

2021

Annual Bridge Report



King County
Department of Local Services
Road Services Division

AUGUST 2022

Cover Photo: Berrydale Overcrossing No.3086OX, a timber trestle built in 1931 spanning the BNSF Railroad near the community of Black Diamond, Washington.

2021

ANNUAL BRIDGE REPORT



Department of Local Services
Road Services Division
King Street Center, KSC-LS-0313
201 S. Jackson Street
Seattle, WA 98104-3856
206-477-3601 TTY Relay: 711
www.kingcounty.gov/roads

JoAnn Kosai-Eng, P.E.
County Road Engineer

August 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	2
II.	INTRODUCTION.....	3
III.	BRIDGE INVENTORY.....	4
IV.	BRIDGE INSPECTION.....	8
V.	LOAD-LIMITED OR RESTRICTED BRIDGES	9
	A. LOAD RATING REQUIREMENTS.....	9
	B. BRIDGE LOAD POSTING	9
VI.	BRIDGE PRIORITY RANKING FOR REPLACEMENT/REHABILITATION.....	10
VII.	BRIDGE PRESERVATION	13
	A. LOAD UPGRADES	13
	B. BRIDGE RE-DECKS	14
	C. BRIDGE PAINTING	15
	D. SCOUR/HYDRAULIC PROJECTS	15
	E. BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFITS	17
	F. BRIDGE MAINTENANCE REPAIRS.....	17
VIII.	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECTS.....	22
	A. BRIDGE SAFETY PROGRAM.....	22
	B. OTHER REPLACEMENT PROJECTS	28
	 GLOSSARY OF BRIDGE TERMINOLOGY.....	 29

APPENDICES:

APPENDIX ONE - BRIDGE INVENTORY

APPENDIX TWO - LOAD-LIMITED OR RESTRICTED BRIDGES

APPENDIX THREE - PAINTED BRIDGES

APPENDIX FOUR - LANDMARK BRIDGES

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

King County Department of Local Services, Road Services Division owns and maintains 185 bridges in the unincorporated area of King County. Built over many generations, these bridges range from less than 10 years to over 100 years in age. These bridges are an integral part of a road system that supports more than one million vehicle trips every day, yet the inventory is old with an average bridge age of 52 years. At the end of 2021, there were 76 bridges beyond their expected useful life. The issue is particularly pronounced with the timber bridges, which make up about one-third of the inventory. Although timber bridges have a typical useful life of 50 years, their average age is 69 years.

As bridges continue to age and deteriorate, they will need to be replaced or closed. Although the useful life has been extended through prior repairs, the overall condition of the bridge inventory is declining, and major structural repair is no longer viable as a long-term solution. There are currently two closed bridges: Miller River Bridge No. 999W which was closed in 2011 and SE 408th Street Bridge No. 3056A which was closed in 2012. Fish Hatchery Road Bridge No. 61B was closed in 2018 and was removed permanently in 2021 as the repair or replacement options were not feasible.

The county has made a significant shift in its analysis of bridge conditions and priorities based on a directive from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Following a decision to allow heavier trucks on roadways, the FHWA developed additional calculations for determining the weight that a bridge can safely carry. Federal, state, and local governments are evaluating publicly owned vehicular bridges using these criteria and formulas and are determining whether weight restrictions must be placed on bridges under this requirement.

There are 178 vehicular bridges in the Roads inventory and, as mandated, the county is in the process of evaluating each of them using current bridge condition information and federal criteria to calculate bridge weight-carrying capacity. The evaluation includes four Single Unit (SU) and two Emergency Vehicles (EV) in addition to 3-AASHTO, 2-Overload, and HL-93 design trucks that were used in prior bridge load rating analysis and report. Each bridge load posting is now determined by load rating results from each of 7 legal trucks (3-AASHTO & 4-SU) and 2 Emergency Vehicles (EV).

By the end of 2021, 155 bridge load rating reports have been completed and 22 bridges were load posted per FHWA requirements. The remaining 23 bridges are expected to be completed by the end of 2022.

Immediate impacts of the load restrictions on bridges include trucks detouring onto roads less appropriate for heavy truck traffic and the risk that emergency responders may be delayed if certain types of heavier fire apparatus are not allowed or unable to cross a bridge on the most direct route. Because these requirements are across the entire road network, restrictions are having an impact on travel in King County.

Given the impacts of the increasing number and sizes of heavy vehicles on the roadway, the aging inventory, and a decline in the overall condition of the bridges, the number of bridges which need to be replaced are increasing. Federal funds from the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law may be available for those structures on the National Bridge Inventory (NBI). These structures are over 20 feet in length. Additional funding will be needed to continue to address the declining condition of the overall bridge inventory.

II. INTRODUCTION

This bridge report is prepared by the King County Department of Local Services (DLS) Road Services Division (Roads) each year to fulfill the requirements of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 136-20-060. This WAC requires the County Road Engineer's report of bridge inspections as follows:

"Each county engineer shall furnish the county legislative authority with a written report of the findings of the bridge inspection effort. This report shall be made available to said authority and shall be consulted during the preparation of the proposed six-year transportation program revision. The report shall include the county engineer's recommendations as to replacement, repair, or load restriction for each deficient bridge. The resolution of adoption of the six-year transportation program shall include assurances to the effect that the county engineer's report with respect to deficient bridges was available to said authority during the preparation of the program. It is highly recommended that deficient short span bridges, drainage structures, and large culverts be included in said report."

This report summarizes King County Roads 2021 bridge inventory, programs, inspections, activities, and findings. These programs form an integrated and comprehensive strategy to maintain and preserve the county's bridges and the continuity of the roadway network. The three main bridge program goals are:

1. Keep the bridges open and safe for public use.
2. Preserve bridge infrastructure by maximizing its useful life through active maintenance, repair, load upgrades or rehabilitation.
3. When possible, replace existing bridges with reliable new structures when repair, load upgrades or rehabilitation is not feasible.

As bridges age beyond their expected useful life, Roads will continue to undertake bridge maintenance and preservation activities, and when bridges can no longer be maintained in a safe and serviceable condition, they will be restricted or closed.

This report incorporates the inspection results for 2021 and the current FHWA load-rating method as part of the priority ranking for bridge replacements. It updates the current list of load-limited bridges and sets the immediate work plan for both the proposed bridge replacement and bridge preservation programs.

Throughout the report, several references are made to specific bridges, each of which is uniquely identified by name and number, e.g., **Mt. Si Bridge No. 2550A**. To assist the reader, the complete bridge inventory and location descriptions are included at the end of this report in Appendix One.

Information regarding current and future bridge projects is addressed in Sections VI, VII and VIII of this report. Current projects can be viewed on the King County website at:
<http://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/roads/bridges.aspx>

III. BRIDGE INVENTORY

Washington State is required by 23 CFR 650.315 to maintain an inventory of all bridges (structures) subject to the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS), from which selected data is reported to FHWA as requested for entry into the National Bridge Inventory (NBI). FHWA has a Stewardship Agreement with Washington State to submit NBI data on March 15 and October 1 each year. Washington State maintains an inventory (Washington State Bridge Inventory System (WSBIS)) to meet WAC 136-20-020, which requires that each Local Agency (Counties and Cities) maintain an inventory of bridges in the state inventory. As King County is a local agency in Washington State, WSDOT Local Programs coordinates with King County Road Services Division for the management of bridge inventory using WSBIS. All King County inventory data is entered into the Bridge Works Program developed and maintained by WSDOT in a timely manner as outlined in the Washington State Bridge Inspection Manual.

Roads engineers inspect and inventory 185 bridges located across King County consisting of:

- 133 vehicular National Bridge Inventory (NBI) bridges
- 45 short span vehicular bridges (non-NBI *20 feet or less in length*)
- 3 NBI vehicular bridges co-owned with other agencies
- 3 pedestrian bridges (non NBI)
- 1 safety corridor bridge (NBI bridge as it spans over a vehicular roadway)


The bridges owned and maintained by Roads are built with several types of materials in a variety of designs. Of the 185 bridges in the inventory, 60 are built with timber components, 27 are constructed with steel main spans and 98 are concrete structures.

The adjacent chart shows the breakdown by material type of the King County Roads bridge inventory.

Many of the timber bridges were built during the 1950s. The expected service life of timber bridges is approximately 50 years, which indicates most of the King County timber bridge inventory has aged beyond its expected useful life.


The average age of King County bridges with timber elements is 69 years. The county has been able to extend the useful life of its timber bridges through thorough monitoring and bridge repairs that were funded in 1995-1997 and 2001-2003. Major structural repair of timber bridges is no longer viable as a long-term solution due to the condition of the bridge foundations and current environmental regulations.

Forty-five of the 185 bridges are short span bridges, which are spans equal to or less than 20 feet long and are categorized as non-NBI bridges. Bridges that are classified as short span bridges are not eligible for federal funds and would have to be replaced at the county's own expense. Of these short span bridges, 27 have timber elements.



Replacing these bridges would have many benefits such as eliminating the risk of closure or restriction for the safe use, improving traffic safety, minimizing maintenance costs, providing better hydraulic performance, and removing toxic creosote-treated timber piles from streams. In 2007, Roads began an aggressive short span bridge replacement program to address the large number of deficient timber bridges. Each year of the program, two to four bridges were replaced, but this program was halted in 2013 due to the significant decline in Road's revenues.

Of the remaining 140 bridges, 137 are considered NBI bridges, which are greater than 20 feet in length and are required to be reported to FHWA according to the NBIS, (the three pedestrian bridges are not included). Thirty-two of these NBI bridges have timber elements.



The graph above shows the number of vehicular bridges built by decade. It also shows the number of bridges that are beyond their useful life. The anticipated useful life of bridges varies by material type with timber bridges at 50 years, and steel bridges and concrete bridges at 75 years. Most of the county bridges are comprised of multiple material types for the substructure, superstructure, and decking. Of the 181 vehicular bridges in the inventory, 73 are beyond their expected useful life. In addition, the average age of the vehicular bridge inventory is 52 years, and the entire inventory average age is 53 years old.

One measure that provides an overview of the condition of the inventory is a rating factor known as the Sufficiency Rating (SR). The average SR of the entire inventory provides a comparative look at the health of the inventory from one year to the next. The SR is a score calculated for each bridge using a multitude of ratings the inspector assigns to the bridge based on the condition of the various components of the bridge. The geometric layout, safety, traffic volume, and the length of the detour route (in the event of a closure) are also factored into the SR. The SR ranges from zero (a bridge that is closed and cannot carry traffic loads) to 100 (a new bridge with no deficiencies). As deficient bridges are replaced, the average SR moves upward slowly; when the average SR drops over the course of several years this indicates the health of the bridge inventory is on a decline.


The average SR over the past 10 years for bridges in King County is shown in the table below.

Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	10 Yr Average
Suff. Rating (SR)	71.1	72.3	72.3	71.6	71.6	70.2	69.8	69.5	69.2	67.6	70.5

The average sufficiency rating for all county bridges in 2021 is 67.6. The average sufficiency rating for the timber bridges is 47.8 which has steadily declined over the past few years, compared to non-timber bridges with an average sufficiency rating of 76.1. This difference is due to the average age of the timber elements supporting King County bridges being 19 years older than the estimated useful life of a timber bridge.


Bridge inventory condition can also be assessed based on the standard criteria established by FHWA regarding the percentage of bridges in various condition states. For each bridge, the deck, substructure, and superstructure condition states are rated on a one to nine scale. Any of these elements rated less than or equal to four is classified as “Poor”, elements rated five or six are classified as “Fair” and elements greater than or equal to seven are classified as “Good”.

The chart below shows the number of bridges in each category. In year 2021, there are 16 bridges categorized in the state of “poor”. A bridge in poor condition has advanced deficiencies such as: section loss, deterioration, scour, or seriously affected structural component. Due to these deficiencies, these structures may have weight restrictions. It is likely that the bridges in the “fair” category may fall into the poor category if the assets are not managed with proper maintenance.



MAP: BRIDGE LOCATIONS WITHIN KING COUNTY COUNCIL DISTRICTS

The following Map: *Bridge Locations with King County Council Districts* illustrates the distribution of bridges throughout King County by council district.



IV. BRIDGE INSPECTION

The National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS), in conformance with the code of federal regulations (CFR) 23 Part 650 Subpart C, mandate that public agencies routinely inspect and report on all publicly owned bridges at least once every two years. Under these standards, the county is required to document condition codes for bridge elements and report the current condition of each bridge to FHWA. Bridges with deficient conditions may require inspection more frequently than the standard 24-month cycle. In 2021, county engineers conducted inspections on 95 of the 185 bridges that Roads owns. Many bridges in the King County inventory span rivers, ravines, railroads, trails, or other roadways. Some of these bridge inspections require special equipment such as an Under Bridge Inspection Truck (UBIT) to access all the bridge features. King County has 39 bridges that require a UBIT for inspection. In 2021, a UBIT was used for inspection on 10 bridges. The county rents UBIT vehicles from Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) and Seattle Department of Transportation on a contract basis.

