

#### **Metro Transit Division**

Department of Transportation King Street Center, KSC-TR-0415 201 South Jackson Street Seattle, WA 98104-3856

#### Memorandum

June 2008

TO: Interested parties

FM: David Hull, Supervisor

Service Planning

RE: 2007 Route Performance Report

Attached are copies of the **2007 Route Performance Report**. This report focuses on the performance of individual King County Metro routes.

The objective of measuring route performance is to identify individual services that may require modification, expansion, or discontinuation.

#### **Route Performance Report for 2007 - Trends**

In general, ridership-based performance measures increased across all subareas and time periods in 2007. The exception to this positive trend in performance measures was the Fare Revenue/Operating Cost measure, which decreased by 3.7%. Lower performance on this measure resulted from the fact that operating costs increased by a greater percentage than fare revenue. While the overall amount of service increased between 2006 and 2007 (primarily in the East Subarea), ridership continued to grow at a significantly higher rate than would be expected by the growth in service alone. Ridership growth in 2007 continues a three year trend that has seen ridership increase by 19.8% between 2004 and 2007.

#### Route Performance Report - Background

The Report consists of a list of routes grouped by subarea and time period, showing each route's performance based on four measures, plus a summary score. The Report includes an introductory section that explains the measures, route groupings and thresholds. The

introduction also contains tables summarizing performance by time period and year. Tables that summarize performance for each subarea and the number of routes by subarea that fall into the strong and minimum performance categories are also included. Each set of tables includes a short explanatory paragraph designed to delineate performance measure trends.

These Route Performance Report was developed in response to the policy laid out in the Six-Year Transit Development Plan for 1996-2001 directing regular performance reports on each route. Two of the measures used to evaluate each route were established by the 1997 Route Performance Guidelines. Additional route performance measures were adopted as part of the Six-Year Transit Development Plan for 2002-2007. One of these measures, passenger miles divided by seat miles, was updated in 2004 to passenger miles divided by coach (platform) miles to better reflect performance in reducing vehicle miles traveled on the roadways.

Two performance categories are highlighted in the Report for further action:

- Routes with "Strong" performance are to be considered for expansion.
- "Below minimum performance" routes are to be evaluated for changes to improve performance, or for discontinuation if performance does not improve after changes are tried. Major revisions intended to improve performance or deletions of poorly performing routes are subjected to a public process and only implemented if approved by the County Council.

Performance is evaluated based on comparison to other members of a group of routes, and routes are grouped by subarea and time period based on similarity in operating conditions. Each of the subarea and time period groups will have both "strong" and "below minimum" performance routes determined by thresholds based upon average route performance in each group. Thresholds are updated every three years based upon the goal that the overall route network performance will improve continuously as a result of expanding high performance routes and deleting low performance routes. The performance thresholds used in this Report are based on fall 2005 route data and are shown in a table at the beginning of the report.

#### **Additional Information**

Should you have any questions about the *Report on 2007 Route Performance*, please call David Hull, Service Planning Supervisor, at 263-4734, or Ted Day, Transit Planner III, at 684-1304.

# 2007 Route Performance Report

Prepared by
King County Metro Transit

Service Development Section:
Service Planning
Scheduling

**June 2008** 

Table of Contents	Page	i
Introduction		
Explanation of Measures and Route Groups  A. Performance Measures: Discussion and Examples B. Route Definition and Performance Groups C. Production and Allocation Subareas	Page	ii
A. Performance Thresholds A. Performance Thresholds B. 2007 Summary	Page	X
Abbreviations in the Route Performance Tables	Page	xix
2007 Route Performance		
East Planning Subarea	Page	1
South Planning Subarea	Page	4
West Planning Subarea	Page	8

#### **Explanation of Measures and Route Groups**

#### A. Performance Measures: Discussion and Examples

Riders per revenue hour. Routes with many riders getting on and off the bus during each trip tend to perform well on this measure. A high number of ons and offs is typical for routes operating in areas of dense population and employment, where many riders make short trips. The length of the trip and the density of the population and employment along the route are positively correlated with performance on this measure. There are exceptions, however, such as express trips that fill all seats and travel at mostly freeway speeds. This kind of trip achieves high ridership per revenue hour, because the number of revenue hours per trip is quite small. The range on this measure for individual route variants at different times of day is high, with 98% of the variants ranging between 7 and 100 rides per revenue hour.

**Example -** An illustration of the impact of the travel time: Route 4N is a short route between Queen Anne and downtown Seattle, while Route 17 travels from Ballard to downtown Seattle. These two routes have the same number of annual peak-period trips (8,890) and riders (323,300 for Route 4N and 325,600 for Route 17). However, the average travel time for Route 4N is 22 minutes per trip, while Route 17 averages 43 minutes per trip. Since one of the factors impacting this measure is time spent carrying riders, Route 4N performs much better on this measure (97.8 rides per revenue hour) than Route 17 (51.3 rides per revenue hour).

Riders per revenue hour is not the preferred productivity measure for transit planning because it fails to capture a route's total cost. Riders per platform hour is used to capture the entire cost of a route, including the time buses are not serving customers. This includes time for deadheading to and from the base, operator breaks, and scheduled layover periods.

**Example** – Routes 218 and 253 both serve approximately 340,000 annual riders during the peak periods. Based on riders per revenue hour alone, one would conclude that Route 218 is more productive than Route 253, because Route 218 serves 25 more riders per revenue hour than Route 253. However, Route 218 costs almost 20% more than Route 253, primarily because of long deadhead times. Consequently, Route 253 serves 6.2 more riders per platform hour than Route 218.

➤ The ratio of fare revenue to operating expense is the percentage of costs recovered from fares paid by customers. This ratio is positively correlated with the number of riders per revenue hour, since more riders getting on and off the coach during an hour of service results in more fare revenue collected. There are some exceptions such as routes with unusually high or low fare revenue per rider. Two of the reasons for these exceptions are: 1) operating expense is dependent on the number of platform hours and miles driven, rather than the number of revenue hours; and 2) some routes have a higher number of riders who have reduced fares or transfers.

**Example:** The comparison of Routes 3N and 240 illustrates the relationship between riders per hour and fare return to operating expense. Route 240 carries 324,300 riders annually, and Route 3N carries 279,200; many more riders get on and off Route 3N each hour of operation (or hour of expense). Route 3N averages 42.6% of its operating expense covered by fares, while Route 240, with more riders yet fewer riders per hour of operation, averages only 14.1% fare recovery.

Passenger miles per revenue hour. This measure is intended to value routes that provide long distance trips. One rider may occupy a seat for the same number of miles on a long distance trip as do many riders each traveling a shorter distance. Performance on this measure is positively correlated with route length, average vehicle speed and the route design and purpose. With the same number of riders, routes that travel faster will do better on this measure. There is a wide distribution of values for this measure across the individual route, with 98% of the route variants having between 24 and 750 passenger miles per revenue hour.

**Example:** Routes 190 and 191 travel about the same number of miles between Redondo Heights Park-and-Ride and downtown Seattle (21 miles) and have the about the same number of trips (3000 and 2800 annually) and riders (92,000 and 101,000). They both travel between 60,000 and 64,000 miles annually. In 2007, Route 190 averaged 540 passenger miles per revenue hour, while Route 191 averaged only 386 passenger miles per revenue hour. The difference is a result of the route design. Route 191 travels a long distance on Highway 99 before getting on I-5, whereas Route 190 travels almost exclusively via the freeway; thus, there is a large difference in speed and revenue miles per revenue hour. Also, Route 190 makes almost no stops between Redondo Heights and Seattle, so passengers travel the full length of the route, while Route 191 has intermediate stops, so some riders travel fewer miles than others.

➤ Passenger miles divided by platform miles. In the 2004 report, this measure replaced the Six Year Plan Strategy M-3 measure "Passenger miles divided by revenue seat miles" and has been used since. The Plan states that the intent of this measure is to "assess the degree to which transit services contribute to the reduction of total vehicle miles traveled."

The difficulty with using the initial formula of "passenger miles divided by revenue seat miles" is that the number of seats per coach varies, and revenue miles are not the total vehicle miles. The simpler formula of "passenger miles divided by platform miles" results in a number that directly addresses the usefulness of transit in reducing total vehicle miles traveled, without the variability inherent in using seats as a multiplier and including all miles that the coach travels.

**Example**: Routes 48S and 158 both cost about the same to operate (\$1.1 million per year). However, due to the fact that Route 48S is designed to cater to local trips and Route 158 is designed to provide more regional trips, Route 158 has a higher number of passenger miles per platform mile (16.18) than does Route 48S (13.91). However, comparing the fare revenue generated by each route, shows that Route 48S generates about 174% more revenue than does Route 158. The downside of this measure is that if the agency attempted to maximize this measure on each route, it would negatively impact the amount of revenue generated. If the region were to privatize transit service provision, private operators would likely not emphasize this measure due to its negative impact on revenue.

\*\*Route Effectiveness Sum" definition: The Route Effectiveness Sum is intended to compare the routes in a specific group through a summary score that reflects the four individual performance measures. It is calculated by adding four separate scores, one for each of the four performance measures for each route. These scores are a mathematical relationship of the standard deviation of a route's performance from its groups average performance for each measure. As the performance thresholds were held constant from 2005 to 2007, 2005 average performance figures were used as the baseline from which to calculate the individual 2007 performance scores.

In years where the performance thresholds are calculated, the average Route Effectiveness Sum for each group of routes will be 0, and the high and low scores will be equal in distance from zero - one positive and one negative. The result is that within each group about half of the routes will have a positive Route Effectiveness Sum and about half will have a negative Route Effectiveness Sum. However, for 2007, there may be an imbalance in positive and negative scores depending on whether the routes in the group performed better or worse than in 2005.

An extremely high or low score on one or two of the four measures may be enough to weight the overall Route Effectiveness Sum to a high or low number, even though the route performs near average on the other measures. This does not affect the measure significantly as few routes have both strong performance in one or more measures and below minimum performance in one or more measures.

Use of the "Route Effectiveness Sum." The Route Effectiveness Sum is a mathematical construct that indicates how extreme a route's performance is within a group of other routes. It can be used only to rank the overall performance of one route within a group of routes and cannot be compared across groups. Standard deviations and averages depend upon the other scores and the number of items within a specified group, and the Route Effectiveness Sum represents only the position of a route within its subarea and time period group. By contrast, the numbers reported for the four individual performance measures represent a consistent physical measurement across all of the subareas and time periods. In other words, it might be appropriate to compare the number of rides per revenue hour between routes operating in different subareas or at different times of day.

**Example by analogy:** Question: which route did better, the route variant with a Route Effectiveness Sum of 4.4, or the one with a lower score of 1.9?

This cannot be answered without examining whether the route variants were in the same group. In this example from the 2005 Route Performance tables, the answer is that the variant with a Route Effectiveness Sum of 1.9 actually performed better on every one of the four measures – more riders per revenue hour, per revenue mile, etc. The Route Effectiveness Sum of the better performing route was lower because it was in the South peak group – a group with higher performance on average than the East night group where the score of 4.4 was achieved.

The only way to compare the numerical scores across time periods and/or subareas with the Route Effectiveness Sum would be to include all of the routes from every time period and subarea in one group, and then calculate a new set of Route Effectiveness scores based on the new group's averages and standard deviations on the measures.

