

1 CEDAR HILLS CITIZEN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

2 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

3 Wednesday, September 1, 2010

4 Maple Valley, Washington

5

6

7

APPEARANCES:

8

Victor O. Okereke

9

Dean Voelker

10

Lars Sorenson

Victoria Sorenson

11

Sean Kronberg

Debra Hawkins

12

Frecia Kelley

Richard Nieman

13

Larry Hoganson

Alex Hoganson

14 Annalese Hoganson

Tommy Price

15 Leslie Morgan

Madison Hurlocker

16 Bill Beck

Virginia Morrison

17 Rachel Monte

Kim Brighton

18 Ed Davis

Mark Blume

19 Connie Erbenick

J.T. Batterson

20 Mike Krzycki

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. OKEREKE: Good evening, everyone. Sorry

2 we are running a little late today, but I think we

3 are going to get started.

4 This is the Citizens Review Committee meeting. My

5 name is Victor Okereke. I'm the engineering services

6 manager for the Solid Waste Division.

7 This is Dean Voelker, who you probably met already,

8 who is the assistant operations manager for the Solid

9 Waste Division.

10 And Donald is our court reporter today. So I hope

11 you've been able to sign in on the sign-in sheet so

12 we can get a good record of those who are here today.

13 To enable Donald to actually have a good record, we

14 would sort of like to introduce ourselves by name;

15 and then, also, when we speak, I'll suggest that

16 we speak in an orderly fashion to enable him to

17 have an accurate record of what we did today.

18 If anybody is interested in getting a record of the

19 meeting, just let us know at the end. It usually

20 takes a while for the record to be ready after the

21 meeting is done. It takes about ten days. And we'll

22 be able to get anybody who wants to get a record of

23 the meeting a copy.

24 So if you look at the agenda -- I know that most of

25 you went on the tour with Dean. We'll give you the

1 opportunity to share any observations that were
2 interesting to you or something you would like us to
3 take a note of going forward. We'd like to hear that
4 as we go.

5 So before we continue -- like I said, I'm Victor
6 Okereke, engineering services manager --

7 MR. VOELKER: And I'm Dean Voelker.

8 MR. OKEREKE: -- we can just go around and
9 say what our name is.

10 MR. SORENSON: I am Lars Sorenson.

11 MRS. SORENSON: Victoria Sorensen.

12 MR. KRONBERG: Sean Kronberg.

- 13 MS. HAWKINS: Debra Hawkins.
- 14 MS. KELLEY: Frecia Kelley.
- 15 MR. NIEMAN: Richard Nieman.
- 16 MR. KRZYCKI: Mike Krzycki.
- 17 MR. ALEX HOGANSON: Alex Hoganson.
- 18 MR. PRICE: Tommy Price.
- 19 MS. MORGAN: Leslie Morgan.
- 20 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: Larry Hoganson.
- 21 MS. ANNALESE HOGANSON: Annalese Hoganson.
- 22 MS. MADISON HURLOCKER: Madison Hurlocker.
- 23 MR. BECK: Bill Beck.
- 24 MS. MORRISON: Virginia Morrison.
- 25 MS. MONTE: Rachel Monte.

1 MS. BRIGHTON: Kim Brighton.

2 MR. DAVIS: Ed Davis.

3 MR. BLUME: Mark Blume.

4 MS. ERBENICK: Connie Erbenick.

5 MR. BATTERSON: J.T. Batterson.

6 MR. OKEREKE: Did we get it all?

7 All right. Thank you, very much.

8 You were given a copy of the agenda. Is there any

9 interesting observations from those of you who took

10 the tour that you would like to share? I don't know

11 if the drawing on the board came from the tour or

12 not. But anybody want to share any interesting

13 observations or something they would like some
14 answers to from the tour, those who took the tour
15 with Dean today?

16 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: I thought the tour was
17 fantastic. I was very impressed with how clean
18 everything is and how well organized it is. But I
19 have a lot of questions about the new gas facility
20 and how that's going to work in the future, if it's
21 full speed right now and those type of things. We
22 didn't really get to that on the tour. That's my
23 main concern.

24 MR. OKEREKE: So I think we have that as
25 agenda item No. 5. Maybe when we get to that, we'll

1 be able to share whatever we can about that. All

2 right? Thank you.

3 Yes, Sean?

4 MR. KRONBERG: I don't have an observation

5 about the tour, but I'd like an answer to my question

6 real quick. Can you give a background of the CRC,

7 who is on the CRC, what its make-up is, what its

8 requirements are, where it came from. That would be

9 helpful, I think, at some point.

10 MR. OKEREKE: Sure. If you don't mind,

11 could we do that as agenda item No. 6? Will that

12 work?

13 MR. KRONBERG: If there is time?

14 MR. OKEREKE: Yes, we'll make sure there is

15 time.

16 MR. KRONBERG: I think it's relevant,

17 actually, to the whole meeting, because it's

18 something that I think most of us here don't know.

19 So if we knew what the requirements were from the '85

20 court decision, et cetera, et cetera, maybe this

21 would be better put in context. Does that make sense?

22 MR. OKEREKE: Now, is that something a lot

23 of you are interested in learning today?

24 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: Yes.

25 MS. BRIGHTON: I don't know what he's

1 talking about.

2 MR. OKEREKE: Well, I think Sean is talking

3 about the origins of this committee and how

4 we came about and what it's about and things like

5 that. Is that correct, Sean?

6 MR. KRONBERG: Yes. Origins, who is on the

7 committee, what are the requirements of the committee.

8 MR. OKEREKE: Right. This is what I'll

9 suggest, because --

10 MR. KRONBERG: I mean, if you want to take

11 like two minutes and just give us a quick overview,

12 that would be helpful, I think.

13 MR. OKEREKE: Right. I think we can give a
14 quick overview, but I'm not sure that the level of
15 detail you seem to be alluding to, that I would be
16 able to get to it today. But I think it would be a
17 good agenda item for a future meeting.

18 Yes?

19 MS. MORGAN: I agree. That was actually
20 going to be one of my questions was who is on the
21 committee, like names and numbers. I would like that
22 answered like within the next few days actually.

23 MR. OKEREKE: Absolutely.

24 MS. MORGAN: If we could get a list of the
25 people, who they represent, how they're represented,

1 that type of thing.

2 MR. OKEREKE: Very good.

3 So before I go on to giving that quick summary,

4 anything anybody wants to add to that question?

5 (No response.)

6 MR. OKEREKE: So I will assume that the

7 preponderance of opinion is to provide a summary of

8 the CRC. Is that okay? All right.

9 MR. KRZYCKI: So the Cedar Hills Citizen

10 Review Committee, how do they notify the neighbors?

11 Who gets these and how do they do that? Because

12 people on 230th didn't know about it until I made my

13 own copy and put it on my road.

14 MR. OKEREKE: Please say your name and any

15 affiliations so he can have a good record.

16 Now, there is a suggestion to Sean that this become

17 a future agenda item to give us an opportunity to

18 gather all the information necessary to actually

19 provide you the level of information that you'll find

20 very useful. But beyond that, what I can tell you is

21 that the CRC came about -- and this was before my

22 time -- it came about in 1986. And this was as a

23 result of some agreement that was reached with a few

24 people in the community. I don't have the exact

25 number in my head. I would say five to seven people.

1 That such a committee was necessary to enable us, the
2 Solid Waste Division, to provide ongoing information
3 to the community about what is essentially going on
4 with the Cedar Hills Regional Landfill, update that
5 group of new activities, ongoing activities, related
6 to the site; and also to meet periodically to provide
7 such updates, including providing the tours that Dean
8 just provided today. So those are some of the things
9 I could remember about how this came about.

10 Now, the original list, like I said, was made up
11 of, I would say, six to seven people. What I know is
12 that of those people, I don't think that most of them

13 are around anymore, but that needs confirmation.

14 That's why I didn't want to get into too much detail.

15 In looking at the names, I don't know all the names

16 of the people who are here; but I've been to this

17 meeting before, and it looks to me like most of the

18 people -- and there are very few, not up to ten

19 people, in the original committee -- are actually

20 either not in this area anymore or they don't attend

21 the meetings, like you said, ma'am. I can get that

22 information going forward.

23 So that is all I can say at this time,

24 Sean.

25 MR. KRONBERG: So I guess the gist of my

1 question is -- I read the settlement agreement --

2 MR. OKEREKE: The Hanni?

3 MR. KRONBERG: Yes.

4 -- from 1985, and it talked about having a

5 committee of people, not necessarily the people that

6 were listed in the settlement agreement, but having a

7 committee of people having a chair, having this,

8 that, and the other; certain requirements of the

9 Solid Waste Division, such as reporting biannually on

10 the results of water tests, which I don't ever

11 remember hearing the results of water tests at the

12 meetings.

13 So it just seems like there are certain things that
14 have kind of gone away, which may be okay, and may be
15 okay with this group of people who attend the
16 meetings, that we don't need a formal committee,
17 itself, et cetera, et cetera; but it seems that
18 nothing formal has done away with those other pieces,
19 like the water test results being presented at these
20 meetings or other requirements that are in the
21 settlement agreement.

22 So it would be great if the Solid Waste Division
23 could look at that, examine it in the next month or
24 two or whatever, before the next meeting, and try and
25 formulate some ideas of what this group is all about

1 going forward. Maybe this is it; maybe it's not. I

2 don't know. I have ideas. But I just want to bring

3 that up to this group, because I think there is

4 probably some great ideas in the group.

5 MR. OKEREKE: I agree with you, Sean.

6 That's why I was suggesting that -- by the way, one

7 of the things I wanted us to sort of visit later on

8 in the meeting is when our next meeting is going to

9 be. So we can identify items for the agenda that

10 you'll be interested in hearing, and this is one of

11 them. If I had heard about it a little bit earlier,

12 then maybe I would have been prepared with more

13 details, with some more of the information that I'm
14 sure you'll be interested in hearing and bringing to
15 this meeting. I don't have that information for this
16 meeting, the information related to the details
17 you're talking about, the original names and all the
18 information related to reporting, all those kinds of
19 things. I'm sure we have it in the file downtown and
20 I could have reviewed it and get all that information.