Bridges built of steel can be built using many designs that may warrant additional attention due to the material properties of steel. Steel bridges that have two or less load paths require a Fracture Critical (FC) inspection which is an in-depth inspection of the steel components checking for cracking, tears, buckling, excessive rust and other defects in steel. Roads owns 15 bridges that require a FC inspection; 11 FC inspections were conducted in 2021.

Inspectors also conduct Special Feature Inspections which are required for bridges with special features such as the cables or strands on a cable stayed or suspension bridge. Roads owns three bridges that require a Special Feature Inspection. In 2021 Special Feature Inspections were conducted on Baring Bridge No. 509A and Flaming Geyser Bridge No. 3024. South Park Bridge No. 3179 requires a Special Feature Inspection in 2022.

Four bridges are identified for underwater inspections. These bridges have foundations in deeper waterways which are not accessible during routine inspections. They are as follows:

- Stossel Bridge No. 1023A
- Duvall Slough Bridge No. 1136B
- Sikes Lake Trestle No. 2133A
- South Park Bridge No. 3179

Every five years, an underwater inspection is conducted on these bridges by WSDOT's dive team. The underwater inspections for the four Roads structures listed were completed in 2020.

The adjacent table summarizes the bridge inspections in 2021.

Inspection Type	Total Each Inspection Type	Total Inspected in 2021
Routine	185	95
UBIT	39	10
Fracture Critical	15	9
Special Feature	3	2
Underwater	4	0

During bridge inspections, inspectors make in-depth evaluations of the condition of the bridge structure and document all observable defects. When the inspection reveals a deficiency, a maintenance work order is generated and assigned a priority. Urgent structural or safety concerns are promptly addressed, while lower-priority defects are placed in the work order backlog. Bridge inspection reports are reported in a timely manner to WSDOT Local Programs, which verifies compliance with the NBIS; WSDOT then reports the results to FHWA.

V. LOAD-LIMITED OR RESTRICTED BRIDGES

A. LOAD RATING REQUIREMENTS

In November 2013, FHWA sent a memorandum to all government agencies regarding additional requirements for Bridge Load Rating. The memorandum requires agencies to add analysis of four legal Specialized Hauling Vehicles (SHVs) as defined in the American Association of Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Manual for Bridge Evaluation (MBE) as part of each bridge load rating report. These four trucks can carry more concentrated loads than previously authorized. They are single-unit (SU4, SU5, and SU7) vehicles with closely spaced moveable axles that raise and lower as needed for weight carrying which results in higher loads distributed over a shorter distance. Each bridge load posting is now requiring an additional review of these 4-SU trucks in addition to prior 3-AASHTO trucks. The deadline for completion of the load-rating analysis is December 31, 2022.

Another set of requirements were added in November 2016, when FHWA issued a memo that provided guidance on compliance with Section 1410 of the “Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act” (FAST Act) law signed in 2015. Section 1410 includes bridge load rating and posting requirements for Emergency Vehicles on the Interstate System and within reasonable access to the Interstate System. FHWA has established two emergency vehicles (EV2 and EV3) to be included in the bridge load rating reports and posting requirements. These vehicles can create higher load effects compared to AASHTO legal loads (i.e. Types 3, 3S2, 3-3, and SU4 to SU7).



Specialized Haul Vehicle SU6

Reasonable access is defined as at least one road mile from access to and from the National Network of highways and Roads has five vehicular bridges that meet this criterion. These bridges are Brissack Bridge No. 1116A, Edgewick Bridge No. 617B, Fire Station Bridge No. 186J, Preston Bridge No. 682A, and Preston Frontage Road Bridge No. 5046.

B. BRIDGE LOAD POSTING

The intent of the load rating and posting provisions of the NBIS is to ensure that all bridges are appropriately evaluated to determine their safe, live-load-carrying capacity considering all unrestricted legal loads and existing bridge conditions. Bridge load posting decision is based on load rating results from each of seven legal trucks and two emergency vehicles per AASHTO MBE and WSDOT BDM.

Many of King County’s older structures were designed and constructed based on older design vehicles which are lighter than current HL-93 design vehicles. HL-93 is the design truck specified in the current AASHTO design code. The use of these heavier SU and EV vehicles, compounded by continued aging and deterioration of the bridge inventory, creates an expectation that the number of load-restricted bridges will continue to grow. The load restrictions on bridges could cause system wide impacts to freight mobility, service delivery to communities, and types and flexibility of fire apparatus that can respond at certain locations unless bridges can be load upgraded or replaced.

By the end of 2021, Roads had completed load rating report updates to include four SU and two EV trucks for 155 bridges. Twenty-two bridges were found requiring load posting. The remaining 23 bridges will be completed by the end of 2022 which will meet the FHWA deadline.

The load-restricted bridges are listed in Appendix Two – Load Limited or Restricted Bridges.

VI. BRIDGE PRIORITY RANKING FOR REPLACEMENT OR REHABILITATION

A successful bridge program is based on a systematic and balanced approach to managing bridge preservation and replacement needs. Having a well-documented inspection program coupled with a robust bridge preservation program is essential to maximize the useful life of the bridge inventory. Once preservation is no longer an option, it becomes necessary to close or replace bridges.

Management challenges for the bridge inventory include:

- Bridges aging beyond their useful life and the continued deterioration of them
- Traffic volume continues to grow
- Type and size of highway trucks are changing, resulting in more concentrated loading on bridges
- Environmental permitting restrictions
- Hydraulic capacities and climate change
- Increasing costs to replace bridges

Using the bridge priority analysis adopted by the King County Council in 1994 (Ordinance 11693), priority rating scores for the entire bridge inventory were developed. The analysis incorporates the current mandated FHWA load-rating method into the criteria for calculating the bridge priority ranking. The process prioritizes bridges most in need of replacement or rehabilitation to correct structural or functional deficiencies. The bridges with the highest scores are reviewed in-depth for consideration in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for the six-year CIP budget planning effort.

The top 30 high-priority bridges are listed below in the Replacement/Rehab Bridge Ranking and CIP Project Status Table. This list is developed based on the results of the bridge inspections and load-rating updates at the end of 2021 and is subject to change with findings of bridge inspections and load rating updates during the current 2022 year. Of the 30 high-priority bridges, only 17 are NBI bridges and potentially eligible for federal bridge replacement grants. King County is actively looking for various grant funding opportunities such as Federal Local Bridge Program, Bridge Investment Program, and Rural Arterial Program to fund various types of bridge projects.

The key factors influencing the ranking include the load-rating, the bridge condition state, and the traffic volume. Updates to these findings change the sufficiency ratings and priority scores. Specific events, such as a flood, winds or earthquakes can have significant impact as well, and require a change in ranking and work priorities between these reports.

Highest Priority Replacement/Rehab Bridge Ranking and CIP Project Status Table

- Italicized type indicates a short span bridge (20 feet or less in length)
- Load Posted: P=load posted
- Main Material Type: T = Timber, C = Concrete, S = Steel
- Landmark Bridges: See Appendix Four for a list of all King County Landmark Bridges.

No.	Bridge Number	Bridge Name	Load Posted	Remarks/Scope	Main Material Type
1	3035A	Coal Creek	P	Replacement: CIP Project Federal Grant	S/T
2	509A	Baring Bridge	P	Replacement: CIP Project	T
3	3055A	Boise X Connection	P	Replacement: CIP Project Federal Grant	S/T
4	1320A	Ames Lake Trestle	P	Replacement: CIP Project; RAP funding	T
5	271B	Upper Tokul Creek	P	Replacement: CIP Project	C/T
6	122I	North Fork	P	Feasibility Study Completed- Replace Bridge	C/S
7	493C	Fifteen Mile Creek		Replacement: CIP Project Federal Grant	T
8	<i>240A</i>	<i>Cottage Lake Creek</i>	P	Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
9	<i>333A</i>	<i>Bear Creek</i>	P	Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
10	<i>480A</i>	<i>Bear Creek</i>	P	Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
11	3086OX	Berrydale Overcrossing		Replacement: Planning Feasibility Study completed; Design Planned to start in 2025	T
12	1741A	Issaquah Creek	P	Replacement: Feasibility Study Planned to start in 2025	T
13	<i>180A</i>	<i>Evans Creek</i>	P	Closed to Non-Local Traffic TBD	T
14	364A	Deep Creek	P	Replacement: Feasibility Study Planned to start in 2025	S/T
15	1239A	Upper Preston		Continue to Monitor Timber Condition and Maintain	C/T

Highest Priority Replacement/Rehab Bridge Ranking and CIP Project Status Table - Continued

No.	Bridge Number	Bridge Name	Load Posted	Remarks/Scope	Main Material Type
16	3202	Maxwell Road		Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
17	3020	Green Valley Road		Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
18	2133A	Sikes Lake Trestle		Recommend Closure, Repair, Rehab or Replacement (C3R) Study	C/T
19	3109B	Lake Young's Way		Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
20	83D	Issaquah Creek		Recommend Replacement: Feasibility Study	C/T
21	916A	W Snoqualmie River Rd		Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
22	83B	Issaquah Creek		Recommend Replacement: Feasibility Study	C/T
23	257Z	Horseshoe Lake Creek	P	Load Upgrade Project Planned in 2022	T
24	3108	Soos Creek		Recommend Replacement: Feasibility Study	C/T
25	3022	Green Valley Road		Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
26	249C	CW Neal Road		Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
27	909B	Clough Creek	P	Future Load Upgrade Project in 2023	C/T
28	122N	Tate Creek		Future Short Span Replacement	C/T
29	5011	Walter Shults		Recommend Replacement: Feasibility Study	C/T
30	1384A	Fifteen Mile Creek		Recommend Replacement: Feasibility Study	C

VII. BRIDGE PRESERVATION

The intent of a bridge preservation program is to perform cost-effective projects to extend the useful life of the bridge. The bridge preservation program includes the following work categories:

- Load Upgrades
- Bridge Re-decks
- Bridge Painting
- Scour/hydraulic Projects
- Bridge Seismic Retrofits
- Bridge Maintenance Repairs

A. LOAD UPGRADES

When feasible, projects that address load-carrying capacity deficiencies will be performed to alleviate or reduce the need for any load restrictions on bridges.

King County Roads has a bridge load upgrade safety program approved by the King County Council to study feasibility and costs of removing bridge posted load restrictions. Removing load postings for bridges can provide better mobility to trucking industries and fire apparatuses. Load upgrades will not extend the useful life of the bridge or correct any substandard features such as alignments, bridge railing, hydraulic opening, scour, or aging or deteriorated substructures. These substandard conditions still need to be addressed by other means and funding.

There were a total 22 load posted bridges at the end of 2021. Excluding nine bridges that were under replacement design or have plans for a near future replacement, of the remaining 13 bridges, one was studied in 2020, and 12 were studied in 2021.

While performing feasibility studies on 12 bridges in 2021, Roads found a unique opportunity to conduct proof load testing of several precast channel beams that were decommissioned from Fish Hatchery and S. 277th Street bridges. Six out of the 12 studied bridges have similar spans and structure details as the removed precast channel beams. These bridges were built about the same time in 1950 or 1951. AASHTO allows proof test results to supplement the load rating method or procedure described in the MBE due to testing on actual materials installed and its strength and some reserved capacity may be realized in addition to Code/Manual guided approach.

After the study and proof load testing, this program recommends load upgrade construction on three bridges, removing load posting signs for seven bridges without load upgrades, and maintaining load posting on three bridges. The load posting signs will be removed for seven bridges after each bridge load rating report is re-certified. This includes six precast channel beam bridges that could be re-certified based on the reserved capacity found from proof load testing, and one glue-laminated beam bridge that needs a correction of stringer count after finding more stringers at the bridge than the number indicated on bridge plans. Load posting on three other bridges are to be maintained due to high load upgrade costs of very complex construction outweighing the low benefits that would be received in these three locations. The “Load Upgrade Feasibility and Cost Studies for 13 Bridges” Table on the following page shows feasibility and cost study results and recommended actions.