#### **B.** Route Definition and Performance Groups

Routes are divided into groups by subarea and by time of day. Planning Subareas were defined when the *Long Range Policy Framework for Public Transportation* was adopted by the King County Council in 1993. All routes that cross subarea boundaries are kept whole for the purpose of performance evaluation, rather than dividing 50/50 those all-day routes that travel between subareas, as is currently done for the purpose of allocating hours among subareas. For usefulness in comparing current and past route performance on routes crossing subarea boundaries, routes are reported in the same subarea as in prior years.

Route performance within each subarea is evaluated separately for three time periods that have different ridership characteristics. The three time periods are the peak period, offpeak (including weekend days), and night (all seven days). Time periods reflect the increasingly broad span of peak-period service levels, with the "peak" time period 4 hours in both morning and evening on weekdays (excluding holidays). See Page xix for definition of service time periods.

Routes are defined by route number, part of route, and type of route. Some route numbers include multiple variations, or "route variants," that are evaluated separately for performance. Route parts (north and south, or east and west) can be considered for the purposes of performance evaluation as totally separate routes, and are always listed separately in the report. Route types (e.g. express or shuttle routing) are a variation on the basic route or route part. Route variants that could be considered separately for specific improvements are kept separate on the performance evaluation. These include:

- Route type variants needed operationally. An example is trolley routes that have a shuttle (SH) variant traveling back to the base south of downtown Seattle at night. By having this trip back to the base on the schedule, it provides service to a few riders. The performance level of these operational variants is generally very low, but they are of service to a few people at very little or no system cost. When these comprise an extremely small part of the total route service in a time period, they are consolidated into the larger route variant. Otherwise they continue to be shown separately in the tables.
- Route type variants with less than five trips in a time period. Those route variants generally have been combined with the same one in an adjacent time period to more accurately reflect overall performance. For instance, Route 272 is a commuter service from the Eastgate area to the University of Washington, and a few trips that occur in the offpeak time period are instead included as part of the peak period. However, express variants of less than five trips that do not have express trips in an adjacent time period are shown separately, rather than being combined with a different route type.

Routes excluded from performance evaluation. Custom bus, school routes, DART routes, and other routes funded partially by partner entities are excluded from evaluation. A new small group of exception variants have been added this year to account for variants which are not able to be deleted as they are trips which are traveling between a route terminal and bus base. It does not make sense to compare these route variants to ones which could be eliminated for poor performance.

Excluded routes are listed by origin subarea after the tables for the three time periods for that subarea. No thresholds were calculated for these "exception" routes, although the average performance for regular routes in the same subarea during the same time period is listed under them as a reference point. The cost recovery performance measure for this report is calculated using fully allocated costs, while the policy goal for custom and school routes is to generate enough revenue to cover 100% of marginal operating costs. The fare revenue for all of these types of routes is available upon request, whether paid by individuals or a partner institution.

#### C. Production and Allocation Subareas

When the planning subareas were adopted by King County in 1993, routes were assigned to one of the three subareas according to where the majority of morning boardings occurred – the "production" subarea. For purposes of allocating new hours of service between subareas, some routes were later assigned to a different subarea, or are shared by two subareas.

The following table lists those routes that have different production and allocation subareas. For usefulness in comparing current and past route performance, this report on route performance includes these routes in the "Production Subarea" listed below.

Route	Productio Subarea		Route	Production Subarea	New Subarea
Fast Prod	East Production Subarea Routes			ntinued	
240		EAST-SOUTH	131	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
255	_	EAST-WEST	131 TB	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
271	EAST	EAST-WEST	132	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
280	EAST	SOUTH-WEST	132 TB	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
342	EAST	WEST	150	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
935 DART	EAST	EAST-WEST	150 TB	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
			174	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
South Pro	duction Sub	area Routes	194	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
101	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST	194 TB	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST
101 TB	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST			
106	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST	West Pro	duction Subarea	a Routes
107	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST	23	WEST	SOUTH-WEST
113	SOUTH	WEST	39	WEST	SOUTH-WEST
120	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST	126	WEST	SOUTH-WEST
121	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST	128	WEST	SOUTH-WEST
121 TB	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST	128 TB	WEST	SOUTH-WEST
125	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST	331	WEST	EAST-WEST
125 NT	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST	982 CUS	T WEST	EAST
125 TB	SOUTH	SOUTH-WEST			

#### **Performance Thresholds and Summary 2007**

#### A. Performance Thresholds

Performance thresholds for evaluation of routes are set for three years to allow comparison of route performance from year to year. The performance thresholds for 2005 - 2007 are based on subarea performance by time period in 2005. Data used to develop these thresholds was the annualized Fall 2005 information on regular service routes - excludes paratransit, special service, the downtown Seattle Ride-Free Area, and the routes in group excluded from performance evaluation such as custom bus services.

Performance Thresholds: 2005 - 2007 (Revised using Fall 2005 Route Data)						
Subarea	Performance	Guide-	Rides/	Fare Rev.	Psgr.Miles	Pass. Miles
	Thresholds*	Time	Rev. Hr.	/ Op. Exp.	/ Rev. Hr.	/ Plat. Miles
		Peak	39.8	23%	421	12.4
	Strong	OffPeak	30.2	18%	159	8.7
FACT		Night	29.7	12%	186	7.2
EAST		Peak	12.9	6%	44	2.4
	Minimum	OffPeak	10.3	3%	38	2.1
		Night	8.3	3%	37	2.2
	Strong	Peak	44.3	25%	503	14.5
		OffPeak	49.2	24%	358	17.6
0011711	_	Night	35.0	14%	287	11.2
SOUTH		Peak	24.7	12%	113	5.3
	Minimum	OffPeak	22.1	9%	61	3.4
		Night	19.8	7%	63	3.0
		Peak	72.1	37%	298	14.5
	Strong	OffPeak	72.9	32%	207	15.9
WEGT	_	Night	44.6	18%	150	9.2
WEST		Peak	33.9	15%	89	6.5
	Minimum	OffPeak	30.7	13%	87	6.5
		Night	20.4	7%	53	3.4

**Strong performance** is defined as one standard deviation above the mean; **Below minimum performance** is one standard deviation below the mean.

#### B. Route Performance for 2007

The purpose of route evaluation is to track performance over time, and identify opportunities for system improvement. Thresholds are updated periodically so that there will always be room for improvement. When thresholds are updated, as they were in 2005, some routes may move into the below minimum performance without a reduction in any measure as the below minimum performance bar was raised. However since the performance thresholds were held constant between the 2005 report and the 2007 report, it is valid to compare routes between the years. The best measure for comparing routes from year to year is the Route Effectiveness Summary. Any route which experienced an increase in Route Effectiveness from 2006 to 2007 can be concluded to be improving in performance.

Performance of King County Metro Routes is summarized for 2007 in the table below. Included in the summary is the percent change in each measure from 2006.

**Note:** These performance reports do not include rides within the downtown Seattle Ride Free Area and routes operated by Metro for Sound Transit. Routes that are not subject to performance evaluation are not included, although separately noted in the table summarizing 2007 routes. These totals can only be used to examine the subset of Metro service that is subject to annual performance evaluation, and will not match system totals found elsewhere.

#### **SYSTEM-WIDE PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

		Service Delive	red in 2007 (C	hange from 200	6)
2007	Annual Revenue Hours	Annual Revenue Miles	Annual Trips	Annual Platform Miles	Annual Platform Hours
Dooks	1,001,703	15,687,622	1,392,547	22,406,047	1,547,558
Peaks	(-0.5%)	(+0.5%)	(-0.1%)	(-0.3%)	(-0.5%)
OffPeak	865,755	12,705,989	1,326,916	13,525,013	1,234,686
OllFeak	(+0.4%)	(+1.8%)	(-0.4%)	(+1.9%)	(-0.1%)
Niaht	327,084	5,195,622	570,545	6,082,929	511,753
Night	(+2.7%)	(+2.7%)	(+1.6%)	(+2.8%)	(+2.3 %)
Total	2,194,542	33,589,232	3,290,008	42,013,989	3,293,997
Total	(+0.3%)	(+1.3%)	(0%)	(+0.8%)	(+0.1%)
Except. Routes	77,658	1,280,107	175,303	1,531,613	112,095

	Rider Use in	n 2007 (Change	e from 2006)	Performance Measures			
2007	Annual Rides	Annual Passenger Miles	Annual Fare Revenue	Rides / Rev. Hr.	Fare Rev / Op. Exp	Psgr. Miles / RevHr	Psgr. Miles/ PlatMi
Peaks	51,859,752	275,332,923	\$46,806,039	51.77	25.0%	275	12.3
reans	(+7.0%)	(+7.6%)	(+2.1%)	(+7.6%)	(-2.6%)	(+8.3%)	(+8.1%)
OffDook	44,451,429	189,865,086	\$30,505,289)	51.34	21.9 %	219	14.0
OffPeak	(+5.3%)	(+7.3%)	(+0.6%)	(+4.8%)	(-4.4%)	(+6.8%)	(+5.0%)
Niaht	11,746,458	54,777,472	\$8,058,070	35.91	13.6%	167	9.0
Night	(+7.1%)	(+7.2%)	(+2.0%)	(+4.2%)	(-4.8%)	(+4.4%)	(+4.3%)
Total	108,057,639	519,975,481	\$85,369,399	49.22	22.1%	237	12.4
Total	(+6.3%)	(+7.5%)	(+1.6%)	(+6.0%)	(-3.7%)	(+7.2%)	(+6.8%)
Except. Routes	1,598,639	7,203,714	n.a.	20.58	n.a.	93	4.7

#### EAST SUBAREA PERFORMANCE MEASURES

		Service Delive	red in 2007 (C	hange from 200	6)
2007	Annual Revenue Hours	Annual Revenue Miles	Annual Trips	Annual Platform Miles	Annual Platform Hours
Doolso	216,789	4,020,717	247,397	6,159,149	352,176
Peaks	(+0.7%)	(+1.0%)	(0%)	(+0.3%)	(+0.1%)
OffDook	127,777	2,204,856	156,556	2,348,200	180,833
OffPeak	(+2.1%)	(+2.0%)	(+1.2%)	(+3.8%)	(+0.6%)
NI: or lo 4	34,602	653,724	42,389	779,927	54,079
Night	(+6.5%)	(+5.4%)	(+5.1%)	(+7.0%)	(+5.9 %)
Total	379,168	6,879,297	446,342	9,287,277	587,087
Total	(+1.7%)	(+1.7%)	(+0.9%)	(+1.7%)	(+0.7%)

2007	Rider Use	in 2007 (Cha	nge from 200	6)	Performa	ince Measu	res
	Annual Rides	Annual Passenger Miles	Annual Fare Revenue	Rides / Rev. Hr.	Fare Rev / Op. Exp	Psgr. Miles / RevHr	Psgr. Miles/ PlatMi
Doolso	7,113,174	58,374,283	\$7,090,591	32.81	16.4%	269	9.5
Peaks	(+13.7%)	(+13.2%)	(+7.1%)	(+12.8%)	(+1.3%)	(+12.3%)	(+13.1%)
OffDook	3,390,315	19,054,804	\$2,325,756	26.53	11.8%	149	8.1
OffPeak	(+13.0%)	(+10.3%)	(+7.6%)	(+10.6%)	(+0.9%)	(+7.9%)	(+6.1%)
Nimbt	814,264	4,780,420	\$558,585	23.53	9.0%	138	6.1
Night	(+18.1%)	(+14.1%)	(+12.5%)	(+10.8%)	(+0.5%)	(+6.9%)	(+6.1%)
Tatal	11,317,753	82,209,508	\$9,974,933	29.85	14.4%	217	8.9
Total	(+13.8%)	(+12.6%)	(+7.5%)	(+11.9%)	(+0.9%)	(+10.7%)	(+10.7%)

Overall, system performance increased substantially in the East Subarea. Rides, passenger miles and fare revenue all increased by large amounts. As a result, the rides and miles performance measures went up significantly. Unfortunately the cost to operate service increased substantially which offset the increased fare revenue in the Fare Revenue/Operating Expense ratio. These measures indicate that riders in the East Subarea are using the bus more than ever at all times of the day.

