

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT

FOR

RAGING RIVER ROCK QUARRY

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON



Project Manager:	Kevin Vanderzanden, PLS
Prepared by:	Stacia Bloom, PE
Date:	August 2016
Core No.:	10001R



14711 NE 29th Place, Suite 101
Bellevue, Washington 98007
Ph 425.885.7877
www.coredesigninc.com

RAGING RIVER

Table of Contents

SECTION 1: PROJECT OVERVIEW	1
SECTION 2: CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS SUMMARY	3
2.1 Core Requirements	3
2.1.1 Core Requirement #1: Discharge at the Natural Location	3
2.1.2 Core Requirement #2: Offsite Analysis	3
2.1.3 Core Requirement #3: Flow Control	3
2.1.4 Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System	3
2.1.5 Core Requirement #5: Erosion and Sediment Control	4
2.1.6 Core Requirement #6: Maintenance and Operations	4
2.1.7 Core Requirement #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability	4
2.1.8 Core Requirement #8: Water Quality	4
2.2 Special Requirements	4
2.2.1 Special Requirement #1: Other Adopted Requirements	4
2.2.2 Special Requirement #2: Flood Hazard Area Delineation	4
2.2.3 Special Requirement #3: Flood Protection Facilities	4

2.2.4 Special Requirement #4: Source Control	
4	
2.2.5 Special Requirement #5: Oil Control	
4	
SECTION 3: OFFSITE ANALYSIS	
5	
TASK 1 Study Area Definition and Maps	
5	
TASK 2 Resource Review	5
TASK 3 Field Investigation	6
TASK 4 Drainage System Description and Problem Description	9
TASK 5 Mitigation of Existing and Potential Problems	
9	
SECTION 4: FLOW CONTROL AND WATER QUALITY DESIGN	
16	
4.1 Performance Standards.....	
16	
4.2 Basin Modeling	
16	
4.2.1 Existing Conditions	
16	
4.2.2 Developed Conditions	
17	
4.3 Flow Control Modeling.....	20
4.4 Water Quality Calculations	
32	
SECTION 5: CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	
.....	
34	
SECTION 6: SPECIAL REPORTS AND STUDIES	
.....	
35	
SECTION 7: OTHER PERMITS.....	
36	
SECTION 8: ESC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	
37	
SECTION 9: BOND QUANTITIES, FACILITY SUMMARIES, AND DECLARATION OF COVENANT	39
SECTION 10: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
40	

Appendix A – Parcel & Basin Information

Appendix B – Resource Review & Off-site Analysis Documentation

Appendix C – Vault Sizing

Appendix D – Conveyance Calculations

Appendix E – Special Reports and Studies

Appendix F – Other Permits

Appendix A – Parcel & Basin Information

King County Parcel Report (2224079011, -9033, and -9035)

Appendix B – Resource Review & Off-site Analysis Documentation

FEMA Map (53033C0717 G)

USDA NRCS Site Soils Map

Sensitive Areas Map – King County iMap

Drainage Complaint Table

Raging River Impairments

Appendix C – Basin and Detention Modeling Documentation

KCRTS Hydrologic Soils Group Table (Table 3.2.2.B)

Rainfall Region & Regional Scale Factor (Figure 3.2.2.A)

Mean Annual Storm Precipitation (Figure 6.4.1.A)

KCRTS Input File

Appendix D – Conveyance Calculations

Sediment Pond Calculations: TESC

Appendix E – Special Reports and Studies

Geotechnical report by Riley Group

Appendix F – Other Permits

Grading Permit, 1998

SECTION 1: PROJECT OVERVIEW

The Raging River Rock Quarry project site is located off of Preston Fall City Road along the north side of the Raging River. The subject parcel numbers are 2224079011, 2224079033, and 2224079035 and have a total area of 50.23 acres, located off Preston Fall City Road. The site is bordered by single family residents or undeveloped lots. See Figure 1.1 at the end of this section for a vicinity map. The King County tax parcel ID number for the parcel involved is included in Table 1.1 below. (Refer to the King County parcel report included in Appendix A). *Table 1.1 King County Parcel ID*

KC Parcel#	Parcel Area (AC)
2224079011	20.21
2224079033	25.02
2224079035	5.00

The project site has identified two wetlands, one stream, associated buffers and is bounded by the Raging River, which flows northeast across the project boundary. The site slopes in a general easterly direction toward the river between 6% and 140%. The mined area is bowl shaped and any runoff sheet flows northeasterly and is intercepted by a series of infiltration ponds. The soils present on site are Alderwood and Kitsap, Oval gravelly loam, mixed alluvial, and Pilchuck loamy fines sand, all classified as "till" with a hydrologic class of "C" (see NRCSS Soils Map in Appendix B).

The project site is currently a mining operation, and has been since the 1930s. A portion of the grading permit area has been excavated under previous mining operations. This study is to examine the current needs for water quality, as well as to project the water quality needs for future operations.

The project will be designed using the guidelines and requirements established in the 2009 King County Surface Water Design Manual (2009 KCSWDM). The project will result in the addition of more than 7,000 sf of pervious surface, and as such, a Full Drainage Review is required, per Table 1.1.2.A of the 2009 KCSWDM.

The drainage analysis for infiltration pond sizing was modeled using the King County Runoff Time Series (KCRTS) software. The water quality facility sizing calculations are based on methods described in Chapter 6 of the 2009 KCSWDM.




Figure 1. 1 Vicinity Map

SECTION 2: CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS SUMMARY

Following the flowchart on Figure 1.1.2.A of the 2009 Surface Water Design Manual, it is determined that the proposed on-site project will result in the addition of more than 7,000 sf of pervious surface. Therefore, a Full Drainage Review is required, which triggers the analysis of each of the Core Requirement #1-#8 and all five Special Requirements #1-#5. For the purpose of this report, ensuring that the analysis is comprehensive and thorough, each Core Requirement and all Special Requirements are addressed below per Section 1.1 of the 2009 KCSWDM.

2.1 Core Requirements

2.1.1 Core Requirement #1: Discharge at the Natural Location

This project will match the natural discharge location. Subsurface infiltration will quantify all stormwater with any possible overflows directed toward the northeast. Stormwater will be conveyed using interceptors/wales with check dams, directed towards a train of sediment traps, settling ponds, and infiltration ponds to reduce the concentrated flows and encourage infiltration. The topography slopes southeasterly toward the Raging River.

2.1.2 Core Requirement #2: Offsite Analysis

This core requirement is addressed in Section 3 of this report. The Offsite Analysis of this project is determined to require a Level 2 (Tasks #1-#5) Offsite Analysis with a Conveyance System Nuisance Problem Type 1.

2.1.3 Core Requirement #3: Flow Control

The on-site infiltration ponds are designed for Conservation Flow Control (Level 2). The Conservation Flow Control Standard requires maintaining the duration of high flows at their pre-development levels for all flows greater than one-half of the 2-year peak flow through the 50-year peak flow. The pre-development peak flow rates for the 2-year and 10-year runoff events must also be maintained under this requirement. We have assumed historic site conditions as the pre-developed conditions.

2.1.4 Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System

The proposed conveyance system provides sufficient capacity for the 2-year, 15-minute storm event and the 10-year, 15-minute storm event for the sediment traps used to collect and store sediment from site. The conveyance calculations for the sediment traps are discussed in Section 5 and are included in Appendix D.

2.1.5 Core Requirement #5: Erosion and Sediment Control

The proposed erosion and sedimentation control BMPs have been designed to meet the requirements and design standards in Appendix D of the 2009 KCSWDM. See Section 8—ESC Analysis and Design.

2.1.6 Core Requirement #6: Maintenance and Operations

The Raging River Quarry will be responsible for the on-site maintenance and operation of the stormwater management systems.

2.1.7 Core Requirement #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability

This is a private operation with no proposed public improvements. A bond quantities worksheet is not applicable for this project.

2.1.8 Core Requirement #8: Water Quality

This project is outside the drainage basin of the sensitive lakes or sphagnum bog wetlands, therefore the Basic Water Quality menu is applied. The Basic Water Quality Menu standards are found in the 2009 KCSWDM. See Section 4 for further discussion.

2.2 Special Requirements

2.2.1 Special Requirement #1: Other Adopted Requirements

This is not applicable for this project. This project is not part of a CDA, MDP, BP, SCP, SWCP, FHRP, LMP, nor a SFDP.

2.2.2 Special Requirement #2: Flood Hazard Area Delineation

This is not applicable for this project. This project respects a 200-foot setback from the Raging River. The 200-foot setback protects any anticipated flood area hazards associated with the river. Please see the FEMA Firm map in the Appendix.

2.2.3 Special Requirement #3: Flood Protection Facilities

This is not applicable for this project. There are no flood protection facilities associated with the project's river frontage.

2.2.4 Special Requirement #4: Source Control

This is not applicable for this project. This project will not connect to any public storm systems.

2.2.5 Special Requirement #5: Oil Control

This is not applicable for this project. This project does not meet the thresholds as defined for a high-use site.

SECTION 3: OFFSITE ANALYSIS

The Snoqualmie Watershed Water Quality Synthesis Report, dated January 2009, describes the Raging River as: “a very dynamic river with a very active channel during high-flow events. The gradient is relatively steep and the slopes of the river valley are prone to landslides... The channel condition of the Raging River may have been influenced by a legacy of timber harvest practices with impacts to stream temperature. Landslides and bank erosion due to road building and other activities can alter the width and shape of the river channel...”

For the reasons explained above, with great detail outlined in the report, the project is determined to require a Level 2 Offsite Analysis (Tasks #1-#5) with a Conveyance System Nuisance Problem Type 1.

TASK 1 Study Area Definition and Maps

The proposed project contains parcel numbers 224079011, 224079033 & 224079035.

TASK 2 Resource Review

Basin Reconnaissance Summary Reports

In 2009, King County prepared a report to synthesize information about the water quality in the Snoqualmie Watershed. The Raging River Sub-basin has been identified as being impaired for high temperatures, fecal coliform, and high pH levels.

FEMA Maps

A FEMA map dated May 20, 1996 number 53033C0717G was reviewed. The developable site is not located within a floodplain as it is covered by “Zone X – Outside of 500-year floodplain”. The FEMA Map is included in Appendix B.

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey

The USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey covers the project site area and states that the area of interest is comprised of Alderwood and Kitsap, Oval gravelly loam, mixed alluvial, and Pilchuck loamy fine sand, all classified as “till” with a hydrologic class of “C.” The Soils Map exhibit is included in Appendix B.

Environmentally Sensitive Areas

King County lists this property within the erosion hazard, seismic hazard, and landslide hazard zones. The King County iMap exhibit is included in Appendix B.

Downstream Drainage Complaints

Drainage complaints were researched within the study area. King County lists nine complaints located within a quarter mile radius of the project site. However, each complaint has been closed within the County's reporting system. There are no current documented downstream problems associated with this project site. See Drainage Complaint Exhibit in Appendix B.

TASK 3 Field Investigation

A field investigation was completed on July 22, 2016.

Tributary Area

The Raging River Rock Quarry is 50.2 acres of the 20,000 acres of the Raging River Basin located in the Snoqualmie Watershed. The Rock Quarry contributes to 0.25% of the overall basin. The Rock Quarry slopes in the northeasterly direction, conveying most flows via subsurface infiltration from the ridge beyond the quarry down to the Raging River.

Upstream Tributary Analysis

The project site does not have a significant upstream tributary area. The extent of the basin is just beyond the property line to the northwest.

Field Investigation

The site is comprised of varying surface types and boundary conditions. The mine itself is bowl-shaped, situated in the north central region of the project site. There is a service road and vehicular bridge connecting the mining operation to Preston-Fall City Road, over the Raging River. The Raging River creates the easterly border of the site. The central and south central areas are predominately forested regions, yet to be mined. Along the northern boundary, the site contains reclaimed, or reforested, lands from previous mining operations. Nestled along the northwesterly border there are two wetlands that have been identified, flagged, and mapped. There is one identified stream (seasonal, non-fish bearing) on King County's iMap in the southern parcel. The stream course bisects the southern parcel, flowing easterly towards the Raging River. The topography generally slopes from the west to the east, consistent with the overall stormwater conveyance.