21 MR. KRONBERG: I didn't hear about the
22 meeting until Mike called me.

23 MR. OKEREKE: I understand. I mean, it's an
24 important point. But I think it will be better for
25 the group if we are better prepared to discuss it.

1 And I'll suggest that we put it on the agenda for the
2 next meeting, which we should agree as to when we
3 should have that, in the next few months. Does that
4 work? Is that okay?

5 MR. BECK: Victor, I think you're correct
6 that the people who were originally part of the CRC,
7 there is either two or three from the County, two or
8 three from the citizen group.

9 MR. OKEREKE: Right.

10 MR. BECK: I've been attending these
11 meetings for a long time, because I used to be in the
12 Maple Valley Area Council. I lived closer to the

13 landfill. I kept track of what was going on. I
14 think the CRC was set up as part of a court
15 settlement. The people were appointed. We used to
16 meet monthly. One of the people who was part of this
17 group that I remember was Kathy Garrison. She's a
18 bus driver for Issaquah. We used to meet down at the
19 Issaquah bus garage. In fact, I got locked in there
20 one night when I didn't leave the meeting.

21 But you're right, Victor, this goes back a long
22 time. And I think everyone who was part of the
23 original, I think has probably either moved away from
24 the area, sold, retired. Shirley Jurgenson, I think
25 was one of them.

1 MR. OKEREKE: Shirley was our primary

2 representative and she's retired.

3 MR. BECK: And Kevin even may have been. I

4 don't know.

5 MR. OKEREKE: Kevin was not in the original

6 group. It was Shirley Jurgenson.

7 MR. BECK: Like I say, I don't recall who

8 the originals were.

9 MS. MORGAN: Leslie Morgan. So that was my

10 question, is who is responsible to make sure that

11 there are people from the community on the CRC group

12 and who are the current CRC people from the County.

13 MR. OKEREKE: Right.

14 MS. MORGAN: Like I say, if possible, I'd

15 like to get those names fairly soon, probably not

16 waiting until the next meeting, since we've got the

17 EIS moving along.

18 MR. OKEREKE: I think I can tell you what I

19 know and then we can move forward.

20 The meeting started with this original CRC in the

21 '80s, 1986, like I said, as a result of the Hanni

22 lawsuit. Now, since then, like Mr. Beck -- right?

23 MR. BECK: (Nods head in the affirmative).

24 MR. OKEREKE: Like you said, started

25 monthly; and because of lack of attendance, then it

1 was sort of -- it was not occurring monthly. Then it
2 went on and became every six months. In my own
3 experience, this is the largest group I've ever seen
4 in this meeting.

5 So I am not sure, but I will verify, that the
6 original names have actually not been formally changed.

7 I don't believe so. These are some of the things I
8 would like to verify for our next meeting.

9 So again, what I suggest is that by the time we do
10 our next meeting, it gives us some time to actually
11 assemble all the necessary information required.

12 As far as those that we notify for this meeting, as

13 the question you raised, what we've been doing is
14 notifying those who have been coming to the
15 meeting. But for this particular meeting, we decided
16 to expand the list to include those who provided
17 comments on the final Environmental Impact Statement.

18 Now, based on what I'm hearing today, I think we're
19 going to revisit the scope of notification for those
20 who we invite to the meeting. Again, that is
21 different from those who actually constitute the
22 committee. All right? So there are two different
23 things there. So I think I would like to go back and
24 think about it, look at information we have, and put
25 it on the next agenda. And I think we'll be able to

1 respond to you on the specific question about who was

2 on the original committee. That one, we can do.

3 MS. MORGAN: Leslie Morgan. That's not

4 really my question is who the original ones were. My

5 question is, whose responsibility is it to make sure

6 that there are people on the CRC from the community?

7 So when those people left -- and what that process

8 is. Like is it people volunteering to be on the CRC

9 committee? You know, how they go through that

10 process to be elected to be on that committee.

11 Because if we don't have somebody in the community

12 right now on that CRC committee, I think we need to.

13 MR. OKEREKE: All right.

14 MS. MORGAN: But I don't know what the

15 process is in order to do that. I was sort of hoping

16 to have that information before our next meeting. So

17 if that's possible --

18 MR. OKEREKE: I would look through our

19 documents and see what we can get you, and then

20 communicate it -- if that's not what you're looking

21 for, then that will give us a better idea about what

22 actually to look for to prepare for the next meeting.

23 MR. KRONBERG: Victor, it sounds like we're

24 having a committee meeting without a committee.

25 There is no one on the committee, yet we're having a

1 committee meeting.

2 MR. OKEREKE: I couldn't verify that to you

3 today. That's what I was saying. I need to look at

4 the names and try to match it up --

5 MR. KRONBERG: Good enough.

6 MR. OKEREKE: We'll verify that. All right?

7 Okay. Very interesting.

8 MR. BECK: Victor, a question. This is the

9 first meeting I recall being at where we didn't have

10 a court reporter.

11 MR. OKEREKE: No, we do have a court

12 reporter.

13 MR. BECK: Oh, we do have one.

14 MR. OKEREKE: Yes.

15 MR. BECK: Oh, you're doing that.

16 MR. OKEREKE: Right.

17 MR. BECK: Oh, okay.

18 MR. OKEREKE: All right. Thank you, very

19 much, Sean, for that interesting question. We're

20 going to try to provide as much information as we can

21 on that. All right.

22 MR. KRONBERG: I guess, we don't want you to

23 provide information. We wanted to like figure it

24 out, the process, the procedure, who is on the

25 committee, et cetera, et cetera. It's great to like

1 just respond with information, but I'd like to get a
2 process put in place to figure that stuff out. If
3 that needs to be done at the next meeting, great.
4 But I don't think we just want names and numbers of
5 20- or 30-year-old people who aren't on the committee.
6 It's more like procedure going forward to figure this
7 stuff out.

8 MR. OKEREKE: I got that. I think that
9 requires sort of a group discussion. So that will be
10 on the next agenda.

11 MR. KRONBERG: Okay.

12 MR. OKEREKE: All right. Any more questions

13 on that particular topic before we move forward?

14 (No response.)

15 MR. OKEREKE: All right. So the next thing

16 we'd like to talk about is -- Dean is going to

17 provide some updates on current activities on the

18 site.

19 MR. VOELKER: Well, for those of you that

20 went around with me on the tour, you kind of got to

21 see what really was going on. As you see now (pointing to a Map), we're

22 in Area 7. We just started down in there fully as of

23 Monday. So we just finished Area 6. And there is a

24 map out there. I'll hold one right here. Hopefully,

25 everybody can see. On the tour, I kind of drove

1 around the landfill. We kind of started from the
2 administration area and we drove up to Area 6. I
3 don't know if we can see here. But this area right
4 here -- there should be one down right there in front
5 of you -- it's going to be right -- if you were to
6 move into this area -- thank you, Ed -- I'll walk up
7 and show you this one here -- this is Area 6. That's
8 the area that we just moved out of. Right here would
9 be Area 6. Can you guys see that? All right.

10 So what we're doing this summer or the end of this
11 summer is we're actually doing an interim closure on
12 this Area 6 area. So we are now out of it, meaning

13 that the full operation is now into the new cell,

14 Area 7, which is located -- we don't have a new

15 picture of that -- but right next door to it, to the

16 west side of Area 6.

17 What we've got is we have two final closures on

18 that portion of the landfill in Area 6. So what that

19 means is for the top lift, the last lift that's on

20 there right now, is a 30-foot lift over the entire

21 footprint, which is actually closed with soil at this

22 particular time. Within that soil, though, or in the

23 refuse area is landfill gas and leachate collection

24 systems in place and operable. So we do operate that

25 system just like we would any other area.

1 What we're doing is cleaning it up now from the
2 operation being in there, as of last week or up until
3 Sunday. We'll come back in and we'll strip all of
4 the soil that's on the top right now that contains
5 rock and other debris inside of it, we'll strip that
6 down, we'll clean it up, reuse the rock that is in
7 place, and we'll bring that down to Area 7. And then
8 we'll put a soil layer over the top of Area 6 as well
9 as install a gas system over the top as well. So we
10 will have full landfill gas collection in there.

11 As we continue to move on, then we'll go -- as
12 we're moving now into Area 6, we're starting at the

13 very bottom layer. So that's the first layer, which
14 is really the layer we really pay attention to is on
15 the drainage, the drain rock down there. I think I
16 explained to you how the bottom layer is constructed.
17 So again, it's a hole that's excavated down into the
18 soil, into the native area. That is then lined with
19 an HDPE liner. And then there is a select soil,
20 impervious soil, that is placed over the top of that
21 liner, the HDPE flexible membrane. And then there is
22 a leachate system installed in that. And then there
23 is 24 inches of drain layer rock that's installed
24 over the top of that liner system. And within that,
25 there are landfill gas collection pipes that are

1 installed in that.

2 And in your picture that you have down there, you

3 can see it completely graveled. That's the graveled

4 area. And then we start the placement of refuse. So

5 the first layer, which is the first eight feet in

6 height over that entire footprint, is what we call

7 select refuse. That's municipal solid waste, good

8 municipal stuff that comes out of the homes and

9 doesn't have the big metal or would have any woody

10 debris or things like poles that would puncture or

11 even compromise the bottom layer, so it would mean

12 the drain layer and/or the liner system.

13 So we lay that first layer out, and then we start
14 the process of putting regular old -- just our solid
15 waste that comes in, our day-to-day solid waste that
16 comes in from all of our transfer stations, on top of
17 that. So we really try to protect the bottom layer.