Load Upgrade Feasibility and Cost Studies for 13 Bridges

No.	Bridge No.	Bridge Name	Superstructure Type	Age	ADT	Estimated Const. Costs	Recommended Actions
1	240A	Cottage Lake Creek Bridge	Precast channel beams	70	10,866	\$92,382	Remove load posting after LR Report update
2	333A	Bear Creek Bridge	Precast channel beams	71	7,880	\$97,905	Remove load posting after LR Report update
3	480A	Bear Creek Bridge	Precast channel beams	70	1,917	\$91,855	Remove load posting after LR Report update
4	3030	SE 380 St Bridge	Precast channel beams	71	675	\$312,752	Remove load posting after LR Report update
5	3060	208 th Ave SE Bridge	Precast channel beams	70	140	\$289,689	Remove load posting after LR Report update
6	249B	CW Neal Rd Bridge	Precast channel beams	70	99	\$310,542	Remove load posting after LR Report update
7	1086A	Kimball Creek Bridge	Glu-Lam beams	92/56	450	No Need	Remove load posting after LR Report update
8	3109A	Soos Creek Bridge	Timber stringer and deck	62	79	\$138,127	Load upgrade Construction
9	257Z	Horseshoe Lake Creek Bridge	Timber stringer and deck	91/52	15	\$46,834	Load upgrade Construction
10	909B	Clough Creek Bridge	Precast channel beam	70	1,228	\$358,895	Load upgrade Construction
11	3032	Green River Gorge Bridge	Steel Truss /precast girders approach spans	107/30	875	\$4,356,102	No Action due to high costs and low benefits
12	999K2	Scenic Bridge	Timber deck on Steel I-beams	61	20	\$931,185	No Action due to high costs and low benefits
13	3015	Patton Bridge	Steel box drop-in span/conc. box girders	72	2,350	\$3,360,000	No Action due to high costs, low benefits, and a recent structural repair

Notes

1. LR: Load Rating.
2. Costs include 30 percent contingency and are for construction only. Costs of final design, permits and construction inspection are not included.

B. BRIDGE RE-DECKS

Vehicular traffic will generate wear and rutting on a concrete bridge deck over the life of a bridge. Bridge decks are comprised of various materials including bare concrete, bare timber and asphalt overlays atop concrete, timber, or steel bridge structure. Deck deterioration occurs over time as age, traffic, and severe weather take their toll. Once a deck begins to deteriorate, its destructive pattern quickens as vehicle impact increases, compounding deck deterioration and if not maintained, the whole deck may need to be replaced.

Depending on the deck driving surface material, a re-deck can take different forms. For deteriorated timber or steel, the failed portions will be removed, replaced, and refastened. For deteriorated asphalt, the asphalt is mechanically ground and repaved. For deteriorated concrete, there are two major options.

One option to correct excessive wear is to add a two-coat epoxy overlay. This type of overlay requires less construction time and is less expensive compared to the other option which is to remove a portion of the deck and add a modified concrete structural overlay. In both cases, delaminated areas are removed and patched prior to overlay. Epoxy overlay will typically last 12 to 15 years, depending on the traffic usage and the extent of the deck delamination of underlying concrete. A modified concrete structural overlay typically will last 40 to 50 years. In 2021, there were no re-deck projects in construction. Design has started for Duvall Bridge No. 1136B and is scheduled for construction in 2024. King County received 100 percent federal funding for this project. Other high priority bridge decks that will need attention are Judd Creek Bridge No. 3184, and Granite Creek Bridge No. 359A.

C. BRIDGE PAINTING

Roads owns and maintains a total of 27 steel bridges which are listed in Appendix Three. Painting is required on 22 of these bridges; the five that do not require paint include three culverts, one temporary bridge, and one permanently closed bridge. Steel bridge components require paint to prevent premature



*Peeling paint on upper gusset plate at
Tolt Bridge No. 1834A*

corrosion which can significantly reduce the strength of the bridge. Keeping up with a painting program will help to preserve the bridges and will extend its useful life before a major rehabilitation or replacement is warranted. The condition of the paint is assessed and recorded during the routine bridge inspections. Painting is restricted to summer months due to weather conditions and the permitting process.

No bridges were painted in 2021. High priority bridges to be painted include:

- Smith Parker Bridge No.615A
- Green River Gorge Bridge No.3032
- Tolt Bridge No.1834A
- Novelty Bridge No. 404B

D. SCOUR/HYDRAULIC PROJECTS

Ninety-five percent of Roads bridges are located over water. All bridges spanning waterways are required to have a scour evaluation to identify the foundation stability and the bridge's susceptibility to erosion of streambed materials. There are 56 bridges with an elevated scour risk: of these, 22 are scour critical and 34 have unknown foundations. Scour countermeasures are in place for an additional 26 bridges.

All bridges are monitored for scour during the routine inspection. Bridges that are subjected to flooding events are inspected after the flood waters recede enough to safely evaluate the structure for possible scour. In 2012, SE 408th Street Bridge No. 3056A was permanently closed to all traffic due to scour under the shallow foundation.

Projects are underway on the following bridges with active scour/hydraulic issues:



*Scour under abutment footing at SE
408th Street Bridge No. 3056A*

North Fork Bridge No. 122I

Year Built: 1951

Span Length: 252 feet

Superstructure: Steel Girders and Concrete Box Girder

Substructure: Concrete Piers on Timber Piles

Average Daily Traffic: 1,200 vehicles (2020 count)



North Fork Bridge No. 122i

The North Fork Bridge is located north of the city of North Bend. It carries 428th Avenue SE over the North Fork of the Snoqualmie River. This road serves about 240 homes as well as a variety of commercial and recreational activities including access to Alpine Lakes Wilderness trailheads in the Upper Snoqualmie Valley. During a flood event with a two-year recurrence interval, North Fork Bridge becomes the sole access road for communities north of the bridge.

The North Fork of the Snoqualmie River is a dynamic and active river as it transitions from the mountains to the valley floor. The Shake Mill Left Bank owned by KC DNRP started to deteriorate around 2008 and the upstream channel started to migrate south.

Scour issues at the North Fork Bridge became a great concern in 2013, due to exposed substructure elements. County forces conducted urgent repairs in 2013. This initial repair was followed up in 2017 when the Flood Control District provided early action funding to provide additional scour mitigation around the intermediate piers of the bridge.

The King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks, Water and Land Resources Division, completed construction of a buried revetment further upland along the left (southern) bank of the North Fork Snoqualmie River, immediately upstream of the bridge to assist in the prevention of further lateral migration in 2019. This buried revetment replaced the levee, originally built in the 1960s. The Flood Control District contributed funds to complete this project.

The Flood Control District also approved funding in 2019 to conduct a feasibility study to mitigate the risk of scour and neighborhood isolation due to roadway overtopping in the North Fork Bridge vicinity. This study was completed in April 2022. The alternatives analysis determined that the preferred alternative at this site is to replace the existing 252-foot-long bridge with a new 375-foot-long structure. Additionally, to address the geomorphic and hydrologic features of the site, a new revetment along the right (north) bank of the river is proposed to protect the new abutments and raising the road alignment north of the bridge to decrease the frequency of roadway flooding and neighborhood isolation.

The next steps at this location are to identify sources for funding the full design and construction of the preferred design alternative. Coordination with other projects in the vicinity continues to ensure successful improvements to reduce or eliminate flooding across the valley.



Tate Creek Bridge No. 122N

Tate Creek Bridge No. 122N

Year Built: 1952

Span Length: 16 feet

Superstructure: Concrete Tub Units

Substructure: Timber Piles

Average Daily Traffic: 1,299 vehicles (2017 count)

Located north of the city of North Bend, this short span sole-access bridge carries SE 73rd Street over Tate Creek. The hydraulic opening under the bridge is very limited. Sediment accumulation at the bridge reduces the hydraulic opening under the bridge, which causes overtopping of the approach roadway and results in the isolation of 200-plus residents in this neighborhood during flood events.

A feasibility study is proposed to determine the best scope of the project as the bridge is located on a substandard horizontal alignment with additional challenges. Partial funding is available with Flood Control District money to start the initial study phase to begin in 2023.

E. BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFITS

Between 1994 and 2008, Roads completed a seismic retrofit program and completed retrofit of 115 vehicular bridges. These bridges were found to have various degrees of seismic vulnerabilities and they were retrofitted to a standard that will result in repairable damage following a major earthquake. Roads concluded this program by completing construction in 2008.

F. BRIDGE MAINTENANCE REPAIRS

Bridges are in a continuous state of deterioration as they age. The county's maintenance program to repair and replace worn or broken components extends the life of the bridge inventory and may correct immediate safety deficiencies. The goal of the repairs is to improve safety and provide for preservation of infrastructure in a cost-efficient manner. Common repairs include repairing/replacing cracked or spalled concrete, rotted timber, or corroded steel, deck overlay, guardrail repairs, spot cleaning and painting, or otherwise repairing/replacing deteriorated components of the bridge. Preventive maintenance extends the life of bridge components by warding off problems before they occur. Examples of preventive maintenance are bridge washing, crack sealing of decks, and cleaning out joints. Maintenance repairs are a key to bridge preservation in that they can



Expansion Joint Repairs at North Fork Bridge No. 122i

substantially extend the amount of time the bridge can be used before rehabilitation (more extensive repair) or replacement is needed.

Deficiencies needing repairs are identified and detailed by the inspecting engineers and tracked in the repair list database. Detailed repair plans and specifications are prepared to guide maintenance crews in scheduling and implementing repairs. Bridge Engineers also provide engineering support during construction.

Work Order Prioritization Process

A priority level is assigned when a work order is issued by a bridge inspector. The assigned priority is based on the following table.

Work Order Priority Assignment

Priority	Action	Description
1	Emergency	Clear and present danger! Close all/portion of bridge and begin work immediately!
1.5	ASAP	Work as soon as possible! (Within a few weeks)
2	Urgent	Problem may become a danger if left unattended (work within a few months)
2.5	High priority	Add work to schedule in next 1-2 years
3	Attention	Work within next 2-3 years; if left unattended, situation may worsen considerably
3.5	Note	Work is priority maintenance need
4	Routine	Work is priority long-term maintenance need (painting, washing, cleaning, re-decking)
5	Monitor	Monitor condition of deficiency; do not schedule work

This assignment of priority includes factors such as public safety, importance of the route, risk involved in delaying repairs, structural preservation and load-capacity value, and cost effectiveness of repairs. When prioritizing these repairs for the year, the backlog work orders are downloaded and prioritized based on individual priorities first. The work orders are then further analyzed by type and location to identify opportunities to group work orders by type or geographical area. Bundling of work orders allows the maintenance crews to coordinate and sequence their work efficiently considering travel time, material procurement, and equipment mobilization. Scheduling will also consider coordination with other road system programmed major repairs or replacements.

The following are a few bridges repaired under this program.

4 Creek Ranch Bridge No. 5044

Year Built: 1983

Span Length: 42 feet

Superstructure: Timber Girders

Substructure: Timber Piles

Average Daily Traffic: 90 vehicles (2018 count)

Located near the City of Renton

One 3-foot-wide deck plank had failed from rot and was split in half, the rest of the 38-year-old decking



4 Creek Ranch Bridge No. 5044

was determined to be in various stages of decay and a full deck replacement was needed. The project was planned for August 2020 but supply line disruptions from the Covid-19 pandemic resulted in lengthy timber order delays. The timber arrived in December 2020 and the repair project began work in January 2021.

This project was completed in spring 2021, which consisted of replacing timber decking, timber curbs, and the timber rail. Project scope included fully rebuilding an attached pedestrian bridge, redesigning the roadway elevations to prevent water from draining through the bridge deck, adding waterproof membrane over timber decking to protect it from rot, repairing the approach settlement and approach railing, and repaving approaches and bridge deck with new asphalt.

North Fork Bridge No. 122I

Year Built: 1951

Span Length: 252 feet

Superstructure: Steel Girders and Concrete Box Girder

Substructure: Concrete Piles and Piers

Average Daily Traffic: 1,200 vehicles (2020 count)

Located north of the City of North Bend

An asphalt overlay was added to this bridge at some point in the distant past, but the two expansion joints were paved over. The asphalt was typically cracked and broken over the expansion joints, which made for a bumpy ride but also trapped water and promoted rust on the steel below. This project added 2" steel riser bars to the existing expansion joints and brought them to the top of the asphalt overlay surface. A new compression seal was then installed between the riser bars to make the joints watertight.

Issaquah Creek Bridge No. 83D

Year Built: 1962

Span Length: 42 feet

Superstructure: Concrete Prestressed Slabs

Substructure: Timber Piles

Average Daily Traffic: 4,568 vehicles (2020 count)

Located in rural Maple Valley



Issaquah Creek Bridge No. 83D

A 6-foot-deep sinkhole was found behind a bridge wingwall during the routine 2021 bridge inspection. Further investigation found significant scour below an abutment backwall and another large void behind the backwall. An urgent repair was performed to use specially designed concrete bags to fill the void behind the abutment and seal off the scour below the backwall. The large void behind the wingwall was then filled in with gravel and the roadway shoulder rebuilt. The quick response to this issue was able to address the repair before the scour voids collapsed downwards and caused the roadway to settle, which would have required a much more extensive repair and a roadway closure.

