1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY				
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	)			
9	Plaintiff,	) No.			
10	VS.	Order for Competency Evaluation under RCW 10.77.060			
11		<ul><li> □ ORCJE (In-jail Evaluation)</li><li> □ ORCE (Out-of-custody Evaluation)</li></ul>			
12	Defendant. Date of Birth:	ORPEXW (Inpatient Evaluation)			
13		Clerk's Action Required: par. 6, par. 8			
14	<b>This matter</b> came before the court on the motion of $\Box$ the attorney for the defendant $\Box$ the				
15	prosecutor   the court who has reason to doubt the defendant's competency based on a good faith belief that as a result of a mental disease or defect, the defendant may lack the capacity to understand the proceedings against him or her or to assist in his or her own defense.				
16					
17	$\Box$ the felony crime(s) of				
18	☐ the non-felony crime(s) of				
19	If the defendant is charged only with non-felony crime	s, please check one of the following:			
20	If the defendant is charged <u>only</u> with non-felony crimes, please check one of the following:    At least one of the nonfelony charges is a "serious offense" as defined in RCW				
21	10.77.092  ☐ None of the nonfelony charges are a "serious offense" as defined in				
22	RCW 10.77.092.				
23	☐ The court is reserving ruling on whether any of the nonfelony crimes charged is a serious offense as defined in RCW 10.77.092.				
24					
		Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney Criminal Division			

Order for Competency Evaluation (ORCE) Page 1 of 7 MP 201 (07/28/2019) RCW 10.77.060, 065, .068

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney Criminal Division W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 477-3743 FAX (206) 205-6104

1	☐ This matter is not pending trial. The current probation report and/or other supplemental materials are attached. (Check only if applicable.)				
2	☐ There is reason to believe that the defendant may have a developmental disability.				
3	(Information regarding why it is believed the defendant may have a developmental disability				
4	<ul> <li>may be added here):</li> <li>□ The defendant receives Developmental Disability Administration Services.</li> <li>□ The defendant received special education services specifically for a developmental disability.</li> </ul>				
5					
6					
7	☐ Other				
	☐ The following additional information supports the request for evaluation.				
8	(Information regarding why it is believed the defendant is not competent may be added here):				
9					
10					
11	The court finds that there is reason to doubt the defendant's competency and that the defendant is in need of a forensic mental health evaluation; and				
13	The court orders:				
14	1. Evaluation. The court orders a competency evaluation of the defendant.				
15	The defendant is to be evaluated by:				
16	☐ DSHS evaluator: a qualified expert who shall be approved by the prosecuting attorney, and who is designated by the Secretary of the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS). (If no how is checked this continuous)				
17	Health Services (DSHS). (If no box is checked, this section applies.)  □ Other:				
18	The evaluation shall be completed as specified below.				
19	2. Place of Evaluation. The evaluation shall occur at the following location ( <i>check only</i>				
20	one):				
21	Evaluation in a <b>Jail/Detention Facility.</b> The defendant is held in the King County Department of Adult and Juvenile Detention, and the examination shall take place				
22	in that facility. If the defendant is transferred to another Jail/Detention facility, the Jail/Detention facility and the parties are to immediately inform the DSHS at the				
23	contact information listed on the final page of this order. If the defendant is transferred to another Jail/Detention facility, the examination shall take place in that facility.				
24	that facility.				

1 2 3	If the evaluator determines that the evaluation should take place at a facility designated by DSHS, then the evaluator shall notify the court, the prosecutor, and the defense attorney of that fact, as soon as is reasonably practicable. Written notice and a conformed copy of this order, without more, shall direct transport in compliance with this order.
4 5	Out-of-Custody Evaluation. As the defendant is not currently in custody, the defendant's attorney shall contact DSHS to schedule and arrange an appointment for examination at an agreed location.
6	☐ The defendant is held in(name of treatment facility.) The evaluation may occur at that location.
7	If the defendant is incarcerated or committed to another treatment facility before the evaluation, the defendant's attorney shall immediately notify DSHS. A
8	separate order must be entered to authorize in-patient examination at the State Hospital if necessary to complete the evaluation.
9	Inpatient Evaluation. The examination is to occur at the State Hospital or other
10 11	secure facility determined by DSHS. The defendant is committed to the care and custody of DSHS for up to 15 days from the date of admission to the facility, based upon the following (check the appropriate finding/findings):
12	☐ The defendant is charged with murder in the first or second degree;
13	Or
14	☐ The court finds that it is more likely than not that an evaluation in the jail will be inadequate to complete an accurate evaluation;
15	Or
16	☐ The court finds that an evaluation outside the jail setting is necessary for the health, safety, or welfare of the defendant.
17	If the defendant is released from Jail/Detention facility or released to a treatment facility under RCW 71.05 prior to the examination, the
18	defendant's attorney shall notify DSHS which shall schedule an appointment for an examination at an agreed location.
19	If the defendant is in custody, transportation shall be arranged as stated in this
20	order. If the defendant is released from custody prior to the examination, the defendant's attorney shall contact DSHS to schedule an appointment for
21	admission to the facility. A released defendant must obtain medical clearance prior to admission to the facility.
22	
23	