## EAST SUBAREA -- NUMBER OF ROUTES ABOVE STRONG/BELOW MINIMUM PERFORMANCE THRESHOLDS

		Nu	mber of Route	es in 2007 (Ch	ange from 20	06)
	2007	Rides / Rev. Hr.	Fare Rev / Op. Exp	Psgr. Miles / RevHr	Psgr. Miles/ PlatMi	Route Effectiveness
Peaks	Above Strong	14 (+5)	12 (+3)	12 (+4)	10 (+1)	8 (+2)
	Below Minimum	8 (-2)	8 (+1)	6 (-2)	7 (-2)	7 (-3)
Off Peak	Above Strong	8 (+4)	2 (0)	4 (0)	6 (+1)	7 (+2)
	Below Minimum	5 (0)	0 (0)	0 (-1)	1 (-1)	3 (-1)
Night	Above Strong	7 1111	2 (0)	2 (0)	4 (-1)	4 (+2)
	Below Minimum	2 (0)	2 (0)	1 (-1)	3 (0)	2 (0)

With the strong growth in ridership in the East Subarea, there was a general trend of more routes above the strong performance threshold and fewer routes below the minimum performance threshold. Of the routes which showed up frequently in the Below Minimum categories in 2007, two of them (Routes 220 and 254) were discontinued in February 2008. Resources from the discontinued routes were redistributed to other new and existing routes in the East Subarea. It is expected that the redistributed resources will be much more productive.

#### SOUTH SUBAREA PERFORMANCE MEASURES

		Service Delive	red in 2007 (C	hange from 200	6)
2007	Annual Revenue Hours	Annual Revenue Miles	Annual Trips	Annual Platform Miles	Annual Platform Hours
Doolso	260,732	5,055,392	319,156	7,382,167	410,696
Peaks	(-2.1%)	(-0.2%)	(+0.2%)	(-1.5%)	(-1.2%)
OffDook	201,638	3,766,230	268,585	4,041,913	285,279
OffPeak	(+3.2%)	(+6.4%)	(+3.4%)	(+6.0%)	(+3.4%)
Nimbt	79,544	1,546,045	110,796	1,912,828	124,450
Night	(+2.7%)	(+2.7%)	(+3.0%)	(+3.1%)	(+2.8%)
Total	541,914	10,367,668	698,537	13,336,908	820,425
Total	(+0.5%)	(+2.5%)	(+1.9%)	(+1.3%)	(+1.0%)

	Rider Use i	n 2007 (Chang	e from 2006)	Performance Measures			
2007	Annual Rides	Annual Passenger Miles	Annual Fare Revenue	Rides / Rev. Hr.	Fare Rev / Op. Exp	Psgr. Miles / RevHr	Psgr. Miles/ PlatMi
Doolso	11,789,962	98,613,856	\$11,376,386	45.22	21.7%	378	13.4
Peaks	(+9.2%)	(+5.9%)	(+3.6%)	(+11.6%)	(-0.8%)	(+8.1%)	(+7.8%)
OffDook	9,349,276	67,485,543	\$6,415,236	46.4	19.3%	335	16.7
OffPeak	(+10.3%)	(+8.8%)	(+5.1%)	(+6.9%)	(-4.1%)	(+5.5%)	(+2.7%)
Niaht	2,868,284	22,703,536	\$1,967,643	36.1	13.2%	285	11.9
Night	(+9.4%)	(+8.1%)	(+4.3%)	(+6.7%	(-3.1%)	(+5.1%)	(+5.1%)
Total	24,007,522	188,802,934	\$19,759,266	44.3	19.6%	348	14.2
Total	(+9.7%)	(+7.2%)	(+4.1%)	(+9.1%)	(-2.5%)	(+6.5%)	(+6.1%)

Much like in the East Subarea, the South experienced significant growth in transit use. This was achieved even though there was a slight contraction of service delivered in the peak (due to savings from reopening of the Downtown Transit Tunnel). Unlike in the East Subarea, the increase in fare revenue was not enough to offset the large increase in operating cost, so fare recovery dropped by 2.5% in the South Subarea even though ridership grew by almost 10%. The increase in fares in 2008 should help increase fare recovery.

#### SOUTH SUBAREA -- NUMBER OF ROUTES ABOVE STRONG/BELOW MINIMUM PERFORMANCE THRESHOLDS

		Nu	mber of Route	es in 2007 (Ch	ange from 20	06)
	2007	Rides / Rev. Hr.	Fare Rev / Op. Exp	Psgr. Miles / RevHr	Psgr. Miles/ PlatMi	Route Effectiveness
Peaks	Above Strong	22 (+8)	14 (-1)	15 (+5)	15 (+1)	19 (+3)
	Below Minimum	5 (-1)	7 (-1)	2 (-2)	7 (-1)	5 (-1)
Off Peak	Above Strong	8 (+2)	5 (0)	6 (0)	7 (0)	10 (+3)
	Below Minimum	5 (0)	5 (0)	4 (0)	4 (-1)	6 (+1)
Night	Above Strong	1111141	7 (0)	5 (0)	6 (0)	8 (-1)
	Below Minimum	2 (0)	1 (-1)	1 (-1)	1 (-1)	2 (-1)

On a route-by-route basis, 26 route performance measures moved into the above strong performance category. Complementing that was the fact that 11 route performance measures moved out of the below minimum category. The peak period showed the strongest improvement in route performance measures. Peak period routes with the weakest performance tend to be ones either serving declining employment centers (Routes 154 and 173) or lacking a major park-and-ride to supply riders (Routes 175 and 179). During the off peak, the weakest routes are ones operating in rural areas such as Vashon Island (Routes 118 and 119), or areas with poor pedestrian access to bus stops such as Maple Valley and Covington (Routes 149 and 912).

#### **WEST SUBAREA PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

		Service Delive	red in 2007 (C	hange from 200	6)
2007	Annual Revenue Hours	Annual Revenue Miles	Annual Trips	Annual Platform Miles	Annual Platform Hours
Doolso	524,182	6,611,512	825,994	8,864,730	784,686
Peaks	(-0.2%)	(+0.6%)	(-0.3%)	(+0.1%)	(-0.4%)
OffDook	536,340	6,734,902	901,775	7,134,900	768,575
OffPeak	(-0.9%)	(-0.6%)	(-1.8%)	(-0.8%)	(-1.5%)
Nimbi	212,939	2,995,853	417,360	3,390,173	333,224
Night	(+2.1%)	(+2.1%)	(+0.8%)	(+1.7%)	(+1.6%)
Total	1,273,461	16,342,267	2,145,129	19,389,804	1,886,485
Total	(-0.2%)	(+0.4%)	(-0.7%)	(0%)	(-0.5%)

	Rider Use i	n 2007 (Chang	e from 2006)	Р	erformanc	e Measur	es
2007	Annual Rides	Annual Passenger Miles	Annual Fare Revenue	Rides / Rev. Hr.	Fare Rev / Op. Exp	Psgr. Miles / RevHr	Psgr. Miles/ PlatMi
Doolso	32,956,616	118,344,784	\$28,339,062	62.9	30.8%	226	13.4
Peaks	(+5.0%)	(+6.5%)	(+0.4%)	(+5.3%)	(-4.5%)	(+6.8%)	(+6.7%)
OffDools	31,711,838	103,324,739	\$21,764,297	59.1	25.1%	193	14.5
OffPeak	(+3.1%)	(+5.8%)	(-1.3%)	(+4.1%)	(-4.9%)	(+7.0%)	(+6.8%)
Nicolot	8,063,910	27,293,516	\$5,531,842	37.9	14.5%	128	8.1
Night	(+5.3%)	(+5.4%)	(+0.3%)	(+3.2%)	(-5.6%)	(+3.1%)	(+4.3%)
Total	72,732,364	248,963,039	\$55,635,201	57.1	25.7%	196	12.8
Total	(+4.2%)	(+6.1%)	(-0.3%)	(+4.4%	(-4.7%)	(+6.5%)	(+6.0%)

The West Subarea showed a decline in overall service delivered between 2006 and 2007 due to savings from reopening of the Downtown Transit Tunnel (Sound Transit was paying for increased costs due to Tunnel closure). This did not negatively impact rider use or route performance. In fact, categories of rider use and miles travelled showed increases. The fare revenue in the West Subarea actually fell 0.3% even though rides increased by 4.2%. The impact of the rising costs to operate service and the declining fare revenue produced a major decline of 4.7% in fare revenue to operating cost.

## WEST SUBAREA -- NUMBER OF ROUTES ABOVE STRONG/BELOW MINIMUM PERFORMANCE THRESHOLDS

		Nu	mber of Rout	es in 2007 (Ch	ange from 20	06)
	2007	Rides / Rev. Hr.	Fare Rev / Op. Exp	Psgr. Miles / RevHr	Psgr. Miles/ PlatMi	Route Effectiveness
Peaks	Above Strong	43 (+11)	27 (-6)	31 (+11)	38 (+14)	34 (+5)
	Below Minimum	10 (-1)	8 (0)	7 (-3)	11 (+1)	11 (-1)
Off Peak	Above Strong	20 (+2)	17 (-6)	25 (+7)	21 (+8)	27 (+4)
	Below Minimum	10 (0)	10 (+3)	7 (-5)	8 (-1)	9 (0)
Night	Above Strong	18 (+2)	17 (0)	14 (+1)	13 (+2)	19 (+1)
	Below Minimum	8 (-1)	7 (+2)	7 (-3)	8 (+1)	7 (-1)

With the exception of the fare revenue/operating cost measure, many routes improved their performance measures. Almost 70 route level performance measures moved into the above strong performance category while another 16 route level performance measures improved out of the below minimum performance category. One conclusion that can clearly be reached is that there is a large imbalance in the number routes above strong performance compared with routes below minimum performance. A comparison to 2005, when there was almost an equal number of strong and weak routes, shows that there are a declining number of opportunities to improve the strong routes by reducing or eliminating the weak routes.

#### **Abbreviations Used in the Route Performance Tables**

**Production Subarea**: Although some routes are now characterized differently for the allocation of new hours of service, routes were originally assigned to subareas according to where the majority of morning boardings occurred – the "production" subarea. In the Route Performance Report, each route is reported in only one subarea, and the same subarea is used as in prior years.