Raging River Bridge No. 1008G

Year Built: 1962

Span Length: 170 feet

Superstructure: Concrete Deck/Prestressed Concrete Girders

Substructure: Concrete Piers

Average Daily Traffic: 9,212 vehicles (2019 count)

Located in rural Fall City area

Many of the bridge rail anchor bolts along the north rail were found to be loose. The epoxy used to anchor the rail posts to the concrete curb had failed and over 50 bolts could be pulled out by hand. This repair involved pulling the failed bolts out, reaming out the anchor holes, then re-installing new bolts with high strength epoxy.



Raging River Bridge No. 1008G

Boise Creek Bridge No. 3052

Year Built: 1927

Span Length: 19 feet

Superstructure: Concrete Sonotube Slab

Substructure: Concrete abutments

Average Daily Traffic: 600 vehicles (2018 count)

Located in rural Enumclaw

A large concrete spall (3 cubic feet) in the deck soffit was found during the 2021 routine bridge inspection. The deck thickness above the spall was reduced to as thin as 3". An urgent repair was performed to add new rebar across the spalled area, then new concrete was poured to fill the spall.



Patton Bridge No. 3015

Patton Bridge No. 3015

Year Built: 1950

Span Length: 430 feet

Superstructure: Steel Box Girders and Concrete Box Girders

Substructure: Concrete Piles and Piers

Average Daily Traffic: 2,500 vehicles (2020 count)

Located near the community of Auburn

Patton Bridge carries SE Green Valley Road over the Green River. The bridge has two traffic lanes

and is comprised of cast-in-place concrete box girder approach spans and a steel box girder drop-in at mid-span. The bridge is listed in the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Registry.

This bridge has advanced deterioration found at the critical steel drop-in span concrete corbel supports. In 2020, engineers reviewed concepts, options, and construction approaches for repairing the corbels and began final design. Repair construction was completed in 2021.

Cedar Mt. Ramp No. 3165A

Year Built: 2003

Span Length: 17 feet

Superstructure: Concrete Precast Panel

Substructure: Concrete footings

Average Daily Traffic: 50


Located near the community of Maple Valley

The west abutment had been undermined up to 4 feet by uncontrolled rainwater runoff eroding the slope alongside the end of the abutment.

This repair added a concrete extension to the undermined section of abutment footing. The abutment extension made the footing 12" deeper along the area of erosion and filled the void underneath. The bank along the abutment was regraded to channel runoff away from the abutment footing and the eroded bank was rebuilt with quarry spalls.



Cedar Mt. Ramp No. 3165A



Judd Creek Bridge No. 3184

Judd Creek Bridge No. 3184

Year Built: 1953

Span Length: 370 feet

Superstructure: Concrete Box Girder

Substructure: Concrete Columns

Average Daily Traffic: 4,818 vehicles (2019 count)

The Judd Creek Bridge is located on Vashon Island on Vashon Highway SW just south of SW Quartermaster Drive. During load rating analysis for this bridge in 2018, engineers discovered deficiencies at the interface between the north cantilever at the main span of the bridge and the north approach span. A consultant was hired to design a replacement for the failed elements at the interface including the expansion joint, the ledge beam, and the bearing pad system. In 2020, final design was completed for the repair project. Construction was completed in 2021.

Bridge Washing

Bridge washing is an annual program to pressure wash steel truss bridges and other vulnerable structures. The intent of the program is to extend the life of the paint and the steel and to remove dirt and debris which would obscure inspection of the bridge. Most of the steel bridges are fracture critical and a clean surface allows a quality inspection of the fracture critical elements.

The five bridges washed in 2021 were:

- Edgewick Bridge No. 617B
- Tolt Bridge No. 1834A
- Raging River No. 1008G
- Covington Creek No. 3082
- Covington Creek No. 3084

The first two listed are steel truss bridges on a routine five-year washing cycle; the last three are concrete bridges that are washed as needed when dirt and moss accumulation obstruct the ability to visually inspect the concrete components.



Washing at Edgewick Bridge No.617B

VIII. BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECTS

A. BRIDGE REPLACEMENTS

The 2019-2020 CIP Six Year Plan for Bridge Safety Program budget was adopted by the King County Council in November 2018. This program began to address the urgent needs of replacing top ranked bridges in the county's bridge inventory given the impacts of the load-rating criteria, aging inventory, and a decline in overall inventory condition. The bridges included in the program were:

- Baring Bridge No. 509A
- Coal Creek Bridge No. 3035A
- Ames Lake Trestle Bridge No. 1320A
- S. 277th Street Bridge No. 3126
- Upper Tokul Creek Bridge No. 271B

In 2019, two additional bridges received federal funding approval for replacement and were added to the Bridge Safety Program.

- Boise X Connection No. 3055A
- Fifteen Mile Creek Bridge No. 493C

In November 2020, the King County Council approved the 2021-2022 CIP Six Year Plan for the Bridge Safety Program budget that included three additional bridges for replacement. Partial project funding was approved to start preliminary design in 2025. The added bridges are:

- Berrydale Overcrossing No. 3086OX
- Issaquah Creek Bridge No. 1741A
- Deep Creek Bridge No. 364A

In 2021, through a budget process, the Countywide Bridge Safety Program was separated into individual standalone projects.



Baring Bridge No.509A

Baring Bridge No. 509A

Year Built: 1930

Span Length: 340 feet

Superstructure: Timber Tower and Steel Cable Suspension

Substructure: Timber Sills

Average Daily Traffic: 80 vehicles (2018 count)

The Baring Bridge carries Index Creek Road, a sole access road, over the South Fork Skykomish River. It was designated as a King County Landmark Bridge by the Landmarks Commission in 1999, a state and national landmark in 2019, and is currently the only timber and cable suspension bridge in Washington State that still carries load-limited traffic. Baring Bridge is a one-lane two-direction, timber suspension bridge with a width less than nine feet; it is posted for a weight limit of 10 tons and a speed limit of five miles per hour. The bridge provides the only public access to a community of approximately 170 properties including more than 40 developed sites south of the South Fork Skykomish River. It is

structurally deficient and has a sufficiency rating of 12.94 out of a possible score of 100 based on the National Bridge Inspection Standards. The bridge is past its useful life, and requires frequent, major, and costly repairs during which it is removed from service, cutting off access to the community on the south end of the bridge. An estimated average cost of maintaining and repairing the bridge over the past 10 years is approximately \$200,000 per year in 2018 dollars (estimate \$225,000 in 2021 dollars).

The bridge does not have adequate capacity to support fire engines used by the adjacent fire district as well as their water tenders used to transport water to areas without hydrants. In addition, most three-axle single-unit trucks are too heavy to use the structure. The replacement of the bridge will ensure unrestricted access for firefighting equipment as well as other types of common service and delivery vehicles.

In addition to the limited load capacity, other deficiencies include the narrow deck width, one-lane two-direction traffic, substandard rails, rotted timber caps, and scour issues. Given the extent of the deficiencies, a replacement project is warranted. In July 2018, a consultant contract was executed to perform a Bridge Type, Size, and Location (TS&L) analysis, which included a recommendation of a preferred alternative and preparation of 30 percent design on the preferred alternative. The consultant completed the TS&L analysis in July 2019. The amendment for the final design phase was executed in February 2020. The 60 percent design phase completed in January 2021. The project team is advancing 90 percent design and working on construction aspects to minimize impacts to environmental and surrounding properties. Due to the sensitive site conditions of the project such as construction in the current floodplain, additional environmental documents are required with a longer permit approval process. To accommodate these emergent issues, construction has been extended to 2026.

Coal Creek Bridge No. 3035A

Year Built: 1958

Span Length: 41 feet

Superstructure: Steel girders

Substructure: Timber Piles

Average Daily Traffic: 343 vehicles
(2019 count)



Coal Creek Bridge No.3035A

Approximately 1.5 miles southeast of Veazie-Cumberland Road SE, the Coal Creek Bridge is located near the city of Black Diamond along SE Lake Walker Road at Coal Creek.

The load restricted bridge provides sole access to approximately 70 homes in the Walker Lake neighborhood and a Department of Fish and Wildlife public boat launch at the lake. SE Lake Walker Road is a county-designated snow/ice route.

The bridge is 18 feet wide. The steel girders and floor beams of this bridge are over 100 years old; they were originally in place at another bridge location in 1912 and moved to this site in 1958. In addition to the severely corroded steel and limited load capacity, other deficiencies include deteriorating creosote timber piles, rotten timber backwall planks, substandard rails, and downstream bank erosion. The sufficiency rating is 6 out of a possible score of 100. The bridge is scheduled to be inspected every three months to monitor the advanced section loss progression.

Roads was awarded federal funding in November 2017 and funding was obligated and authorized in May 2018 to proceed with design for the project. The project design was completed in January 2021. The Project Plans, Specification, and Engineer's Estimate was approved, and Right-of-Way acquisition was certified by WSDOT in March. Permitting approval was received from King County Permitting Division in May. The project construction contract was advertised in May and executed in July. Due to the extended time of procuring materials for the project, major construction will occur in 2022.



Ames Lake Trestle No. 1320A

Ames Lake Trestle No. 1320A

Year Built: 1924

Span Length: 168 feet

Superstructure: Timber Stringers

Substructure: Timber Piles

Average Daily Traffic: 2,016 vehicles (2018 count)

Located west of rural Carnation, the bridge carries Ames Lake Carnation Road NE over Ames Creek. This timber trestle has a width less than 25 feet. It has a sufficiency rating of 32.44 out of a possible score of 100. It is posted with load restrictions and has a

reduced advisory speed limit of 25 miles per hour. In addition to the limited load carrying capacity, the width, bridge rail system, and roadway approach horizontal alignment were designed and built to

standards that are outdated and inadequate for current needs. The 98-year-old timber substructure is beyond its useful life and there are not cost-effective solutions for repairing or rehabilitating that could provide the necessary additional load capacity.

A consultant design contract was executed in June 2019 to perform a TS&L analysis and preliminary design. This work was completed in July 2020 resulting in a selection of a preferred alternative for advancement to final design phase. An amendment for the final design phase was executed in October 2020. The 60 percent design was completed in May 2021. The 100 percent design is scheduled to be complete by fall 2022 and construction is planned for 2023.

S. 277th Street Bridge No. 3126

Year Built: 2021

Span Length: 19 feet

Superstructure: Precast Concrete

Substructure: Precast Concrete

Average Daily Traffic: 25,654 vehicles (2021 count)

This short span bridge carries S. 277th Street over Mullen Slough, connecting I-5 to State Route 167 near Auburn, Kent, and Federal Way.

The replaced bridge, built in 1950 was 64 feet wide measured out to out. The bridge was load restricted and unable to support certain types of fire engines used by the adjacent fire districts, along with typical full-size garbage trucks, dump trucks, and concrete mixers.



The new S. 277th Street Bridge No.3126

The structure was approaching the end of its useful life, had a narrow hydraulic opening constricting the water flow, and the bridge abutments were constructed of creosote timber, which can leach into the water and impact water quality, fish, and wildlife. It was not feasible to repair or rehabilitate the bridge to meet current standards for structural, geotechnical, hydraulic, and environmental requirements.

A 19-foot-span concrete box culvert was selected as the preferred alternative as it best fit the design requirements at the existing bridge location. The design included a Structural Earth Wall on the north side of the roadway to help accommodate the limited right-of-way. A wood habitat feature was also incorporated into the channel design upstream (south) of the box culvert to provide better fish passage.

Construction reached substantial completion in November 2021. Several Chinook salmon were observed within the channel at this crossing. The project utilized staged construction to allow the high ADT route to be open to 2 lanes of traffic throughout project construction.

Upper Tokul Creek Bridge No. 271B

Year Built: 1965

Span Length: 107 feet

Superstructure: Concrete Girders

Substructure: Timber Posts/Concrete Footings

Average Daily Traffic: 415 vehicles (2018 count)

The Upper Tokul Creek Bridge carries Tokul Road SE over Tokul Creek just north of the City of Snoqualmie, providing sole access for approximately 50 homes and one access point for logging operations. The bridge is 22.5 feet wide with a sufficiency rating is 35.89 out of a possible score of 100.



Upper Tokul Creek Bridge No.271B

The bridge has a constricted hydraulic opening and seasonal high flows on Tokul Creek cause scour under the footings at the intermediate piers.

The bridge is load restricted and is unable to support certain types of fire engines used by the adjacent fire districts, including water tenders used to transport water to areas without hydrants. Typical full-size garbage trucks, dump trucks, and concrete mixers are also too heavy to use the bridge.

In 2021, the 90 percent design of the project was completed. A Technical Information Report was completed and permitting approvals were received from Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife and Department of Ecology. No permit was required by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Construction is planned for 2022.



Boise X Connection No.3055A

Boise X Connection No. 3055A

Year Built: 1956

Span Length: 38 feet

Superstructure: Steel Girders

Substructure: Timber Piles

Average Daily Traffic: 947 vehicles (2020 count)

About two miles south of the City of Enumclaw, the Boise X Connection Bridge carries SE Mud Mountain Road over Boise Creek. It is a vital link for an alternate route used for state highway 410. This structure has a sufficiency rating of 14.02 out of a possible score of 100. It is load restricted, structurally deficient, and functionally obsolete.

