detainee, or resident directed toward another. EXCLUDE incidents in which the contact was incidental to a physical altercation. [PREA 28 CFR Part 115] [SURVEY OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION]

KCCF: King County Correctional Facility

PREA: Prison Rape Elimination Act

PREA Allegation/Report: Counts each and every victim and suspect involved in PREA Cases to ensure all distinct attributes (race, gender, age, etc.) are acknowledged and included in the data provided. The data in these tables will not match the exact number of PREA Cases due to multiple victims or suspects included in some PREA Cases.

PREA Case: A situation or set of incidents that may involve the same victims and suspects on particular incident date(s). We see each PREA Case as a set of alleged actions linked together by virtue of the same incident date and suspect(s) or different dates involving the same victim and suspect over time.

RJC: Regional Justice Center

SAIRC: Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee

Staff Sexual Harassment: Repeated and unwelcome verbal comments or gestures of a sexual nature to an inmate by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer, including demeaning references to gender, sexually suggestive or derogatory comments about body or clothing, or obscene language or gestures. [PREA 28 CFR Part 115] [SURVEY OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION]

Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse: [paraphrased from PREA 28 CFR Part 115] Any of the following acts toward an inmate by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer with or without consent: contact between genitals, between mouth and genitals, penetration of genital or anal opening, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument; intentional touching/contact, either directly or through clothing of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire; any attempt, threat or request by a staff member, contractor or volunteer to engage in the activities above; display by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer of his or her uncovered genitalia, buttocks, or breast in the presence of an inmate and voyeurism by a staff member, contractor or volunteer. [PREA 28 CFR Part 115]
[SURVEY OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION]

Substantiated Allegation: Allegation that was investigated and determined to have occurred [PREA 28 CFR Part 115.5].

Unfounded: Allegation that was investigated and determined not to have occurred [PREA 28 CFR Part 115.5].

Undetermined: Allegation whose investigation is not yet closed (i.e. open, underway).

Unsubstantiated Allegation: Allegation that was investigated and the investigation produced insufficient evidence to make a final determination as to whether or not the event occurred [PREA 28 CFR Part 115.5].

WER: Work, Education & Release

Population Information

Table 1. 2013 – 2019 Average Daily Population (ADP), by Facility

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
KCCF	1,101	1,138	1,129	1,139	1,189	1,177	1,159
RJC	696	771	795	776	882	785	817
Juvenile	58	57	60	50	50	53	43
WER	133	142	88	70	80	80	80




Figure 1. Average Daily Population (ADP), by Facility

Note: In the fall of 2017, DAJD made a change in housing location for youth who are under the age of 18 and who are charged with adult crimes in Superior Court. In the past, these youth were housed in one of DAJD’s adult facilities, but are now being housed at the Youth Service Center (YSC). This report combines the two populations, youth whose crimes are being adjudicated by the Juvenile Division of the Superior Court (Juvenile Secure) and Long-term Juvenile Secure youth that are charged with adult crimes and held under the jurisdiction of Superior Court, or for whom the Prosecuting Attorney’s Office has filed for a hearing to decline juvenile court jurisdiction.

Additional information regarding our Average Detention Population (ADP) data such as gender, age, race/ethnicity can be found on our DAJD statistic website here:

<https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/jails/about/dajd-stats.aspx>

PREA Cases & Allegations/Reports

This section presents data on allegations, the nature of the allegation, and the findings of a thorough investigation into each allegation. DAJD tracks all allegations (included attempted acts) reported as potentially sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Important Information:

To distinguish between terms, we see each PREA case as a set of alleged actions linked together by virtue of the same incident date and suspect(s) or different dates involving the same victim and suspect over time. The number of PREA allegations may not match the exact number of PREA cases because multiple victims or suspects may be included in PREA Cases.

The following data counts PREA allegations in order to count each and every alleged victim and suspect involved in a PREA case. This approach ensures that the data reflects all distinct attributes (race, gender, age, etc.). Should an allegation name multiple persons, each is counted as a separate allegation. Should a case allege both sexual harassment and sexual abuse by a person, the most severe allegation is counted.

Data is current as of this year. Data from prior year reports may not match this report, due to findings of (now) closed cases reported as “undetermined” in past years. Six cases are open (undetermined) as of the date of this report.

PREA Allegations by Facility

Table 2 indicates the number and location of allegations reported to DAJD. The Department provides multiple reporting methods to encourage reporting. In 2017, the most common method used was by reporting to staff. Other forums used this year included (listed in order of frequency): reports through a direct line to the King County Ombudsman's Office, paper forms (grievances and "kites"), and then other forums such as reporting to the Sheriff's office.