18 So that's what we're doing right now.

19 Some of you see that we have a new process where
20 we're using mattresses to line the edges of the
21 landfill. So that would be the refuse that's in
22 place. The reason for that is we spent a lot of
23 money on that drain layer. And during the course of
24 land filling, our daily cover that we put over the
25 top of the in-place refuse is six inches of soil over

1 the top. What we didn't want to have happen was the
2 rainwater hit that or any of that soil get washed
3 down into the drain layer and have the potential to
4 plug it. So we got with the Department of Ecology
5 and health department, and we actually started using
6 some of the mattresses that come into the landfill to
7 us from various vendors -- not vendors, but various
8 people that deliver us the mattresses for disposal,
9 and decided to put that down first. So we put the
10 drain layer, mattresses, then the soil. So we have
11 about eight foot of separation between the refuse and
12 the soil.

13 So now we can come back in, pick up the mattresses,
14 pick up the dirt, and then put garbage over the top
15 of that or refuse, bury that back onto the drain
16 layer. So there is really very little potential to
17 plug up the drain rock system. It's a very nice
18 system that we've done this year. We thank the
19 health department for allowing us to do that. It is
20 a good use for mattresses.

21 There are some other uses for those mattresses that
22 are going into a facility up north and they are
23 recycling them. But for the ones that are supposed
24 to be disposed of, rather than mixing them in with
25 the regular refuse that comes into the facility,

1 we're using them for a filter system. So we'll

2 continue that and build our way up through Area 7

3 until its completion.

4 So that's pretty much the update of what we're

5 really doing here. Unless you have any questions.

6 Oh, talk about the summer stabilization. It is the

7 end of summer right now. So what are we going to do

8 with Area 6? During the tour, we talked about what

9 we are going to do. So we took some of the stockpile

10 material. What we did was we screened that soil out.

11 We took the big cobbles out, the big stone. And we

12 have a one-foot layer of that material that we're

13 going to place around all of the slopes of the

14 landfill that is exposed. There is a portion of it

15 that has a final closure on it, and that has the

16 liner on it, the liner for the cap.

17 So the soil layer that I showed you when we were

18 driving up -- I know, Leslie, you didn't make it --

19 there may be a few other people that didn't make it

20 up to the landfill -- but you've seen a soil section

21 up there. That is a select soil that we made here on

22 site with our screen plant. We placed it over the

23 already in place six inches of daily cover that was

24 over the refuse. So now it's a little bit over a

25 foot thick right now. What we'll do is we'll roll

1 that in, track walk it and hydroseed it.

2 Again, on the top, what we're going to do is clean

3 that off, recover all of the rock material that was

4 on there from the driving surface that we had to

5 build. And rather than have new rock brought in from

6 a quarry, we'll pick that rock up, screen it, to

7 reuse it in Area 7. So we're going to put an interim

8 closure on top of that as well with the landfill gas

9 system installed.

10 MS. HAWKINS: I just have a question. Debra

11 Hawkins. You talked about interim cap and then the

12 cap that you welded onto the top. Is there a length

13 of time that you can keep the interim cap on there?

14 Are you waiting for it to sink so that you can refill

15 the interim? I guess I don't understand why you

16 don't just put the final cap on when you're done, why

17 it takes a couple years or --

18 MR. VOELKER: What we're trying to do is

19 extend the life of the landfill. One of the ways of

20 extending the life of the landfill, which you're

21 going to cover later --

22 MR. OKEREKE: Yeah, but go ahead.

23 MR. VOELKER: What we're doing, instead of

24 putting a final cap over it, we can put a soil cap

25 over it and maintain compliance, which we're still

1 required to be in compliance with the clean air laws, which
2 is fugitive emissions. So the soil layer is an
3 interim cover which is not permanent. A permanent
4 one would be the HDPE welded and seamed over the top
5 of it. It's less expensive to use a soil cap than it
6 is to use an HDPE cap. So we could allow for
7 settlement and come back in and strip it off and then
8 refill.

9 MS. HAWKINS: Okay. And my second question
10 was, what system is in place in case something does
11 break that bottom barrier? What system is in place
12 that you could know that, that maybe if something did

13 puncture that liner?

14 MR. OKEREKE: Let me back up a little bit to

15 add to what Dean was saying. There are two types of

16 things we do. The regulations require us to cover

17 waste that -- let's see -- with six inches of soil,

18 for example. Did you take the tour?

19 MS. HAWKINS: Um-hmm.

20 MR. OKEREKE: So as we fill, we sort of move

21 away from one area, and then we have to come back and

22 fill the area. If we're not going to come back to an

23 area within six months, the regulations require us to

24 protect that area from rodents and -- so that it

25 doesn't erode, it doesn't sort of mess up over a

1 period of time. So that is what we are doing this

2 summer. We call it summer cover. We also call it

3 interim cover.

4 Now, there are certain areas, like Area 5, which is

5 a little bit further north, that we left in -- 2000?

6 2000. Right? Or 2005?

7 MR. VOELKER: 2005.

8 MR. OKEREKE: -- 2005, where we are trying

9 to accomplish what Dean was talking about, where we

10 placed interim cover. We also call it interim cap.

11 That is interim cover made up of soil. The idea

12 being that we want the area to settle a little bit so

13 that we can get the most out of the space there. So

14 that's one.

15 So to your second question which has to do with --

16 I assume you're referring to the bottom liner.

17 Right? That actually protects the groundwater? Is

18 that what you're referring to?

19 MS. HAWKINS: Right. How do you know --

20 MR. OKEREKE: So that is way down there.

21 It's about 200 feet or so down below. How we know is

22 that we have monitoring systems, monitoring systems

23 where we are monitoring to check the integrity of our

24 control facilities which the liner is part of. So if

25 there is anything that is leaking or anything of that

1 nature, we'll have early warning stations where we
2 can make detections of such a thing. I think that's
3 sort of what Sean was referring to, monitoring
4 results. So we do monitor those things periodically,
5 and then we actually publish the results, which I
6 will talk about in a minute. So I don't know if that
7 answers your question or not.

8 Just more information. We have a cover, the cap,
9 then we have a bottom liner. So there are two
10 protections for the garbage. One prevents water from
11 getting into it so that things don't mess up inside,
12 we don't generate a lot of leachate, wastewater, and

13 the other one protects things from getting into the
14 groundwater. And we do have lots of monitoring wells
15 around to check for any problems that may be imminent
16 in the system.

17 MS. HAWKINS: I have a well and I have it
18 tested. But it's just of interest to me to know what
19 you do.

20 MR. OKEREKE: That's what we do.

21 MS. HAWKINS: Okay.

22 MR. OKEREKE: So I just want to pull up a
23 little bit on that. Sean, you mentioned something
24 about reporting on our monitoring.

25 MR. KRONBERG: I said specifically to the

1 CRC. The settlement requires water test results to
2 be provided.

3 MR. OKEREKE: Correct. So I just want to
4 provide some information -- I'm not saying that that
5 answers your question necessarily. The results -- we
6 do quarterly reporting and annual reporting on our
7 monitoring program, our environmental monitoring
8 program, which includes groundwater monitoring,
9 surface water monitoring, landfill gas monitoring,
10 and wastewater monitoring. So those results are
11 actually published, sent to the library. It goes to
12 the Bellevue library.

13 MR. KRONBERG: Can I say something?

14 MR. OKEREKE: Just one more thing.

15 Also, we've started putting this on line, I think

16 based on the requests we got from the committee.

17 So those results are also on line. I will give the

18 court reporter the address of the on-line location

19 where those reports can be accessed. So that's just

20 information.

21 Yes, Sean?

22 MR. KRONBERG: I spent a number of hours

23 reading those reports. They're about that thick

24 (indicating) every quarter. Okay? I think what most

25 of us would want -- it's kind of like going to Sears

1 and ordering a lawnmower from their catalog. I just

2 want to order a lawnmower from the catalog from

3 Sears. I don't want to learn their manufacturing

4 techniques, their ordering techniques, their this,

5 their that. It's too much. Okay?

6 So this could be squeezed down to a page or two,

7 executive summary, that could be provided on your

8 website, to say, hey, yeah, we had a couple of hits

9 on this, that, or the other, it's explained by --

10 it's a historical thing, we didn't have any other

11 anomalies, et cetera, period, done. To ask us to go

12 to the library and look through a book this thick, I

13 think is beyond most of us. It's beyond me. I've

14 tried.

15 MR. OKEREKE: Point well taken.

16 MR. KRONBERG: So maybe in the future, we

17 can have that on your website, not 60 pdfs that are

18 four megabytes each. That's what that report looks

19 like.

20 MR. OKEREKE: Point well made. Executive

21 summary. Very good.

22 Any more questions on that? Otherwise we'll move

23 on.

24 (No response.)

25 MR. OKEREKE: The next item on our agenda is

1 the engineering and planned construction activities.

2 So what we're trying to do there is sort of update

3 you on things we plan to do related to major

4 construction activities.

5 Now, interestingly enough, there isn't a lot of

6 things that we plan to do this year or next year for

7 that matter. The primary thing that we plan to do is

8 what Dean has already talked about, interim

9 closure of Area 6, the current active area.

10 What that entails is our consultants are actually

11 going to design a system that is going to be put in

12 place by a contractor. So that system would include

13 leachate collection system, gas collection system.

14 It would include what we call a cap that is made up

15 of aggregates, soil, high-density polyethylene or

16 HDPE.

17 The HDPE is usually placed on the side slopes of the

18 area. The top of the area is still going to be soil.

19 And the reason we do that is to enable the enhancement

20 of settlement and to enable us to ultimately utilize

21 the capacity available in the area over a period of

22 time.

23 So, construction activity is going to start next year --

24 the next stage -- we did some this year. We just

25 finished one. So we'll do that in stages every year.

1 So the next one is going to happen next year. So

2 you're going to see major construction in that area

3 next year. So that's one.

