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Variables: An econometric model is used by the County to forecast the future amount of solid waste to be disposed. This model includes the following variables:

- Number of people living in the service area
- Number of jobs and structure of the job market (service sector jobs vs. manufacturing jobs)
- Household size in persons per household
- Per capita income (in real terms)
- Disposal fees (inflation adjusted)
- Ban on CDL

Geographic boundaries: The geographic boundary for the forecast is King County, excluding Seattle and Milton, and including all of Bothell (part of Snohomish County).

Data sources: The data source for population, employment, and household size (first three bullets above) is the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) "small area forecast." PSRC worked in close cooperation with the counties and cities to develop those numbers for the forecast period. For economic variables, data used are provided by Dick Conway and Associates, Seattle. Historical tonnage and transaction data are collected and maintained by the Solid Waste Division and are the most accurate numbers available for forecasting purposes. These data include each transaction by date, time, type of garbage, fees and taxes paid, and type of payment.

Methodology: The econometric model, based on a regression equation, uses information about the relationship between the variables mentioned above and the amount of garbage disposed. The future amount disposed for the forecasting period is estimated based on the projections for population, employment, household size, real income, and tip fees. The mean value of the lower and upper range is used as the projected amount disposed; the confidence interval of this forecast is $95 \%$.

Recycling and Generation: The amount of tons recycled is provided by haulers (for the curbside recycling) and by a survey performed annually by the Washington State Department of Ecology. Those numbers are estimates and fluctuate considerably from year to year. As a result, the amount of garbage generated is also only an estimate with a wider margin of error.

Forecasting steps: The forecasting begins with developing the "baseline scenario." This scenario takes into consideration current and new policies as far as impacts and the implementation schedules are known.

Another step in the forecasting process is to consider all known events that might impact tonnage, such as temporary transfer closures or changes in recycling programs. Then a short-term budget tonnage forecast is developed and used to form a short-term financial forecast. As new information is available the numbers are updated.

The short-term tonnage forecast used for the adopted budget compared to actual tons:

| Year | Budget Forecast | Actual Tonnage | \% Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 819,000 | 822,585 | $0.4 \%$ |
| 1996 | 839,000 | 817,602 | $-2.6 \%$ |
| 1997 | 833,000 | 872,577 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 1998 | 837,000 | 883,722 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 1999 | 852,000 | 929,306 | $9.1 \%$ |
| 2000 | 920,000 | 947,174 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2001 | 965,000 | 936,310 | $-3.0 \%$ |
| 2002 | 950,000 | 939,489 | $-1.1 \%$ |
| 2003 | 950,000 | 978,837 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 2004 | 955,000 | $1,006,163$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Average |  |  | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |

For other planning purposes, a long-term forecast is developed and maintained. The same data sources are used but the horizon is expanded out to 25 years depending on the information available.

2001 Solid Waste Plan Forecast and Actual Tons Disposed
New Forecast for 2005-2030 (as of September 2005)

| Year | Comp Plan 2001 <br> Forecast | Actual Tonnage | \% Difference | New 2005 <br> Forecast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 963,000 | 936,500 | $-2.8 \%$ |  |
| 2002 | 978,000 | 939,500 | $-4.1 \%$ |  |
| 2003 | 990,000 | 978,836 | $-1.1 \%$ |  |
| 2004 | $1,000,000$ | $1,006,163$ | $0.6 \%$ |  |
| 2005 | $1,007,000$ | 990,000 | $-1.7 \%$ | 990,000 |
| 2006 | $1,014,000$ |  |  | 976,700 |
| 2007 | $1,029,000$ |  |  | $1,020,800$ |
| 2008 | $1,048,000$ |  |  | $1,050,800$ |
| 2009 | $1,068,000$ |  |  | $1,080,800$ |
| 2010 | $1,092,000$ |  |  | $1,115,200$ |
| 2011 | $1,100,000$ |  |  | $1,133,800$ |
| 2012 | $1,101,000$ |  |  | $1,178,200$ |
| 2013 | $1,113,000$ |  |  | $1,210,200$ |
| 2014 | $1,117,000$ |  |  | $1,242,100$ |
| 2015 | $1,122,000$ |  |  | $1,295,700$ |
| 2016 | $1,133,000$ |  |  | $1,327,700$ |
| 2017 | $1,146,000$ |  |  | $1,353,800$ |
| 2018 | $1,159,000$ |  |  | $1,388,500$ |
| 2019 | $1,176,000$ |  |  | $1,402,500$ |
| 2020 | $1,194,000$ |  |  | $1,436,400$ |
| 2021 |  |  |  | $1,442,300$ |
| 2022 |  |  |  | $1,578,500$ |
| 2023 |  |  |  | $1,524,900$ |
| 2024 |  |  |  | $1,563,400$ |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |
| 2026 |  |  |  |  |
| 2027 |  |  |  |  |
| 2028 |  |  |  |  |
| 2029 |  |  |  |  |
| 2030 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