**Guide Time:** time periods defined for route evaluation

**Peak** 5:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. weekdays

Offpeak 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. weekdays; 5:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. weekends

**Night** 7:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. all days

Part: (Route Part)

N north route segmentS south route segmentE east route segmentW west route segment

**Type:** (Route Type)

**ALT** alternate routing **EX** express routing

NT special routing for late night or very early morning

**SH** shuttle routing

**SHAL** alternate shuttle routing

**SHTB** turnback routing on a shuttle trip

**TB** turnback routing

**TEX** turnback routing on an express trip

#### **Exceptions:**

**CUST** Custom bus routes are cost supported by private business or schools for regular commuters

**DART** Dial-A-Ride Routes provide flexible routing available by request

**PART** Partnership or Grant funded routes - routes partially supported by other organizations or grants

**SCH** Routes or special trips that serve public secondary or private schools cost usually shared with the school district or private school

**n.a.** Not applicable. The marginal operating cost ratio is available on request for the exception routes.

## **2007 Annual Route Performance Report**

## **EAST Planning Subarea**

Prepared by
King County Metro Transit
Service Development Section:
Service Planning Group
Scheduling Group

**June 2008** 

Prod Subarea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
	2007 PEAK	- EAST I	PRODU	CTIO	N SUB	AREA					
EAST						hreshold (Fall 2005)	39.8	23%	421	12.4	3.7
EAST		Less thai	n minimur	n perfo	ormance t	hreshold (Fall 2005)	12.9	6%	44	2.4	-3.7
EAST		Peak	212			Eastgate	98.1	32%	933	18.3	13.5
EAST		Peak	218			Issaquah	73.3	22%	1303	21.2	13.1
EAST		Peak	229			Overlake	68.1	32%	653	19.8	10.1
EAST		Peak	312		EX	U of W - Bothell	62.5	25%	607	17.9	8.2
EAST		Peak	306		EX	Kenmore	55.1	23%	528	15.9	6.6
EAST		Peak	253	_		Bear Creek P&R	47.9	28%	161	10.5	3.5
EAST		Peak	230	E		Redmond P&R	47.7	25%	160	8.5	2.8
EAST		Peak	230	W	ТВ	Kirkland	46.6	21%	73	3.6	0.7
EAST		Peak	225			Overlake	44.9	26%	462	14.4	5.5
EAST		Peak	255			Kingsgate	44.8	28%	422	16.8	5.9
EAST		Peak	204 245			Mercer Island	43.6 41.8	25%	123 172	5.7	1.6
EAST EAST		Peak Peak	230	W		Kirkland	41.8	24% 23%	172	10.3 7.6	2.6 1.8
EAST		Peak	203	VV		Kingsgate P&R Mercer Island	40.0	19%	74	2.8	-0.2
EAST		Peak	271			Issaquah P&R	38.7	<b>26%</b>	258	12.6	3.6
EAST		Peak	271		ТВ	Bellevue TC	37.8	20%	233	10.3	2.2
EAST		Peak	252			Kingsgate P&R	36.7	17%	488	12.6	3.6
EAST		Peak	268			E Lake Sammamish	36.1	16%	478	11.1	3.1
EAST		Peak	261			Overlake P&R	35.8	19%	280	10.2	2.2
EAST		Peak	240			Bellevue	35.0	21%	184	11.1	2.0
EAST		Peak	214		ТВ	Issaquah	33.8	14%	423	9.1	1.9
EAST		Peak	216			Sammamish	33.3	16%	567	15.6	4.3
EAST		Peak	272			Eastgate P&R	32.5	15%	269	9.2	1.2
EAST		Peak	205		EX	Mercer Island	30.9	16%	176	5.9	0.0
EAST		Peak	257			Kingsgate P&R	29.9	14%	393	10.9	1.9
EAST		Peak	202			Mercer Island	29.4	13%	183	5.5	-0.5
EAST		Peak	311			Woodinville P&R	29.0	12%	504	11.3	2.2
EAST		Peak	266			Bear Creek P&R	28.6	12%	295	8.1	0.4
EAST		Peak	942		EX	Eastgate P&R	26.7	12%	257	5.7	-0.4
EAST		Peak	237			Woodinville	26.7	8%	282	5.6	-0.8
EAST		Peak	265			Redmond P&R	26.6	12%	283	7.0	0.0
EAST		Peak	233			Bellevue	25.8	14%	114	6.7	-0.8
EAST		Peak	260			Juanita	25.2	13%	348	8.8	8.0
EAST		Peak	250			Redmond P&R	23.7	12%	238	6.6	-0.6
EAST		Peak	342			Bothell	23.3	8%	241	5.7	-1.2
EAST		Peak	214			North Bend	23.2	9% 13%	344	6.9	-0.3
EAST EAST		Peak Peak	222 234			Overlake	21.4 21.2	13% 12%	78 122	4.8 6.4	-1.8 -1.4
EAST		Peak	234 238			Northshore P&R Bothell	21.2 19.8	10%	82	6.4 3.8	-1. <del>4</del> -2.5
EAST		Peak	236			Woodinville	19.8	11%	o∠ 74	3.6	-2.5 -2.5
EAST		Peak	210			Issaquah	19.6	9%	173	4.3	-2.5 -2.0
EAST		Peak	277			Juanita	19.6	10%	165	5.1	-2.0 -1.8
EAST		Peak	202		SH	Mercer Island	19.4	7%	40	0.9	-3.7
EAST		Peak	232		<b>J</b>	Duvall	19.3	8%	152	4.4	-2.3

Prod Subarea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
EAST		Peak	644			Kenmore	17.0	6%	174	4.4	-2.6
EAST		Peak	249			Redmond P&R	15.5	9%	58	2.9	-3.2
EAST		Peak	251			North Creek	13.7	8%	88	3.9	-3.2
EAST		Peak	254		SH	Redmond	13.0	6%	51	2.4	-3.9
EAST		Peak	247			Overlake P&R	12.5	5%	93	2.6	-3.8
EAST		Peak	921			Eastgate P&R	12.4	8%	42	2.0	-3.9
EAST		Peak	269			E Lake Sammamish	12.4	5%	100	3.8	-3.5
EAST		Peak	209			North Bend	10.1	5%	120	3.9	-3.6
EAST		Peak	220			Redmond P&R	8.8	5%	36	1.8	-4.5
EAST		Peak	201			Mercer Island	8.6	5%	35	1.3	-4.8
EAST		Peak	929			North Bend	3.1	2%	37	1.1	-5.6
EAST		Peak	922			Carnation	2.3	1%	21	0.3	-6.0
EAST		a	verage	2007	PEAK	- EAST	30.6	15%	257	7.75	0.6

2	2007 OFF-PEAK - EAST PRODUCTION	SUBAREA					
EAST	Meets or exceeds strong performance	threshold (Fall 2005)	30.2	18%	159	8.7	3.3
EAST	Less than minimum performance	10.3	3%	38	2.1	-3.3	
EAST	OffPeak 253	Bear Creek P&R	50.5	24%	151	10.8	7.3
EAST	OffPeak 213	Mercer Island	42.7	29%	89	4.6	4.3
EAST	OffPeak 230 E	Redmond P&R	42.1	17%	160	9.0	5.1
EAST	OffPeak 245	Kirkland	33.2	14%	157	9.3	3.9
EAST	OffPeak 255	Kingsgate	32.5	13%	326	13.8	7.8
EAST	OffPeak 271	Issaquah P&R	32.0	15%	245	13.9	6.8
EAST	OffPeak 240	Bellevue	31.0	14%	197	11.9	5.1
EAST	OffPeak 230 W	Kingsgate P&R	30.8	14%	112	8.0	2.6
EAST	OffPeak 203	Mercer Island	23.1	15%	52	2.7	-0.6
EAST	OffPeak 222	Overlake	21.3	10%	85	4.8	-0.4
EAST	OffPeak 234	Northshore P&R	20.9	10%	123	6.9	0.8
EAST	OffPeak 204	Mercer Island	19.4	9%	72	3.7	-1.2
EAST	OffPeak 233	Bellevue	19.4	9%	100	6.2	0.0
EAST	OffPeak 238	Bothell	18.0	8%	79	4.0	-1.3
EAST	OffPeak 236	Woodinville	16.0	7%	70	3.7	-1.8
EAST	OffPeak 249	Redmond P&R	13.1	6%	68	4.0	-2.2
EAST	OffPeak 209	North Bend	10.1	4%	129	4.0	-1.7
EAST	OffPeak 251	North Creek	9.8	5%	66	3.2	-3.0
EAST	OffPeak 921	Eastgate P&R	9.5	5%	49	2.8	-3.5
EAST	OffPeak 254 SH	Redmond	8.3	3%	38	2.0	-4.1
EAST	OffPeak 220	Redmond P&R	8.2	5%	51	2.9	-3.5
EAST	average 2007 MIDD	AY - EAST	23.4	11%	115	6.28	1.0

Prod Subarea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
	2007 NIGH	T - EAST	PRODU	JCTIC	N SUE	BAREA					
EAST	Me	eets or exce	eds stron	g perfo	rmance t	hreshold (Fall 2005)	29.7	12%	186	7.2	3.5
EAST		Less thai	n minimur	n perfo	rmance t	threshold (Fall 2005)	8.3	3%	37	2.2	-3.5
EAST		Night	253			Bear Creek P&R	57.5	24%	183	9.5	10.3
EAST		Night	230	Ε		Redmond P&R	35.9	14%	144	6.9	4.4
EAST		Night	230	W		Kingsgate P&R	26.1	10%	97	5.3	1.4
EAST		Night	271			Issaquah P&R	25.0	10%	173	7.2	2.9
EAST		Night	255			Kingsgate	22.9	8%	241	10.1	4.5
EAST		Night	245			Kirkland	22.5	9%	91	4.3	0.2
EAST		Night	240			Bellevue	22.0	9%	139	6.5	1.7
EAST		Night	280			Bellevue TC	21.0	7%	355	9.9	5.5
EAST		Night	222			Overlake	15.9	7%	71	3.7	-1.4
EAST		Night	236			Woodinville	11.4	4%	55	1.9	-3.4
EAST		Night	234			Northshore P&R	11.2	4%	74	3.1	-2.6
EAST		Night	238			Bothell	7.7	3%	45	1.9	-4.1
EAST		Night	254		SH	Redmond	5.8	2%	31	1.1	-4.9
EAST		a	verage	2007	NIGHT	- EAST	21.9	8%	131	5.50	1.1

	2007 EAST	PRODUC	TION	SUBAREA E	XCEPTION ROU	TES - NO	T EVAL	UATED		
EAST	PART	Peak	200		Issaquah	12.8	n.a.	34	1.8	
EAST	SCL	Peak	206		Newport Hills	71.3	n.a.	296	11.8	
EAST	SCL	Peak	207		Newport Hills	65.5	n.a.	193	8.4	
EAST	SCL	Peak	208		Newport Hills	59.3	n.a.	220	10.0	
EAST	SCL	Peak	219		Newcastle	10.4	n.a.	28	1.1	
EAST	PART	Peak	291	DART	Redmond	11.4	n.a.	40	3.4	
EAST	PART	Peak	630	EX	Kingsgate	43.1	n.a.	209	5.1	
EAST	SCL	Peak	885		Bellevue	28.8	n.a.	81	3.6	
EAST	SCL	Peak	886		Clyde Hill	57.0	n.a.	73	6.4	
EAST	SCL	Peak	888		Eastgate	54.1	n.a.	248	10.8	
EAST	SCL	Peak	889		Bellevue	45.8	n.a.	130	5.8	
EAST	SCL	Peak	890		Eastgate	31.0	n.a.	144	5.3	
EAST	SCL	Peak	891		Mercer Island	46.3	n.a.	197	6.4	
EAST	SCL	Peak	892		Mercer Island	87.1	n.a.	268	9.0	
EAST	DART	Peak	926	DART	Crossroads	12.0	n.a.	38	2.5	
EAST	DART	Peak	927	DART	E Lake Sammamish	8.8	n.a.	56	3.3	
EAST	DART	Peak	935	DART	Juanita	7.7	n.a.	41	2.3	
EAST	SCL	Peak	986	CUST	Kirkland	51.8	n.a.	418	12.5	
EAST	SCL	Peak	989	CUST	Eastgate	42.2	n.a.	530	13.8	
EAST	SCL	Peak	997	CUST	Bellevue	26.1	n.a.	193	6.5	
EAST	regular	route ave	rage:	2007 East P	eak	30.6		257	7.75	
EAGE	DADT	l O((D)1			I I	440	I	44	0.0	
EAST	PART	OffPeak	200		Issaquah	14.0	n.a.	44	3.3	
EAST	DART	OffPeak	926		Crossroads	11.1	n.a.	34	2.3	
EAST	DART	OffPeak	927		E Lake Sammamish	7.3	n.a.	47	2.6	
EAST	DART	OffPeak	935			6.2	n.a.	33	1.8	
EAST	regular	route ave	rage:	2007 East O	ottPeak	23.4		115.2	6.3	