Downstream Tributary Analysis

Onsite the tributaries can be listed as: storm events, the two identified wetlands, and the seasonal stream. These tributaries extend across the property from the east to the west, conveying flows in a sub-surface manner towards the Raging River. The project site occupies 0.25% (less than 15%) of the Raging River Sub-Basin, therefore an assessment of a quarter-mile flowpath beyond the project site is required.

The following pages show photos of the upstream/downstream path.



Raging River Rock Quarry: The mining operation, looking at the bowl-like shape.



Culvert Conveyance: The mining operation conveying storm waters towards the settling/detention ponds.



Ponds A-C: Stormwater settling in the first of three ponds that parallel the drive aisle.



Pond D: Storm water settling in Pond D.



Pond E: Storm water detention Pond E.



Discharge after Pond H: Culvert discharge after the series of settling/detention ponds, directed towards the 200-foot river setback.



Raging River: A view looking north under the vehicle bridge along the Raging River, stabilized bank.



Raging River: A view looking south under the vehicle bridge along the Raging River, stabilized bank.

TASK 4 Drainage System Description and Problem Description

See the Resource Review & Offsite Analysis Documentation in Appendix B, and further explanation in Task 5, below.

TASK 5 Mitigation of Existing and Potential Problems

Downstream Drainage Problems Requiring Special Attention

Type 1 – Conveyance System Nuisance Problems

There is a conveyance system nuisance problem along the Raging River. As indicated in the Snoqualmie Watershed Water Quality Synthesis Report, “the Raging River is a very dynamic river with an active channel during high-flow events. The gradient is relatively steep and the slopes of the river are prone to landslides... Landslides and bank erosion due to road building and other activities can alter the width and shape of the river channel, resulting in a wider, shallower channel...”

Type 2 – Severe Erosion Problems

There are no known, reported or observed current downstream severe erosion problems. The Snoqualmie Watershed Water Quality Synthesis Report does indicate that the Raging River suffers from bank erosion due to road building and other activities, as discussed in Type 1.

Type 3 – Severe Flooding Problems

There are no known, reported or observed current downstream severe flooding problems.

Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention

The current EPA approved Water Quality Assessment 303(d) list for Washington State and the Snoqualmie Watershed Water Quality Synthesis Report (dated January 2009) were reviewed for each of the seven downstream water quality problem types to a distance of one mile downstream of the project site. The following discussion includes reference to the updated 303(d) list of impaired water bodies.

Type 1 – Bacteria Problems

The project site drains to Raging River, which is a category 4A for bacteria on the 303(d) list. A category 4A indicates that the water body has an approved total maximum daily load (TMDL) that is actively being implemented. The Synthesis Report listed fecal coliform in the Raging River as a basin of concern with minor failure to meet standards, in some cases localized problem only.

RAGING RIVER ROCK QUARRY

Listing ID: 16693			
Main Listing Information			
Listing ID: 16693	2014 Category: 4A		
Waterbody Name: RAGING RIVER	2012 Category: 1		
Medium: Water	2008 Category: 1		
Parameter: Bacteria	2004 Category: 1		
WQI Project: Snoqualmie River Watershed Multiparameter TMDL	On 1998 303(d) List?: N		
Designated Use: None Assigned	On 1996 303(d) List?: N		
Assessment Unit			
Assessment Unit ID: 17110010000209			
Location Identification			
Counties: King	WRIA: 7 - Snohomish		
Waterbody ID (WBID): None Assigned	Waterbody Class: RA		
Town/Range/Section (Legacy): 24N-7E-15			
Basis			
<p>Location ID: [T36200], [FCityXRR], [07Q070] – In water year 2005, 0 of 15 sample values (0%) showed an excursion of the % criterion for this waterbody (200 cfu/100mL). The geometric mean of 27.7 does not exceed the geometric mean criterion (100 cfu/100mL).</p> <p>Location ID: [T36200], [FCityXRR], [07Q070] – In water year 2004, 2 of 26 sample values (8%) showed an excursion of the % criterion for this waterbody (200 cfu/100mL). The geometric mean of 15 does not exceed the geometric mean criterion (100 cfu/100mL).</p> <p>Hallock (2004), Dept. of Ecology ambient station 07Q070 meets tested standards for fecal coliform.</p> <p>Location ID: [T36200], [FCityXRR], [07Q070] – In water year 2003, 1 of 9 sample values (11%) showed an excursion of the % criterion for this waterbody (200 cfu/100mL). The geometric mean of 68.4 does not exceed the geometric mean criterion (100 cfu/100mL).</p> <p>Location ID: [T36200], [FCityXRR], [07Q070] – In water year 2001, 1 of 9 sample values (11%) showed an excursion of the % criterion for this waterbody (200 cfu/100mL). The geometric mean of 23.3 does not exceed the geometric mean criterion (100 cfu/100mL).</p> <p>Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 07Q070 (Raging River at Fall City) shows a geometric mean of 23 does not exceed the criterion and that 10% of the samples does not exceed the percentile criterion from 10 samples collected during 2001.</p> <p>Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 07Q070 (Raging River at Fall City) shows a geometric mean of 10 does not exceed the criterion and that 0% of the samples does not exceed the percentile criterion from 3 samples collected during 2000.</p>			
Remarks			
Remark	Modified By	Modified On	Visibility
Combined Listing: Listing ID 45245 was rolled into this listing	Chad Brown	9/24/2015	Public
The TMDL set a load allocation downstream of the subject segment and requires implementation of the entire area to produce measured reductions that will allow the most downstream segment	Susan Braley	12/23/2014	Private

to meet the allocation. Therefore, this segment is associated with the TMDL load allocations and can be moved to Category 4A.			
This listing is part of the Snoqualmie River Watershed Multiparameter TMDL.	Susan Braley	12/23/2014	Public
Policy 1-11 was revised in July 2012 to specify that bacteria is assessed according to water year (Oct-Sept 30) from the previous assessment period of calendar year. the water water assessment is only applied to newly assessed data. Therefore, this listing contains data assessed by both water year and calendar year.	Jessica Archer	10/1/2014	Public
This listing contains E.coli data. E. coli is a subset of Fecal coliform bacteria therefore E.coli levels above the Fecal coliform standard can be used to infer an exceedance of this water quality standard.	Jessica Archer	10/1/2014	Public
Impairment was determined by exceedance of the percent criterion in water year(s) 2003 and 2001.	Jessica Archer	10/1/2014	Public
EIM			
User Study ID:	User Location ID:		
AMS001E	07Q070		
GONW0001	T36200		
GONW0001	FCityXRR		

Figure 3-3: Current Water Quality Conditions (Fecal Coliform Bacteria Levels)

Type 2 – Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Problems

Raging River is listed as a Category 2 on the impaired water body list for dissolved oxygen, not enough to require production of a water quality improvement (WQI) project at this time. The Synthesis Report does not list dissolve oxygen as an impairment nor a concern.

Listing ID: 10608			
Main Listing Information			
Listing ID: 10608	2014 Category: 2		
Waterbody Name: RAGING RIVER	2012 Category: 3		
Medium: Water	2008 Category: 3		
Parameter: Dissolved Oxygen	2004 Category: 1		
WQI Project: None Assigned	On 1998 303(d) List?: N		
Designated Use: None Assigned	On 1996 303(d) List?: N		
Assessment Unit			
Assessment Unit ID: 17110010000209			
Location Identification			
Counties: King	WRIA: 7 - Snohomish		
Waterbody ID (WBID): None Assigned	Waterbody Class: RA		
Town/Range/Section (Legacy): 24N-7E-15			
Basis			
Location ID: [T36200] – In 2005, 0 of 2 sample values (0%) showed an excursion of the criterion (9.5 mg/L) for this waterbody;			
Location ID: [T36200] – In 2004, 1 of 6 sample values (17%) showed an excursion of the criterion (9.5 mg/L) for this waterbody;			
Location ID: [T36200] – In 2003, 1 of 7 sample values (14%) showed an excursion of the criterion (9.5 mg/L) for this waterbody;			
Location ID: [07Q070] – In 2001, 0 of 9 sample values (0%) showed an excursion of the criterion (9.5 mg/L) for this waterbody;			
Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 07Q070 (Raging R @ Fall City) shows 0 excursions beyond the criterion out of 6 samples collected between 1993 - 2001 .			
Remarks			
Remark	Modified By	Modified On	Visibility
Fewer than three excursions exist from all data considered.	Jessica Archer	10/3/2014	Public
Historic Remarks: Critical temporal period not adequately captured to conclude non-impairment based on WQP Policy 1-11 (Sept 2006). -mh	Jessica Archer	10/3/2014	Public
EIM			
User Study ID:		User Location ID:	
AMS001E		07Q070	
GONW0001		T36200	

Figure 3-4: Current Water Quality Conditions (Dissolved Oxygen Levels)

Type 3 – Temperature Problems

Raging River is listed as a Category 5 or on the impaired water body list for temperature. A category 5 indicates that the waters require a TMDL, known as the 303(d) list. The Synthesis Report listed high temperatures in the Raging River as an impaired violation of state standards or failure to meet TMDL guidelines, as applicable.

Listing ID: 10607			
Main Listing Information			
Listing ID: 10607	2014 Category: 5		
Waterbody Name: RAGING RIVER	2012 Category: 3		
Medium: Water	2008 Category: 3		
Parameter: Temperature	2004 Category: 1		
WQI Project: Snoqualmie River Watershed Temperature TMDL	On 1998 303(d) List?: N		
Designated Use: None Assigned	On 1996 303(d) List?: N		
Assessment Unit			
Assessment Unit ID: 17110010000209			
Location Identification			
Counties: King	WRIA: 7 - Snohomish		
Waterbody ID (WBID): None Assigned	Waterbody Class: RA		
Town/Range/Section (Legacy): 24N-7E-15			
Basis			
Location ID: 07RAG02.6 – In 2006, between 6/16/2006 and 9/14/2006, the 7-day mean of daily maximum values (7DADmax) exceeded the criterion for this waterbody (16°C) on 81 of 91 days (89%); The maximum exceedance during this period was 22.67°C for the 7-day period centered on 7/24/2006 ; {Supplemental Spawning Period}: Location ID: 07RAG02.6 – In 2006, during the supplemental criteria period, the 7-day mean of daily maximum values (7DADmax) exceeded the criterion for this waterbody (13°C) on 17 of 41 days (41%); The maximum exceedance during this period was 14.81°C for the 7-day period centered on 9/26/2006 ; Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 07Q070 (Raging R @ Fall City) shows 0 excursions beyond the criterion out of 6 samples collected between 1993 - 2001 .			
Remarks			
Remark	Modified By	Modified On	Visibility
Historical Remarks: There is insufficient data to meet minimum requirements according to Policy 1-11. Unknown if critical temporal period adequately captured to conclude non-impairment based on WQP Policy 1-11. -mh	Nicholas Groebner	4/24/2014	Public
Supplemental Criteria apply from Sep 15 - Jun 15	Nicholas Groebner	4/24/2014	Public
There is insufficient data to meet minimum requirements according to Policy 1-11.	Ken Koch	6/22/2011	Public
Unknown if critical temporal period adequately captured to conclude non-impairment based on WQP Policy 1-11. -mh	Mike Herold	9/24/2007	Public
EIM			
User Study ID:	User Location ID:		
AMS001E	07Q070		
GONW0001	FCityXRR		
GONW0001	T36200		
NCR10001	07RAG02.6		

Figure 3-5: Current Water Quality Conditions (Temperature Levels)

Type 4 – Metals Problems

There are no known or reported downstream metals problems.

Type 5 – Phosphorous Problems

There are no known or reported downstream phosphorous problems.

Type 6 – Turbidity Problems

There are no known or reported downstream turbidity problems.

RAGING RIVER ROCK QUARRY

Type 7 – High pH Problems

Raging River is listed as a Category 5 on the impaired water body list for pH problems. A Category 5 indicates that the waters require a TMDL, known as the 303(d) list. The Synthesis Report listed high pH in the Raging River as an impaired violation of state standards or failure to meet TMDL guidelines, as applicable.