4 The other thing we plan to do next year

5 construction-wise, is -- we have a pipeline that

6 carries the wastewater generated on this site all the

7 way to the Renton treatment plant, several miles of

8 pipeline. So we are going to be replacing some of

9 the clean-outs along that pipeline that runs along

10 Cedar Grove Road through Maple Valley Highway, all

11 the way to Renton.

12 So that construction is going to involve

13 minimal construction activity. It's going to happen
14 sometime next year, between spring and summer. But
15 when the construction is imminent, there will be a
16 notification so that people are aware that it's
17 coming. This is just giving you a heads up that these
18 things are planned.

19 So those are the two main things that we plan to do
20 that potentially you may be aware of, you could see
21 happening.

22 There are other minor things that we are doing on
23 site. This year, we've installed several landfill
24 gas extraction wells. Every year,
25 we review the performance of our system, of our

1 environmental control system, which includes
2 groundwater monitoring wells, landfill gas monitoring
3 wells, surface water collection and transmission
4 systems, and so on. And then we determine whether we
5 need to add more, replace some that maybe are
6 not as effective as they should be. So we do that
7 every year.

8 This year, we completed some replacement of some of the
9 existing systems we had and also added some more. So
10 those are the things that happen inside the landfill
11 that you may not necessarily be aware of. So those
12 have been completed.

13 So, construction-wise, those are the only
14 activities planned in the next couple of years. In
15 future meetings, we'll talk about what's going to
16 happen in 2012 and beyond.

17 Question.

18 MR. KRONBERG: You mentioned the pipeline
19 that takes all the leachate from this site. Is that
20 also the pipeline that takes the leachate from the
21 Cedar Grove Compost?

22 MR. OKEREKE: That's the same pipeline. The
23 Cedar Grove Compost ties into the same pipeline.

24 MR. KRONBERG: Another question.

25 MR. OKEREKE: Sure.

1 MR. KRONBERG: At the last meeting, we
2 talked about some wells that were drilled in the
3 eastern buffer.

4 MR. OKEREKE: Um-hmm.

5 MR. KRONBERG: What's the status of those
6 wells and what are they -- are they just water
7 monitoring or are they gas extraction? Are they in
8 use? Are they gas extraction wells? Are they water
9 monitoring wells, et cetera?

10 MR. OKEREKE: Yeah. I think the wells
11 you're talking about are groundwater monitoring wells
12 that we put in previously.

13 MR. KRONBERG: They were discussed at the

14 last meeting.

15 MR. OKEREKE: By Laura Belt.

16 MR. KRONBERG: By Laura Belt, yeah.

17 MR. OKEREKE: She was going to be here, then

18 she couldn't make it.

19 Those are just part of our groundwater monitoring

20 system network.

21 MR. KRONBERG: Are they in use now?

22 MR. OKEREKE: They are in use. So like I

23 said, we'll review what is going on every year. We

24 decommission some wells, we add new wells, or we

25 modify some wells. So those are in use.

1 MS. MORGAN: What are the results right now?

2 MR. OKEREKE: Of the particular -- the

3 overall results?

4 MS. MORGAN: Yes. The overall results of

5 the eastern -- the new wells that you put in.

6 MR. OKEREKE: As far as I know, if we have

7 detections, the regulations require that we report

8 it to the regulators. So there is nothing that I'm

9 aware of where we've detected something unusual.

10 That's all I can say. To give you more details on

11 the individual elements and what the levels are, I

12 actually need to see a report. But the regulation

13 requires us to report detections over the allowable
14 levels. And we provide such reports, like I said,
15 quarterly and annually. So there is nothing beyond
16 the ordinary, that I'm aware of, that has occurred in
17 those new wells. And if we know different, we'll let
18 you know. Okay.

19 Any other questions on agenda item No. 3?

20 (No response.)

21 MR. OKEREKE: All right.

22 MR. KRZYCKI: One quick one. Mike Krzycki.

23 So King County hasn't decided on their plan on the
24 expansion yet. That's not going to happen until
25 after 2012. Is that what I'm hearing?

1 MR. OKEREKE: That's the next agenda item.

2 MR. KRZYCKI: Oh, it is? I don't have one

3 of those in front of me.

4 MR. OKEREKE: Oh, sorry. So is there

5 anybody else who needs an agenda? Anybody?

6 (No response.)

7 MR. OKEREKE: Our next agenda item is the

8 status of the site development plan. So what I

9 wanted to accomplish here today to give

10 you an update as to where we are in the process and

11 not necessarily to go through the whole process and

12 the detailed plan. Now, if you need more detailed

13 information, then that's something we can provide

14 you. You can let me know outside the meeting. I

15 will try to get you as much information as we can.

16 Okay? All right.

17 As a result of council mandate, we have to look at

18 how to maximize capacity of the Cedar Hills Regional

19 Landfill. That's what started us into this process.

20 That process involved looking at various alternatives.

21 Then we narrowed those down into five alternatives.

22 Then we had a scoping meeting. I think it was either

23 in Renton or in Issaquah. I forget exactly where

24 that was. Where was that, Sean?

25 MR. KRONBERG: Maple Valley.

1 MR. OKEREKE: Maple Valley.

2 So we had a scoping meeting in Maple Valley. So as
3 a result of the comments we received, we added to the
4 scope of the environmental analysis we were going to
5 complete.

6 So the next step after that is that we completed a
7 draft EIS, a draft Environmental Impact Statement.

8 This is in compliance with state law, the State
9 Environmental Policy Act, SEPA as it's commonly
10 known. Then we had a public hearing where we
11 listened to you, heard your concerns, and then we
12 moved forward to completing the final Environmental

13 Impact Statement.

14 So that part of the Environmental Impact Statement

15 is a compilation of all the analysis that we performed,

16 related to looking at the potential environmental

17 impact of all the five alternatives that we

18 identified as viable alternatives related to the

19 further development of Cedar Hills. We issued that

20 final Environmental Impact Statement on, I think,

21 July 27th. I don't have the dates in

22 my head. So that was issued.

23

24 So from a state law point of view, SEPA point of

25 view, the environmental review process is completed.

1 So, as indicated in the final EIS, the Environmental
2 Impact Statement, we recommended what we call as
3 alternative two. The alternative two that we're
4 recommending to council involves the construction of
5 a landfill area in this area (pointing to a Map). So when you did the
6 tour, you saw these two ponds. So, pending approval,
7 we are going to be developing this area. Okay? This
8 area that has these ponds, and the soil stockpile.

9 Yes?

10 MS. MORGAN: Leslie Morgan. So you're going

11 to have to relocate the ponds, then?

12 MR. OKEREKE: That will be part of the

13 construction process, yes, when we get to that.

14 MS. MORGAN: Okay.

15 MR. OKEREKE: So that report, what we call a

16 project program plan, which is a council-required

17 document, has been transmitted to council. So, once

18 council takes action on the plan, then that will

19 enable us to move forward with the other elements of

20 implementation. The way the schedule falls out now

21 is that we intend to -- pending approval of our

22 proposal -- the design will start sometime in 2014,

23 design and construction, which includes the

24 relocation of the ponds that we just talked about.

25 Then the overall

1 construction is currently scheduled to be completed
2 sometime in 2018, which coincides with our current
3 projection about when Area 7 and the current site is
4 going to run out of capacity. So that is the update
5 as far as where we are as it relates to the site
6 development plan.

7 One other thing I want to mention is that we are
8 going to prepare what is called a site development
9 plan, which is similar to what you may be familiar
10 with called a facility master plan, which basically
11 lays out in detail how the process of site development is
12 going to happen. So that is scheduled to be

13 completed sometime next year, pending council action

14 on the project program plan.

15 A little bit convoluted, but does that make sense,

16 the sequence of events?

17 All right. Any questions? Yes?

18 MS. MORGAN: Leslie Morgan. So where are

19 you going to relocate the ponds?

20 MR. OKEREKE: Good question. So right now,

21 this area is what we call the south solid waste area.

22 It's this area. The garbage in this area varies from

23 eight feet to 20 feet deep, and it was started in the

24 early '60s, '70s.

25 So this solid waste area actually extended into

1 here (pointing to a Map). We did excavate this piece of it in 2004 when
2 we were building Area 6. So the plan calls for these
3 ponds to be relocated down here. We'll remove the
4 waste here and put the ponds down there. So that's
5 sort of the conceptual plan, what it calls for.

6 Now, when we actually get to the design, there
7 might be a little tweaking of that concept. That
8 usually happens in the engineering design process.

9 MS. MORGAN: So you don't think there is
10 going to be any odor issues with removing garbage
11 that's been in that area?

12 MR. OKEREKE: Well, I can only tell you from

13 my own experience -- and that experience is varied --
14 both at Bow Lake and at Cedar Hills and from visiting
15 other sites within the United States. Like I said,
16 the refuse in that area is very shallow. I was very
17 much involved when we did the excavation in 2004. If
18 I didn't tell you, you wouldn't even know that there
19 was excavation of garbage in that area.
20 So I wouldn't say there wouldn't be any odor. What
21 I can say is that we have experience planning to do
22 this type of work. So what will happen is that as
23 part of the design package, there will be a plan
24 about how to mitigate any odors, and we'll submit
25 that to the permitting authority, which is the

1 regulators. Once they approve it, we'll move forward

2 with construction.

3 So I'm not going to guarantee you there will not be

4 any odor. What I can promise is that if there is any

5 odor, it will be controlled so that you will not

6 notice it.

7 MS. MORGAN: Does that mean that you're not

8 encroaching in the buffer with anything if you go

9 with alternative No. 2?

10 MR. OKEREKE: That's one of the attractions

11 of alternative two.

12 MR. VOELKER: The buffer remains the same.

13 MR. OKEREKE: We will not be encroaching

14 into the buffer.

15 MR. VOELKER: We do have a lot of experience

16 in moving old waste. We did that at Shoreline as

17 well. In the First Northeast, we turned -- our old

18 transfer station, which was previously called First

19 Northeast, which is now Shoreline, that was an old

20 landfill. We excavated that landfill up and brought

21 it to Cedar Hills.