The bridge deck is narrow (21 feet from curb to curb), with no shoulders or sidewalks. The asphalt driving surface is supported by a corrugated metal decking system. Deficiencies of the main structural members include corrosion of the corrugated metal decking, corrosion of the steel girders, extensive rot

at one of the timber caps, and piles with extensive concrete encasement repairs. The bridge is considered scour critical, and the creek has undercut approximately two feet below the concrete encasement repairs. In addition, most of the timber abutment is in poor condition. The backwall planks are rotten and were repaired with plywood to retain the roadway fill.

In December 2019, the bridge was awarded federal funding for design and construction of a bridge replacement. The federal funding was obligated and authorized to proceed with design in May of 2020. Construction is planned for 2024.

Fifteen Mile Creek Bridge No. 493C

Year Built: 1932

Span Length: 40 feet

Superstructure: Timber Stringers

Substructure: Timber Piles

Average Daily Traffic: 5,202 vehicles (2017 count)

The Fifteen Mile Creek Bridge carries SE May Valley Road, which is a high-volume arterial, over Fifteen Mile Creek.

In 1973, the bridge was rehabilitated which consisted of replacing the timber deck, stringers, and caps. The replaced timber members have developed weather checks and areas of rot. The deck is narrow, with a width of 26 feet from curb to curb; it also has substandard rails, curbs, and a timber sidewalk that has been covered with steel grating. The hydraulic opening is restricted at the bridge causing the channel and bridge supports to experience scour during flooding events. Channel-bank erosion is also evident.

The bridge superstructure is shored with helper stringers to keep it serviceable and to avoid posting the bridge with load restrictions. The bridge is structurally deficient and has a sufficiency rating of 7.0 out of a possible score of 100. The bridge is well past its useful service life and requires frequent, major, and costly repairs, as well as frequent monitoring, to keep it in service. Other deficiencies of this bridge include the constricted hydraulic opening and creosote treated timber bents that are in the creek and collecting flood debris.

A federal grant for the design and construction was awarded in December 2019. The federal funding was obligated and authorized to proceed with design in May 2020. Replacement construction is planned for summer 2023 and involves a full road closure for the duration.



Fifteen Mile Creek Bridge No.493C

B. OTHER REPLACEMENT PROJECTS



Berrydale Overcrossing No.3086OX with an Under Bridge Inspection Truck (UBIT)

Berrydale Overcrossing No. 3086OX

Year Built: 1931

Span Length: 105 feet

Superstructure: Timber Stringers

Substructure: Timber Posts on Concrete Plinths

Average Daily Traffic: 7,293 vehicles (2018 count)

The Berrydale Overcrossing Bridge carries Kent-Black Diamond Road, which is a high-volume arterial, over the BNSF Railroad corridor. It has a posted speed limit of 40 miles per hour. The bridge deck is very narrow (22 feet from curb to curb), with no shoulders or sidewalk. It also has substandard rails and substandard sight distances due to the vertical curve of the roadway. The

bridge is structurally deficient with a sufficiency rating of 20.69 out of a possible score of 100. The bridge is past its useful service life, and requires frequent, major, and costly repairs. It is built fully with timber components.

Although full funding for replacement of the bridge and its approach roadway is uncertain, because of the criticality of this corridor, initial preliminary design work was funded in the 2017-2018 Roads Operating Budget. The project is complex as it involves coordination with BNSF Railway, a difficult vertical curve sight distance issue, and construction impact to the traveling public. A planning level Concept Feasibility Study report for a replacement structure was completed in 2022. The recommended scope includes construction of two roundabouts, replacement of Jenkins creek fish passage culvert and replacement of Berrydale overcrossing structure over BNSF railroad.

GLOSSARY OF BRIDGE TERMINOLOGY

Abutment—a substructure supporting the end of a single span or the extreme end of a multi-span superstructure and, in general, retaining or supporting the approach fill.

Bascule—a moveable bridge with a counterweight that continuously balances the span, or "leaf," throughout the entire upward swing, providing clearance for boat traffic.

Backwall—the topmost portion of an abutment functioning primarily as a retaining wall to contain approach roadway fill.

Bent—a supporting unit of the beams of a span made up of one or more columns or column-like members connected at their topmost ends by a cap, strut, or other horizontal member.

Bracing—a system of tension or compression members, or a combination of these, connected to the parts to be supported or strengthened by a truss or frame. It transfers wind, dynamic, impact, and vibratory stresses to the substructure and gives rigidity throughout the complete assemblage. Can also refer to diagonal members that tie two or more columns of a bent together.

Cap—the horizontally oriented, topmost piece or member of a bent serving to distribute the beam loads upon the columns and to hold the beams in their proper relative positions.

Chord—in a truss, the uppermost and lowermost longitudinal members extending the full length of the truss.

Copper naphthenate—a green salt, soluble in benzene, it is used as an insecticide and a wood preservative, but harmless to plants.

Compression—a type of stress involving pressing together; tends to shorten a member; opposite of tension.

Creosote—oil distilled from coal-tar used as a wood preservative. Because it is harmful to fish, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) has banned the use of creosote-treated wood in or near shoreline areas.

Concrete Pop-outs—Typically porous, absorptive, moisture-susceptible aggregates within the concrete mix. If these aggregates become saturated by water ingress, they can expand and pop-out the cement matrix covering.

Corbel—a bracket of brick or concrete that juts out of a wall to support a structure above it.

Deck—portion of a bridge that provides direct support for vehicular and pedestrian traffic.

Dywidag—bar anchor system used for a variety of applications which include slope stabilization and counteraction of uplift forces.

Elastomeric pads—rectangular pads made of neoprene, found between the sub- and superstructure that bear the entire weight of the superstructure.

End-wall—the wall located directly under each end of a bridge that holds back approach roadway fill. The end-wall is part of the abutment.

Floor beam—A beam used in a bridge floor at right angles to the direction of the roadway, to transfer loads to bridge supports.

Fracture critical member—a member in tension or with a tension element whose failure would probably cause a portion of or the entire bridge to collapse.

Functionally obsolete—a function of the geometrics of the bridge in relation to the geometrics required by current design standards.

Gabion basket—a cage, cylinder, or box filled with rocks, concrete, or sometimes sand and soil for use in civil engineering, road building, military applications, and landscaping.

Girder—the main horizontal support beam of a structure that supports smaller beams. Girders often have an I-beam cross section for strength, but may also have a box shape, Z shape, or other form.

NBI — National Bridge Inventory- A database compiled by the FHWA with information on all bridges in the United States greater than 20.00 ft. in length that have roads passing above or below.

NBIS – National Bridge Inspection Standards—are the standards established over the safety inspections of highway bridges on public roads throughout the United States.

Pier—a structure comprised of stone, concrete, brick, steel, or wood that supports the ends of the spans of a multi-span superstructure at an intermediate location between abutments. A pier is usually a solid structure, as opposed to a bent, which is usually made up of columns.

Pile—a rod or shaft-like linear member of timber, steel, concrete, or composite materials driven into the earth to carry structure loads into the soil.

Pin-pile—a series of two-inch-diameter pipes driven in a line into the ground to support the timber planks of a small retaining wall, typically used to prevent erosion under a bridge abutment.

Post or column—a member resisting compressive stresses, in a vertical or near-vertical position.

Reoccurrence Interval—is an average or estimated average time between events such as floods, landslides, or river discharge flows to occur.

Riprap—rock or other material used to armor shorelines, streambeds, bridge abutments, pilings and other shoreline structures against scour, water or ice erosion.

Rutting—a depression or groove worn into a road or path by the travel of wheels.

Scour—erosive action of removing streambed material around bridge substructure due to water flow. Scour is of particular concern during high-water events.

Short span bridge—bridges that have a span of 20.00 feet or less.

Soffit—the underside of the bridge deck or sidewalk.

Spall—a concrete deficiency wherein a portion of the concrete surface is popped off from the main structure due to the expansive forces of corroding steel rebar underneath. This is especially common on older concrete bridges.

Stringer—a longitudinal beam (less than 30 feet long) supporting the bridge deck and, in large bridges, framed into or upon the floor beams.

Structurally deficient—bridges are considered structurally deficient if significant load-carrying elements are found to be in poor or worse condition due to deterioration and/or damage, or the adequacy of the waterway opening provided by the bridge creates flooding over the bridge deck and adjacent roadway, causing significant traffic interruptions.

Sufficiency rating—the sufficiency rating is a numeric value from 100 (a bridge in new condition) to 0 (a bridge incapable of carrying traffic). The sufficiency rating is the summation of four calculated values: Structural Adequacy and Safety, Serviceability and Functional Obsolescence, Essentiality for Public Use, and Special Reductions.

Substructure—the abutment, piers, grillage, or other structure built to support the span or spans of a bridge superstructure. Includes abutments, piers, bents, and bearings.

Superstructure—the entire portion of a bridge structure that primarily receives and supports traffic loads and, in turn, transfers the reactions to the bridge substructure; usually consists of the deck and beams or, in the case of a truss bridge, the entire truss.

Tension—type of stress involving an action that pulls apart.

Trestle—a bridge structure consisting of beam spans supported upon bents. Trestles are usually made of timber and have numerous diagonal braces, both within each bent and from bent to bent.

Wheel-rail—a timber curb fastened directly to the deck, most commonly found on all timber bridges.

Wingwall—walls that slant outward from the corners of the overall bridge that support roadway fill of the approach.

Weather checked— surface cracks that occur when wood shrinks as it dries out from sun and weather exposure.