Listing ID: 10609			
Main Listing Information			
Listing ID: 10609			2014 Category: 5
Waterbody Name: RAGING RIVER			2012 Category: 2
Medium: Water			2008 Category: 2
Parameter: pH			2004 Category: 2
WQI Project: None Assigned			On 1998 303(d) List?: Y
Designated Use: None Assigned			On 1996 303(d) List?: Y
Assessment Unit			
Assessment Unit ID: 17110010000209			
Location Identification			
Counties: King	WRIA: 7 - Snohomish		
Waterbody ID (WBID): WA-07-1104	Waterbody Class: RA		
Town/Range/Section (Legacy): 24N-7E-15			
Basis			
Location ID [T36200] — In 2005, 2 of 4 sample values (50%) showed an excursion of the criteria for this waterbody;			
Location ID [T36200] — In 2004, 3 of 13 sample values (23%) showed an excursion of the criteria for this waterbody;			
Location ID [T36200] — In 2003, 5 of 10 sample values (50%) showed an excursion of the criteria for this waterbody;			
Location ID [07Q070] — In 2001, 1 of 9 sample values (11%) showed an excursion of the criteria for this waterbody;			
Hallock (2004), Dept. of Ecology ambient station 07Q070 shows that of 1 sample none exceeded the criterion.			
Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 07Q070 (Raging R @ Fall City) shows 1 excursions beyond the criterion out of 21 samples collected between 1992 - 2001.			
Hallock (2001) Dept. of Ecology Ambient Monitoring Station 07Q070 (Raging R @ Fall City) shows 0 excursions beyond the criterion out of 6 samples collected between 1993 - 2001.			
Remarks			
Remark	Modified By	Modified On	Visibility
High pH Excursions	Jessica Archer	7/23/2014	Public
At least 10 percent of samples were excursion of the criteria in at least one year and at least 3 excursions exist from all data considered.	Jessica Archer	7/23/2014	Public
EIM			
User Study ID:		User Location ID:	
AMS001E		07Q070	
GONW0001		T36200	

Figure 3-6: Current Water Quality Conditions (pH Levels)

SECTION 4: FLOW CONTROL AND WATER QUALITY DESIGN

4.1 Performance Standards

All stormwater facilities will be designed in accordance with the 2009 King County Surface Water Design Manual (KCSWDM) with Conservation Flow Control Standards.

Flow Control: Conservation Flow Control Standard

The Conservation Flow Control Standard requires maintaining the duration of high flows at their pre-development levels for all flows greater than one-half of the 2-year peak flow through the 50-year peak flow. The pre-development peak flow rates for the 2-year and 10-year runoff events must also be maintained under this requirement. We have assumed historic site conditions as the predeveloped conditions.

Flow Control

Pre-settling facilities and infiltration ponds are proposed for all target surfaces on site to meet the conservation flow control standard. Pre-settling calculations are included in Section 4.4.

KCRTS input and output documentation is included in Section 4.3.

Water Quality

The Basic Water Quality menu is applied, in our case, outside the drainage basin of the sensitive lakes or sphagnum bog wetlands. The Basic Water Quality Menu includes one pollutant removal targets:

x Total Suspended Solids = 80% reduction

The Basic Water Quality Menu, described in detail in Section 6.1.1 of the 2009 KCSWDM (page 6-4), provides eight (8) options to meet the pollutant removal targets listed above.

x Option 1: Biofiltration Swale x

Option 2: Filter Strip

x Option 3: Wet pond

x Option 4: Wet vault x Option 5: Stormwater Wetland x

Option 6: Combined Detention and Wet pool Facilities x

Option 7: Sand Filter x Option 8: Storm filter

4.2 Basin Modeling

4.2.1 Existing Conditions

The project site is currently a mining operation, and has been since the 1930s. The entire property consists of 50.23 acres and a portion of the site has been cleared and excavated under previous mining


operations. Since the project proposes to fully infiltrate all the runoff up to the 100-year storm event, matching the predeveloped peaks and durations is not necessary.

4.2.2 Developed Conditions

The developed site area will change overtime depending on the clearing and excavation required for mining. Therefore, the existing infiltration ponds in the northeast corner of the site have been modeled to determine the maximum area of clearing that can be fully infiltrated up to the 100-year storm event. The stormwater runoff from the site will be directed to pre-settling cells before entering the infiltration ponds per Section 5.4.1 of the 2009 KCSWDM. Sizing for the pre-settling ponds can be found later in this section. A maximum area of 32 acres was found to fully infiltrate up to the 100-year storm event and was used as the developed area. The corresponding infiltration pond calculations are included in the next section.

MAXIMUM BASIN SIZE (10001_Dev.tsf)	Total Area = 32.0 acres
GROUND COVER	AREA(acres)
Outwash-Pasture	32.0

SEC. 22, TWP. 24 N., RGE. 7 E., W.M.



NOTES

1. ON-SITE CLEARING, GRADING, OR EXCAVATION, INCLUDING THAT NECESSARY FOR REQUIRED ACCESS, HIGHWAY OR RAILROAD, SHALL BE PERMITTED WITHIN THE MONUMENTS ONLY IF THE MONUMENTS ARE NOT DAMAGED OR DESTROYED. ANY MONUMENTS DAMAGED OR DESTROYED SHALL BE REPLACED WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE MONUMENTS BEING DAMAGED OR DESTROYED. ANY MONUMENTS DAMAGED OR DESTROYED SHALL BE REPLACED WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE MONUMENTS BEING DAMAGED OR DESTROYED. ANY MONUMENTS DAMAGED OR DESTROYED SHALL BE REPLACED WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE MONUMENTS BEING DAMAGED OR DESTROYED.



SCALE: 1" = 100'


BASE OF BEARING:
N 00°48'50"E BETWEEN THE MONUMENTS
FOUND IN PLACE AT THE WEST QUARTER
CORNER OF SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 24N., RANGE 7E., W.M.

PROJECT MAP/BOOK	KEYN T. VANDERKAMEN
APPROVED	S.B.
DRAWN	S.B.
DESIGNED	S.B.
DATE	MAY 2015
SHEET	5
PROJECT NUMBER	10001

ENGINEERING - PLANNING - SURVEYING	DESIGN
425.853.7877 Fax: 425.853.7963	14711 28th Place Suite 101 Bellevue, Washington 98007
ISSAQUAH, WA 98029	3132 NE HARRISON ST
RAGING RIVER QUARRY, LLC	RAGING RIVER QUARRY, LLC
EXISTING CONDITIONS	EXISTING CONDITIONS

COUNTY COMMENTS RESPONSE TO MAY SET	9/2/16
DATE	9/2/16

SEC. 22, TWP. 24 N., RGE. 7 E., W.M.



PROJECT NUMBER	10001
DATE	JULY 2015
DESIGNED BY	SB
DRAWN BY	SB
APPROVED BY	SB
PROJECT MANAGER	KEVIN J. WUNDERLICH

RAGING RIVER QUARRY, LLC
RAGING RIVER QUARRY
3132 N. HANCOCK ST.
ISSAQUAH, WA 98288

ENGINEERING • PLANNING • SURVEYING
CORE DESIGN
435.853.7877 Fax 435.853.7943
14711 NE 29th Place Suite 101
Bellevue, Washington 98007

1. COUNTY COMMENTS, REVISIONS TO PLAN SET
9/2/16

4.3 Flow Control Modeling

Using KCRTS as the continuous runoff model the site was designed to fully infiltrate all runoff up to the 100-year storm event. *Note: Proposed flow control is 100% infiltration of developed runoff, so that there are no developed surface flows leaving the site, and matching release rates are moot in such case.* The existing infiltration ponds were surveyed in order to get the volumes for modeling. A 10 percent factor of safety was added to the measured pond in the field for modeling. The table below summarizes the infiltration ponds. Refer to the developed conditions exhibit above for the location and naming convention of the ponds.

Infiltration Pond	Measured Volume (CF)	Modeled Volume (CF)
Pond D	2,376	2,133
Pond E	8,208	7,331
Pond F	3,429	3,042
Pond G-H	4,995	4,405
Total	19,008	16,911

The sites infiltration rates and sub surface conditions were documented by The Riley Group. The following calculations and assumptions have been summarized from the geotechnical report. Refer to Appendix E for the full geotechnical report calculations and infiltration rate testing. The infiltration rates were measured over three infiltration tests near the existing infiltration ponds. An average infiltration rate was determined to be 80 inches/hour for the site. In order to conservatively model the infiltration ponds, correction factors were applied to the field measure infiltration rate. Using the “Simplified method” in section 5.4.1 of the 2009 KCSWDM, a long term design infiltration rate was determined.

$$i_{design} = i_{field} \times C_{unc} \times C_{geom} \times C_{time}$$

Where:

i_{design} = design infiltration rate

i_{field} = field measured infiltration rate (80 in/hr)

C_{unc} = accounts for uncertainties in testing methods
(0.5)

C_{geom} = accounts for facility geometry and ground water influences (0.25)

C_{time} = based on soil type, accounts for reduction in infiltration rate over time
(1.0)

$$i_{design} = 10 \text{ in/hr}$$

The following table displays the infiltration rates used for modeling in KCRTS. Ground water was not encountered up to a depth of 8 feet from the bottom of the infiltration ponds.

Infiltration Type	Long Term Infiltration Rate(in/hr)	Modeled Infiltration Rate(min/in)
Infiltration Pond	10	6

The KCRTS outputs (developed flow frequency analysis and infiltration pond files) are included below. The outflow time series from the ponds are routed to the next downstream pond (rdout.pks). The infiltration pond outflow (Pond_G-H_rdout.tsf) for the last infiltration pond G-H, shows all the flows up to the 100-year storm event are fully infiltrated; the full KCRTS input files are included in Appendix D.

C:\KC_SWDM\KC_DATA\
[C] CREATE a new Time Series
LA

0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Forest
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Pasture
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Grass
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Forest
32.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Pasture
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Grass
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Wetland
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Impervious

10001_Dev.tsf

T

1.20000

Flow Frequency Analysis

Time Series File: **10001_dev.tsf**

Project Location: Landsburg

---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----				Flow Rate
Rank	Time of Peak	Peaks	Rank	Return	Prob	Period		
(CFS)				(CFS)				
1.35	2	2/09/01 2:00		2.87	1	100.00	0.990	
0.008	7	1/13/02 16:00		1.35	2	25.00	0.960	
0.639	3	3/06/03 1:00		0.639	3	10.00	0.900	
0.008	8	2/10/04 15:00		0.411	4	5.00	0.800	
0.411	4	1/27/05 9:00		0.231	5	3.00	0.667	
0.231	5	2/25/06 2:00		0.151	6	2.00	0.500	
0.151	6	11/23/06 21:00		0.008	7	1.30	0.231	
2.87	1	1/09/08 7:00		0.008	8	1.10	0.091	
Computed Peaks				2.37	50.00	0.980		