22 MR. OKEREKE: And we did the same thing at

23 Bow Lake.

24 MR. VOELKER: We did the same thing at Bow

25 Lake as well last year.

1 MR. OKEREKE: Right now, we are doing some
2 upgrades at the Houghton transfer station. We've
3 also excavated garbage there, old garbage.

4 MR. VOELKER: The majority of it is soil,
5 bottles and tires. That's what you see. And
6 newspapers. Of course, that you can legibly read.

7 MR. OKEREKE: More questions.

8 MS. MONTE: Rachel Monte. This alternative
9 two that is recommended, who is the one that's going
10 to give the approval that you can do alternative two
11 and when will that take place?

12 MR. OKEREKE: Like I said earlier, we

13 submitted our recommendation to the King County
14 council. They are the ones who are going to approve
15 the proposal.

16 MS. MONTE: Are they the ones that chose
17 alternative two as the one --

18 MR. OKEREKE: No.

19 MS. MONTE: -- or did you guys do that?

20 MR. OKEREKE: We are the ones who chose and
21 recommended it to the council.

22 MS. MONTE: Now the council will go through
23 a review, and when are they going to do that?

24 MR. OKEREKE: It's difficult to predict when
25 the council is going to do something. But what I

1 could say is that we expect the council to take

2 action between now and early next year.

3 MS. MONTE: Let's say they decide to say no.

4 Then what?

5 MR. OKEREKE: It is the

6 council that asked us to begin to look at this in the

7 first place. So the council has ultimate authority.

8 MR. BATTERSON: So can the council say,

9 "Well, No. 2 looks nice, but we really want No. 5"?

10 MR. VOELKER: They could.

11 MR. OKEREKE: That could be the council's opinion.

12 MR. BATTERSON: That's kind of what I

13 thought.

14 MR. OKEREKE: What I can tell you is that in

15 the report presented to the council, the impetus, the

16 reasons why we're recommending alternative two is

17 what is documented in there. So there is no backup

18 information about alternative five or alternative

19 four.

20 MR. BATTERSON: Yeah, but they know about

21 it. I mean, they know about all the alternatives.

22 MR. OKEREKE: They know the description.

23 They don't know all the details. They have a lot of

24 information about the reasons why we identified

25 alternative two as the preferred alternative. But

1 you're right, they could call for more information

2 about the other alternatives. That's quite possible.

3 MS. MONTE: Are we going to, as a community,

4 know when the council makes that decision? Is there

5 any way of us knowing other than going to the library

6 and looking through stacks of paper?

7 MR. OKEREKE: I think that one thing we

8 could do is -- the way the council works is that

9 right now, the document is with the main council. It

10 has not come up on the agenda yet. So one thing we

11 could do as part of -- in the information we are

12 sharing with the CRC is sort of keep you informed

13 about how things are moving. Because the way the
14 council works is that the council -- once it comes up
15 on the agenda, they're going to refer it to a
16 committee. The committee is the one that actually
17 does the detailed review. Then they write up a
18 report. Then they send it back to the council,
19 before the council takes action. So there is some
20 kind of -- a little bit of process there involved.

21 What we could do, if that's of interest, is -- I
22 think by our next meeting -- Sean, we do SWAC. We
23 could provide update through SWAC. Would that work?
24 Because this meeting does not occur every month.
25 There is a little bit of a lag.

1 MR. KRONBERG: Do you know the legislative

2 number? 2010 dash --

3 MR. OKEREKE: There is no number yet until

4 it comes up on the agenda. That's the thing. We

5 just submitted it.

6 MR. KRONBERG: Like yesterday?

7 MR. OKEREKE: Last month.

8 MR. KRONBERG: Last month?

9 MR. OKEREKE: August.

10 MR. KRONBERG: Oh, it will have a number

11 now.

12 MR. OKEREKE: All right.

13 MR. KRONBERG: You don't know the number,

14 though?

15 MR. OKEREKE: I don't know the number.

16 MR. KRONBERG: I'll dig it out. There is an

17 easy way to find it.

18 MR. OKEREKE: Yeah. I think in summary --

19 we have a website, by the way. So what we could do

20 is provide you the address of the website.

21 Then we are going to update our website with current

22 information about what's going on.

23 MS. MONTE: Yeah, because I would like to

24 know. I mean, I don't want to be surprised all of a

25 sudden.

1 MR. OKEREKE: Sure. Would that work for
2 you, if we provide the address of the website?

3 MS. MONTE: Yes.

4 MR. OKEREKE: So we'll do that.

5 MS. MONTE: Where is that going to be
6 provided? On something that he's doing?

7 MR. OKEREKE: I think I can get your name
8 and provide it to you or we can provide it in meeting
9 notes or we can provide it to Sean.

10 Yes?

11 MR. KRZYCKI: Mike Krzycki. I think what
12 everybody is looking for is something that they can

13 take home tonight and go look up.

14 MR. OKEREKE: On the website address?

15 MR. KRZYCKI: Yes.

16 MR. OKEREKE: Once we're done, I'll look in

17 my pile here.

18 MR. KRZYCKI: Somebody has got to know a

19 website that we can go to without having to search

20 all over. I mean, obviously, this is a meeting and

21 people are spending time out of their own night.

22 Give us some direction here is I think what everybody

23 is looking for.

24 MR. OKEREKE: There is a website address.

25 Maybe I'll write it on the board.

1 MR. KRZYCKI: That would be a start.

2 MR. OKEREKE: Thanks for jogging my memory.

3 Appreciate it.

4 Again, what I was saying is that we'll update the

5 website so that there is current information on

6 there. So http colon double forward slash your

7 period King County dot --

8 MR. BECK: You have to write bigger than

9 that, Victor.

10 MR. KRZYCKI: It's getting smaller and

11 smaller.

12 MR. OKEREKE: Http colon double forward

13 slash your period King County dot gov forward slash

14 solid waste forward slash facilities forward slash

15 Cedar Hills --

16 MR. KRONBERG: I have a better way.

17 MR. OKEREKE: -- slash development dot asp.

18 All right.

19 MR. KRONBERG: I have a better way.

20 MR. OKEREKE: If you have access to the SWAC

21 website, which I don't have the address --

22 MR. KRONBERG: Can I say something here?

23 MR. OKEREKE: Sure.

24 MR. KRONBERG: If you go to King County dot

25 gov forward slash solid waste -- that's it -- King

1 County dot gov forward slash solid waste -- that's
2 it -- at the top, you'll see a link to facilities.
3 If you go to facilities, you'll see a link to Cedar
4 Hills Landfill. Under Cedar Hills Landfill, there
5 will be a link to the site development plan. That's
6 the page he's sending you to.

7 MR. OKEREKE: So, Sean, what you're saying,
8 if you do that (indicating) --

9 MR. KRONBERG: No, not the your. Just King
10 County dot gov forward slash solid waste.

11 MR. OKEREKE: All right. Thank you, very
12 much, Sean. All right.

13 More questions on the site development plan?

14 MR. NIEMAN: Yes. Richard Nieman. When is

15 this facility going to close? We bought property in

16 1985. At the time, the word floating around was it

17 was going to be closed in about five or six years.

18 Then, in year 2000, they say, well, they're looking

19 at 2012 when it's going to close. Now you're talking

20 '18. When the hell is it going to close?

21 MR. OKEREKE: Let me explain it this way:

22 The existing site, based on the 2009 tonnage, our

23 projection was that we were going to run out of air

24 space in 2018 --

25 MR. NIEMAN: That's time to move.

1 MR. OKEREKE: -- as I explained at the
2 beginning. So we had a mandate to look at options to
3 maximize the capacity of the site, which is what we
4 did. Now, if the recommendation that I was just
5 talking about is accepted by council, the alternative
6 two that I just talked about would add about five to
7 six years to the life of the site, which would take
8 it to about 2023, '24.

9 MR. BECK: Victor, can I try to respond to
10 his question?

11 MR. OKEREKE: Sure.

12 MR. BECK: Originally, the closure dates

13 were based on some things that just don't apply now.

14 For example, the City of Seattle was bringing all of

15 its solid waste out here.

16 MR. NIEMAN: Oh, yeah.

17 MR. BECK: Seattle opted out of that and now

18 is freighting it to Eastern Washington.

19 Another thing that's happened is that the

20 efficiency of recycling -- the percentage of solid

21 waste that's recycling has continued to increase.

22 So, therefore, the amount of solid waste going into

23 the landfill has reduced.

24 Another thing that's happened in the last couple of

25 years is due to the economic recession, the amount of

1 solid waste being generated is down like eight

2 percent per year. So that's another thing.

3 The other thing is that the county council and the

4 county executive is interested in retaining Cedar

5 Hills as an operational disposal site as long as

6 possible, because it is less costly to dispose of

7 solid waste here than alternatives, which the basic

8 alternative was to haul it by train to Eastern

9 Washington.

10 The other thing that's happening is that ongoing

11 technologies are developing ways to convert more and

12 more solid waste into useful by-products, gas,

13 whatever. There is a lot of this going on in Europe.

14 And some of that is being looked at hard as a way of

15 maybe reducing the overall cost to the taxpayer,

16 which is the bottom line that the county council is

17 interested in. How do we keep the cost to the

18 taxpayer down of waste disposal? And they're looking

19 at all possible ways of extending the life of Cedar

20 Hills. That's why it's going out and out and out and

21 out. And I know exactly what you're talking about.

22 MR. NIEMAN: It just keeps going up and up.

23 You're trying to build another Mount Rainier here.

24 MR. BECK: No, they've got a limit on the

25 amount of waste they can put in. There is a height

1 limit. They can't go above -- I forgot what the

2 number is.

3 MR. VOELKER: There is a view corridor of

4 788.