## **2007 Annual Route Performance Report**

## **SOUTH Planning Subarea**

Prepared by
King County Metro Transit
Service Development Section:
Service Planning Group
Scheduling Group

**June 2008** 

Prod Subar ea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
	2007 PEAK	- SOUTH	I PR∩Γ	NICTI	ON SU	ΒΔΡΕΔ					
SOUTH						threshold (Fall 2005)	44.3	25%	503	14.5	3.1
SOUTH				• .		threshold (Fall 2005)	24.7	12%	113	5.3	-3.1
SOUTH		Peak	105	-		Renton Highlands	75.5	37%	153	9.64	6.2
SOUTH		Peak	164			Kent	72.3	34%	296	13.64	6.9
SOUTH		Peak	106			Renton	66.9	34%	396	19.23	8.2
SOUTH		Peak	169			Kent P&R,TC	65.9	35%	251	14.15	6.3
SOUTH		Peak	168			Timberlane	62.2	31%	269	11.95	5.0
SOUTH		Peak	101		ТВ	Renton CBD	61.5	33%	619	23.33	9.5
SOUTH		Peak	120			Burien	61.4	30%	354	17.65	6.5
SOUTH		Peak	174			Federal Way P&R,T0	60.8	33%	423	19.77	7.6
SOUTH		Peak	101			Fairwood	56.2	33%	643	22.71	9.0
SOUTH		Peak	118			Vashon	56.1	21%	201	6.52	1.2
SOUTH		Peak	166		TD	Kent P&R,TC	52.9	30%	202	10.42	3.2
SOUTH		Peak Peak	150 180		ТВ	Kent Auburn	52.1 49.9	29% 26%	<b>567</b> 215	<b>22.70</b> 10.47	<b>7.5</b> 2.4
SOUTH SOUTH		Peak	113			Shorewood	49.9 49.9	18%	361	10.47	2.4
SOUTH		Peak	125		ТВ	White Center	49.9 48.2	25%	268	12.78	2.8
SOUTH		Peak	123		10	Highline CC	48.2	24%	451	16.36	4.4
SOUTH		Peak	121			Highline CC	48.0	22%	472	14.86	3.8
SOUTH		Peak	194		ТВ	SeaTac	47.1	24%	419	13.31	3.4
SOUTH		Peak	941		EX	Star Lake P&R	46.4	20%	686	15.50	4.6
SOUTH		Peak	132		TB	Burien	45.4	26%	284	13.25	2.9
SOUTH		Peak	131		ТВ	Burien	44.7	22%	288	11.51	1.8
SOUTH		Peak	111			Renton	44.5	21%	577	16.72	4.3
SOUTH		Peak	107			Renton	44.0	29%	140	7.84	1.2
SOUTH		Peak	131			Highline CC	43.7	25%	234	12.90	2.1
SOUTH		Peak	181			Green River CC	43.6	23%	204	9.77	1.1
SOUTH		Peak	143		EX	Black Diamond	43.2	22%	692	21.05	5.8
SOUTH		Peak	132			Highline CC	42.8	24%	252	11.92	1.9
SOUTH		Peak	153			Kent	42.7	23%	124	6.12	-0.2
SOUTH		Peak	125			Shorewood	40.9	20%	248	9.96	0.5
SOUTH		Peak	140			Burien	40.8	22%	172	9.55	0.4
SOUTH		Peak	177			Federal Way	40.6	18%	667	15.55	3.5
SOUTH		Peak	194			Federal Way	40.2	20%	562	17.33	3.7
SOUTH		Peak	158		ΓV	Lk Meridi/E Kent P&F	39.4	16%	621	16.18	3.1
SOUTH		Peak	116		EX	Fauntleroy	38.7	15%	260	10.19	-0.3
SOUTH		Peak	187 183			Federal Way	38.4 38.1	22% 18%	134 172	6.21 7.04	-0.8 -1.0
SOUTH SOUTH		Peak Peak	119		SH	Kent Vashon	36.1 37.5	18% 16%	152	4.97	-1.0 -2.0
SOUTH		Peak	162		J11	Kent	36.9	13%	626	12.14	1.5
SOUTH		Peak	118		ТВ	Vashon	36.1	13%	136	4.72	-2.7
SOUTH		Peak	197			Federal Way	35.9	14%	724	14.64	2.5
SOUTH		Peak	121		ТВ	Burien	35.8	19%	260	9.90	-0.1
SOUTH		Peak	114		-	Renton	35.5	17%	417	11.93	0.9
SOUTH		Peak	148			Fairwood	35.3	19%	131	7.23	-1.4
SOUTH		Peak	159			Kent P&R,TC	35.0	15%	468	12.36	0.9

Prod Subar ea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
			100			1		100/	100	4.40	
SOUTH		Peak	182			Federal Way	34.7	13%	133	4.18	-2.9
SOUTH		Peak	190			Star Lake P&R	34.0	14%	541	11.12	0.6
SOUTH		Peak	192			Federal Way	33.6	14%	539	11.10	0.6
SOUTH		Peak	133			Burien TC	33.6	15%	378	11.16	0.0
SOUTH		Peak	191			Star Lake P&R	33.3	15%	386	9.27	-0.4
SOUTH		Peak	139			Gregory Heights	31.5	18%	62	4.07	-3.0
SOUTH		Peak	167			Auburn P&R	31.2	16%	436	12.27	0.4
SOUTH		Peak	134			Burien TC	30.8	14%	203	9.25	-1.7
SOUTH		Peak	152			Auburn	30.5	13%	513	11.06	0.0
SOUTH		Peak	161			Kent	30.3	15%	367	10.97	-0.4
SOUTH		Peak	155			Fairwood	29.2	14%	115	5.80	-3.2
SOUTH		Peak	118		EX	Vashon	29.2	16%	201	10.17	-1.4
SOUTH		Peak	123		EX	Burien	28.9	20%	266	12.50	-0.1
SOUTH		Peak	915			Enumclaw	27.4	10%	175	4.41	-3.9
SOUTH		Peak	196			Federal Way S P&R	27.0	9%	454	8.17	-1.9
SOUTH		Peak	170			McMicken Heights	26.6	14%	227	7.08	-2.5
SOUTH		Peak	119		EX	Vashon	26.5	18%	255	15.07	-0.1
SOUTH		Peak	173			Federal Way P&R,T0	24.6	8%	279	5.78	-3.7
SOUTH		Peak	179			Federal Way	24.0	9%	534	10.10	-1.3
SOUTH		Peak	154			Auburn	22.8	8%	218	4.92	-4.4
SOUTH		Peak	175			Federal Way P&R,T0	21.1	10%	342	8.15	-2.9
SOUTH		Peak	149			Black Diamond	7.6	3%	66	1.79	-8.2
SOUTH		a١	/erage	2007	PEAK	- SOUTH	40.9	20%	341	11.44	1.4

	2007 OFFP	EAK - SOL	JTH PRO	DUCTIO	N SUBAREA					
SOUTH	N	leets or excee	eds strong p	erformance	e threshold (Fall 2005)	49.2	24%	358	17.6	3.5
SOUTH		Less than	minimum p	erformance	e threshold (Fall 2005)	22.1	9%	61	3.4	-3.5
SOUTH		OffPeak	164		Kent	88.4	35%	416	22.26	9.5
SOUTH		OffPeak	105		Renton Highlands	68.5	27%	179	11.22	3.8
SOUTH		OffPeak	168		Timberlane	66.2	24%	343	15.31	4.9
SOUTH		OffPeak	169		Kent P&R,TC	64.8	29%	296	17.44	5.5
SOUTH		OffPeak	174		Federal Way P&R,T0	63.9	27%	471	25.26	7.4
SOUTH		OffPeak	120		Burien	59.5	23%	343	19.31	4.8
SOUTH		OffPeak	106		Renton	55.5	24%	355	21.46	5.0
SOUTH		OffPeak	101	TB	Renton CBD	55.2	20%	571	26.03	6.5
SOUTH		OffPeak	194		Federal Way	49.2	19%	822	29.72	8.1
SOUTH		OffPeak	166		Kent P&R,TC	48.7	23%	228	12.80	2.4
SOUTH		OffPeak	194	TB	SeaTac	48.0	17%	480	16.92	3.6
SOUTH		OffPeak	132	TB	Burien	43.7	19%	294	13.40	1.9
SOUTH		OffPeak	107		Renton	42.7	20%	164	9.36	0.5
SOUTH		OffPeak	125		Shorewood	39.8	17%	252	12.09	0.9
SOUTH		OffPeak	180		Auburn	39.7	18%	204	10.33	0.4
SOUTH		OffPeak	140		Burien	39.7	17%	186	11.06	0.4
SOUTH		OffPeak	181		Green River CC	39.4	17%	192	10.57	0.3
SOUTH		OffPeak	150	TB	Kent	38.4	15%	451	21.13	3.2

Prod Subar ea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
SOUTH		OffPeak	132			Highline CC	37.5	18%	263	13.64	1.1
SOUTH		OffPeak	187			Federal Way	36.7	19%	158	8.33	-0.2
SOUTH		OffPeak	131			Highline CC	36.4	18%	242	13.07	0.8
SOUTH		OffPeak	183			Kent	34.8	14%	186	10.45	-0.5
SOUTH		OffPeak	148			Fairwood	34.7	16%	151	8.70	-0.8
SOUTH		OffPeak	182			Federal Way	34.2	11%	137	5.15	-2.1
SOUTH		OffPeak	139			Gregory Heights	28.8	13%	58	3.85	-3.0
SOUTH		OffPeak	155			Fairwood	28.0	12%	120	7.38	-2.3
SOUTH		OffPeak	915			Enumclaw	22.3	8%	147	4.04	-3.6
SOUTH		OffPeak	118			Vashon	12.3	4%	53	1.76	-5.8
SOUTH		OffPeak	912			Covington	10.9	4%	136	4.19	-5.0
SOUTH		OffPeak	119		SH	Vashon	10.5	3%	43	1.29	-6.1
SOUTH		OffPeak	118		ТВ	Vashon	9.9	3%	37	1.17	-6.3
SOUTH		OffPeak	149			Black Diamond	9.6	3%	75	2.32	-5.9
SOUTH		av	/erage	2007	OFFPE	EAK - SOUTH	40.6	17%	252	12.22	0.9