Infiltration Pond D

Retention/Detention Facility

Type of Facility: Infiltration Pond D

Side Slope: 2.00 H:1V

Pond Bottom Length: 60.00 ft

Pond Bottom Width: 8.00 ft

Pond Bottom Area: 480. sq. ft

Top Area at 1 ft. FB: 1628. sq. ft

0.037 acres

Effective Storage Depth: 2.50 ft

Stage 0 Elevation: 0.00 ft

Storage Volume: 2133. cu. ft

0.049 ac-ft

Vertical Permeability: 6.00 min/in

Permeable Surfaces: Bottom

Riser Head: 2.50 ft

Riser Diameter: 18.00 inches

Top Notch Weir: None

Outflow Rating Curve: None

Area	Stage	Elevation	Storage		Discharge	Percolation	Surf
	(ft)	(ft)	(cu. ft)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(sq. ft)
	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000	0.000	0.00	480.
	0.10	0.10	49.	0.001	0.000	0.11	507.
	0.20	0.20	102.	0.002	0.000	0.11	535.
	0.30	0.30	156.	0.004	0.000	0.11	563.
	0.40	0.40	214.	0.005	0.000	0.11	591.
	0.50	0.50	275.	0.006	0.000	0.11	620.
	0.60	0.60	338.	0.008	0.000	0.11	649.
	0.70	0.70	405.	0.009	0.000	0.11	678.
	0.80	0.80	474.	0.011	0.000	0.11	708.
	0.90	0.90	546.	0.013	0.000	0.11	738.
	1.00	1.00	621.	0.014	0.000	0.11	768.
	1.10	1.10	700.	0.016	0.000	0.11	799.
	1.20	1.20	781.	0.018	0.000	0.11	829.
	1.30	1.30	866.	0.020	0.000	0.11	861.
	1.40	1.40	953.	0.022	0.000	0.11	892.
	1.50	1.50	1044.	0.024	0.000	0.11	924.
	1.60	1.60	1138.	0.026	0.000	0.11	956.
	1.70	1.70	1235.	0.028	0.000	0.11	989.
	1.80	1.80	1336.	0.031	0.000	0.11	1021.
	1.90	1.90	1440.	0.033	0.000	0.11	1055.
	2.00	2.00	1547.	0.036	0.000	0.11	1088.
	2.10	2.10	1657.	0.038	0.000	0.11	1122.
	2.20	2.20	1771.	0.041	0.000	0.11	1156.
	2.30	2.30	1888.	0.043	0.000	0.11	1190.
	2.40	2.40	2009.	0.046	0.000	0.11	1225.
	2.50	2.50	2133.	0.049	0.000	0.11	1260.
	2.60	2.60	2261.	0.052	0.462	0.11	1295.
	2.70	2.70	2392.	0.055	1.310	0.11	1331.
	2.80	2.80	2527.	0.058	2.400	0.11	1367.
	2.90	2.90	2666.	0.061	3.700	0.11	1403.
	3.00	3.00	2808.	0.064	5.160	0.11	1440.
	3.10	3.10	2954.	0.068	6.590	0.11	1477.
	3.20	3.20	3103.	0.071	7.120	0.11	1514.
	3.30	3.30	3257.	0.075	7.610	0.11	1552.
	3.40	3.40	3414.	0.078	8.070	0.11	1590.
	3.50	3.50	3575.	0.082	8.510	0.11	1628.
	3.60	3.60	3739.	0.086	8.920	0.11	1667.
	3.70	3.70	3908.	0.090	9.320	0.11	1705.
	3.80	3.80	4081.	0.094	9.700	0.11	1745.
	3.90	3.90	4257.	0.098	10.070	0.11	1784.
	4.00	4.00	4437.	0.102	10.420	0.11	1824.
	4.10	4.10	4622.	0.106	10.760	0.11	1864.
	4.20	4.20	4810.	0.110	11.100	0.11	1905.
	4.30	4.30	5003.	0.115	11.420	0.11	1945.
	4.40	4.40	5199.	0.119	11.730	0.11	1987.
	4.50	4.50	5400.	0.124	12.030	0.11	2028.
Hyd	Inflow		Outflow		Peak		
		Target	Calc	Stage	Elev	(Cu-Ft)	(Ac-Ft)
1	0.67	*****	0.50	2.60	2.60	2266.	0.052

2	0.32	*****	0.00	1.93	1.93	1475.	0.034
3	0.15	*****	0.00	0.24	0.24	126.	0.003
4	0.10	*****	0.00	0.07	0.07	36.	0.001
5	0.04	*****	0.00	0.03	0.03	13.	0.000

6	0.05	*****	0.00	0.02	0.02	12.	0.000
7	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.	0.000
8	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.	0.000

Route Time Series through Facility
Inflow Time Series File:**10001_dev.tsf**
Outflow Time Series File:**Pond_D_rdout**

Inflow/Outflow Analysis

Peak Inflow Discharge:	2.87 CFS at	7:00 on Jan	9 in Year 8
Peak Outflow Discharge:	2.39 CFS at	8:00 on Jan	9 in Year 8
Peak Reservoir Stage:	2.80	Ft	
Peak Reservoir Elev:	2.80	Ft	
Peak Reservoir Storage:	2526.	Cu-Ft	
:	0.058	Ac-Ft	

Flow Frequency Analysis

Time Series File:**pond_d_rdout.tsf**
Project Location:Landsburg

---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----				Flow	
Rate	Rank	Time of Peak	Peaks	Rank	Return	Prob			
(CFS)					(CFS)	(ft)	Period		
1.04	2	2/09/01 3:00		2.39	2.80	1	100.00	0.990	
0.000	8	2/25/02 12:00		1.04	2.67	2	25.00		
0.960									
0.000	3	3/06/03 2:00		0.000	2.31	3	10.00		
0.900									
0.000	7	8/23/04 17:00		0.000	2.11	4	5.00		
0.800									
0.000	4	1/27/05 11:00		0.000	0.67	5	3.00		
0.667									
0.000	5	2/25/06 3:00		0.000	0.27	6	2.00	0.500	
0.000	6	11/23/06 22:00		0.000	0.01	7	1.30		
0.231									
2.39	1	1/09/08 8:00		0.000	0.01	8	1.10		
0.091	Computed Peaks				1.94	2.76	50.00		
0.980									

Infiltration Pond E

Retention/Detention Facility

Type of Facility:	Infiltration Pond E
Side Slope:	2.00 H:1V
Pond Bottom Length:	80.00 ft
Pond Bottom Width:	9.00 ft
Pond Bottom Area:	720. sq. ft
Top Area at 1 ft. FB:	3162. sq. ft
	0.073 acres
Effective Storage Depth:	4.50 ft
Stage 0 Elevation:	0.00 ft
Storage Volume:	7331. cu. ft
	0.168 ac-ft
Vertical Permeability:	6.00 min/in
Permeable Surfaces:	Bottom & Sides
Riser Head:	4.50 ft
Riser Diameter:	18.00 inches
Top Notch Weir:	None
Outflow Rating Curve:	None

Stage Area	Elevation	Storage	Discharge	Percolation	Surf	
(ft)	(ft)	(cu. ft)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(sq. ft)
0.00	0.00	0.	0.000	0.000	0.00	720.
0.10	0.10	74.	0.002	0.000	0.17	756.
0.20	0.20	151.	0.003	0.000	0.18	792.
0.30	0.30	232.	0.005	0.000	0.19	828.
0.40	0.40	317.	0.007	0.000	0.20	865.
0.50	0.50	405.	0.009	0.000	0.21	902.
0.60	0.60	497.	0.011	0.000	0.22	939.
0.70	0.70	593.	0.014	0.000	0.23	977.
0.80	0.80	693.	0.016	0.000	0.23	1015.
0.90	0.90	796.	0.018	0.000	0.24	1053.
1.00	1.00	903.	0.021	0.000	0.25	1092.
1.10	1.10	1015.	0.023	0.000	0.26	1131.
1.20	1.20	1130.	0.026	0.000	0.27	1170.
1.30	1.30	1249.	0.029	0.000	0.28	1210.
1.40	1.40	1372.	0.031	0.000	0.29	1250.
1.50	1.50	1499.	0.034	0.000	0.30	1290.
1.60	1.60	1630.	0.037	0.000	0.31	1331.
1.70	1.70	1765.	0.041	0.000	0.32	1371.
1.80	1.80	1904.	0.044	0.000	0.33	1413.
1.90	1.90	2047.	0.047	0.000	0.34	1454.
2.00	2.00	2195.	0.050	0.000	0.35	1496.
2.10	2.10	2346.	0.054	0.000	0.36	1538.
2.20	2.20	2502.	0.057	0.000	0.37	1581.
2.30	2.30	2663.	0.061	0.000	0.38	1623.
2.40	2.40	2827.	0.065	0.000	0.39	1667.
2.50	2.50	2996.	0.069	0.000	0.40	1710.
2.60	2.60	3169.	0.073	0.000	0.41	1754.
2.70	2.70	3347.	0.077	0.000	0.42	1798.
2.80	2.80	3529.	0.081	0.000	0.43	1842.
2.90	2.90	3715.	0.085	0.000	0.44	1887.
3.00	3.00	3906.	0.090	0.000	0.45	1932.
3.10	3.10	4102.	0.094	0.000	0.46	1977.
3.20	3.20	4302.	0.099	0.000	0.47	2023.
3.30	3.30	4506.	0.103	0.000	0.48	2069.
3.40	3.40	4715.	0.108	0.000	0.49	2115.
3.50	3.50	4929.	0.113	0.000	0.50	2162.
3.60	3.60	5148.	0.118	0.000	0.51	2209.
3.70	3.70	5371.	0.123	0.000	0.52	2256.
3.80	3.80	5599.	0.129	0.000	0.53	2304.
3.90	3.90	5832.	0.134	0.000	0.54	2352.
4.00	4.00	6069.	0.139	0.000	0.56	2400.
4.10	4.10	6312.	0.145	0.000	0.57	2449.
4.20	4.20	6559.	0.151	0.000	0.58	2497.
4.30	4.30	6811.	0.156	0.000	0.59	2547.
4.40	4.40	7068.	0.162	0.000	0.60	2596.
4.50	4.50	7331.	0.168	0.000	0.61	2646.
4.60	4.60	7598.	0.174	0.462	0.62	2696.
4.70	4.70	7870.	0.181	1.310	0.64	2747.
4.80	4.80	8147.	0.187	2.400	0.65	2797.
4.90	4.90	8429.	0.194	3.700	0.66	2849.

5.00	5.00	8717.	0.200	5.160	0.67	2900.
5.10	5.10	9009.	0.207	6.590	0.68	2952.
5.20	5.20	9307.	0.214	7.120	0.70	3004.
5.30	5.30	9610.	0.221	7.610	0.71	3056.

	5.40	5.40	9918.	0.228	8.070	0.72	
	3109.						
	5.50	5.50	10232.	0.235	8.510	0.73	
	3162.						
	5.60	5.60	10551.	0.242	8.920	0.74	
	3215.						
	5.70	5.70	10875.	0.250	9.320	0.76	
	3269.						
	5.80	5.80	11205.	0.257	9.700	0.77	
	3323.						
	5.90	5.90	11540.	0.265	10.070	0.78	3377.
	6.00	6.00	11880.	0.273	10.420	0.79	
	3432.						
	6.10	6.10	12226.	0.281	10.760	0.81	
	3487.						
	6.20	6.20	12577.	0.289	11.100	0.82	
	3542.						
	6.30	6.30	12934.	0.297	11.420	0.83	
	3598.						
	6.40	6.40	13297.	0.305	11.730	0.85	3654.
	6.50	6.50	13665.	0.314	12.030	0.86	3710.
Hyd	Inflow	Outflow	Peak	Storage			
		Target	Calc	Stage	Elev	(Cu-Ft)	(Ac-Ft)
1	0.50	*****	0.00	1.54	1.54	1546.	0.035
2	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
3	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
4	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
5	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
6	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
7	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
8	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000

Route Time Series through Facility							
Inflow Time Series File: pond_d_rdout.tsf							
Outflow Time Series File: Pond_E_rdout							
Inflow/Outflow Analysis							
Peak Inflow Discharge:				2.39	CFS at	8:00 on Jan 9 in Year 8	
Peak Outflow Discharge:				1.68	CFS at	8:00 on Jan 9 in Year 8	
Peak Reservoir Stage:				4.73	Ft		
Peak Reservoir Elev:				4.73	Ft		
Peak Reservoir Storage:				7965.	Cu-Ft		
				:	0.183	Ac-Ft	
Flow Frequency Analysis							
Time Series File: pond_e_rdout.tsf							
Project Location: Landsburg							
---Annual Peak Flow Rates--- -----Flow Frequency Analysis-----Flow Rate							
Rank	Time of Peak	- - Peaks	- - Rank	Return	Prob		
(CFS)				(CFS)	(ft)	Period	

0.000	2	2/09/01	5:00	1.68	4.73	1	100.00	0.990	0.000
3	10/01/01	0:00	0.000	3.92	2	25.00	0.960	0.000	
4	10/01/02	0:00	0.000	0.00	3	10.00	0.900		
0.000	5	10/01/03	0:00	0.000	0.00	4	5.00	0.800	
0.000	6	10/01/04	0:00	0.000	0.00	5	3.00	0.667	
0.000	7	10/01/05	0:00	0.000	0.00	6	2.00	0.500	
0.000	8	10/01/06	0:00	0.000	0.00	7	1.30	0.231	
1.68	1	1/09/08	8:00	0.000	0.00	8	1.10	0.091	
Computed Peaks				1.12	4.68		50.00	0.980	