5 MR. NIEMAN: It's like there is no end to it.

6 MR. OKEREKE: No, there is an end to it.

7 MR. NIEMAN: Well, what is the end to it,

8 then, if there is?

9 MR. OKEREKE: Thank you for that explanation.

10 Appreciate it.

11 Does that help answer your question?

12 MR. NIEMAN: Well, I realize some of that --

13 what he's talking about is true, that Seattle pulled
14 out of it, but they're still hauling it in here and
15 you're still building higher and higher.

16 MR. OKEREKE: Let me address two things.

17 The first thing is that we have a limit to how high
18 we can go. So we are not going any higher. We have
19 a limited height based on regulatory constraints.

20 As far as whether we're going to go on forever, the
21 site does not have unlimited capacity. In other
22 words, the site has limited capacity. I think

23 Mr. Beck has explained the reason why the life of the
24 landfill has sort of been extended. Some of it is
25 due to all the factors that he has very articulately

1 described.

2 When the landfill was permitted in the '60s, there

3 is an area that was permitted for land filling. We

4 cannot go beyond that area. So there are a variety

5 of reasons, some of them economic, some of them

6 natural, some of them efficiency related, some of

7 them engineering, why we tend to get more out of an

8 area than we thought we're going to.

9 So that at some time, we're going to be able

10 to fill in all the area that was permitted for land

11 filling.

12 So I think what Mr. Beck was talking about is that

13 one of the reasons the County asked us to look at
14 maximizing the capacity of the landfill is because
15 the county council is interested in providing a
16 landfill disposal alternative that makes it possible
17 for the disposal rates to remain at a reasonable
18 level for the community. There are pluses and
19 minuses to closing the site, and those are some of
20 the things that we take into consideration.

21 Yes, ma'am?

22 MS. MORGAN: Leslie Morgan. I just have a
23 comment. I guess what I would like to say to King
24 County Solid Waste is I am very pleased that this
25 alternative does not encroach into the buffer.

1 Because I think that is something that, quite
2 frankly, the citizens would not tolerate. So I have
3 to say I am pleasantly pleased. I was not
4 anticipating actually this to be your choice. So I
5 would like to thank King County Solid Waste for
6 really doing the right thing and not touching or
7 encroaching into the buffer.

8 MR. BECK: That's a good point. Because
9 some of the alternatives did, in fact, intrude into
10 this 1000-foot buffer. And a lot of these
11 recommendations were made by organizations --
12 R.W. Beck, for example -- that were not aware of the

13 background, the lawsuit and some of the agreements

14 that were part of that. So rather than stir up that

15 whole hornets nest, they accepted, I think, a

16 quadrant and, I think, the right alternative.

17 MR. KRONBERG: It also affords Solid Waste

18 Division to go back in five or six years and do all

19 this whole process over again and look at five or six

20 alternatives, some of which won't encroach on the

21 buffer, some of which will. So that is in our future.

22 MR. NIEMAN: This buffer zone is going to

23 come back up again. It may not come up in the next

24 couple years, but it will be back.

25 MR. BECK: I think the County realizes that

1 they don't want to open up that can of worms that the
2 lawsuit settled. That's one of the reasons why they
3 didn't intrude in the 1000-foot buffer in the
4 alternative that was selected.

5 MR. OKEREKE: All right. Thank you, very
6 much. Any more questions on this topic?

7 (No response.)

8 MR. OKEREKE: All right. Thank you, very
9 much, for your questions. And thank you, Mr. Beck,
10 for helping out there with the answers.

11 The next item on our agenda is just providing some
12 progress status on the landfill gas-to-energy plant.

13 I will just make a couple of points. I think, Dean,
14 you can add to that. We are not the owners of that
15 facility. We sort of interact with them. Because
16 our responsibility is to provide Bio Energy
17 Washington, which is the name of the owners of the
18 facility, the gas that they need to convert to make
19 natural gas. So the advantages and benefits of the
20 facility, I think we've discussed it in previous
21 meetings. I think that is without debate.

22 What we do know is that the facility -- they've
23 been working on sort of ramping up the operating
24 capacity. Dean can talk more about where they are in
25 terms of operationally. But they have made

1 significant progress in terms of mitigating certain
2 impact that has been identified by the public in the
3 past, and they are continuing to work on improving
4 things as they go.

5 It's a unique facility, one of its kind in the
6 Northwest. So, as things come up, they've indicated
7 they are going to continue to work on it. They've
8 established a website where people can provide
9 comment. I think we provided the address of that
10 website in the past and we can provide that again.

11 So that if somebody needed to contact them, they
12 can do so, either through a telephone call or sort of

13 through the website.

14 So that's essentially what I wanted to say. Dean,

15 you can talk about where they are operationally.

16 MR. VOELKER: On the tour, we talked about

17 the amount of methane that can be generated here on

18 this facility in a day. We're at a point now --

19 we're sitting at about 9,500 cubic feet per minute is

20 what this landfill is generating right now. BEW has

21 been through various stages of this purification

22 process where they're constantly improving the

23 process as they're going along. They started out

24 taking, oh, around 1500 cubic feet per minute of

25 methane on a 24-hour basis. That system kind of was

1 up and down for a while as they were trying to
2 improve this process. Right now, currently today,
3 they were at a point and have been at a point,
4 probably more often than not, at 100 percent
5 capacity. So they're pretty close to fine tuning it
6 and getting it right where it needs to be.

7 Like I said, it's a very difficult process. It's a
8 one of its kind. It's huge. It's big. They do
9 other processes that are close to this, but not
10 similar to this. So it's a little different process
11 than what's being done around the country. It's a
12 very large, complex operation that's going on out

13 there.

14 So in order to take this low BTU or medium BTU gas

15 and turn it into a high BTU gas, it's a slow process.

16 They're perfecting it as they're going. They have

17 all the elements in place. They're getting better

18 and better. As situations come up, they try to

19 correct them. So they're pretty close to running at

20 full capacity right now, and they have been doing so

21 today.

22 So today when I looked on the SCADA system, which

23 is our system where we can actually monitor the

24 progress of what's going on with the amount of gas

25 that we are producing in the landfill and pushing to

1 BEW, we were supplying them 100 percent of the gas.

2 So the idea behind this is to, back at the north

3 flare station, where we're operating, where we have a

4 flare facility, where we're incinerating the landfill

5 gas, is to shut that off and have that only there as

6 a backup process.

7 So while BEW is performing their task and they get

8 it up to speed, they should be taking 100 percent of

9 the gas. If they cannot, one of the questions when

10 we were on the tour, what's going to happen when they

11 can't take all the gas? Well, the north flare

12 station is set up to where they can automatically

13 start the system up so that we can maintain 100
14 percent collection on the landfill.
15 So what we don't want to do is this process and our
16 process back here with the flare system, we want them
17 to take it all. If they're not taking it, we'll be
18 taking it. So we do not want to compromise the
19 environmental control systems that are in place right
20 now. So there needs to be 100 percent collection.
21 So we either burn it or it's being processed or it's
22 doing one or the other. So we have these systems in
23 place.

24 MS. MONTE: Rachel Monte. Is there a reason
25 why a representative from the plant wasn't here at

1 the meeting -- because there was somebody at the last
2 meeting -- to just answer questions? Because I'm
3 sure a lot of people would like to ask questions
4 about what's going on, just like we're asking
5 questions about the landfill.

6 MR. OKEREKE: That's something we could ask
7 them, if they would be willing to participate on an
8 ongoing basis at these meetings. I looked through
9 the meeting notes from the last meeting. It looks
10 like there was a lot of detailed discussion about
11 what they were doing. So what I'm hearing you say is
12 that you say it will be necessary to maybe invite

13 them.

14 MS. MONTE: It would be nice. Even though

15 you guys are separate, you're working with each

16 other. And we're here, hearing you. And this is

17 going on. We'd like to know --

18 MR. OKEREKE: We'll talk to them about

19 participating in these meetings on an ongoing

20 basis as long as the facility is there. That's what

21 I hear you say. Right?

22 MS. MONTE: Right.

23 MR. KRZYCKI: I'd like to second that

24 comment. Mike Krzycki.

25 MR. BLUME: Mark Blume. When was the last

1 meeting? Was that a year ago?

2 MR. OKEREKE: I think there was one -- it

3 was not a year ago -- it could have been a year ago,

4 you're right. I think it was in the middle of last

5 year, like September, August, thereabouts. You're

6 right. About a year ago.

7 Yes?

8 MS. MORGAN: Leslie Morgan. One of the

9 things that we were told, because I had great concern

10 about having an energy plant here, was that King

11 County Solid Waste said, "You don't have to worry,

12 because we'll own the land, they'll be leasing the

13 land from us." So, basically, King County Solid
14 Waste is the landlord.
15 This facility is incredibly loud and really, really
16 awful to live around. And I feel like this community
17 is always on the cutting edge of everything, whether
18 it be the compost or this gas-to-energy plant. And
19 the last few days, this noise has been ridiculous. I
20 mean, it's really gotten bad.
21 So I really feel like at some point, if this energy
22 plant cannot get it more liveable to be around, not
23 only are they going to be held responsible, but the
24 landlord is going to be held responsible as well.
25 This isn't what we were led to believe it was going

1 to be. All we got from King County Solid Waste is,
2 isn't this green, isn't this wonderful, and it has
3 become a nightmare for a lot of people. Like I say,
4 the last week or so, this noise is really, really
5 loud.

6 MR. OKEREKE: All right. Comment well
7 taken. I will talk to the Plant management staff about
8 participation in future meetings so they can provide
9 up-to-date information about what they are doing to
10 actually monitor what's going on.

11 I couldn't comment a lot about the noise levels.

12 What I can say is that part of their permit

13 requirement is to monitor noise and provide noise

14 level information to ensure compliance.

15 MR. KRONBERG: To who?

16 MR. OKEREKE: They provide information to

17 King County.

18 MR. KRONBERG: King County Solid Waste?

19 MR. OKEREKE: King County Solid Waste

20 Division.

21 One other thing I just want to clarify. The land

22 was not leased by King County Solid Waste Division.