APPENDICES TO THE 2021 ANNUAL BRIDGE REPORT

Appendix One – Bridge Inventory

Appendix Two – Load-Limited or Restricted Bridges

Appendix Three – Painted Bridges

Appendix Four – Landmark Bridges

Appendix One - Bridge Inventory

**Italic text indicates short span bridge (20 feet or less in length) and pedestrian structures*

No.	Structure ID	Bridge Number	Bridge Name	County Council District	Sufficiency Rating	FO/SD	Width	Length	Year Built	Year Rebuilt	Facilities Carried	Feature Intersected	Location	Jurisdiction
1	08856700	1384B	15 MILE CREEK	9	94.03		28	66	2013		240TH AVE SE	15 MILE CREEK	0.3 MI S OF SR-202	
2	08856600	952D	195TH UNDERCROSSING	3	98.88		49	46	2012		195TH AVE NE	TRIBUTARY	2.7 MI E OF SR-202	
3	08394200	3060	208TH AVE SE	9	57.47		26.8	16	1951		208TH AVE SE	DRAINAGE DITCH	JCT SE 448TH ST	
4	08410300	3216	284 AVE SE BRIDGE	9	50.86		22.8	20	1950		284TH AVE SE	BOISE CREEK	0.5 MI S OF SE 456TH ST	
5	08779800	344B	308TH AVE SE	3	87.45		23.5	33	2008		308TH AVE SE	PATTERSON CREEK	0.2 MI N OF SR-202	
6	08020100	228F	312 AVE SE	3	59.52		23	16	1924	1950	SNOQUALMIE RIVER RD	DRAINAGE DITCH	0.2 MI N OF SE 24TH ST	
7	07962700	5044	4 CK RANCH	9	79.38		28	42	1983		229TH DRIVE SE	ISSAQUAH CREEK	0.5 MI S OF SE MAY VLY RD	
8	08066000	1320A	AMES LAKE TRESTLE	3	32.44	SD	23	168	1924	1970	AMES LK-CARNATION	AMES CREEK	0.2 MI S OF W SNOQ RV RD	
9	08813500	493B	BANDARET	9	95.79		40	101	2009		SE MAY VALLEY RD	ISSAQUAH CREEK	0.4 MI W OF ISSQ-HOBART RD	
10	07979400	509A	BARING BRIDGE	3	12.94	SD	8.3	340	1930	1952	NE INDEX CK RD	S FORK SKYKOMISH RIVER	0.1 MI S OF SR-202	
12	08407400	480A	BEAR CREEK	3	15.74		22.8	20	1951		NE 116TH ST	BEAR CREEK	0.1 MI E AVONDALE	
11	08263100	333A	BEAR CREEK	3	22.10		22.8	20	1950		NE 133RD ST	BEAR CREEK	0.2 MI E BEAR CRK	
14	08403400	52D	BEAR CREEK	3	73.60		26	45	1950		AVONDALE PL NE	BEAR CREEK	3.0 MI N REDMOND	
16	08082900	1056B	BEAR CREEK	3	80.00		37	20	1915		WOODINVILLE-DUVALL	BEAR CREEK	0.2 MI S BOTHELL WAY	
13	08623800	52C	BEAR CREEK	3	84.00		66	123	1995		AVONDALE RD	BEAR CREEK	0.3 MI N OF NE 116TH ST	
15	08618600	52E	BEAR CREEK	3	96.65		66	67	1995		AVONDALE RD	BEAR CREEK	0.5 MI N OF NE 116TH	
17	08644500	55	BEAR CREEK RANCHETTE PED	3			6	52	1979		PED PATH AT 194TH	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	0.2 MI E AVONDALE RD	
18	08481100	3086OX	BERRYDALE OX	9	20.69	SD	22	103	1931	1968	KENT-BLK DIAMOND	BNSF RR	AT SE 291ST	
19	08879500	359D	BIG BLOWOUT CREEK	3	88.25		24	90	2015		SE MIDDLE FORK RD	BIG BLOWOUT CREEK	9.1 MI N OF I-90	
20	08481400	3087	BIG SOOS CREEK	9	54.40	FO	24	36	1931		KENT-BLK DIAM RD	BIG SOOS CREEK	AT SE 288TH ST	
21	08086800	3220	BLACK NUGGET BRIDGE	3	79.83		38	32	1992		BLACK NUGGET RD	N FORK ISSAQUAH CREEK	0.2 MI N ISSQ-FALL RD	
22	08403200	3051	BOISE CREEK	9	66.83		18	16	1927		276TH AVE SE	BOISE CREEK	0.2 MI S WARNER AVE	
23	08336800	3052	BOISE CREEK	9	69.32		24	19	1927	1959	268TH AVE SE	BOISE CREEK	0.3 MI S WARNER AVE	
24	08464400	3055A	BOISE X CONNECTION	9	14.02	SD	21	38	1956		SE MUD MT RD	BOISE CREEK	0.1 MI SE OF SR-410	
25	08297200	1116A	BRISSACK BRIDGE	3	54.80	FO	26	267	1971		436TH AVE SE	S FORK SNOQUALMIE RIVER	0.8 MI S OF I-90	
26	08111000	249B	C.W. NEAL ROAD	3	38.80		22.8	16	1951		NEAL RD SE	DRAINAGE DITCH	1.5 MI S OF SR-203	
27	08018300	249C	C.W. NEAL ROAD	3	58.29		22.8	20	1951		NEAL RD SE	DRAINAGE DITCH	0.3 MI S OF SR-203	
29	08638200	5028	CARNATION FARM RD SLOUGH	3	98.82		34	40	1998		NE CARN FARM RD	SLOUGH	0.2 MI W OF SR-203	
28	08633200	5024	CARNATION FARM ROAD	3	98.82		34	60	1997		NE CARN FARM RD	SLOUGH	0.6 MI W OF SR-203	
30	08378200	999X	CASCADE SCENIC HWY	3	64.49		22.8	22	1950		CASCADE SCENIC HWY	MILLER RIVER SLOUGH	1.3 MI SE OF SR-2	
31	08430800	3164	CEDAR GROVE	9	75.49	FO	26	189	1962		CEDAR GROVE RD	CEDAR RIVER	0.2 MI NE OF SR-169	
32	08712200	3165	CEDAR MOUNTAIN	9	99.07		50	291	2003		SE JONES RD	CEDAR RIVER & TRAIL	0.1 MI E OF SR-169	
33	08712300	3165A	CEDAR MT RAMP	9	67.31		29.3	19	2003		CEDAR MT PLACE SE	CEDAR RIVER TRAIL	0.1 MI E OF SR-169	
34	08222700	427I	CHERRY CREEK BRIDGE	3	65.58	FO	26	101	1960		NE CHERRY VLY RD	CHERRY CREEK	2.6 MI E OF SR-203	
35	08088100	267X	CHERRY VALLEY TRESTLE	3	63.24		24	181	1951		MT VIEW RD NE	CHERRY CREEK	0.5 MI N OF CHERRY RD	
36	08340400	3017	CIRCLE WATER BR	9	67.64	FO	26	48	1926	1965	SE GREEN VALLEY RD	BURNS CREEK	4.1 MI E OF SR-18	
37	08205800	909B	CLOUGH CREEK	3	19.79		22.8	16	1951		415TH AVE SE	CLOUGH CREEK	1.6 MI S OF JCT I-90	
39	08448600	3035A	COAL CREEK	9	6.00	SD	18	41	1958		SE LAKE WALKER RD	COAL CREEK	1.5 MI SE VEAZIE-CUMBLND RD	
38	08420000	1086B	COAL CREEK	3	61.58		22.8	16	1950		378TH AVE SE	COAL CREEK	W SNOQ VALLEY RD AT W-D RD	
40	08244400	240A	COTTAGE LAKE CR	3	29.93		23	18	1951		NE 132ND ST	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	0.1 MI E AVONDALE RD	
43	08234200	52F	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	3	54.09	SD	40	21	1987		NE 159TH ST	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	0.1 MI W OF AVONDALE RD	
42	08826900	52B	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	3	89.09		28	40	2010		NE 165TH ST	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	0.5 MI W OF AVONDALE	
44	08633300	52H	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	3	91.99		66	61	1994		AVONDALE RD NE	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	0.1 MI S OF NE 132ND	
41	08412100	5042	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	3	96.66		0	35	1975		NE 128TH WAY	COTTAGE LAKE CREEK	0.1 MI W AVONDALE RD	
45	08483400	3085	COVINGTON	9	60.19	FO	22.5	49	1929		COVINGTON-SWYR RD	JENKINS CREEK	0.7 MI SE OF SR-516	
46	08234700	3082	COVINGTON CREEK	7	60.14		24	19	1915		AUBURN-BLK DIAMOND RD	COVINGTON CREEK	0.3 MI N OF SE LK HOLM	
47	08240200	3084	COVINGTON CREEK	9	67.08		24	23	1915	1934	AUBURN-BLK DIAMOND RD	COVINGTON CREEK	JCT SE 322ND ST	
48	08638100	3085P	COVINGTON WAY PED BRIDGE	9			8	67	1998		PEDESTRIAN PATHWAY	JENKINS CREEK	350' SE OF WAX RD	
49	08259200	364A	DEEP CREEK	3	38.55	SD	18	109	1965		FURY LAKE RD	DEEP CREEK	13.7 MI N OF I-90	
50	08182000	3097	DORRE DON WAY	9	62.86		22.8	20	1945	1959	DORRE DON WAY	UN-NAMED TRIBUTARY	1.0 MI SE OF SR-169	
51	08164300	1136A	DUVALL BRIDGE	3	53.57	FO	24	1182	1951	2002	WOODINVILLE-DUVALL	SNOQUALMIE RIVER	0.4 MI W OF SR-203	1/2 DUVALL
52	08180300	1136B	DUVALL SLOUGH	3	63.98	SD	24	639	1948		WOODINVILLE DUVALL	DUVALL SLOUGH	0.6 MI W OF SR-203	
53	08059300	952C	E REDMOND	3	68.77		21.7	23	1913		196TH AVE NE	EVANS CREEK	0.5 MI N OF SR-202	
54	08718800	617B	EDGEWICK	3	75.91		34	213	2004		468TH AVE SE	S FORK SNOQUALMIE RIVER	1.0 MI S OF I-90	
55	08729400	3166A	ELLIOT BIKE/PED XING	9	57.59		47	18	2005		154TH AVE SE	PEDESTRIAN TRAIL	0.6 MI N OF SR-169	
56	08729300	3166	ELLIOTT BRIDGE	9	89.23		38	406	2005		154TH PLACE SE	CEDAR RIVER	0.1 MI N OF SR-169	
57	08205300	180A	EVANS CREEK	3	48.77		19	20	1917	1953	NE 50TH ST	EVANS CREEK	0.1 MI SW OF SR-202	
60	08060600	952B	EVANS CREEK	3	63.34	FO	21.7	33	1913		196TH AVE NE	EVANS CREEK	0.9 MI N OF SR-202	
58	08213200	578A	EVANS CREEK	3	66.65		22.8	20	1950		196TH AVE NE	EVANS CREEK	0.5 MI W 204TH PL NE	
59	08856500	952A	EVANS CREEK	3	94.73		65	69	2013		NE UNION HILL RD	EVANS CREEK	2.5 MI E OF SR-202	
62	08194100	493C	FIFTEEN MILE CREEK	9	9.00	SD	28	40	1932	1973	SE MAY VALLEY RD	FIFTEEN MILE CREEK	0.2 MI W ISSQ- HOBART RD	
61	08194700	1384A	FIFTEEN MILE CREEK	9	39.79	SD	24	64	1949		ISSQ-HOBART RD SE	FIFTEEN MILE CREEK	0.2 MI N TIGER MT RD	
63	08446900	186J	FIRE STATION	3	77.92		28.4	19	1915		PRESTON FALL CITY	DEPRESSION	0.5 MI SE OF I-90	