Infiltration Pond F

Retention/Detention Facility

Type of Facility: Infiltration Pond F
 Side Slope: 2.00 H:1V
 Pond Bottom Length: 50.00 ft
 Pond Bottom Width: 2.00 ft
 Pond Bottom Area: 100. sq. ft
 Top Area at 1 ft. FB: 1728. sq. ft
 0.040 acres
 Effective Storage Depth: 4.50 ft
 Stage 0 Elevation: 0.00 ft
 Storage Volume: 3042. cu. ft
 0.070 ac-ft
 Vertical Permeability: 6.00 min/in
 Permeable Surfaces: Bottom & Sides
 Riser Head: 4.50 ft
 Riser Diameter: 18.00 inches
 Top Notch Weir: None
 Outflow Rating Curve: None

Stage	Elevation	Storage	Discharge	Percolation	Surf	
Area						
(ft)	(ft)	(cu. ft)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(sq. ft)
0.00	0.00	0.	0.000	0.000	0.00	100.
0.10	0.10	11.	0.000	0.000	0.03	121.
0.20	0.20	24.	0.001	0.000	0.03	142.
0.30	0.30	40.	0.001	0.000	0.04	164.
0.40	0.40	57.	0.001	0.000	0.04	186.
0.50	0.50	77.	0.002	0.000	0.05	208.
0.60	0.60	99.	0.002	0.000	0.05	231.
0.70	0.70	123.	0.003	0.000	0.06	253.
0.80	0.80	149.	0.003	0.000	0.06	277.
0.90	0.90	178.	0.004	0.000	0.07	300.
1.00	1.00	209.	0.005	0.000	0.07	324.
1.10	1.10	243.	0.006	0.000	0.08	348.
1.20	1.20	279.	0.006	0.000	0.09	373.
1.30	1.30	318.	0.007	0.000	0.09	397.
1.40	1.40	359.	0.008	0.000	0.10	423.
1.50	1.50	402.	0.009	0.000	0.10	448.
1.60	1.60	448.	0.010	0.000	0.11	474.
1.70	1.70	497.	0.011	0.000	0.12	500.
1.80	1.80	548.	0.013	0.000	0.12	526.
1.90	1.90	602.	0.014	0.000	0.13	553.
2.00	2.00	659.	0.015	0.000	0.13	580.
2.10	2.10	718.	0.016	0.000	0.14	607.
2.20	2.20	780.	0.018	0.000	0.15	635.
2.30	2.30	845.	0.019	0.000	0.15	663.
2.40	2.40	913.	0.021	0.000	0.16	691.
2.50	2.50	983.	0.023	0.000	0.17	720.
2.60	2.60	1057.	0.024	0.000	0.17	749.
2.70	2.70	1133.	0.026	0.000	0.18	778.
2.80	2.80	1212.	0.028	0.000	0.19	808.
2.90	2.90	1295.	0.030	0.000	0.19	838.

3.00	3.00	1380.	0.032	0.000	0.20	868.
3.10	3.10	1468.	0.034	0.000	0.21	899.

3.20	3.20	1560.	0.036	0.000	0.22	929.
3.30	3.30	1654.	0.038	0.000	0.22	961.
3.40	3.40	1752.	0.040	0.000	0.23	992.
3.50	3.50	1853.	0.043	0.000	0.24	1024.
3.60	3.60	1957.	0.045	0.000	0.24	1056.
3.70	3.70	2064.	0.047	0.000	0.25	1089.
3.80	3.80	2174.	0.050	0.000	0.26	1121.
3.90	3.90	2288.	0.053	0.000	0.27	1155.
4.00	4.00	2405.	0.055	0.000	0.28	1188.
4.10	4.10	2526.	0.058	0.000	0.28	1222.
4.20	4.20	2650.	0.061	0.000	0.29	1256.
4.30	4.30	2777.	0.064	0.000	0.30	1290.
4.40	4.40	2908.	0.067	0.000	0.31	1325.
4.50	4.50	3042.	0.070	0.000	0.31	1360.
4.60	4.60	3180.	0.073	0.462	0.32	1395.
4.70	4.70	3321.	0.076	1.310	0.33	1431.
4.80	4.80	3466.	0.080	2.400	0.34	1467.
4.90	4.90	3615.	0.083	3.700	0.35	1503.
5.00	5.00	3767.	0.086	5.160	0.36	1540.
5.10	5.10	3923.	0.090	6.590	0.37	1577.
5.20	5.20	4082.	0.094	7.120	0.37	1614.
5.30	5.30	4245.	0.097	7.610	0.38	1652.
5.40	5.40	4412.	0.101	8.070	0.39	1690.
5.50	5.50	4583.	0.105	8.510	0.40	1728.
5.60	5.60	4758.	0.109	8.920	0.41	1767.
5.70	5.70	4937.	0.113	9.320	0.42	1805.
5.80	5.80	5119.	0.118	9.700	0.43	1845.
5.90	5.90	5306.	0.122	10.070	0.44	1884.
6.00	6.00	5496.	0.126	10.420	0.45	1924.
6.10	6.10	5690.	0.131	10.760	0.45	1964.
6.20	6.20	5889.	0.135	11.100	0.46	2005.
6.30	6.30	6091.	0.140	11.420	0.47	2045.
6.40	6.40	6298.	0.145	11.730	0.48	2087.
6.50	6.50	6509.	0.149	12.030	0.49	2128.
Hyd	Inflow	Outflow	Peak		Storage	
		Target	Calc	Stage	Elev	(Cu-Ft) (Ac-Ft)
1	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
2	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
3	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
4	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
5	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
6	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
7	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
8	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000

Route Time Series through Facility						
Inflow Time Series File: pond_e_rdout.tsf						
Outflow Time Series File: Pond_F_rdout						
Inflow/Outflow Analysis						
Peak Inflow Discharge: 1.68 CFS at 8:00 on Jan 9 in Year 8						
Peak Outflow Discharge: 1.25 CFS at 9:00 on Jan 9 in Year 8						
Peak Reservoir Stage: 4.69 Ft						

Peak Reservoir Elev: 4.69 Ft
Peak Reservoir Storage: 3311. Cu-Ft
: 0.076 Ac-Ft

Flow Frequency Analysis
Time Series File: **pond_f_rdout.tsf**
Project Location: Landsburg

```

---Annual Peak Flow Rates--- -----Flow Frequency Analysis-----Flow
Rate Rank Time of Peak -- Peaks -- Rank Return Prob
(CFS) (CFS) (ft) Period
0.000 2 10/01/00 0:00 1.25 4.69 1 100.00 0.990
0.000 3 10/01/01 0:00 0.000 0.00 2 25.00
0.960
0.000 4 10/01/02 0:00 0.000 0.00 3 10.00
0.900
0.000 5 10/01/03 0:00 0.000 0.00 4 5.00 0.800
0.000 6 10/01/04 0:00 0.000 0.00 5 3.00
0.667
0.000 7 10/01/05 0:00 0.000 0.00 6 2.00
0.500
0.000 8 10/01/06 0:00 0.000 0.00 7 1.30 0.231
1.25 1 1/09/08 9:00 0.000 0.00 8 1.10
0.091 Computed Peaks 0.832 4.64 50.00
0.980

```

Infiltration Pond G-H

Retention/Detention Facility

Type of Facility: Infiltration Pond G-H
 Side Slope: 2.00 H:1V
 Pond Bottom Length: 82.00 ft
 Pond Bottom Width: 4.00 ft
 Pond Bottom Area: 328. sq. ft
 Top Area at 1 ft. FB: 2448. sq. ft
 0.056 acres
 Effective Storage Depth: 4.00 ft
 Stage 0 Elevation: 0.00 ft
 Storage Volume: 4405. cu. ft
 0.101 ac-ft
 Vertical Permeability: 6.00 min/in
 Permeable Surfaces: Bottom & Sides
 Riser Head: 4.00 ft
 Riser Diameter: 18.00 inches
 Top Notch Weir: None
 Outflow Rating Curve: None

Stage Area	Elevation	Storage	Discharge	Percolation	Surf
(ft)	(ft)	(cu. ft)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)	(sq. ft)
0.00	0.00	0.	0.000	0.000	0.00
328.					
0.10	0.10	35.	0.001	0.000	0.08
363.					
0.20	0.20	73.	0.002	0.000	0.09
397.					
0.30	0.30	114.	0.003	0.000	0.10
433.					
0.40	0.40	159.	0.004	0.000	0.11
468.					
0.50	0.50	208.	0.005	0.000	0.12
504.					
0.60	0.60	260.	0.006	0.000	0.13
540.					
0.70	0.70	316.	0.007	0.000	0.13
577.					
0.80	0.80	375.	0.009	0.000	0.14
613.					
0.90	0.90	438.	0.010	0.000	0.15
651.					
1.00	1.00	505.	0.012	0.000	0.16
688.					
1.10	1.10	576.	0.013	0.000	0.17
726.					
1.20	1.20	651.	0.015	0.000	0.18
764.					

1.30	1.30	729.	0.017	0.000	0.19	802.
1.40	1.40	811.	0.019	0.000	0.19	841.
1.50	1.50	897.	0.021	0.000	0.20	880.
1.60	1.60	987.	0.023	0.000	0.21	919.
1.70	1.70	1081.	0.025	0.000	0.22	959.
1.80	1.80	1179.	0.027	0.000	0.23	999.
1.90	1.90	1281.	0.029	0.000	0.24	1039.
2.00	2.00	1387.	0.032	0.000	0.25	1080.
2.10	2.10	1497.	0.034	0.000	0.26	1121.
2.20	2.20	1611.	0.037	0.000	0.27	1162.
2.30	2.30	1729.	0.040	0.000	0.28	1204.
2.40	2.40	1852.	0.043	0.000	0.29	1246.
2.50	2.50	1978.	0.045	0.000	0.30	1288.
2.60	2.60	2109.	0.048	0.000	0.31	1331.
2.70	2.70	2245.	0.052	0.000	0.32	1373.
2.80	2.80	2384.	0.055	0.000	0.33	1417.
2.90	2.90	2528.	0.058	0.000	0.34	1460.
3.00	3.00	2676.	0.061	0.000	0.35	1504.
3.10	3.10	2829.	0.065	0.000	0.36	1548.
3.20	3.20	2986.	0.069	0.000	0.37	1593.
3.30	3.30	3147.	0.072	0.000	0.38	1637.
3.40	3.40	3313.	0.076	0.000	0.39	1683.
3.50	3.50	3484.	0.080	0.000	0.40	1728.
3.60	3.60	3659.	0.084	0.000	0.41	1774.
3.70	3.70	3838.	0.088	0.000	0.42	1820.
3.80	3.80	4023.	0.092	0.000	0.43	1866.
3.90	3.90	4212.	0.097	0.000	0.44	1913.
4.00	4.00	4405.	0.101	0.000	0.45	1960.
4.10	4.10	4604.	0.106	0.462	0.46	2007.
4.20	4.20	4807.	0.110	1.310	0.48	2055.
4.30	4.30	5015.	0.115	2.400	0.49	2103.
4.40	4.40	5227.	0.120	3.700	0.50	2151.
4.50	4.50	5445.	0.125	5.160	0.51	2200.
4.60	4.60	5667.	0.130	6.590	0.52	2249.
4.70	4.70	5895.	0.135	7.120	0.53	2298.
4.80	4.80	6127.	0.141	7.610	0.54	2348.
4.90	4.90	6364.	0.146	8.070	0.56	2398.
5.00	5.00	6607.	0.152	8.510	0.57	2448.
5.10	5.10	6854.	0.157	8.920	0.58	2499.
5.20	5.20	7106.	0.163	9.320	0.59	2549.
5.30	5.30	7364.	0.169	9.700	0.60	2601.
5.40	5.40	7627.	0.175	10.070	0.61	2652.
5.50	5.50	7894.	0.181	10.420	0.63	2704.
5.60	5.60	8167.	0.187	10.760	0.64	2756.
5.70	5.70	8446.	0.194	11.100	0.65	2809.
5.80	5.80	8729.	0.200	11.420	0.66	2861.
5.90	5.90	9018.	0.207	11.730	0.67	2915.
6.00	6.00	9312.	0.214	12.030	0.69	2968.
Hyd	Inflow	Outflow	Peak		Storage	
		Target	Calc	Stage	Elev	(Cu-Ft) (Ac-Ft)
1	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
2	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000
3	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 0.000