23 It's actually King County. So we don't have control

24 over lease. So just minor clarification.

25 MR. KRONBERG: Victor, noise information

1 being provided to King County Solid Waste, what's the
2 noise levels of that? I mean, if not you, then who
3 would know?

4 MR. OKEREKE: Well, it's provided to my
5 section now. It's a very interesting thing, if you
6 look at a noise code. There are thresholds. so
7 there could be intermittent --

8 MR. KRONBERG: That wasn't my question. Who
9 is it being provided to?

10 MR. OKEREKE: It's provided to King County
11 Solid Waste Division.

12 MR. KRONBERG: So who would know, if not

13 you, what the levels are?

14 MR. OKEREKE: My staff would know.

15 MS. MONTE: Rachel Monte. I just kind of am

16 frustrated -- I know a lot of you probably are

17 frustrated, too -- with the noise. And as of the

18 17th of this month, I kind of got really angry,

19 because I was awakened early in the morning by this

20 noise that was just -- (indicating) -- I mean, I

21 don't know how else to describe it. I got on the

22 phone, called here, wasn't us; called Stoneway,

23 wasn't us; called compost, wasn't us; e-mailed the

24 gas, wasn't us. So everybody is not us, not us, not

25 us, but yet you can't deny what we're hearing. I'm

1 not the only one hearing it.

2 So you may not have the answers, but my question

3 is, where is it coming from? Who is the culprit?

4 And what is it? Because it's rumbling in my house.

5 I can hear it when my head is on the pillow.

6 Sometimes it's louder in my house than it is outside.

7 I know sound does weird stuff. But I get frustrated

8 over trying to find the culprit, calling all these

9 entities and everybody is saying, "It's not us, it's

10 not us, it's not us." So I don't know if you have an

11 answer for that or what. I don't know if I need to

12 come on the property late at night. It's just a joke.

13 MR. OKEREKE: I'm sorry. But I do not
14 understand your question. When you called our
15 landfill, somebody said, "It wasn't us," is that the
16 only response you got?

17 MS. MONTE: I was wanting to speak to Brad
18 Bell.

19 MR. OKEREKE: What I can tell you is that it
20 is -- if you're living in the neighborhood, obviously
21 it is difficult to find out where the noise is coming
22 from. But what we do is that if we get a call,
23 complaining about noise, we do investigate to try to
24 find out as much information --

25 MS. MONTE: That's why I asked you (referring to Dean)in the

1 truck -- you said you were down at Area 6 last
2 Sunday, and I asked you, were you doing anything up
3 there this morning, and you said no. The noise is
4 still going on. And I talked to Wally Grant, and he
5 said, "Oh, it's probably because we're covering
6 Area 6." Well, I heard the same noise this morning,
7 and you said you weren't up there doing anything on
8 Area 6. So somewhere this noise is affecting my
9 neighborhood. I don't know about you guys over on
10 this side. But no one seems to be able to say where
11 it's really coming from.

12 MR. BATTERSON: I don't hear anything; do

13 you?

14 MR. OKEREKE: Have you been able to call

15 BEW, their number, their contact, and actually speak

16 to their plant manager?

17 MS. MONTE: I actually have been talking

18 with Chuck Pacard. Also, he's put me in an e-mail

19 with Jeff somebody.

20 MR. VOELKER: Jeff Brown is the manager.

21 MR. OKEREKE: And he doesn't know either?

22 MS. MONTE: Well, they're not saying.

23 They're just, you know -- I get tired -- it's like a

24 runaround. Compost, "Oh, we're not doing anything

25 different than what we've always been doing." Well,

1 somebody is doing something.

2 MR. BATTERSON: Every 52 seconds, there is a

3 pretty good whistle that comes out of that thing.

4 MS. KELLEY: Is that the bio energy?

5 MR. BLUME: Yes.

6 MR. OKEREKE: All right, ma'am. Thank you.

7 MS. MONTE: That's just my frustration for

8 the night.

9 MR. KRONBERG: Victor, probably eight months

10 ago, I kind of hounded Kevin about this repeatedly.

11 Us citizens shouldn't have to be the innovators. We

12 shouldn't have to go to Solid Waste, we shouldn't

13 have to go to BEW, we shouldn't have to go to the
14 people working on this site. It's not our job to go
15 to BEW and report it to them. I would say if we go
16 report it to you -- Solid Waste, like you said, is
17 getting reports on these sounds, these noises. Are
18 you the regulatory agency of those sounds, then, if
19 you're getting the reports? I mean, who is it that
20 is responsible for the noise and the exceedances of
21 those noises surrounding the landfill and the
22 complaints that are coming in? Who receives those
23 complaints and who can be the coordinator, the
24 quarterback of that whole effort? Because we can't be.

25 MR. OKEREKE: I understand. I get your

1 point. You are next.

2 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: I hate to interrupt,

3 but I've got to get these kids home. So I have to

4 leave. This is the part of the meeting --

5 MR. OKEREKE: Do you have a question before

6 you leave?

7 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: I don't. This is the

8 subject I wanted to talk about, though, the noise

9 levels and exactly the progress that's being made.

10 You said it's at 100 percent now. So is this what we

11 get, or is it going to continue --

12 MR. OKEREKE: Before you go, what we're

13 going to do, like I said earlier, is that we'll be
14 talking to the management of the company, and try to
15 integrate them into future meetings so they can help
16 answer some of those questions.

17 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: So my question then is,
18 is the state of this plant at the moment -- you said
19 it's up and running about 100 percent or close to it.

20 MR. VOELKER: It was today.

21 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: It was today.

22 MR. VOELKER: It's variable.

23 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: So the noise that we're
24 hearing right at this moment is going to continue.
25 Correct? Or are there other steps that they're going

1 to take to reduce the noise?

2 MR. OKEREKE: There is not going to be zero

3 noise. It's a plant.

4 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: Understood.

5 MR. OKEREKE: There won't be zero noise. So

6 we'll invite them in the future to participate in

7 these meetings so they can provide ongoing

8 information.

9 What I wanted to say is that -- Sean talked about

10 integration.

11 MR. KRONBERG: That wasn't his question.

12 His question was, is the noise being reduced further?

13 MR. OKEREKE: No, no, no. I want to
14 integrate your question to his and try to see if we
15 can answer both of them.

16 They are the ones who can provide up-to-date
17 information about what's going on at their plant.

18 MR. KRONBERG: They don't have a 24-hour
19 number, though; you do.

20 MR. OKEREKE: Well, they're supposed to
21 have. Those are some of the things we will talk to them
22 about. They're supposed to have a number.

23 MR. KRONBERG: Again, we're not supposed to
24 figure out what's going on up here. We call the
25 landfill and we call BEW. It's crazy.

1 MR. OKEREKE: What I can say on our behalf

2 is that if we do receive a call, we'll facilitate a

3 response, which means we'll provide you the right

4 number or investigate the source as much as we can if

5 we get a call. But we will talk to them if you don't

6 have their number. They have a website, which we've

7 provided the website address before. They've

8 indicated that they're putting their telephone number

9 on their website for contact, a local telephone

10 number. So those are the things that we will check

11 on if that hasn't happened. Because they are the

12 ones who can provide you the up-to-date details about

13 what's going on.

14 MR. LARRY HOGANSON: Kids, let's go. Thank

15 you, very much, everybody. Appreciate all your

16 participation.

17 MR. BATTERSON: I think Sean's point is that

18 you should provide a facility that doesn't warrant

19 phone calls. I mean --

20 MR. OKEREKE: Point well taken.

21 MS. MONTE: Not only that, but when you call

22 and make a complaint -- like when I called the

23 landfill, I actually spoke to Annette, the

24 receptionist. She had to take my complaint. So

25 where does my complaint go? Do you just log it on

1 paper and stick it in a complaint box? Does anything
2 ever become of that complaint? Do you wait until you
3 get five or 25 before you respond? What is the
4 process?

5 MR. OKEREKE: I thought Dean can explain how
6 we work the complaints.

7 MR. VOELKER: All complaints that come into
8 this front desk or even through our hotline, all get
9 investigated, every one of them. Each one of those
10 is logged and documented. So there isn't a complaint
11 that comes into the Solid Waste Division, this
12 facility, that is not logged and tracked.

13 MR. KRONBERG: There is a couple this month

14 that I've logged with Solid Waste that weren't

15 tracked and logged. I followed up yesterday about

16 it. So it's not always true. I got a call from

17 Wally today about it. He said, "I have no record of

18 this."

19 MR. VOELKER: It may or may not be true.

20 MR. KRONBERG: I just want you to know that

21 what you're saying is not particularly --

22 MR. VOELKER: I am aware of your latest

23 complaint and it is being tracked and it is logged.

24 It is a place. It is stored. We may not get 100

25 percent of them, but we get 99 percent of them. We

1 try to investigate every single complaint. We have
2 people that will go out into the community, we'll
3 travel around the landfill, document the process,
4 check all of the conditions around the landfill, and
5 it is documented in a database.

6 MS. MORGAN: Leslie Morgan. Just one more
7 comment. On the last lawsuit that we had, what
8 really helped in that lawsuit was people writing down
9 on your calendar when you called. Because if they
10 don't have record of it, at least you have record of
11 it. And I think basically what -- we're getting to
12 that point again. The citizens are getting

13 incredibly frustrated. I'm not going to go through
14 five more years of meetings. I did that last time.
15 I just want King County to understand they are the
16 landlord, they're going to be held just as
17 responsible as this energy plant for taking away our
18 right to enjoy our life and property. So I just want
19 King County to take this very, very seriously. You
20 allowed this energy plant on this site. You're as
21 responsible as they are. So I want to see you 100
22 percent committed. This noise is not acceptable.