In 2019, there were 76 allegations investigated in 72 cases alleged to have occurred in correctional facilities. Those within DAJD were investigated, and those alleged to have occurred in another correctional facility were referred to that facility for investigation. This year, 4 persons making an allegation declined or were unable to identify the facility in which the abuse occurred, and therefore we assume they were in DAJD facilities and marked the location as "unknown."

Table 2A. 2012 – 2019 PREA Allegation, by Facility

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Total	11	42	61	53	58	52	50	76
KCCF	8	25	45	39	40	34	35	46
MRJC	0	12	10	14	14	17	12	16
Juvenile	3	5	3	0	3	1	3	9
WER	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1
Unknown	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	4

The Department may receive an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility. The "other" facility category indicates when the location is external to DAJD (see Table 2b). In these cases, DAJD ensures that the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred is notified. The DAJD annual PREA report's detailed findings will include only allegations of events that occurred in DAJD facilities. This year, one (1) allegation was referred to another facility.

Table 2b. 2012-2019 Allegation of sexual abuse or harassment while in another facility, referred to external facility

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Other	0	2	2	1	3	3	6	1

Findings of Allegation Investigations

DAJD ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Table 3 indicates the findings of all investigations. Figures 2 and 3 show the relative frequency of findings across years.

An investigation may close with a finding may be the allegation is substantiated, unsubstantiated, unfounded, or it may be undetermined (open) at the time of this report.

Allegations closed as Unfounded are determined to have evidence that the event did not occur. DAJD breaks this finding apart a little more. Those designated as "unfounded" meet the PREA definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment but evidence indicates it did not occur. Also closed as "unfounded" are those where the allegation did not meet the PREA definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

In 2019, all allegations were investigated and the most frequent finding was unfounded (indicated in blue in tables and figures). DAJD recently refreshed posters on how to report allegations, and increased effort to archive documented allegations. The increase in allegations closed as unfounded might be attributed to these added efforts.

Allegations closed as substantiated and unsubstantiated have not significantly increased from the previous year. Allegations closed as Substantiated are determined to have occurred based on a preponderance of evidence. Allegations closed as Unsubstantiated are determined to have a lack of evidence to make a final determination as to whether or not the event occurred. Undetermined finding indicates that the allegation is still under investigation or open at the time of this report.

Four (4) allegations are still under open investigation (Undetermined) at the time of this report. Three of the open, undetermined cases were referred to an outside agency agency (i.e., KPD or SPD) for criminal investigation, and DAJD will attempt to receive the finding when the outside investigation agency closes the case. Cases reported as open at the time of past reports are updated in future reports where possible.

Allegations closed as substantiated and unsubstantiated are subject to even further SAIRC review, as discussed in the next section.

Table 3. 2019 PREA Allegation Findings of Investigations, by Facility

	<i>All Allegations</i>	Unfounded	Undetermined	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
All DAJD Facilities	76	61	6	5	4
KCCF	46	35	5	5	1
MRJC	16	13	--	--	3
Juvenile	9	9	--	--	--
WER	1	1	--	--	--
Unknown	4	3	1		




Figure 2. 2019 PREA Allegations, by Findings of Investigations, all DAJD Facilities




Figure 3A. 2012-2019 PREA Allegations, by Findings of Investigations, all DAJD




Figure 4B. 2012-2019 PREA Allegations, by Location of Investigations, by DAJD Facility

PREA Allegations by Investigation Determination

DAJD follows Bureau of Justice definitions to report types of sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations in Table 4. The Bureau of Justice Statistics group (BJS) took the PREA definitions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and then further broke the categories apart. The BJS categories allow the reader to better distinguish between who is involved in allegations and the severity of the allegation. DAJD adds the term inmate (IM) or staff to further clarify who was involved. See the definitions section for a description of the allegation types.

Table 4. 2012 – 2020 PREA Allegations in DAJD facilities, by investigation determination.

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Total Allegations	18	42	61	59	55	52	50	76
Unfounded Allegations	13	33	57	55	49	48	32	61
PREA Allegations: Unsubstantiated, Substantiated,	5	9	4	4	6	5	16	9
PREA Allegations: Undetermined	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
Inmate and Inmate								
Inmate Nonconsensual Sexual Act								
Substantiated	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	1
Unsubstantiated	1	1	0	0	2	1	6	2
Undetermined (open)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
Inmate Abusive Contact								
Substantiated	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0
Unsubstantiated	1	1	0	0	2	1	2	2
Undetermined (open)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inmate Sexual Harassment								
Substantiated	0	2	3	0	1	2	2	1
Unsubstantiated	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1
Undetermined (open)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Staff and Inmate								
Staff Sexual Misconduct								
Substantiated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Unsubstantiated	1	1	0	0	2	1	2	0
Undetermined (open)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Staff Sexual Harassment								
Substantiated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Unsubstantiated	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
Undetermined (open)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee

Department policy mandates zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee (SAIRC) was formed by DAJD in February 2013 to comply with PREA Standards 115.86 (Adult), 115.386 (Juvenile) and 115.286 (Community Confinement/WER) Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews. According to these standards, DAJD must conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of each sexual abuse investigation except when the allegation is determined as unfounded. These reviews typically occur within 30 days of the conclusion of a PREA Case investigation. The review team includes upper-level management (with input from line supervisors) and medical or mental health practitioners. For each investigation the SAIRC reviews the following criteria:

1. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse;
2. Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility;
3. Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse;
4. Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts;
5. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.

Summary of Corrective Actions

During 2020, DAJD initiated several changes to improve our practices to prevent, detect, and respond to incidents. Some were in response to SAIRC review to better meet the intent of the PREA standards. Other improvements came from recent or underway PREA Audit recommendations, and from monitoring our PREA related data and processes. These efforts included:

King County Correctional Facility (KCCF)

- Prepared for the 2020 PREA audit. Updated facility posters to provide more comprehensive information to staff as first responders, and to residents to report allegations.
- DAJD revised the sexual abuse checklist used by all facilities, to reinforce offers of mental health care and advocacy early in an investigation.
- Improved the visibility of PREA investigation administrative findings to classification staff to aid them in efficient screening and housing of inmates.

Regional Justice Center (RJC)

- Prepared for the 2020 PREA audit. Updated facility posters to provide more comprehensive information to staff as first responders, and to residents to report allegations.

Juvenile Detention Facility

- Completion of a PREA audit. DAJD implemented the auditor's recommendations to adopt a number of best practices. For example, updating posters to better guide youth and staff to report, and creating staff checklists to document various tasks completed.
- The Juvenile Facility called DYS (Division of Youth Services) closed, and a new facility called CFJC (Child and Family Justice Center) opened to replace YSC.
- DAJD requested and won a grant from the federal oversight agency called PREA Resource Center (PRC) to assist DAJD in certifying the new CFJC facility.

Work, Education & Release (WER)

- Completion of a PREA audit. WER PREA audit passed with no corrective actions in 2019.

References

- Data Sources:
 - DAJD Statistics and DAR Reports:
http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/detention/DAJD_Stats.aspx
 - DAJD Director's PREA Log/Spreadsheet
- Information Sources:
 - PREA Resource Center: <http://www.prearesourcecenter.org/>

Appendix A. PREA Annual Report Methodology

This appendix provides an overview of the general method and notes changes in data gathering practices over time. DAJD continues to strive for the most accurate and relevant information, including efforts to remain compliant with dynamic PREA standards. As a result, some aspects of the methodology change over time.

The DAJD methodology adheres to directives in PREA § 115.87 Data collection, § 115.88 Data review for corrective action, and § 115.89 Data storage, publication, and destruction. DAJD meets the PREA requirement to include data necessary to respond to the most recent version(s) of the Department of Justice Survey of Sexual Violence (since renamed to the Survey of Sexual Victimization) (SSV).

The Department of Justice (DOJ) SSV methodology can change slightly over time and varies between youth and adult collections. In 2005 BJS began gathering information on substantiated incidents of sexual victimization. In 2013 DOJ's Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) began collecting data on inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment. DOJ Survey of Sexual Violence data are collected on counts of sexual violence allegations for each year. For any substantiated allegations, further information is collected.

DAJD data on the inmate population is already shared with the public. Additional information regarding our Average Detention Population (ADP) data such as gender, age, race/ethnicity, and new admissions can be found on our DAJD statistic website here:

- <https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/jails/about/dajd-stats.aspx>
- https://www.kingcounty.gov/~media/courts/detention/documents/KC_DAR_02_2020.ashx?la=en

PREA Annual Reports provide to the public findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole. Data is reported by calendar year, according to the date DAJD received the allegation. Data includes all reported allegations of acts and attempted acts, for both DAJD and non-DAJD facilities. DAJD recently adopted the BJS practice of disaggregating the PREA approved definition of "sexual abuse" into "nonconsensual acts" and "abusive sexual contact" when reporting data. Annual reports from prior years can be retrieved online at:

- <https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/jails/prison-rape-elimination-act.aspx>

DAJD provides multiple avenues of reporting, records all reported acts and attempted acts, and then tracks DAJD response. The Department retains records of the full incident report and case history to allow SAIRB review for substantiated and unsubstantiated cases, and to enable retrospective review. Such case detail is sufficient to complete, if needed, the DOJ Substantiated Incident Form (Adult) on substantiated incidents of sexual victimization.