	2007 NIGHT	- SOUTH	l PRODUC	TION S	UBAREA					
SOUTH	Me	ets or exce	eds strong pe	rformance	threshold (Fall 2005)	35.0	14%	287	11.2	3.4
SOUTH		Less thar	n minimum pe	erformance	e threshold (Fall 2005)	19.8	7%	63	3.0	-3.4
SOUTH		Night	169		Kent P&R,TC	53.0	19%	228	10.28	7.0
SOUTH		Night	120		Burien	50.3	17%	336	15.36	8.2
SOUTH		Night	140		Burien	45.6	17%	220	10.33	5.3
SOUTH		Night	174		Federal Way P&R,TC	44.5	17%	455	19.22	9.4
SOUTH		Night	164		Kent	41.7	14%	162	7.74	2.9
SOUTH		Night	105		Renton Highlands	37.8	13%	87	4.30	0.5
SOUTH		Night	106		Renton	37.2	15%	240	12.56	4.2
SOUTH		Night	168		Timberlane	37.2	12%	149	4.96	0.7
SOUTH		Night	101	TB	Renton CBD	37.1	12%	384	15.58	5.5
SOUTH		Night	194		Federal Way	36.7	13%	616	18.77	8.5
SOUTH		Night	180	TB	Auburn	34.8	12%	140	5.21	0.4
SOUTH		Night	166		Kent P&R,TC	33.4	14%	111	4.95	0.5
SOUTH		Night	150	TB	Kent	32.4	12%	430	17.45	5.7
SOUTH		Night	125	NT	Shorewood	29.5	16%	219	10.43	2.8
SOUTH		Night	181		Green River CC	29.1	10%	121	4.13	-1.4
SOUTH		Night	125		Shorewood	28.2	9%	204	5.83	-0.6
SOUTH		Night	148		Fairwood	25.9	9%	104	5.31	-1.8
SOUTH		Night	187		Federal Way	23.2	9%	78	3.02	-2.9
SOUTH		Night	107		Renton	22.8	8%	68	3.09	-3.3
SOUTH		Night	131		Highline CC	21.5	8%	164	6.65	-1.7
SOUTH		Night	132		Highline CC	20.6	8%	173	7.70	-1.5
SOUTH		Night	125	TB	White Center	18.1	6%	105	5.36	-3.6
SOUTH		Night	139		Gregory Heights	18.0	7%	41	2.23	-4.7
SOUTH		av	erage 200	7 NIGHT	r - South	33.0	12%	210	8.7	1.7

	2007 SOUT	H PRODL	ICTION S	SUBAREA EXCEPTION RO	UTES - NO	OT EVAL	UATED		
SOUTH	PART	Peak	110	Renton	23.3	n.a.	39	1.57	

Prod	Exceptions						Rides	Fare Rev. /	Pass. Miles /	Pass. Miles/	"Route Effective-
Subar	to Route	Guide			Key	0	/Rev.	Ор.Ехр	Rev.	Plat.	ness"
ea	Evaluation	time	Route	Part	Type	Origin	Hour	Ratio	Hour	Miles	Sum
		Ť									
SOUTH	DART	Peak	901			Dash Point	27.9	n.a.	49	3.83	
SOUTH	DART	Peak	903			South Campus	28.2	n.a.	99	5.06	
SOUTH	DART	Peak	908			Renton Highlands	13.3	n.a.	25	1.74	
SOUTH	DART	Peak	909		DART		13.2	n.a.	33	2.16	
SOUTH	DART	Peak	917		DART	Algona	22.7	n.a.	75	3.92	
SOUTH	DART	Peak	918		DART	Kent	29.2	n.a.	49	3.20	
SOUTH	CUST	Peak	952		CUST	Auburn P&R	21.7	n.a.	566	10.58	
SOUTH	regular	route ave	rage:	2007	SOUTI	H PEAK	40.9		341	11.4	
SOUTH	DART	OffPeak	901		DART	Dash Point	24.7	n.a.	42	3.21	
SOUTH	DART	OffPeak	903		DART	South Campus	25.6	n.a.	90	4.48	
SOUTH	DART	OffPeak	908		DART	Renton Highlands	11.3	n.a.	21	1.47	
SOUTH	DART	OffPeak	909		DART	Renton	11.8	n.a.	29	1.94	
SOUTH	PART	OffPeak	914		DART	Kent	17.3	n.a.	75	5.63	
SOUTH	PART	OffPeak	916		DART	Kent	15.9	n.a.	78	6.12	
SOUTH	DART	OffPeak	917		DART	Algona	23.2	n.a.	71	3.51	
SOUTH	DART	OffPeak	919		DART		17.6	n.a.	43	2.69	
SOUTH	regular	route ave	rage:	2007	SOUTI	H OFFPEAK	40.6		252	12.2	-
	<del>_</del>		<del>_</del>								
SOUTH	DART	Night	901		DART	Dash Point	24.5	n.a.	43	2.93	
SOUTH	DART	Night	903		DART	South Campus	25.4	n.a.	89	4.41	
SOUTH	regular		rage:	2007	SOUT	H NIGHT	33.0		210	8.7	-

## **2007 Annual Route Performance Report**

## **WEST (or NORTH) Planning Subarea**

Prepared by
King County Metro Transit
Service Development Section:
Service Planning Group
Scheduling Group

**June 2008** 

Prod	Exceptions to Route	Guide			Key		Rides /Rev.	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp	Pass. Miles / Rev.	Pass. Miles/ Plat.	"Route Effective- ness"
Subarea	Evaluation	time	Route	Part	Type	Origin	Hour	Ratio	Hour	Miles	Sum
	2007 PEAK										
WEST	Λ			0,		threshold (Fall 2005)	72.1	37%	298	14.5	3.0
WEST	1			um per	formance	threshold (Fall 2005)	33.9	15%	89	6.5	-3.0
WEST		Peak	1			Kinnear	107.5	53%	138	13.3	5.4
WEST		Peak	15			Blue Ridge	105.7	49%	276	15.6	6.9
WEST		Peak		N		East Queen Anne	97.8	53%	121	12.5	4.6
WEST		Peak		N		North Queen Anne	97.2	51%	112	12.0	4.2
WEST		Peak		N	TD	West Queen Anne	96.7	49%	121	13.0	4.3
WEST		Peak	15		ТВ	Ballard	94.3	50%	273	15.5	6.3
WEST		Peak	68			Northgate TC	90.4	49%	173	11.3	4.0
WEST		Peak		S		Madrona	89.2	46%	119	12.1	3.3
WEST		Peak Peak	18 48	NI.	TD	North Beach	88.2	<b>45%</b>	224	11.9	4.2
WEST			40 41	N	TB TB	Ravenna	87.9	15% 30%	122 <b>642</b>	2.0 19.9	-2.0 <b>8.8</b>
WEST		Peak Peak		s	TB	Northgate P&R First Hill	87.6 87.0	41%	97	14.6	3.2
WEST WEST		Peak	ა 18	3	EX	North Beach	86.9	34%	418	16.7	6.2
WEST		Peak	56		EX	Alki	86.8	29%	453	17.7	6.3
WEST		Peak	13			Seattle Pacific U.	86.7	48%	115	12.5	3.4
WEST		Peak	15		EX		85.9	31%	<b>399</b>	15.5	5.4
WEST		Peak	12		TB	Blue Ridge First Hill	85.2	40%	86	11.5	2.1
WEST		Peak	8		TB	Capitol Hill	85.0	38%	106	7.5	1.2
WEST		Peak	28		TB	Whittier Heights	84.0	36%	244	10.3	2.9
WEST		Peak	48	9	10	Rainier Beach	83.7	44%	226	13.9	4.4
WEST		Peak		N	EX	West Queen Anne	83.2	30%	174	8.5	1.2
WEST		Peak		S		Judkins Park	82.5	40%	115	12.5	2.6
WEST		Peak	72	•	EX	Lake City	81.5	45%	359	25.1	8.5
WEST		Peak	73		TEX	Roosevelt	81.4	35%	343	19.4	6.0
WEST		Peak	11			Madison Park	81.3	43%	134	10.4	2.4
WEST		Peak	49			U. District	81.2	37%	185	21.9	5.2
WEST		Peak	18		ТВ	Crown Hill	81.1	37%	208	14.4	3.5
WEST		Peak	372		TEX	Kenmore	80.9	28%	310	9.5	2.5
WEST		Peak	10			Capitol Hill	79.8	42%	107	12.5	2.5
WEST		Peak	48	S	ALT	Columbia City	79.1	45%	170	11.9	3.1
WEST		Peak	26		<b>-</b> •	East Green Lake	79.1	40%	192	12.1	3.0
WEST		Peak	12			Interlaken Park	78.5	41%	96	11.1	1.8
WEST		Peak	24		ТВ	Central Magnolia	78.5	39%	268	15.7	4.5
WEST		Peak	74		EX	Sand Point	77.5	32%	352	15.2	4.5
WEST		Peak		S	=	Madrona	77.3	38%	100	11.2	1.6
WEST		Peak	5		EX	Greenwood	76.7	33%	347	16.8	4.9
WEST		Peak	36		ТВ	Beacon Hill	75.7	38%	202	17.4	4.1
WEST		Peak	14			Summit	74.4	34%	88	10.9	1.0
WEST		Peak	73		EX	Jackson Park	74.4	41%	328	22.0	6.6
WEST		Peak	26		EX	East Green Lake	74.1	32%	308	15.8	4.1
WEST		Peak	48			Loyal Heights	73.8	35%	164	9.8	1.4
WEST		Peak	54		EX	Fauntleroy	73.2	23%	472	14.5	4.4
WEST		Peak	71		EX	Wedgwood	72.2	37%	312	19.8	5.4
WEST		Peak	44			Ballard	71.4	33%	149	15.2	2.3
WEST		Peak	358		EX	Aurora Village	70.1	40%	390	23.5	7.3