23 MR. OKEREKE: All right. Thank you for the
24 comment.

25 So we're going to try to wrap up the meeting. Are

1 there any more questions?

2 MR. KRZYCKI: I just wanted to put a comment

3 on the record. The last meeting we had in Maple

4 Valley at the community center, there was a huge

5 comment on just this plant, itself.

6 MR. OKEREKE: Right.

7 MR. KRZYCKI: So my comment is if you

8 already know that you've got that many people who

9 want that information and want to speak to somebody,

10 and you have another meeting and you don't have

11 somebody from the plant available to answer those

12 questions, that's poor meeting planning on King

13 County's part. I just want that down in writing so
14 somebody can look at it. The same thing with having
15 to look for a website, the same thing with having to
16 look for a 24-hour phone number. You guys should
17 provide that stuff for us.

18 MR. OKEREKE: A 24-hour phone number --

19 MR. KRZYCKI: For complaint lines for BEW.

20 I don't need a comment from you. It's a statement.

21 MR. OKEREKE: I have to make a comment. You
22 made a comment, I have to make a comment. Some of
23 the reasons the information you're talking about is
24 not provided at this meeting is because they've been
25 provided before. That's the reason.

1 MR. KRZYCKI: To who?

2 MR. OKEREKE: They've been provided --

3 MR. KRZYCKI: To the same people who are

4 here?

5 MR. BATTERSON: I've been to almost every

6 meeting.

7 MR. OKEREKE: Your comment is well taken.

8 We'll make sure that we provide this on an ongoing

9 basis. That's what I can promise you.

10 MR. KRZYCKI: I've been dealing with King

11 County for many years, and their response to the

12 citizens in this area is poor. I've been on record

13 many times stating that over the years. It's like
14 it's always got to be the residents' problem to go
15 try to find out who is making the noise rather than
16 being a little bit more accessible. King County is
17 very standoffish about that, about being able to get
18 answers. Oh, I don't know, this isn't -- perfect
19 example is this meeting. I want to know about that
20 plant. Oh, we don't have anybody here from there.
21 That's stupid. Plain and simple. Just want it down
22 on record.

23 MR. OKEREKE: Okay. Any more comments?

24 Thank you for your comment.

25 All right. Well, I think just if I could summarize

1 quickly, related to the committee process,

2 membership, I think there is interest in sort of

3 finding out what is going on now with the CRC, what

4 the past was, and how it's currently constituted

5 moving forward. That's what I heard from you. And

6 what I believe we agreed to -- correct me if my

7 understanding is wrong -- is that we would gather as

8 much information as we can related to this matter and

9 then table it for the next meeting. All right.

10 So that sort of leads me to when you would like to

11 have the next meeting. We originally planned to have

12 the meetings every six months, but we could have one

13 before the year ends, if that will work for you.

14 MS. KELLEY: Frecia Kelley. I just want to

15 make a comment. If you wait six months to have

16 another meeting where we get some more information,

17 you're going to have a revolt on your hands.

18 MR. OKEREKE: I agree. That's why I was

19 saying, normally we wait six months, but we can have

20 one before the year ends. That's what I said.

21 MS. KELLEY: I would do that.

22 MR. OKEREKE: I'm asking for your preferred

23 time. Is there a preference? So this is September.

24 MR. KRZYCKI: I think you should have one

25 within the month.

1 MR. OKEREKE: Within the month. Okay. Like

2 in October or in September? Late September?

3 MR. KRZYCKI: I'd say late September. Mail

4 some stuff out to the local residents. You're going

5 to get more people showing up.

6 MR. OKEREKE: Right.

7 MR. KRZYCKI: You can get more people

8 commenting. It's hard to take everybody's comment at

9 a meeting like this. But if you've got a different

10 forum, like a website they could go comment on and

11 make that available to everybody, you can start

12 getting more information.

13 MR. OKEREKE: Like a CRC website?

14 MR. KRZYCKI: A CRC website. You could

15 Google CRC. What does it mean? Nothing pops up.

16 MR. OKEREKE: Point well taken.

17 MR. KRONBERG: As far as timing goes, the

18 last meeting was July 30th, 2009. This is what,

19 September 1st -- August 31st -- September 1st. So

20 we're over a year.

21 MR. OKEREKE: Yes.

22 MR. KRONBERG: Why is that? What happened?

23 MR. OKEREKE: I mean, I'd like to apologize

24 for that. I think it had something to do with

25 changes in our staffing basically, that's what

1 happened. Because the last meeting was -- Brad did

2 it. We should have had one

3 before now. We did not. So we'll try to have

4 another one before the year ends.

5 Okay. So that's one thing. The other thing I had

6 is --

7 MR. KRONBERG: So he made a comment on

8 October or he made a comment on September for the

9 next meeting.

10 MR. OKEREKE: About having a meeting within

11 a month.

12 MR. KRONBERG: You keep repeating, by the

13 end of the year.

14 MR. OKEREKE: No, no.

15 MR. KRONBERG: I just want to clarify, while

16 we're talking about scheduled dates --

17 MR. OKEREKE: I asked for preferred dates.

18 So I heard him say within a month. And that seems to

19 be a concurrence that that's what is preferred.

20 Unless you have a different opinion.

21 MR. KRONBERG: I just wanted to clarify

22 that. You said again, before the end of the year.

23 MS. HAWKINS: Can't we just pick a date

24 right now? Pick a date and put it on the calendar?

25 MR. OKEREKE: No. I think because of

1 scheduling and -- one other thing I had was -- I
2 think you made a point about who should get
3 notifications. So what we are thinking is sort of
4 defining -- like whenever we do public notice, maybe
5 about 500 square -- 500 feet radius around the
6 landfill. So I'd like to think about that, who gets
7 notification. Because I see that's identified as an
8 issue. Before the next meeting, that's something we
9 have to resolve, figure out who is within that area,
10 get their names, make sure we -- there are some
11 logistics we have to sort out.

12 MR. KRONBERG: You already know that. Who

13 was notified for the site development plan? What

14 radius? Was it five miles? Was it one mile? Ten

15 miles? So you already had names.

16 MR. OKEREKE: So you're suggesting --

17 MR. KRONBERG: It won't take long. I talked

18 to Paula yesterday. She can get that information.

19 MS. MONTE: You've got like a 24-hour

20 hotline -- I know you're running out of time -- that

21 somebody can call in case they want to report

22 something?

23 MR. OKEREKE: You mean our own 24-hour

24 hotline?

25 MS. KELLEY: Yeah.

1 MR. OKEREKE: We do have one.

2 MS. KELLEY: So what is the number? We've

3 been asking.

4 MR. VOELKER: I don't have it. It is on our

5 website.

6 MR. OKEREKE: We do have one. It's the same

7 number to the site. 296-4490.

8 MR. VOELKER: 296-4490 should reach you

9 to --

10 MR. OKEREKE: The number to the facility.

11 MR. VOELKER: -- who actually answers and

12 monitors it.

13 MR. NIEMAN: Get somebody out of bed.

14 MR. OKEREKE: Sean, I didn't get the

15 question -- that there was a pending question on

16 24-hour hotline. I didn't know there was a pending

17 question.

18 MS. MONTE: She was wanting to know.

19 MS. KELLEY: You see, I figure if I can't

20 sleep because of the noise, you shouldn't either.

21 Got it?

22 MR. OKEREKE: All right.

23 MS. MONTE: Well, you're not going to be

24 calling him directly.

25 MS. KELLEY: Give me a home phone number.

1 MR. OKEREKE: That's okay.

2 One other thing I was saying, which we're going to

3 provide to you, when we have our next meeting -- I

4 think that's the point you were making -- is the

5 telephone number for BEW.

6 MR. NIEMAN: The County, also.

7 MS. MONTE: All of them.

8 MR. OKEREKE: All right. Trying to wrap up

9 the meeting. I understand the high frustration with

10 the landfill gas-to-energy facility. What I can say

11 and promise to do is to invite the operators of the

12 facility to future meetings. That's what I can say.

13 Now, as it relates to our responsibility as King

14 County, as landlord, I will take that information

15 back and we'll think about it.

16 As far as the site development plan, I heard that

17 there is interest in sort of following the process as

18 it goes through the council.

19 MS. MONTE: Yeah.

20 MR. OKEREKE: All right. And I said that

21 we'll provide that information on our website. When

22 we have the next meeting -- what's your name, sir?

23 MR. KRZYCKI: Mike Krzycki.

24 MR. OKEREKE: Mike, your criticism is well

25 taken. All right. So next meeting, we'll have all

1 this information on hand. Okay. All right?

2 MR. VOELKER: To clarify one more time that

3 I do not have their number. We will get their number

4 next time. But our number for King County -- did

5 everyone get that? 296-4490.

6 MR. OKEREKE: It's at the bottom of the

7 agenda.

8 MR. VOELKER: Twenty-four hours.

9 MR. OKEREKE: Thank you, very much, for

10 coming. Thank you, very much, for coming to the

11 meeting. I look forward to seeing you at the next

12 meeting.

13 MS. HAWKINS: How will we know when the next

14 meeting is dated?

15 MR. OKEREKE: There will be a notification.

16 What I did get from this meeting is we want to have

17 it within a month.

18 (Meeting adjourned at 8:56 p.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

3 I, Donald W. McKay, RMR, CRR, Certified Court

4 Reporter, do hereby certify:

5 That I reported in shorthand (stenotype) the

6 foregoing proceedings had in the above-entitled matter at

7 the date and place indicated.

8 That I thereafter transcribed my said shorthand notes

9 into typewriting; and that the typewritten transcript is a

10 complete, true, and accurate transcription of my said

11 shorthand notes, to the best of my ability.

12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my office

13 in the County of King, State of Washington, this 12th day

14 of September 2010.

15

16

17

18

19

Donald W. McKay, CCR, RMR, CRR

20

Washington State Certified Court Reporter

WA CCR No. 3237

21

License effective until: 07/02/2011

22

23.

24

25