Appendix One - Bridge Inventory

No.	Structure ID	Bridge Number	Bridge Name	County Council District	Sufficiency Rating	FO/SD	Width	Length	Year Built	Year Rebuilt	Facilities Carried	Feature Intersected	Location	Jurisdiction
64	08598200	3024	FLAMING GEYSER	9	86.74		34.5	371	1991		228 PLACE SE	GREEN RIVER	0.2 MI E OF GREEN VAL RD	
65	08434900	2605A	FOSS RIVER	3	38.38	FO	14.5	122	1951		FOSS RIVER RD	FOSS RIVER	0.8 MI SE SR-2 MP 50.6	
66	08596600	359A	GRANITE CREEK	3	49.54	SD	14	30	1967		PRIVATE ROAD	GRANITE CREEK	6.0 MI E OF I-90	
67	08585100	3216	GREEN RIVER	7	52.01	FO	48	250	1990		83RD AVE S	GREEN RIVER	0.5 MI E OF SR-167	1/2 KENT
68	08224700	3032	GREEN RIVER GORGE	9	36.41	FO	14	447	1914	1991	FRANKLIN RD	GREEN RIVER	4.0 MI E OF SR-169	
69	08256500	3020	GREEN VALLEY ROAD	9	34.41		22.8	20	1950		SE GREEN VALLEY RD	BURNS CREEK TRIBUTARY	5.5 MI E OF SR-18	
70	08274300	3022	GREEN VALLEY ROAD	9	38.43		22.8	20	1954		SE GREEN VALLEY RD	CRISP CREEK	6.7 MI E OF SR-18	
71	08623500	3050A	GREENWATER	9	83.32		19	18	1964	1996	SE 496TH PL	PACKARD CREEK	0.3 MI NE OF SR-410	
72	08105200	3050B	GREENWATER RIVER BRIDGE	9	57.60	FO	11	105	1973		UHLMAN RD E	GREENWATER RIVER	0.2 MI NE OF SR-410	
73	08729200	5003	HARRIS CREEK BRIDGE	3	95.61		34	80	2005		KELLY RD NE	HARRIS CREEK	2.0 MI NE SR-203	
74	08092700	257Z	HORSESHOE LAKE CREEK	3	51.54		16.8	19	1930	1969	310TH AVE NE	HORSESHOE LAKE CREEK	1.0 MI W OF SR-203	
76	08302300	83B	ISSAQUAH CREEK	9	36.43	SD	22.8	40	1952		SE 156TH ST	ISSAQUAH CREEK	1.5 MI E OF SR-169	
75	08330500	1741A	ISSAQUAH CREEK	9	47.44	SD	22.8	54	1951	1974	252 AVE SE ISSAQUAH	ISSAQUAH CREEK	0.5 MI W SR-203	
77	08300200	83D	ISSAQUAH CREEK	9	63.27	FO	26	42	1962		CEDAR GROVE RD	ISSAQUAH CREEK	1.4 MI E OF SR-169	
78	08612200	3099A	JEM CREEK	9	64.26	FO	23.9	20	1989		SE 206TH ST	TAYLOR CREEK	0.5 MI E OF SR-169	
79	08240700	3184	JUDD CREEK	8	43.07	FO	24	370	1953		VASHON HWY SW	JUDD CREEK	0.1 MI S OF SW QTRMSTR DR	
80	08116300	3036	KANASKAT ARCH	9	79.41		24	220	1918	1955	CUMBERLAND-KANASKAT	GREEN RIVER	5.1 MI E OF SR-169	
81	08116600	3037OX	KANASKAT OXING	9	55.58	FO	22.5	158	1959		CUMBERLAND-KANASKAT	BNSF RR	4.8 MI E OF SR-169	
83	08302400	5007	KELLY ROAD	3	49.06		27	16	1959		KELLY RD NE	DRAINAGE DITCH	1.0 MI N OF NE LK JOY RD	
82	08209800	5008	KELLY ROAD CHERRY CREEK	3	75.39		27	72	1947	2004	KELLY RD NE	CHERRY CREEK	4.2 MI E OF SR-203	
84	08623600	896B	KERRISTON BRIDGE	9	63.75	FO	14	22	1996		364TH AVE SE	RAGING RIVER	6.8 MI E OF ISSQ-HOBART RD	
85	08623700	896C	KERRISTON BRIDGE	9	71.08	FO	14	32	1996		364TH AVE SE	RAGING RIVER	6.9 MI E OF ISSA-HOBART RD	
86	08883100	896D	KERRISTON BRIDGE	9	93.60		45	28	2014		364TH AVE SE	RAGING RIVER	5.0 MI E OF ISSQ-HOBART RD	
88	08414800	99L	KIMBALL CREEK	3	48.55	FO	10.6	47	1960	1973	SE 76TH ST	KIMBALL CREEK	0.5 MI W OF SR-202	
87	08402300	1086A	KIMBALL CREEK	3	72.67		24.8	44	1929	1965	SE 80TH ST	KIMBALL CREEK	0.2 MI S SE 80TH ST	
89	08418400	891A	KIMBALL SUPER SPAN	3	99.31		0	27	1971		384TH AVE SE	KIMBALL CREEK	0.4 MI N SE NO. BEND WY	
90	08596700	359B	LAKE DOROTHY BRIDGE	3	76.44		26	290	1963		SE MIDDLE FORK RD	M FORK SNOQUALMIE RIVER	5.1 MI E 468 AVE	
91	08912100	359E	LAKE DOROTHY E	3	89.11		27.4	80	2014		SE MIDDLE FORK RD	UNNAMED TRIBUTARY	9.81 MI N OF I-90	
92	08879400	359C	LAKE DOROTHY OVERFLOW BR	3	90.83		33	22	2015		SE MIDDLE FORK RD	UNNAMED TRIBUTARY	5.7 MI N OF I-90	
93	08839400	359U	LAKE DOROTHY SLIDE	3	87.48		14.8	41	2011		SE LAKE DOROTHY RD	SLIDE DEPRESSION	2.0 MI E OF NORTH BEND	
94	08478800	5034A	LAKE JOY BRIDGE	3	49.09		23	16	1950		W LAKE JOY DRIVE NE	LAKE JOY CREEK	2.3 MI E OF SR-203	
95	08007200	3109B	LAKE YOUNG'S WAY	9	29.61		34.8	16	1969		SE LK YOUNGS WAY	BIG SOOS CREEK	0.3 MI NE OF SE 208TH	
96	08256100	3075	LANDSBURG BRIDGE	9	93.45		38	132	1982		LANDSBURG RD	CEDAR RIVER	1.5 MI N KENT KANGLY RD	
97	08608700	3096OX	MAPLEVALLEY OVERCROSSING	9	97.15		0	24	1994		SE 216TH WAY	CEDAR RIVER TRAIL	0.5 MI E OF SR-169	
98	08874600	999L	MARTIN CREEK	3	80.76		14	95	1959		OLD CASCADE HWY	MARTIN CREEK	0.2 MI S OF SR-2	
100	08014000	320Z	MAXWELL ROAD	9	26.90		22.8	16	1952		MAXWELL RD SE	UN-NAMED CREEK	0.6 MI N OF SR-169	
99	08016200	3099	MAXWELL ROAD	9	70.48		22.8	20	1939	1951	225TH AVE SE	TAYLOR CREEK	0.5 MI NE OF SR-169	
102	08124200	593C	MAY CREEK	9	59.16		22.6	16	1951		164TH AVE SE	MAY CREEK	0.5 MI N OF SR-900	
101	08823400	5005	MAY CREEK	9	96.15		40	36	2010		SE MAY VALLEY RD	MAY CREEK	0.1 MI E OF SR-900	
103	08378400	999W	MILLER RIVER BR	3	17.50	SD	16.5	228	1922		OLD STVNS PASS HWY	MILLER RIVER	1.5 MI SE OF SR-2	
104	08604000	506A	MONEY CREEK BRIDGE	3	76.66		14	220	1958		NE MONEY CREEK RD	MONEY CREEK	2.0 MI S OF SR-2	
105	08779200	2550A	MT SI BRIDGE	3	66.69		34	366	2008		SE MT SI RD	M FORK SNOQUALMIE RIVER	0.4 MI N OF SE N BEND	
106	08718900	124C	NE 124 ST	6	92.83		62	128	2004		NE 124TH ST	SAMMAMISH RIVER	2.3 MI E OF I-405	
107	08644400	124B	NE 124TH ST BRIDGE	6	90.83		65	21	1999		NE 124TH ST	DRAINAGE DITCH	0.8 MI E OF 132ND PL	
108	08756400	249A	NEAL ROAD	3	82.44		24.5	32	2007		CW NEAL RD	DRAINAGE DITCH	1.0 MI S OF SR-203	
109	08199300	3014	NEELY BRIDGE	9	72.56		28	243	1970		SE AUBURN-BLK DIAMOND RD	GREEN RIVER	0.2 MI NE OF SR-18	
119	08169400	3071	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	43.71		24	40	1950		SE 424TH ST	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.5 MI W OF SR-169	
117	08299200	3068	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	56.48	FO	21.6	32	1928		244TH AVE SE	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.2 MI N OF SE 436TH	
114	08113600	3063	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	65.76	FO	22.8	40	1950		SE 416TH ST	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.6 MI W SE 416TH ST	
111	08235300	3041	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	76.51		24	70	1958		SE 416TH ST	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.9 MI E OF SR-169	
116	08190200	3066	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	83.26		28	49	1927	1955	236TH AVE SE	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.5 MI N OF SR-164	
118	08172400	3069	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	86.90		26	25	1939	1957	248 TH AVE SE	NEWAUKUM CREEK	JCT SE 433RD ST	
115	08188900	3064	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	91.23		28	47	1928	1997	SE 424TH ST	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.8 MI W OF 244TH SE	
120	08019600	3188	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	94.95		0	24	1927		SE 400TH ST	NEWAUKUM CREEK	1.0 MI E 212TH AVE SE	
110	08853800	3040A	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	98.32		38	35	2012		284TH AVE SE	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.3 MI N OF SE 416TH	
113	08813800	3043	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	98.56		32	41	2009		SE 416TH ST	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.6 MI E OF SR-169	
112	08839300	3042	NEWAUKUM CREEK	9	99.56		38	42	2011		SE 416TH ST	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.8 MI E SR-169	
121	08460200	122K	NORMAN BRIDGE	3	81.03		30	393	1984		428TH AVE SE	M FORK SNOQUALMIE RIVER	0.6 MI S OF S REINIG	
122	08461200	122I	NORTH FORK	3	31.86	SD	22	252	1951		428TH AVE SE	N FORK SNOQUALMIE RIVER	0.1 MI S SE REINIG	
123	08651300	404B	NOVELTY	3	86.95		39.4	624	2000		NE 124TH ST	SNOQUALMIE RIVER	0.5 MI W OF SR-203	
124	08865200	902	NOVELTY HILL CROSSING	3				122	2013		WILDLIFE CORRIDOR	NOVELTY HILL RD	2.5 MI N OF SR-202	
125	07962900	5043	OLD NORTH BEND WAY	3	81.01		52	92	1941		SE NORTH BEND WAY	KIMBALL CREEK	1.2 MI N OF I-90	
126	08924900	1050A	OLSEN CREEK	7	79.77		23	42	2020		GREEN RIVER RD	OLSEN CREEK	1.0 MI S OF S 277TH ST	
127	08585000	3217	OVERFLOW CHANNEL	5	71.94	FO	48	62	1990		83RD AVE S	CATTLE CROSSING	0.5 MI E OF SR-167	