4	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
5	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
6	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000

7	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000
8	0.00	*****	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	0.000

Route Time Series through Facility

Inflow Time Series File:**pond_f_rdout.tsf**

Outflow Time Series File:**Pond_G-H_rdout**

Inflow/Outflow Analysis

Peak Inflow Discharge:	1.25 CFS at 9:00 on Jan 9 in Year 8
Peak Outflow Discharge:	0.000 CFS at 10:00 on Jan 9 in Year 8
Peak Reservoir Stage:	3.46 Ft
Peak Reservoir Elev:	3.46 Ft
Peak Reservoir Storage:	3408. Cu-Ft
:	0.078 Ac-Ft

Flow Frequency Analysis

Time Series File:**pond_g-h_rdout.tsf**

Project Location:Landsburg

---Annual Peak Flow Rates---				-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----				
Rate	Rank	Time of Peak	- - Peaks - -	Rank	Return	Prob	Flow	
(CFS)					(ft)		Period	
0.000	2	10/01/00 0:00		0.000	3.46	1	100.00	0.990
0.000	3	10/01/01 0:00		0.000	0.00	2	25.00	0.960
0.000	4	10/01/02 0:00		0.000	0.00	3	10.00	0.900
0.000	5	10/01/03 0:00		0.000	0.00	4	5.00	0.800
0.000	6	10/01/04 0:00		0.000	0.00	5	3.00	0.667
0.000	7	10/01/05 0:00		0.000	0.00	6	2.00	0.500
0.000	8	10/01/06 0:00		0.000	0.00	7	1.30	0.231
0.000	1	1/09/08 10:00		0.000	0.00	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks				0.000	2.30		50.00	0.980

4.4 Water Quality Calculations

Presettling Ponds

Step 1: Identify required wet pond volume factor (f)

A basic wet pond requires a volume factor of **3**.

Step 2: Determine rainfall (R) for the mean annual storm

The rainfall for the mean annual storm R is obtained by locating the project site on Figure 6.4.1.A and interpolating between isopleths. Converted to feet. **$R=0.054'$**

Step 3: Calculate runoff from the mean annual storm (V_r) for the developed site

The land cover types and associated areas for each in the developed project site are used to calculate the amount of rainfall, in cubic feet, that runs off each land cover type. Coefficients specific to the four U.S. Department of Agriculture soils survey cover categories are weighted by the drainage areas and then multiplied by the rainfall, R , from Step 2.

Equation 6-13
$$V_r = \left(\frac{A_i}{A_o} \right) (V_{ri}) + \left(\frac{A_{tg}}{A_o} \right) (V_{tgi}) + \left(\frac{A_{tf}}{A_o} \right) (V_{tfi}) + \left(\frac{A_o}{A_o} \right) (V_{oi})$$

where

V_r = calculated volume of runoff from mean annual storm

A_i = area of impervious surface (0 sf)

A_{tg} = area of till soil covered with grass (0 sf)

A_{tf} = area of till soil covered with forest (0 sf)

A_o = area of outwash soil covered with grass or forest (1,393,920 sf)

R = rainfall from mean annual storm (0.054 ft)

Using Equation 6-13 above and the land cover areas in the developed basin calculations, the volume of runoff from the mean annual storm is **752 cubic feet**.

Step 4: Calculate wet pond volume (V_b)

The numbers/results from the previous steps are used in Equation 6-14 (shown below) to calculate the required wet pond volume. **Equation 6-14**
$$V_b = \frac{V_r}{f}$$
 where

V_b = calculated required minimum wet pond volume

f = volume factor from Step 1 (3)

V_r = volume of runoff from mean annual storm (2,152 cf)

Using Equation 6-14 above and the results from the previous steps, the required minimum wet pond volume, V_b , is **2,258 cubic feet**.

The **provided wet pond volume is 5,028 cubic feet**, with a minimum depth of **3 feet**.

SECTION 5: CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Sediment traps are small temporary ponding areas with an outlet used to collect and store sediment from sites cleared and/or graded during ongoing construction. The Rock Quarry is an ongoing construction site susceptible to the degradation of soil banks. Ponds 'A', 'B', and 'C' are designed to convey sediment laden stormwaters through the ponds, trapping the sediment, before conveying stormwaters to the infiltration ponds.

The conveyance calculations for the sediment ponds are included in Appendix D.

SECTION 6: SPECIAL REPORTS AND STUDIES

The geotechnical report by Riley Group is included in Appendix E.

SECTION 7: OTHER PERMITS

A copy of the Grading Permit issued by King County is include in Appendix F.

SECTION 8: ESC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

The site will utilize Appendix D of the 2009 KCSWDM and the standard design details from the 2012 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington for the erosion and sedimentation control design. Below is a breakdown of how each require element is addressed:

- 1) Mark Clearing Limits
 - a. High Visibility Staking: BMP C103. To establish the clearing limits, plastic, fabric, or metal fence may be used:
 - i. At the boundary of sensitive areas, their buffers and other areas required to be left uncleared, and/or as necessary to control vehicle access onto the site.
- 2) Establish Construction Access
 - a. Stabilized Construction Entrance: BMP 105 (modified). To reduce the amount of sediment transported onto paved roads by vehicles or equipment. Paved construction entrance shall be stabilized and swept on a regular basis where traffic will be entering or leaving a construction site.
- 3) Control Flow Rates
 - a. Sediment Trap: BMP C240. A sediment trap is a small temporary ponding area with a gravel outlet (or culvert pipe) used to collect and store sediment from sites cleared and/or graded during construction.
- 4) Install Sediment Controls
 - a. Wattles: BMP C235. Wattles are temporary erosion and sediment control barriers consisting of straw, compost, or other material that is wrapped in biodegradable tubular plastic or similar encasing material. They reduce the velocity and can spread the flow of rill and sheet runoff, and can capture and retain sediment.
- 5) Stabilize Soils
 - a. Temporary and Permanent Seeding: BMP C120. Seeding reduces erosion by stabilizing exposed soils. Well-established vegetative cover is one of the most effective methods of reducing erosion.
- 6) Protects Slopes
 - a. Temporary and Permanent Seeding: BMP C120. Seeding reduces erosion by stabilizing exposed soils. Well-established vegetative cover is one of the most effective methods of reducing erosion.
- 7) Protects Drain Inlets
 - a. Not applicable to this project.
- 8) Stabilize Channels and Outlets
 - a. Not applicable to this project.
- 9) Concrete Pollutants
 - a. Not applicable to this project.
- 10) Control Dewatering
 - a. Not applicable to this project.
- 11) Maintain BMPs
 - a. All BMPs will be maintained and repaired in accordance with BMP specifications.

INITIAL PHASE	7.25 ACRES	1,240,700 CY
EXPANDED PHASE	14.00 ACRES	4,092,500 CY
ULTIMATE PHASE	5.75 ACRES	TO BE DETERMINED
TOTAL :	27.00 ACRES	TO BE DETERMINED

- 1) METACALCULATION OF MANNING AREAS ON A 1:1 BASIS (ACRE-ACRE) TO LIMIT THE OPEN MANNING AREA TO A TOTAL OF 20.0 ACRES, AND/OR
- 2) INCREASE/REDUCTION OF INFILTRATION POUNDS TO ACCOMMODATE THE INCREASE IN OPEN MANNING AREA
- 3) SHOULD MANNING HAS EXISTED/BEEN REMOVED, HOW MANY INFILTRATION POUNDS, AN ALTERNATE LOCATION HAS BEEN DETERMINED AND INFILTRATION POUNDS HAVE BEEN DETERMINED

FRUITING TIME (2002)	LONGEVITY (2002)	MODIFIED PLANTING (202)
A	3-1	1,450
B	3-1	1,434
C	3-1	2,198
TOTAL :		5,082

NEW PLANTING (2002)	MEASURED PLANTING (202)	MODIFIED PLANTING (202)
D	2,378	2,113
E	8,209	7,127
F	3,429	1,042
G/H	4,095	4,405
TOTAL :		16,701


BUFFER AVERAGING HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED IN A MANNER SUCH THAT THE ECOLOGICAL STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION OF THE RESULTING BUFFER IS EQUIVALENT TO THE STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION BEFORE AVERAGING. THE TOTAL AREA OF THE BUFFER IS NOT REDUCED. THE BUFFER AREA IS CONTIGUOUS, AND AVERAGING DOES NOT REDUCE THE REQUIRED BUFFER WIDTH BY GREATER THAN 50 PERCENT.

	EX. BUFFER	EX. AREA	REDUCED AREA	ADDED AREA
WETLAND 'A'	80' MIN.	64,050 SQFT.	9,135 SQFT.	25,650 SQFT.
WETLAND 'B'	80' MIN.	47,870 SQFT.	13,965 SQFT.	27,535 SQFT.

NOTE: SEE DIAGRAM ON SHEET C3.J1 TO DENOT THE BUFFER AVERAGING OF THE WETLANDS



SCALE: 1" = 100'



SECTION A-A

1"=50' HOR.

SECTION 9: BOND QUANTITIES, FACILITY SUMMARIES, AND DECLARATION OF COVENANT

This is a private operation with no proposed public improvements. A bond quantities worksheet is not applicable for this project.

SECTION 10: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

The Raging River Quarry will be responsible for the onsite maintenance and operations of the stormwater management systems.

Appendix A

Parcel & Basin Information

King County Parcel Report

King County Department of Assessments

Fair, Equitable, and Understandable Property Valuations

You're in: Assessor >> Look up Property Info >> eReal Property

Department
of
Assessments500 Fourth
Avenue,
Suite ADM-
AS-0708,
Seattle, WA
98104Office Hours:
Mon - Fri
8:30 a.m. to
4:30 p.m.TEL: 206-
296-7300
FAX: 206-
296-5107
TTY: 206-
296-7888[Send us
mail](#)


ADVERTISEMENT

[New Search](#) [Property Tax Bill](#) [Map This Property](#) [Glossary of Terms](#) [Area Report](#) [Property Detail](#) 

PARCEL


Parcel Number	222407-9011
Name	RAGING RIVER QUARRY LLC
Site Address	
Legal	SE 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 T2W POR OF S 1/2 OF SW 1/4 LY W OF RAGING RIVER & N OF LN BEG 331.05 FT S OF NW COR TH N 77-06-00 E 1334.70 FT TO E LN OF SW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 75 FT S OF NE COR TH E 150 FT TO C/L OF RIVER T2W POR OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 BEG ON S LN OF SUBD 58.56 FT E OF SW COR TH N 42-22-27 E 897.83 FT TO NW COR OF SE 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 TH S 00-55-06 W 660.99 FT TO S LN OF SD SUBD TH S 89-46-17 W ALG SD S LN 594.53 FT TO BEG LESS CO RD PER KC LOT LN ADJ NO 582025

BUILDING 1

Year Built		
Total Square Footage		
Number Of Bedrooms		
Number Of Baths		
Grade		
Condition		
Lot Size	885574	
Views	No	
Waterfront		

TOTAL LEVY RATE DISTRIBUTION

Tax Year: 2016 Levy Code: 6694 Total Levy Rate: \$14.43755 Total Senior Rate: \$8.77176



42.82% Voter Approved

[Click here to see levy distribution comparison by year.](#)