Prod Subarea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
0 0.10 0.11 0 0.1					.,,,,,	Jg					
WEST		Peak	24			Central Magnolia	68.8	38%	206	12.4	2.4
WEST		Peak		N	NT	East Queen Anne	68.0	35%	107	9.8	0.6
WEST		Peak	8			Mount Baker	67.2	36%	127	9.4	0.7
WEST		Peak	42		ТВ	Rainier Beach	66.9	32%	202	11.9	1.7
WEST		Peak	43			U. District	65.6	32%	144	16.1	2.1
WEST		Peak	28			Broadview	65.5	32%	206	11.1	1.4
WEST		Peak	301		EX	Shoreline	64.2	33%	754	21.4	9.3
WEST		Peak	21		EX	Arbor Heights	64.0	25%	414	16.5	4.1
WEST		Peak	5		-/	Shoreline CC	63.8	30%	265	13.6	2.4
WEST		Peak	48	N	EX	Loyal Heights	63.8	26%	222	10.7	0.8
WEST		Peak	65	••		Lake City	63.2	34%	158	10.4	0.9
WEST		Peak	7		EX	Rainier Beach	62.9	25%	259	11.3	1.2
WEST		Peak	36		-/\	Rainier Beach	62.8	31%	212	13.8	1.9
WEST		Peak	41			Lake City	62.8	28%	438	21.2	5.7
WEST		Peak	33			Discovery Park	62.7	28%	229	11.0	1.2
WEST		Peak	75			Northgate	62.5	34%	210	13.7	2.2
WEST		Peak	17		EX	Loyal Heights	62.5	25%	338	14.9	2.8
WEST		Peak	28		EX	Broadview	62.3	27%	374	15.5	3.5
WEST		Peak	9		EX	Rainier Ave	61.6	29%	194	10.4	0.6
WEST		Peak	56			Alki	61.2	29%	185	9.6	0.4
WEST		Peak	67			North Seattle	61.1	30%	160	10.5	0.4
WEST		Peak	27			Colman Park	61.0	33%	107	8.1	-0.4
WEST		Peak	60			White Center	59.9	35%	172	13.1	1.6
WEST		Peak	42		EX	Rainier View	59.9	27%	280	14.8	2.3
WEST		Peak	7		TB	Rainier Beach	59.5	27%	189	18.0	2.3
WEST		Peak	14	S		Mount Baker	58.6	31%	114	11.3	0.1
WEST		Peak	5		ALT	Northgate TC	58.2	30%	236	14.3	2.0
WEST		Peak	19		ALI	West Magnolia	57.6	26%	194	9.6	0.0
WEST		Peak	70			U. District	57.3	30%	125	14.7	0.9
WEST		Peak	31			Magnolia	55.9	26%	167	8.4	-0.7
WEST		Peak	75		ТВ	Lake City	55.0	27%	162	8.2	-0.7
WEST		Peak	74			Sand Point	54.9	29%	177	10.6	0.7
WEST		Peak	55			Admiral District	54.6	23%	303	15.2	2.0
WEST		Peak	32		EX	Rainier Beach	54.5	27%	267	13.9	1.7
WEST		Peak	7			Rainier Beach	54.1	25%	180	16.3	1.3
WEST		Peak	54			Fauntleroy	53.8	24%	318	16.4	2.5
WEST		Peak	76			Wedgwood	53.6	21%	310	12.8	1.2
WEST		Peak	128			Admiral District	53.0	31%	228	12.9	1.4
WEST		Peak	64		EX	Lake City	51.9	25%	287	13.6	1.5
WEST		Peak	66		EX	Northgate	51.8	31%	191	16.6	1.8
WEST		Peak	17			Loyal Heights	51.3	29%	180	11.1	0.1
WEST		Peak	372		EX	Woodinville P&R	50.7	26%	316	14.7	2.1
WEST		Peak	23			White Center	50.6	29%	236	14.6	1.5
WEST		Peak	42			Rainier View	50.3	28%	206	13.0	0.8
WEST		Peak	16			Northgate TC	50.2	28%	167	11.8	0.0
WEST		Peak	373		EX	Aurora Village TC	48.8	21%	238	10.4	-0.3
WEST		Peak	77		EX	North City	48.7	21%	389	15.1	2.4
WEST		Peak	316			Shoreline	48.5	23%	288	12.1	0.7

Prod Subarea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
WEST		Peak	21			Arbor Heights	48.4	24%	222	11.7	0.1
WEST		Peak	346			Aurora Village	47.3	30%	174	10.2	-0.2
WEST		Peak	303		EX	Shoreline	46.5	22%	427	15.5	2.8
WEST		Peak	73			Jackson Park	46.4	17%	178	6.6	-2.3
WEST		Peak	57			W. Seattle Junction	45.9	23%	227	11.0	-0.2
WEST		Peak	45		EX	Queen Anne	45.5	15%	145	5.8	-3.0
WEST		Peak	355		EX	Shoreline CC	44.8	18%	308	10.8	0.0
WEST		Peak	34		EX	Rainier Beach	42.9	17%	198	8.0	-2.0
WEST		Peak	38			SODO	42.4	25%	64	4.6	-3.4
WEST		Peak	22			White Center	41.4	23%	163	9.9	-1.3
WEST		Peak	72			Lake City	40.9	18%	151	7.1	-2.6
WEST		Peak	330			Lake City	40.5	16%	94	4.5	-4.0
WEST		Peak	348			Richmond Beach	38.4	26%	112	7.3	-2.4
WEST		Peak	304			Shoreline	38.3	17%	431	14.3	1.7
WEST		Peak	39			Rainier Beach	37.6	19%	150	8.6	-2.4
WEST		Peak	46			Shilshole	37.4	14%	110	4.1	-4.3
WEST		Peak	347			Mountlake Terrace	37.2	26%	141	9.3	-1.7
WEST		Peak	217			Seattle CBD	37.1	18%	378	14.9	1.3
WEST		Peak	345			Shoreline	36.1	26%	137	10.4	-1.5
WEST		Peak	308			Lake Forest Park	36.0	18%	393	13.6	1.0
WEST		Peak	79		EX	Lake City	35.2	15%	190	7.6	-2.7
WEST		Peak	242			North Seattle	34.7	17%	389	11.6	0.4
WEST		Peak	25			Laurelhurst	30.7	19%	95	7.4	-3.5
WEST		Peak	256			Seattle CBD	30.6	19%	264	10.4	-1.2
WEST		Peak	243			Jackson Park	29.4	15%	231	6.1	-3.0
WEST		Peak	37		EX	Admiral District	29.2	13%	213	8.3	-2.8
WEST		Peak	331			Kenmore	28.5	15%	114	6.7	-4.0
WEST		Peak	51			West Seattle	28.5	14%	49	2.9	-5.7
WEST		Peak	35			Seattle CBD	19.2	9%	84	4.6	-5.8
WEST		Peak	53			Admiral District	17.9	9%	54	2.9	-6.6
WEST		Peak	301			Shoreline	15.5	8%	157	6.5	-4.9
WEST		Peak	126			Rainier Beach	10.9	6%	43	2.0	-7.6
WEST		a	verage	2007	PEAK	- WEST	61.6	30%	223	12.2	1.5

								Fare	Pass.	Pass.	"Route
	Exceptions						Rides	Rev./	Miles /	Miles/	Effective-
Prod	to Route	Guide			Key		/Rev.	Ор.Ехр	Rev.	Plat.	ness"
Subarea	Evaluation	time	Route	Part	Type	Origin	Hour	Ratio	Hour	Miles	Sum
									•		•

20	07 OFFPEAK - WEST PRODUCTION	SUBAREA					
WEST	Meets or exceeds strong performance		72.9	32%	207	15.9	3.3
WEST	Less than minimum performance	threshold (Fall 2005)	30.7	13%	87	6.5	-3.3
WEST	OffPeak 4 N	East Queen Anne	107.9	42%	117	11.7	4.3
WEST	OffPeak 1	Kinnear	107.2	40%	135	12.6	4.5
WEST	OffPeak 3 N	North Queen Anne	103.1	43%	107	11.3	3.8
WEST	OffPeak 2 N	West Queen Anne	99.5	38%	137	14.2	4.3
WEST	OffPeak 13	Seattle Pacific U.	95.1	39%	136	14.2	4.2
WEST	OffPeak 3 S TB	First Hill	94.6	39%	107	16.0	4.1
WEST	OffPeak 11	Madison Park	93.3	42%	150	13.5	4.4
WEST	OffPeak 10	Capitol Hill	90.9	38%	130	15.3	4.0
WEST	OffPeak 36 TB	Beacon Hill	87.7	35%	235	21.0	6.5
WEST	OffPeak 68	Northgate TC	86.8	38%	194	14.1	4.6
WEST	OffPeak 15	Blue Ridge	83.9	38%	250	17.5	6.2
WEST	OffPeak 18 TB	Crown Hill	82.5	36%	206	14.3	4.5
WEST	OffPeak 4 S	Judkins Park	80.7	32%	124	14.7	2.7
WEST		North Seattle	77.6	34%	231	20.1	5.7
WEST	OffPeak 3 S	Madrona	76.9	28%	104	12.2	1.2
WEST		North Beach	76.8	38%	208	15.0	4.5
WEST		Madrona	76.2	32%	119	12.2	1.8
WEST		Rainier Beach	75.3	34%	208	13.6	3.8
WEST	OffPeak <b>7 TB</b>	Rainier Beach	73.2	27%	231	22.0	5.1
WEST	OffPeak 12	Interlaken Park	73.1	28%	103	12.1	1.0
WEST	OffPeak 49	U. District	70.9	26%	159	18.3	2.9
WEST	OffPeak 48 S ALT	Columbia City	70.7	32%	155	11.3	2.0
WEST	OffPeak 12 TB	First Hill	70.5	33%	80	12.8	1.2
WEST		Mount Baker	69.8	30%	138	13.7	1.9
WEST	OffPeak 14 N	Summit	69.7	22%	86	10.2	-0.4
WEST	OffPeak <b>72 EX</b>	Lake City	69.7	32%	316	23.4	7.2
WEST	OffPeak 358 EX	Aurora Village	69.0	30%	400	26.7	9.1
WEST		East Queen Anne	68.6	30%	122	11.1	1.1
WEST		East Green Lake	68.1	31%	171	12.5	2.3
WEST	OffPeak 8 TB	Capitol Hill	67.6	26%	87	7.5	-0.7
WEST	OffPeak 48 N	Loyal Heights	66.2	29%	148	10.3	1.2
WEST	OffPeak 73 TEX	Roosevelt	65.9	26%	281	17.5	4.6
WEST	OffPeak 73 EX	Jackson Park	64.6	30%	291	20.5	5.7
WEST		Rainier Ave	64.6	25%	234	15.8	3.3
WEST		Ballard	64.2	24%	139	14.4	1.3
WEST		White Center	61.8	31%	178	14.6	2.5
WEST		Rainier Beach	61.7	27%	235	18.2	3.8
WEST	OffPeak 71 EX	Wedgwood	61.0	29%	267	20.2	5.0
WEST	OffPeak 43	U. District	61.0	23%	144	15.4	1.4
WEST	OffPeak 65	Lake City	58.8	25%	162	12.5	1.1
WEST		Mount Baker	58.3	24%	109	8.4	-0.8
WEST		Broadview	58.0	26%	191	11.7	1.5
WEST		Mount Baker	57.8	26%	131	8.9	-0.2
WEST	OffPeak <b>7</b>	Rainier Beach	57.3	22%	194	17.1	2.3