Appendix One - Bridge Inventory

No.	Structure ID	Bridge Number	Bridge Name	County Council District	Sufficiency Rating	FO/SD	Width	Length	Year Built	Year Rebuilt	Facilities Carried	Feature Intersected	Location	Jurisdiction
131	08071400	927B	PATTERSON CREEK	3	72.86		19	21	1951	1973	300TH AVE SE	PATTERSON CREEK	0.1 MI S OF SR-202	
132	08779300	5024A	PATTERSON CREEK	3	73.49		20	33	2008		264TH AVE SE	PATTERSON CREEK	0.1 MI S OF SR-202	
129	08020000	228E	PATTERSON CREEK	3	85.08	FO	26	52	1969		SNOQUALMIE RIVER RD	PATTERSON CREEK	0.4 MI N OF SE 24TH	
130	08779500	344A	PATTERSON CREEK	3	87.39		23.5	37	2008		310TH AVE SE	PATTERSON CREEK	0.8 MI NE OF SR-202	
128	08852100	180L	PATTERSON CREEK	3	95.55		38	67	2012		292ND AVE SE	PATTERSON CREEK	0.3 MI S OF SR-202	
133	08298300	301S	PATTON BRIDGE	9	12.67	SD	24	430	1950		SE GREEN VALLEY RD	GREEN RIVER	1.5 MI SE OF SR-18	
134	08712500	682A	PRESTON BRIDGE	3	71.03		28	243	2003		SE 86TH ST	RAGING RIVER	0.1 MI E OF PREST-FALL CITY RD	
136	08366500	1008G	RAGING RIVER	3	42.57	SD	28	170	1962		PRESTON FALL CITY	RAGING RIVER	0.6 MI E JCT 84TH AVE	
135	08371300	1008E	RAGING RIVER	3	69.59		24	50	1915		SE 68TH ST	RAGING RIVER	2.0 MI NE OF I-90	
137	08644200	234A	RAGING RIVER BRIDGE	3	67.62		40	199	1998		PRESTON FALL CITY	RAGING RIVER	0.2 MI S OF SR-202	
138	08712400	901	REDMOND RIDGE UPD	3	89.52		32	195	2001		REDMOND RIDGE NE	WETLAND	300' NW OF NE 80TH ST	
139	08610400	896A	ROCK CREEK BRIDGE	9	80.06	FO	17	62	1994		SE 208TH ST	ROCK CREEK	4.2 MI E ISSQ-HOBART RD	
140	08719600	4400	ROCK CREEK CULVERT	9	80.22	FO	22	140	2003		SE 248TH ST	ROCK CREEK	1.0 MI E OF SR-169	
141	08756500	920A	RUTHERFORD SLOUGH	3	87.06		24.4	31	2007		SE 39TH PL	RUTHERFORD SLOUGH	0.4 MI NE OF SR-203	
142	08928400	3126	S 277TH STREET CULVERT	5	93.61		64	20	2021		SE 277TH ST	IRRIGATION DITCH	1.5 MI E OF I-5	
143	08388600	999K2	SCENIC BRIDGE	3	57.52		19	61	1960		NE OLD CASCADE HWY	TYE RIVER	0.1 MI S OF SR-2	
144	08478900	3030	SE 380 ST	9	43.89		22.8	16	1950		SE 380 TH ST	SLOUGH	1.0 MI W OF SR-169	
145	08057200	3056A	SE 408TH ST	9	36.00		24	18	1915		SE 408TH ST	UNNAMED CREEK	0.2 MI E OF SR-164	
146	08839200	3201	SE 424TH ST	9	99.99		31.1	31	2011		SE 424TH ST	WATERCRESS CREEK	0.6 MI W 284TH AVE SE	
147	08349300	3198	SEMANSKI	9	91.97		28	43	1963		252ND AVE SE	BOISE CREEK	0.1 MI S OF SR-410	
148	08046900	2133A	SIKES LAKE TRESTLE	3	26.63	SD	22	260	1978		284TH AVE NE	SIKES LAKE	0.5 MI E OF SR-202	
149	08278600	999Z	SKYKOMISH RIVER	3	63.86		24	255	1957		NE OLD CASCADE HWY	S FORK SKYKOMISH RIVER	0.1 MI SE OF SR-2	
150	08638000	615A	SMITH PARKER BRIDGE	3	72.46		34	125	1998		328 WAY SE	RAGING RIVER	0.1 MI W OF FALL CITY RD	
153	08106900	3109	SOOS CREEK	9	48.24		22.8	16	1949		SE 224TH ST	SOOS CREEK	0.3 MI E OF 132ND AVE	
154	08106100	3109A	SOOS CREEK	9	53.21		19	17	1959		SE 216TH ST	BIG SOOS CREEK	0.3 MI E OF 132ND AVE SE	
155	07997400	3110	SOOS CREEK	9	59.78		19.8	18	1928		SE 208TH ST	BIG SOOS CREEK	0.3 MI E OF SE 204TH	
152	08167200	3108	SOOS CREEK	9	69.14		31.5	32	1971		148TH AVE SE	SOOS CREEK	0.2 MI N OF SE 240TH ST	
156	08813700	3205	SOOS CREEK	9	88.88		27.5	37	2009		172ND AVE SE	SOOS CREEK	0.2 MI N SE 240TH ST	
151	08813900	3106	SOOS CREEK	9	97.61		36	44	2009		SE 244 ST	SOOS CREEK	0.1 MI E OF 148TH AVE SE	
157	08870100	3179	SOUTH PARK BRIDGE	8	69.96		55	921	2014		14/16TH AVE SE	DUWAMISH RIVER	0.8 MI N OF SR-99	
158	08097200	1023A	STOSSEL BRIDGE	3	27.78	SD	24	330	1951		NE CARNATION FARM	SNOQUALMIE RIVER	0.7 MI S OF SW 160TH ST	
159	07974800	503Z	STOSSEL CREEK	3	59.59	SD	15	25	1947	1967	STOSSEL CREEK RD	CHERRY CREEK	6.2 MI NE OF KELLY RD	
160	08823300	364C	SUNDAY CREEK	3	78.66		18	105	2010		NORTH FORK RD SE	SUNDAY CREEK	17.4 MI N OF I-90	
161	08353200	122N	TATE CREEK	3	19.23		22.8	16	1952		SE 73RD ST	TATE CREEK	0.1 N OF FORK RD SE	
162	0016611E	3095A	TAYLOR CREEK	9	95.76	FO	36.8	105	2005		NORVYDAN RD	TAYLOR CREEK	0.1 MI N OF SR-18	
164	08255400	271AOX	TOKUL CREEK OX	3	99.84		0	19	1988		TOKUL RD	OLD MILWAUKEE RR BED	0.7 MI NE OF SR-202	
163	08246300	61G	TOKUL CREEK PARK	3	46.63		22	85	1950		FISH HATCHERY RD	TOKUL CREEK	0.8 MI S OF SR-202	
165	08779100	1834A	TOLT BRIDGE	3	90.84		40	962	2008		NE TOLT HILL RD	SNOQUALMIE RIVER	0.1 MI N OF AMES LAKE RD	
166	08644300	1105	TUCK CREEK TEMP BRIDGE	3	75.67	FO	13	30	1999		W SNOQUALMIE VALLEY RD	TUCK CREEK	0.1 MI E OF FALL CITY RD	
167	08633000	1000	TYE RIVER PED BRIDGE	3			6	80	1996		OLD CASCADE HWY	TYE RIVER	4.0 MI N OF SR-2	
168	08002400	1239A	UPPER PRESTON	3	30.81	FO	22.8	60	1950		UPPER PRESTON RD	LAKE CREEK	0.2 MI S OF W SNOQ RD	
169	08446000	5046	UPPER PRESTON FRONTAGE RD	3	79.71		28	316	1974		UPPER PRESTON RD	RAGING RIVER	0.1 MI SE OF I-90	
170	08261500	271B	UPPER TOKUL CREEK	3	35.89		22.5	108	1965		TOKUL RD SE	TOKUL CREEK	1.5 MI NE OF SR-202	
171	08049600	3038	VEAZIE BRIDGE	9	52.34	FO	26	57	1950		VEAZIE-CUMBERLAND	COAL CREEK	0.3 MI N SE 392 ST	
172	08393500	228A	W SNOQUALMIE RIVER RD NE	3	82.96		26	36	1965		NE 18TH ST	DRAINAGE DITCH	0.2 MI W SNOQ R RD NE	
173	08779400	228D	W SNOQUALMIE RIVER RD NE	3	83.33	FO	23.5	33	2008		W SNOQUALMIE RV RD NE	DRAINAGE DITCH	2.0 MI S TOLT HILL RD	
174	08391900	916A	W SNOQUALMIE RIVER ROAD	3	54.61		22.8	20	1951		W SNOQUALMIE RV RD	SLOUGH	0.8 MI S NE TOLT RD	
175	08886800	5009B	W SNOQUALMIE VALLEY ROAD	3	98.84		28	31	2016		W SNO VALLEY RD	DRAINAGE DITCH	0.5 MI N OF AMES LK RD	
176	08779700	364B	WAGNERS BRIDGE	3	92.43		18	175	2008		NORTH FORK RD SE	N FORK SNOQUALMIE RIVER	13.5 MI N OF I-90	
177	08415800	5011	WALTER SHULTS	3	64.84	FO	16.9	26	1953	2009	NE 106TH ST	BEAR CREEK	0.1 MI E OF AVONDALE RD	
178	08633100	63	WELCOME LAKE BRIDGE	3	87.08		28.7	32	1984		218TH AVE NE	COLIN CREEK	1.0 MI E OF AVONDALE RD	
179	08598300	3025	WHITNEY BRIDGE	9	58.97		38	257	1990		212TH WAY SE	GREEN RIVER	0.1 MI S GREEN VALLEY RD	
180	08651200	3027	WHITNEY HILL	9	97.46		34.3	64	2000		212TH WAY SE	NEWAUKUM CREEK	0.8 MI S GREEN VALLEY RD	
183	08180000	1136E	WOODINVILLE-DUVALL	3	57.75	SD	24	50	1948		WOODINVILLE DUVALL	TUCK CREEK	1.8 MI SE OF I-90	
181	08180200	1136C	WOODINVILLE-DUVALL ROAD	3	56.58	SD	24	90	1948		WOODINVILLE DUVALL	DUVALL SLOUGH	0.8 MI W OF SR-203	
182	08180100	1136D	WOODINVILLE-DUVALL ROAD	3	56.58	SD	24	70	1948		WOODINVILLE DUVALL	DUVALL SLOUGH	0.9 MI W OF SR-203	
184	08138900	3194	WYNACO	9	86.39		26	195	1964	2004	168TH WAY SE	COVINGTON CREEK	2.7 MI E OF SR-18	
185	08752300	225C	YORK BRIDGE	3	97.37		33	220	2006		NE 116TH ST	SAMMAMISH RIVER	0.5 MI W OF SR-202	1/2 REDMOND

Appendix Two - Load-Limited or Restricted Bridges

The following are King County owned bridges with restricted load capacity or restricted vertical clearances.
For closed bridges, go to <http://gismaps.kingcounty.gov/mycommute>.

LOAD-LIMITED BRIDGES

Bridge Number	Bridge Name	Type 3 3 Axle Truck	Type 3-S2 5 Axle Truck	Type 3-3 6 Axle Truck	SHV - SU4 4 Axle Truck	SHV - SU5 5 Axle Truck	SHV - SU6 6 Axle Truck	SHV - SU7 7 Axle Truck
		Legal Tonnage						
		25T	36T	40T	27T	31T	34.75T	38.75T
3060	208th Ave SE Bridge	24 T	-	-	21 T	24 T	27 T	30 T
3030	SE 380th St Bridge	23 T	-	-	20 T	23 T	26 T	29 T
1320A	Ames Lake Trestle Bridge	21 T	34 T	-	19 T	22 T	25 T	28 T
509A	Baring Bridge	10 T	10 T	10 T	10 T	10 T	10 T	10 T
333A	Bear Creek Bridge	-	-	-	24 T	24 T	26 T	30 T
480A	Bear Creek Bridge	-	-	-	22 T	22 T	24 T	27 T
3055A	Boise X Connection Bridge	18 T	29 T	39 T	15 T	15 T	14 T	14 T
249B	C W Neal Road Bridge	-	-	-	21 T	24 T	27 T	30 T
909B	Clough Creek Bridge	22 T	-	-	19 T	22 T	25 T	28 T
3035A	Coal Creek Bridge	-	-	-	26 T	30 T	32 T	35 T
240A	Cottage Lake Creek Bridge	-	-	-	-	28 T	32 T	35 T
364A	Deep Creek Bridge	-	-	-	25 T	28 T	31 T	34 T
180A	Evans Creek Bridge	24 T	-	-	21 T	23 T	24 T	27 T
3032	Green River Gorge Bridge	-	-	-	22 T	23 T	22 T	25 T
257Z	Horseshoe Lake Creek Bridge	-	-	-	24 T	27 T	30 T	33 T
1741A	Issaquah Creek Bridge	-	-	-	-	-	34 T	37 T
1086A	Kimball Creek Bridge	-	-	-	-	28 T	28 T	29 T
122I	North Fork Bridge	-	-	-	-	27 T	25 T	22T
3015	Patton Bridge	-	33 T	35 T	-	30 T	30 T	29 T
999K2	Scenic Bridge	-	-	-	23 T	23 T	21 T	21 T
3109A	Soos Creek Bridge	21 T	-	-	19 T	22 T	25 T	28 T
271B	Upper Tokul Creek Bridge	23 T	-	-	21 T	22 T	23 T	24 T

RESTRICTED FOR VERTICAL CLEARANCE

Bridge Number	Bridge Name	Vertical Height Restriction
4400	Rock Creek Culvert	10'-8"
1023A	Stossel Bridge	14'-9"

Appendix Three - Painted Bridges

	Bridge No.	Bridge Name	Fracture Critical Y/N	Bridge Type	Year Last Painted	Steel Tonnage	Area of Steel Sq. Ft.
1	3055A	BOISE X CONNECTION	N	Girder	1995	25	2,750
2	3035A	COAL CREEK	Y	Plate Girder	1995	11	1,210
3	364A	DEEP CREEK	Y	Plate Girder	1995	15	1,650
4	3014	NEELY BRIDGE	N	Girder	1996	76	8,360
5	122I	NORTH FORK	N	Girder	1996	18	1,980
6	3015	PATTON BRIDGE	Y	Box Girder	1996	40	4,400
7	3050B	GREENWATER	Y	Plate Girder	1997	25	2,750
8	999K2	SCENIC BRIDGE	N	Girder	1997	20	2,200
9	615A	SMITH PARKER BRIDGE	Y	Truss	1998	45.7	7,312
10	404B	NOVELTY	Y	Truss	2000	517	82,720
11	3032	GREEN RIVER GORGE	Y	Truss	2001	225	59,000
12	617B	EDGEWICK	Y	Truss	2004	216	23,760
13	3166	ELLIOTT BRIDGE	N	Girder	2005	252	27,720
14	3216	GREEN RIVER	N	Girder	2006	72	7,920
15	2550A	MT. SI BRIDGE	Y	Truss	2008	162.5	26,000
16	1834A	TOLT BRIDGE	Y	Truss	2008	860	137,600
17	364C	SUNDAY CREEK	Y	Truss	2010	50	7,965
18	3179	SOUTH PARK	Y	Truss	2014	1485	208,000
19	1023A	STOSSEL BRIDGE	Y	Truss	2014	141	22,560
20	999Z	SKYKOMISH RIVER	N	Girder	2017	144	15,840
21	2605A	FOSS RIVER	Y	Truss	2019	20	3,200
22	3024	FLAMING GEYSER	Y	Box Girder	2020	140	13,790

Steel structures that do not require painting:

Culverts: Cottage Lake Creek Bridge No. 5042, Kimball Superspan No. 891A, Tokul Creek OX No. 271AOX

Temporary Bridge: Tuck Creek Temp Bridge No. 1105

Closed Bridge: Miller River Bridge No. 999W

Appendix Four - Landmark Bridges

The 9-member Landmarks Commission was established in 1980 by Ordinance 10474 (KCC 20.62) to ensure that the historic places, material culture, and traditions which best reflect the region's 13,000 years of human history are preserved for future generations. This is a list of King County bridges designated by the King County Landmarks Commission as Landmark Bridges.



Baring Bridge No. 509A

Built in 1930, this timber suspension bridge spans the South Fork Skykomish River at Northeast Index Creek Road, near the community of Baring.

Baring Bridge was added to the National Historic Registry and received Washington State Landmark status in 2019.

Designated in 1999

Foss River Bridge No. 2605A

Built in 1951, spanning a tributary to the Skykomish River in northeast King County. This warren pony truss was added to the National Historic Registry in 2002.

Designated in 2004.



Green River Gorge Bridge No. 3032

Built in 1914, spanning the Green River Gorge in southeast King County. This is a rare and intact example of the Baltimore Petit deck truss structural design. The Green River Gorge Bridge is the only Baltimore Petit deck truss bridge owned and maintained by King County. Designated in 2004.

Judd Creek Bridge No. 3184

Built in 1953 on Vashon Island, it carries SW Vashon Hwy over Judd Creek. It is a concrete hollow-box (box girder) bridge designed by Homer M. Hadley. Designated in 2004.



Appendix Four - Landmark Bridges



Miller River Bridge No. 999W

Built in 1922, it carries the Old Cascade Scenic Highway over Miller River. This riveted Pratt truss is located near the community of Skykomish. Designated in 1999.

Patton Bridge No. 3015

Built in 1950, spanning the Green River in the vicinity of Auburn. A rare and early example of innovative structural design associated with Homer M. Hadley. In 1995, the Patton Bridge was listed in the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Registry.



Raging River Bridge No. 1008E

Built in 1915, this bridge spans the Raging River between the communities of Fall City and Preston. It is a concrete earthen-filled arch structure, originally built to carry the Sunset Highway across the Raging River. Designated in 1997.

Stossel Bridge No. 1023A

Built in 1951, spanning the Snoqualmie River, this riveted Warren truss is located north of the community of Carnation. Listed on the Washington Historic Registry in 2002. Designated in 1997.