1	3. Evaluation Requirements		
2	The following requirements supplement those found in chapter 10.77 RCW:		
3			<b>Developmental Disabilities Professional.</b> Based upon advisement that the defendant may have a developmental disability, the evaluation must be performed by a developmental disabilities professional.
5		Ш	<b>Interpreter.</b> The defendant requires the services of an interpreter in the following language:
6			<b>Defense Attorney Presence</b> . The defense attorney requests notification of the
7			time and place of the evaluation at the contact information provided below. <b>The defense attorney may be contacted at</b> :
8			check this box if defense counsel wants notice and opportunity to be present.)
9			☐ The evaluation may proceed without the defense attorney present if notice has been provided.
11			☐ The evaluation may not proceed without the defense attorney present.  The current criminal charge(s) shall not be discussed with the defendant outside the forensic interview.
12			Defense attorney is available on (list dates and times during the day, evening, and
13			any weekend in the next 3-10 days):
14			<b>Defense Expert</b> . A defense expert has been appointed under RCW 10.77.060 and DSHS is directed to contact the defense attorney to determine whether the expert
15			will be witnessing DSHS's evaluation.
16			Additional Evaluation Requirements
17			<del>.</del>
18	4. Report Contents and Distribution		t Contents and Distribution
19	The evaluator shall distribute the report to the court, prosecuting attorney, defense attorney, the designated crisis responder (DCR), and the professional person at the		aluator shall distribute the report to the court, prosecuting attorney, defense ey, the designated crisis responder (DCR), and the professional person at the
20	Jail/Detention facility. Distribution of the evaluation report by a facility providing		
21	inpatient services shall ordinarily be accomplished within two working days or less following the final evaluation of the defendant. The report of the evaluation shall include the following pursuant to RCW 10.77.060:		
22	A description of the nature of the evaluation;		
23		•	A diagnosis or description of the current mental status of the defendant;
24	COMPETENCY: If the defendant suffers from a mental disease or defect, or has a developmental disability, an opinion as to competency;      Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney		

Order for Competency Evaluation (ORCE) Page 4 of 7 MP 201 (07/28/2019) RCW 10.77.060, 065, .068

Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney Criminal Division W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 477-3743 FAX (206) 205-6104

19

20

21

22

23

24

or past mental, emotional, or physical condition of the defendant for the purpose of conducting the examination.

In consideration of the urgent nature of this evaluation, it is ordered that all records requested by the evaluator shall be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 2 days from the date requested.

For the purpose of this evaluation, the evaluator is also specifically granted access to records containing information regarding alcohol and drug abuse evaluation and treatment, and the authority to disclose this information to the undersigned court, the prosecuting attorney, the defense counsel, and others as designated in RCW 10.77.060 and 10.77.065. Access and authority to disclose is granted in compliance with the confidentiality requirements set forth in RCW 70.96A.150 and 42 CFR Part 2.

## Within 24 hours of the signing of this order:

- The **clerk of the court** shall provide this order and the charging documents, including the request for bail and certification of probable cause to DSHS.
- The **prosecuting attorney** shall provide the discovery packet, including a statement of the defendant's criminal history, to DSHS or the county appointed evaluator.
- The **jail administrator or jail health services** shall provide the defendant's medical clearance information to DSHS, if this order requires transportation of the defendant to a facility designated by DSHS.

## 7. Transportation and Discharge

The Jail/Detention facility shall transport the in-custody defendant from the Jail/Detention facility to the facility designated by DSHS and back. Transportation to the facility shall occur within 1 day of the receipt of an offer of admission of the defendant for competency evaluation.

Any facility providing inpatient services related to competency shall discharge the defendant as soon as the facility determines that the defendant is competent to stand trial. Discharge shall not be postponed during the writing and distribution of the evaluation report.

If the defendant is discharged to the custody of a Jail/Detention facility, the Jail/Detention facility must continue the medication regimen prescribed by the facility, when clinically appropriate, unless the defendant refuses to cooperate with medication and there is no forced medication order in effect.

## 8. Next Hearing

The next hearing date is scheduled for:	
The next hearing dute is semeduted for.	

If the defendant is admitted to a facility designated by DSHS from a Jail/Detention facility, the defendant shall be returned to jail before this court date, except as provided below:

	☐ All parties agree ☐ to waive the presence of the defendant or ☐ to the defendant's remote participation at a subsequent competency hearing or presentation of an agreed order if the recommendation of the evaluator is for			
	continuation of the stay of cri	iminal proceedings, or if the opinion of the evaluator		
		incompetent and there is no remaining restoration d prior to the expiration of the authorized		
	commitment period.	a prior to the empiration of the authorized		
9.	. Immunity Agreement			
	The parties have not entered into an immunity agreement.			
10.	10. Stay of Proceedings			
	All time from the entry of this order	and during this examination period and until this		
	court enters an order finding the defendant to be competent to proceed is excluded from the calculation of time for trial under CrR 3.3, CrRLJ 3.3, and JuCR 7.8.			
	the calculation of time for that under	CIR 5.5, CIRLJ 5.5, and Juck 7.6.		
<b>D</b> 4	,			
Dated	d	 Judge		
		Print Name:		
Prese	nted by/ Approved as to form:	Presented by/ Approved as to form		
Denu	ty Prosecuting Attorney	Attorney for the Defendant		
-	Name:	Print Name:		
	A No.	WSBA No.		
Contact and Distribution List (contact information including email address, phone, and/or fax should be included to receive scheduling communications and/or reports).  1. State Hospital/DSHS: <a href="mailto:oFMHSCOURTORDERS@dshs.wa.gov">OFMHSCOURTORDERS@dshs.wa.gov</a>				
2. Ordering Court: Parties will provide working copy of report to the court				
<u>C</u>	3. Prosecuting Attorney: <u>Please send all communications and reports on King County Superior Court Matters to PAOWSHFEL@kingcounty.gov</u> . <u>Please send all communications and reports on King County District Court matters to PAOMHC@kingcounty.gov</u>			
4. D	4. Defense Attorney			
5. A	5. Alternate contact for defense			
		Daniel T. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney		

Order for Competency Evaluation (ORCE) Page 7 of 7 MP 201 (07/28/2019) RCW 10.77.060, 065, .068

Daniel 1. Satterberg, Prosecuting Attorney Criminal Division W554 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 477-3743 FAX (206) 205-6104