Prod Subarea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
\\\=0=	<u> </u>	OffDeel	75			la de la	L 50.0	070/	000	400	
WEST		OffPeak	75			Northgate	56.8	27%	222	16.3	3.0
WEST		OffPeak	5			Shoreline CC	56.4	25%	260	16.0	3.4
WEST		OffPeak	72 72			Lake City	55.9	27%	225	16.8	3.1
WEST		OffPeak	73		TD	Jackson Park	53.0	25%	220	15.1	2.3
WEST		OffPeak	42		ТВ	Rainier Beach	52.9	19%	180	13.3	0.7
WEST		OffPeak	41			Lake City	52.8	22%	378	21.7	6.0
WEST		OffPeak	42			Rainier View	52.0	24%	216	14.8	2.1
WEST		OffPeak	128			Admiral District	49.9	23%	260	16.3	2.9
WEST		OffPeak	42		NT	Rainier View	49.5	32%	237	17.4	3.6
WEST		OffPeak	372		EX	Woodinville P&R	49.4	19%	327	18.7	4.1
WEST		OffPeak	74			Sand Point	47.3	19%	146	10.0	-0.8
WEST		OffPeak	54			Fauntleroy	47.0	20%	315	17.6	3.7
WEST		OffPeak	24			Central Magnolia	46.4	20%	153	9.0	-0.9
WEST		OffPeak	27			Colman Park	46.1	18%	91	7.7	-2.4
WEST		OffPeak	5		ALT	Northgate TC	45.5	20%	201	13.7	0.9
WEST		OffPeak	16			Northgate TC	44.9	21%	152	11.6	-0.3
WEST		OffPeak	71			Wedgwood	44.7	21%	185	14.2	0.8
WEST		OffPeak	346			Aurora Village	44.1	18%	182	10.7	-0.4
WEST		OffPeak	70			U. District	42.7	16%	105	11.6	-1.7
WEST		OffPeak	56			Alki	42.7	19%	190	11.8	0.1
WEST		OffPeak	21			Arbor Heights	40.7	18%	220	12.7	0.6
WEST		OffPeak	66		EX	Northgate	40.5	18%	162	13.4	-0.3
WEST		OffPeak	55			Admiral District	39.4	16%	222	12.7	0.3
WEST		OffPeak	60		TB	Georgetown	39.2	17%	79	6.6	-3.3
WEST		OffPeak	348			Richmond Beach	39.2	18%	148	9.4	-1.4
WEST		OffPeak	74		TB	Sand Point	38.6	16%	118	7.4	-2.6
WEST		OffPeak	128		TB	West Seattle	37.9	14%	158	8.3	-2.0
WEST		OffPeak	345			Shoreline	37.2	22%	164	12.0	-0.3
WEST		OffPeak	17			Loyal Heights	36.2	18%	144	9.9	-1.5
WEST		OffPeak	347			Mountlake Terrace	35.4	16%	142	9.1	-2.0
WEST		OffPeak	22			White Center	33.4	15%	173	11.9	-1.0
WEST		OffPeak	31			Magnolia	33.3	14%	127	8.2	-2.8
WEST		OffPeak	23			White Center	32.4	15%	157	9.8	-1.8
WEST		OffPeak	39			Rainier Beach	31.6	14%	152	9.5	-2.1
WEST		OffPeak	28		SH	Broadview	30.9	11%	132	5.8	-3.6
WEST		OffPeak	331			Kenmore	30.3	15%	140	8.5	-2.5
WEST		OffPeak	33			Discovery Park	29.0	13%	121	7.8	-3.2
WEST		OffPeak	51			West Seattle	28.3	11%	53	3.0	-5.7
WEST		OffPeak	38			SODO	26.0	12%	40	3.0	-5.8
WEST		OffPeak	10		SH	Capitol Hill	24.7	2%	17	0.3	-7.8
WEST		OffPeak	75		TN	Northgate	24.0	10%	89	4.4	-5.0
WEST		OffPeak	25			Laurelhurst	20.5	10%	90	7.3	-4.5
WEST		OffPeak	74		SH	Sand Point	15.2	5%	30	1.6	-7.5
WEST		OffPeak	53			Admiral District	14.3	6%	52	3.3	-6.7
WEST		OffPeak	37			Admiral District	9.0	3%	48	2.2	-7.6
WEST				2007	OFFPE	AK - WEST	56.7	24%	167	12.6	1.0

2007 NIGHT - WEST PRODUCTION SUBAREA

Prod Subarea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
WEST	Λ.	leets or exc	eeds stro	na ner	formance	threshold (Fall 2005)	44.6	18%	150	9.2	3.4
WEST	10			• .		threshold (Fall 2005)	20.4	7%	53	3.4	-3.4
WEST		Night	13			Seattle Pacific U.	62.2	24%	81	7.6	4.7
WEST		Night	10			Capitol Hill	61.0	20%	78	7.2	3.6
WEST		Night		N		West Queen Anne	60.2	24%	80	7.4	4.5
WEST		Night	49			U. District	60.0	22%	136	13.7	7.4
WEST		Night	44			Ballard	57.2	19%	105	8.7	4.3
WEST		Night	8		ТВ	Capitol Hill	55.6	20%	83	6.9	3.1
WEST		Night	11			Madison Park	55.0	24%	93	7.2	4.2
WEST		Night	15		ТВ	Ballard	53.8	20%	131	8.0	4.3
WEST		Night	7			Rainier Beach	53.4	22%	208	14.3	8.4
WEST		Night	15			Blue Ridge	51.7	24%	169	10.3	6.5
WEST		Night	358		EX	Aurora Village	51.4	20%	322	18.3	11.7
WEST		Night	48	N		Loyal Heights	50.1	20%	125	7.7	3.8
WEST		Night	72			Lake City	48.9	20%	199	13.1	7.2
WEST		Night	48	S	TB	Mount Baker	48.7	19%	119	7.4	3.3
WEST		Night	7		TB	Rainier Beach	45.4	15%	155	11.5	4.5
WEST		Night	73			Jackson Park	45.3	20%	189	12.3	6.3
WEST		Night	18			North Beach	45.2	23%	148	8.8	4.9
WEST		Night	14	N		Summit	45.0	11%	55	5.5	-0.5
WEST		Night	4	N	NT	East Queen Anne	43.5	21%	64	5.8	1.7
WEST		Night	55		SH	Admiral District	43.2	12%	62	2.9	-1.2
WEST		Night	26			East Green Lake	42.2	17%	109	7.1	2.0
WEST		Night	43			U. District	41.7	17%	110	10.5	3.2
WEST		Night	67			North Seattle	41.6	16%	106	7.6	1.9
WEST		Night		N		East Queen Anne	41.3	14%	45	4.0	-1.0
WEST		Night	36			Rainier Beach	40.3	17%	162	10.3	4.0
WEST		Night		S		Madrona	39.3	14%	59	5.6	-0.3
WEST		Night		S		Judkins Park	39.2	14%	65	6.3	0.0
WEST		Night		S		Madrona	38.7	14%	60	5.6	-0.3
WEST		Night	14	S		Mount Baker	38.7	13%	75	5.7	-0.1
WEST		Night	74		ТВ	Sand Point	37.4	15%	112	7.2	1.4
WEST		Night	5			Shoreline CC	37.0	14%	170	8.1	2.7
WEST		Night	42		NT	Rainier View	34.6	18%	178	10.3	4.2
WEST		Night	372		EX	Woodinville P&R	34.4	9%	172	5.9	0.9
WEST		Night	41			Lake City	33.9	12%	248	13.6	5.6
WEST		Night	75			Northgate	33.3	14%	124	7.4	1.1
WEST		Night	18		TB	Crown Hill	32.9	11%	93	5.8	-0.6
WEST		Night	71			Wedgwood	32.7	14%	130	8.9	1.7
WEST		Night	65			Lake City	32.1	12%	79	5.2	-1.0
WEST		Night	83			U. District	30.4	12%	186	9.0	2.3
WEST		Night	54			Fauntleroy	30.2	12%	195	9.3	2.6
WEST		Night	60			White Center	30.1	13%	92	5.7	-0.6
WEST		Night	75		TN	Northgate	30.0	11%	109	6.1	-0.4
WEST		Night	12		<b></b>	Interlaken Park	29.7	10%	39	3.9	-2.8
WEST		Night	66		EX	Northgate	29.1	12%	128	8.2	0.8
WEST		Night	85		TD	West Seattle	28.8	12%	238	10.9	3.9
WEST		Night	42		TB	Rainier Beach	28.2	11%	105	6.7	-0.4

Prod Subarea	Exceptions to Route Evaluation	Guide time	Route	Part	Key Type	Origin	Rides /Rev. Hour	Fare Rev. / Op.Exp Ratio	Pass. Miles / Rev. Hour	Pass. Miles/ Plat. Miles	"Route Effective- ness" Sum
WEST		Night	347			Mountlake Terrace	27.0	10%	101	5.3	-1.3
WEST		Night	27			Colman Park	26.8	11%	62	4.4	-2.3
WEST		Night	56			Alki	26.6	9%	133	6.1	-2.5 -0.6
WEST		Night	81			Ballard	26.5	10%	135	4.9	-0.8
WEST		Night	16			Northgate TC	26.4	10%	110	6.8	-0.6
WEST		Night	346			Aurora Village	26.1	8%	99	4.9	-2.0
WEST		Night	128			Admiral District	25.9	11%	106	5.4	-1.1
WEST		Night	70			U. District	24.5	10%	49	4.5	-2.9
WEST		Night	21			Arbor Heights	24.3	9%	135	6.6	-0.5
WEST		Night	348			Richmond Beach	23.5	9%	85	5.0	-2.2
WEST		Night	24			Central Magnolia	21.7	9%	79	4.4	-2.7
WEST		Night	82			East Green Lake	21.3	8%	119	5.4	-1.7
WEST		Night	17			Loyal Heights	21.1	9%	88	5.0	-2.4
WEST		Night	345			Shoreline	21.1	9%	81	5.4	-2.4
WEST		Night	23			White Center	19.6	7%	108	5.5	-2.2
WEST		Night	74		SH	Sand Point	19.3	7%	42	2.1	-4.9
WEST		Night	28		SH	Broadview	18.4	5%	73	2.7	-4.5
WEST		Night	33			Discovery Park	17.2	6%	67	3.0	-4.4
WEST		Night	1		SH	Kinnear	17.1	6%	28	2.0	-5.6
WEST		Night	331			Kenmore	14.6	6%	66	3.1	-4.6
WEST		Night	38			SODO	10.5	5%	18	1.1	-6.9
WEST		Night	84			Madison Park	7.1	3%	15	0.9	-7.6
WEST		a	verage	2007	NIGHT	- WEST	35.5	0.1	111.6	7.0	0.9

	2007 WEST	PRODUC	CTION SI	JBAREA E	EXCEPTION ROL	JTES - NO	T EVAL	UATED		
WEST	SH	Peak	7	SH	Rainier Beach	17.8	n.a.	37	2.1	
WEST	SH	Peak	36	SH	Rainier Beach	19.9	n.a.	43	2.4	
WEST	SH	Peak	43	SH	Capitol Hill	31.7	n.a.	59	5.0	
WEST	DH	Peak	600	EX	Seattle CBD	13.4	n.a.	151	5.2	
WEST	DH	Peak	981	CUST	North Seattle	13.6	n.a.	136	4.5	
WEST	SCL	Peak	982	CUST	Redmond	46.2	n.a.	560	13.5	
WEST	SCL	Peak	984	CUST	Wedgwood	25.3	n.a.	148	5.0	
WEST	SCL	Peak	987	CUST	Rainier Beach	34.3	n.a.	369	10.5	
WEST	SCL	Peak	988	CUST	Mount Baker	58.5	n.a.	356	11.9	
WEST	SCL	Peak	994	CUST	Queen Anne	25.8	n.a.	191	6.2	
WEST	SCL	Peak	995	CUST	Laurelhurst	25.4	n.a.	120	4.1	
WEST	regular	route ave	rage: 20	007 WEST	PEAK	61.6		223	12.2	

	2007 WEST	F PRODUC	TION SI	JBAREA I	<b>EXCEPTION ROU</b>	TES - NO	T EVAL	UATED		
WEST	SH	OffPeak	7	SH	Rainier Beach	42.7	n.a.	83	5.9	
WEST	SH	OffPeak	43	SH	Capitol Hill	32.6	n.a.	47	3.7	
WEST	SH	OffPeak	49	SH	U. District	16.1	n.a.	34	2.5	
WEST	regular	route aver	age: 20	07 WEST	OFF PEAK	56.7		167	12.6	

								Fare	Pass.	Pass.	"Route
	Exceptions						Rides	Rev./	Miles /	Miles/	Effective-
Prod	to Route	Guide			Key		/Rev.	Ор.Ехр	Rev.	Plat.	ness"
Subarea	Evaluation	time	Route	Part	Type	Origin	Hour	Ratio	Hour	Miles	Sum
			<u> </u>					•			•

2007 WEST PRODUCTION SUBAREA EXCEPTION ROUTES - NOT EVALUATED										
WEST	SH	Night	7	SH	Rainier Beach	21.1	n.a.	44	2.4	
WEST	SH	Night	36	SH	Rainier Beach	31.6	n.a.	46	2.3	
WEST	SH	Night	43	SH	Capitol Hill	27.0	n.a.	69	4.7	
WEST	SH	Night	49	SH	U. District	20.6	n.a.	38	2.6	
WEST	regular	route aver	age: 20	07 WEST	OFF PEAK	35.5		112	7.0	