TAX ROLL HISTORY

Valued Year	Tax Year	Appraised Land Value (\$)	Appraised Imps Value (\$)	Appraised Total (\$)	Taxable Land Value (\$)	Taxable Imps Value (\$)	Taxable Total (\$)
2015	2016	226,000	0	226,000	226,000	0	226,000
2014	2015	208,000	0	208,000	208,000	0	208,000
2013	2014	230,000	0	230,000	230,000	0	230,000
2012	2013	236,000	0	236,000	236,000	0	236,000
2011	2012	242,000	0	242,000	242,000	0	242,000
2010	2011	255,000	0	255,000	255,000	0	255,000
2009	2010	255,000	0	255,000	255,000	0	255,000
2008	2009	300,000	0	300,000	300,000	0	300,000
2007	2008	307,000	0	307,000	307,000	0	307,000
2006	2007	283,000	0	283,000	283,000	0	283,000
2005	2006	274,000	0	274,000	274,000	0	274,000
2004	2005	265,000	0	265,000	265,000	0	265,000
2003	2004	253,000	0	253,000	253,000	0	253,000
2002	2003	253,000	0	253,000	253,000	0	253,000
2001	2002	220,000	0	220,000	220,000	0	220,000
2000	2001	311,000	0	311,000	311,000	0	311,000
1999	2000	271,000	0	271,000	271,000	0	271,000
1998	1999	250,000	0	250,000	250,000	0	250,000
1997	1998	0	0	0	220,000	0	220,000

Reference
Links:

- King County Taxing Districts Codes and Levies (.PDF)
- King County Tax Links
- Property Tax Advisor
- Washington State Department of Revenue (External link)
- Washington State Board of Tax Appeals (External link)
- Board of Appeals/Equalization
- Districts Report
- iMap
- Recorder's Office
- Scanned images of surveys and other map documents

ADVERTISEMENT

King County Department of Assessments

Fair, Equitable, and Understandable Property Valuations

You're in: Assessor >> Look up Property Info >> eReal Property

Department
of
Assessments500 Fourth
Avenue,
Suite ADM-
AS-0708
Seattle, WA
98104Office Hours:
Mon - Fri
8:30 a.m. to
4:30 p.m.TEL: 206-
296-7300
FAX: 206-
296-5107
TTY: 206-
296-7888Send us
mail

ADVERTISEMENT

[New Search](#) [Property Tax Bill](#) [Map This Property](#) [Glossary of Terms](#) [Area Report](#) [Property Detail](#) 

PARCEL


Parcel Number	222407-9033
Name	RAGING RIVER QUARRY LLC
Site Address	
Legal	POR OF S 1/2 OF SW 1/4 LY W OF CENTER OF RAGING RIVER & S OF FOLG LN BEG 331.05 FT S OF NW COR OF SW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 TH N 77-06-00 E 1334.70 FT TO E LN SD SUB DIV 75 FT S OF NE COR THOF E 150 FT M/L TO CENTER OF RAGING RIVER LESS CO RD

BUILDING 1

Year Built		<input type="text" value="17"/>
Total Square Footage		
Number Of Bedrooms		
Number Of Baths		
Grade		
Condition		
Lot Size	1125154	
Views	No	
Waterfront	RIVER/SLOUGH	

TOTAL LEVY RATE DISTRIBUTION

Tax Year: 2016 Levy Code: 6694 Total Levy Rate: \$14.43755 Total Senior Rate: \$8.77176



42.82% Voter Approved

[Click here to see levy distribution comparison by year.](#)

TAX ROLL HISTORY

Valued Year	Tax Year	Appraised Land Value (\$)	Appraised Imps Value (\$)	Appraised Total (\$)	Taxable Land Value (\$)	Taxable Imps Value (\$)	Taxable Total (\$)
2015	2016	260,000	0	260,000	260,000	0	260,000
2014	2015	239,000	0	239,000	239,000	0	239,000
2013	2014	287,000	0	287,000	287,000	0	287,000
2012	2013	294,000	0	294,000	294,000	0	294,000
2011	2012	302,000	0	302,000	302,000	0	302,000
2010	2011	318,000	0	318,000	318,000	0	318,000
2009	2010	318,000	0	318,000	318,000	0	318,000
2008	2009	375,000	0	375,000	375,000	0	375,000
2007	2008	368,000	0	368,000	368,000	0	368,000
2006	2007	340,000	0	340,000	340,000	0	340,000
2005	2006	329,000	0	329,000	329,000	0	329,000
2004	2005	318,000	0	318,000	318,000	0	318,000
2003	2004	303,000	0	303,000	303,000	0	303,000
2002	2003	303,000	0	303,000	303,000	0	303,000
2001	2002	264,000	0	264,000	264,000	0	264,000
2000	2001	319,000	0	319,000	319,000	0	319,000
1999	2000	278,000	0	278,000	278,000	0	278,000
1998	1999	256,000	0	256,000	256,000	0	256,000
1997	1998	0	0	0	225,000	0	225,000
1996	1997	0	0	0	225,000	0	225,000
1994	1995	0	0	0	225,000	0	225,000

Reference
Links:

- [King County Taxing Districts Codes and Levies \(.PDF\)](#)
- [King County Tax Links](#)
- [Property Tax Advisor](#)
- [Washington State Department of Revenue \(External link\)](#)
- [Washington State Board of Tax Appeals \(External link\)](#)
- [Board of Appeals/Equalization](#)
- [Districts Report](#)
- [iMap](#)
- [Recorder's Office](#)
- [Scanned images of surveys and other map documents](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

1992	1993	0	0	0	221,400	0	221,400
1990	1991	0	0	0	169,000	0	169,000
1988	1989	0	0	0	87,400	0	87,400
1986	1987	0	0	0	87,400	0	87,400
1984	1985	0	0	0	78,200	0	78,200
1982	1983	0	0	0	78,200	0	78,200

ADVERTISEMENT

Updated: March 17, 2016

Share

Tweet

Email

Print

Information for...

Residents

Businesses

Job seekers

Volunteers

King County employees

Do more online

Trip Planner

Property tax information & payment

Jail inmate look up

Parcel viewer or iMap

Public records

More online tools...

Contact us

206-296-0100

Email us

Staff directory

Customer service

[Report a problem](#)[Subscribe to alerts](#)

Stay connected! [View King County social media](#)



© King County, WA 2016 [Privacy](#) [Accessibility](#) [Terms of use](#)

Information for...

Contact us

Do more online

King County Department of Assessments

Fair, Equitable, and Understandable Property Valuations

You're In: [Assessor](#) >> [Look up Property Info](#) >> [eReal Property](#)[Department of Assessments](#)

500 Fourth Avenue,
Suite ADM-AS-0708,
Seattle, WA 98104

Office Hours:
Mon - Fri
8:30 a.m. to
4:30 p.m.

TEL: 206-296-7300
FAX: 206-296-5107
TTY: 206-296-7888

[Send us mail](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

[New Search](#) [Property Tax Bill](#) [Map This Property](#) [Glossary of Terms](#) [Area Report](#) [Property Detail](#) 

PARCEL


Parcel Number	222407-9035
Name	RAGING RIVER QUARRY LLC
Site Address	
Legal	LOT 4 KC SHORT PLAT NO 880098 REC NO 8202050393 SD PLAT DAF - POR OF NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 & S 163 FT OF SE 1/4 OF NW 1/4 LY WLY OF RAGING RIVER LESS CO RD

BUILDING 1

Year Built		<input type="text" value="17"/>
Total Square Footage		
Number Of Bedrooms		
Number Of Baths		
Grade		
Condition		
Lot Size	219978	
Views	No	
Waterfront	RIVER/SLOUGH	

TOTAL LEVY RATE DISTRIBUTION

Tax Year: 2016 Levy Code: 6694 Total Levy Rate: \$14.43755 Total Senior Rate: \$8.77176



42.82% Voter Approved

[Click here to see levy distribution comparison by year.](#)

TAX ROLL HISTORY

Valued Year	Tax Year	Appraised Land Value (\$)	Appraised Imps Value (\$)	Appraised Total (\$)	Taxable Land Value (\$)	Taxable Imps Value (\$)	Taxable Total (\$)
2015	2016	190,000	0	190,000	190,000	0	190,000
2014	2015	175,000	0	175,000	175,000	0	175,000
2013	2014	139,000	0	139,000	139,000	0	139,000
2012	2013	143,000	0	143,000	143,000	0	143,000
2011	2012	147,000	0	147,000	147,000	0	147,000
2010	2011	155,000	0	155,000	155,000	0	155,000
2009	2010	155,000	0	155,000	155,000	0	155,000
2008	2009	183,000	0	183,000	183,000	0	183,000
2007	2008	186,000	0	186,000	186,000	0	186,000
2006	2007	172,000	0	172,000	172,000	0	172,000
2005	2006	167,000	0	167,000	167,000	0	167,000
2004	2005	162,000	0	162,000	162,000	0	162,000
2003	2004	155,000	0	155,000	155,000	0	155,000
2002	2003	201,000	0	201,000	201,000	0	201,000
2001	2002	175,000	0	175,000	175,000	0	175,000
2000	2001	223,000	0	223,000	223,000	0	223,000
1999	2000	194,000	0	194,000	194,000	0	194,000
1998	1999	179,000	0	179,000	179,000	0	179,000
1997	1998	0	0	0	157,600	0	157,600
1996	1997	0	0	0	157,600	0	157,600
1994	1995	0	0	0	157,600	0	157,600
1992	1993	0	0	0	128,500	0	128,500

Reference Links:

- [King County Taxing Districts Codes and Levies \(.PDF\)](#)
- [King County Tax Links](#)
- [Property Tax Advisor](#)
- [Washington State Department of Revenue \(External link\)](#)
- [Washington State Board of Tax Appeals \(External link\)](#)
- [Board of Appeals/Equalization](#)
- [Districts Report](#)
- [iMap](#)
- [Recorder's Office](#)
- [Scanned images of surveys and other map documents](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

1990	1991	0	0	0	98,100	0	98,100
1988	1989	0	0	0	44,500	0	44,500
1986	1987	0	0	0	44,500	0	44,500
1984	1985	0	0	0	25,000	0	25,000
1983	1984	0	0	0	25,000	0	25,000
1982	1983	0	0	0	18,600	0	18,600

[ADVERTISEMENT](#)

Updated: March 17, 2016

[Share](#)

[Tweet](#)

[Email](#)

[Print](#)

Information for...

[Residents](#)
[Businesses](#)
[Job seekers](#)
[Volunteers](#)
[King County employees](#)

Do more online

[Trip Planner](#)
[Property tax information & payment](#)
[Jail inmate look up](#)
[Parcel viewer or iMap](#)
[Public records](#)
[More online tools...](#)

Contact us

[206-296-0100](#)
[Email us](#)
[Staff directory](#)
[Customer service](#)
[Report a problem](#)
[Subscribe to alerts](#)

Stay connected! [View King County social media](#)



© King County, WA 2016 [Privacy](#) [Accessibility](#) [Terms of use](#)

Information for...

Contact us

Do more online

Appendix B

Resource Review & Off-site Analysis Documentation

FEMA Map (53033C0717 G)

USDA NRCS Site Soils Map

Sensitive Areas Map – King County iMap

Drainage Complaint Table

Raging River Impairments



APPROXIMATE SCALE IN FEET



NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

FIRM

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

KING COUNTY,
WASHINGTON, AND
INCORPORATED AREAS

PANEL 717 OF 1725

(SEE MAP INDEX FOR PANELS NOT PRINTED)

CONTAINS:
COMMUNITY

NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX

KING COUNTY
INCORPORATED AREAS
SHOQUAME CITY OF


530071 0717 G
530080 0717 G


MAP NUMBER
53033C0717 G

MAP REVISED:
MAY 20, 1996



Federal Emergency Management Agency





MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Map Unit Polygons

Soil Map Unit Lines

Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

Blowout

Borrow Pit

Clay Spot

Closed Depression

Gravel Pit

Gravelly Spot

Landfill

Lava Flow

Marsh or swamp

Mine or Quarry

Miscellaneous Water

Perennial Water

Rock Outcrop

Saline Spot

Sandy Spot

Severely Eroded Spot

Sinkhole

Slide or Slip

Sodic Spot

Water Features

Streams and Canals

Transportation

Rails

Interstate Highways

US Routes

Major Roads

Local Roads

Background

Aerial Photography

Special Line Features

Spoil Area

Stony Spot

Very Stony Spot

Wet Spot

Other

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: King County Area, Washington
Survey Area Data: Version 10, Sep 30, 2014

Soil Survey Area: Snoqualmie Pass Area, Washington (Parts of King and Pierce Counties)
Survey Area Data: Version 13, Mar 3, 2015

Your area of interest (AOI) includes more than one soil survey area. These survey areas may have been mapped at different scales, with a different land use in mind, at different times, or at different levels of detail. This may result in map unit symbols, soil properties, and interpretations that do not completely agree across soil survey area boundaries.


Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 1, 2011—Aug 20, 2011


The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

0DS8QLW/HJHQG


.LQJ&RXQW\ \$UHD:DVKLQJWRQ:\$			
0DS8QLW6\PERO	0DS8QLW1DPH	\$FUHVLQ\$2,	3HUFHQWRI\$2,
\$N)	\$OGHUZRREGDQG.LWVDSVRLOV YHU\VVHHS		
0D	0L[HGDOOXYLDOODQG		
2Y)	2YDOOJUDYHOO\ORDPWR SHUFHQWVORSHV		
3F	3LOFKXFNORDP\LQHVDQG		
6XEWRWDOVIRU6RLO6XUYH\ \$UHD			
7RWDOVIRU \$UHDRI,QWHUHVW			
6QRTXDOPLH3DVV \$UHD:DVKLQJWRQ3DUWVRI.LQJDQG3LHUFH&RXQWLHV:\$			
0DS8QLW6\PERO	0DS8QLW1DPH	\$FUHVLQ\$2,	3HUFHQWRI\$2,
	3LOFKXFNORDP\LQHVDQGWR SHUFHQWVORSHV		
6XEWRWDOVIRU6RLO6XUYH\ \$UHD			
7RWDOVIRU \$UHDRI,QWHUHVW			



!LQJ&RXQW\LODS

[illegible]

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT



Complaint: #2009-1108


ProblemType: FCR,FacilityComplaint-Residential

Problem: MNM,NeedsMaintenance

DateClosed: 2/11/2010

This complaint was a maintenance complaint on a residential lot. Complaint was addressed and closed.

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT



Complaint: #20060743

ProblemType: FCR, Facility Complaint-Residential


Problem: MMN, Needs Maintenance

DateClosed: 12/06/2006

This complaint was a maintenance complaint on a residential lot. Complaint was addressed and closed.

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT




Complaint: #2009-0838

ProblemType: FI,StormwaterMaintenanceFeeInvestigation

Problem: REM,Remeasure

DateClosed: 12/30/2009

Thiscomplaintwasafeecomplaint.Complaintwasaddressedbyaremeasurementandclosed.



Complaint: #2009-0763


ProblemType: FI,StormwaterMaintenanceFeeInvestigation

Problem: REM,Remeasure

DateClosed: 12/30/2009

This complaint was a fee complaint. Complaint was addressed by a remeasurement and closed.

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT



Complaint: #20090839


ProblemType: FI,StormwaterMaintenanceFeeInvestigation

Problem: REM,Remeassure

DateClosed: 12/30/2009

Thiscomplaintwasafeecomplaint.Complaintwasaddressedbyaremeasurementandclosed.

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT



Complaint: #19900069


ProblemType: C,ActionRequest

Problem: Erosion

DateClosed: 1/26/1990

Thiscomplaintwasanerosioncomplaint.Complaintwasaddressedandclosed.Thiscomplaintshows thatthereisanerosionpotential.

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT



Complaint: #19990643


ProblemType: WQR,WaterQualityEngineeringReview

Problem: Pipes

DateClosed: 7/26/2009

This complaint was a water quality complaint. This complaint indicates that pipes were discharging to Raging River. Complaint was addressed and closed.

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT



Complaint: #1996-1001


ProblemType: C,ActionRequest

Problem: FLDG,Flooding(?)

DateClosed: 5/16/1996

This complaint appears to be flood related. Complaint was addressed and closed.

DRAINAGECOMPLAINT



Complaint: #2011-0820

ProblemType: FIR,StormwaterFeeInvestigationReview

Problem: SFTD,65/10Discount

DateClosed: 5/10/2012

This complaint appears to be related to the fees. Complaint indicates that an inquiry was made, recipient was/is eligible for a discount, but no response was received. Complaint was addressed and closed.

Appendix C

Vault Sizing

KCRTS Hydrologic Soils Group Table (Table 3.2.2.B)

Rainfall Region & Regional Scale Factor (Figure 3.2.2.A)

Mean Annual Storm Precipitation (Figure 6.4.1.A)


KCRTS Input File


KCRTS Output Files

TABLE 3.2.2.B EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN SCS SOIL TYPES AND KCRTS SOIL TYPES

SCS Soil Type	SCS Hydrologic Soil Group	KCRTS Soil Group	Notes
Alderwood (AgB, AgC, AgD)	C	Till	
Arents, Alderwood Material (AmB, AmC)	C	Till	
Arents, Everett Material (An)	B	Outwash	1
Beausite (BeC, BeD, BeF)	C	Till	2
Bellingham (Bh)	D	Till	3
Briscot (Br)	D	Till	3
Buckley (Bu)	D	Till	4
Earlmont (Ea)	D	Till	3
Edgewick (Ed)	C	Till	3
Everett (EvB, EvC, EvD, EwC)	A/B	Outwash	1
Indianola (InC, InA, InD)	A	Outwash	1
Kitsap (KpB, KpC, KpD)	C	Till	
Klaus (KsC)	C	Outwash	1
Neilton (NeC)	A	Outwash	1
Newberg (Ng)	B	Till	3
Nooksack (Nk)	C	Till	3
Norma (No)	D	Till	3
Orcas (Or)	D	Wetland	
Oridia (Os)	D	Till	3
Ovall (OvC, OvD, OvF)	C	Till	2
Pilchuck (Pc)	C	Till	3
Puget (Pu)	D	Till	3
Puyallup (Py)	B	Till	3
Ragnar (RaC, RaD, RaE)	B	Outwash	1
Renton (Re)	D	Till	3
Salal (Sa)	C	Till	3
Sammamish (Sh)	D	Till	3
Seattle (Sk)	D	Wetland	
Shalcar (Sm)	D	Till	3
Si (Sn)	C	Till	3
Snohomish (So, Sr)	D	Till	3
Sultan (Su)	C	Till	3
Tukwila (Tu)	D	Till	3
Woodinville (Wo)	D	Till	3
Notes: 1. Where outwash soils are saturated or underlain at shallow depth (<5 feet) by glacial till, they should be treated as till soils. 2. These are bedrock soils, but calibration of HSPF by King County DNRP shows bedrock soils to have similar hydrologic response to till soils. 3. These are alluvial soils, some of which are underlain by glacial till or have a seasonally high water table. In the absence of detailed study, these soils should be treated as till soils. 4. Buckley soils are formed on the low-permeability Osceola mudflow. Hydrologic response is assumed to be similar to that of till soils.			

FIGURE 3.2.2.A RAINFALL REGIONS AND REGIONAL SCALE FACTORS





result, generates large amounts of runoff. For this application, till soil types include Buckley and bedrock soils, and alluvial and outwash soils that have a seasonally high water table or are underlain at a shallow depth (less than 5 feet) by glacial till. U.S. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) hydrologic soil groups that are classified as till soils include a few B, most C, and all D soils. See Chapter 3 for classification of specific SCS soil types.

KCRTS INPUTS

KCRTS Program...File Directory:

C:\KC_SWDM\KC_DATA\

[C] CREATE a new Time Series

LA

0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Forest
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Pasture
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Grass
32.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Forest
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Pasture
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Grass
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Wetland
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Impervious

10001_Predev.tsf

T

1.20000

T

[T] Enter the Analysis TOOLS Module

[P] Compute PEAKS and Flow Frequencies

10001_predev.tsf

10001_Predev.pks

[R] RETURN to Previous Menu

[C] CREATE a new Time Series

LA

0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Forest
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Pasture
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Till Grass
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Forest
32.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Pasture
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Outwash Grass
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Wetland
0.00	0.00	0.000000	Impervious

10001_Dev.tsf

T

1.20000

T

[T] Enter the Analysis TOOLS Module

[P] Compute PEAKS and Flow Frequencies

10001_dev.tsf

10001_Dev.pks

Appendix D

Conveyance Calculations

AppendixD:ConveyanceCalculations:TESC

For the purpose of these sediment ponds, the site contains two drainage management areas, the initial phase and the expanded phase, totaling 21.25 acres. These areas both convey flows toward the sediment ponds (Pond 'A', Pond 'B' and Pond 'C'). The ponds are designed as a settling mechanism, removing sediment, and settling sediment laden waters before discharging.

The calculations for the sizing of the proposed sediment ponds are included below. The ponds will be utilized as a retention facility with an emergency outfall directed toward the infiltration ponds. The soils located on the site effectively infiltrate stormwater.

Flow Frequency Analysis						
Time Series File:10001_dev.tsf						
Project Location:Landsburg						
---Annual Peak Flow Rates---			-----Flow Frequency Analysis-----			
Flow Rate (CFS)	Rank	Time of Peak	- - Peaks - -	Rank	Return Period	Prob
1.21	4	2/08/01 19:00	4.78	1	100.00	0.990
0.031	7	1/02/02 22:45	2.72	2	25.00	0.960
1.63	3	2/28/03 15:15	1.63	3	10.00	0.900
0.030	8	9/20/04 9:45	1.21	4	5.00	0.800
2.72	2	1/27/05 8:15	0.332	5	3.00	0.667
0.327	6	2/25/06 2:30	0.327	6	2.00	0.500
0.332	5	11/23/06 19:45	0.031	7	1.30	0.231
4.78	1	1/09/08 7:30	0.030	8	1.10	0.091
Computed Peaks			4.09		50.00	0.980

For the purpose of this report, the volumetric flows for a 32.00 acre development were analyzed. To reduce the volumetric to size the sediment ponds for the initial phase plus the expanded phase (21.25 acres), the following reduction is applied:

$$21.25/32.00=0.664(\text{reduction factor})$$

Sizing Formula Dry Season:

$$SA=2 \times Q_{2\text{year}}/0.00096 \text{ or } 2080 \text{ square feet per cfs of inflow}$$

$$(2080 \text{ square feet per cfs of inflow}) \times (0.500 \text{ cfs}) \times (0.664) = 691 \text{ square feet required}$$

Sizing Formula Wet Season:

$$SA=2 \times Q_{10\text{year}}/0.00096 \text{ or } 2080 \text{ square feet per cfs of inflow}$$

$$(2080 \text{ square feet per cfs of inflow}) \times (0.900 \text{ cfs}) \times (0.664) = 1,244 \text{ square feet required}$$

Three basins, totaling = 1,676 square feet achieved

Appendix E

Special Reports and Studies

Geotechnical report by Riley Group



STORMWATER INFILTRATION EVALUATION

PREPARED BY:

**THE RILEY GROUP, INC.
17522 BOTHELL WAY NORTHEAST BOTHELL,
WASHINGTON 98011**

PREPARED FOR:

**RAGING RIVER QUARRY
c/o MR. JOHN PRIEBE
3123 NORTHEAST HARRISON STREET ISSAQUAH,
WASHINGTON 98029**

RGI PROJECT No. 2016-088A

**STORMWATER INFILTRATION EVALUATION
RAGING RIVER QUARRY
KING COUNTY TAX PARCELS 2224079011, 2224079033, AND 2224079035
FALL CITY, WASHINGTON**

AUGUST 15, 2016

Corporate Office

17522 Bothell Way Northeast

Bothell, Washington 98011

Phone 425.415.0551 C Fax 425.415.0311

www.riley-group.com

August 15, 2016

John Priebe
Raging River Quarry
3123 Northeast Harrison Street
Issaquah, Washington 98029

**Subject: Stormwater Infiltration Assessment
Raging River Quarry
King County Tax Parcels 2224079011, 2224079033, and 2224079035
Fall City, Washington
RGI Project No. 2016-088A**


Dear Mr. Priebe:

As requested, The Riley Group, Inc. (RGI) has performed a Stormwater Infiltration Assessment regarding the existing stormwater ponds at the Raging River Quarry site in Fall City, Washington (herein referred to as the Site). The location of the Site is shown on Figure 1. Our services were completed in accordance with our workplan dated June 28, 2016 and authorized by Mr. John Priebe on July 13, 2016.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

THE RILEY GROUP, INC.



David J. Baumgarten, L.H.G.
Senior Hydrogeologist

Ricky R. Wang, PhD, PE
Principal Engineer

1.0 Introduction

The purpose of this evaluation was to assess infiltration rates and subsurface conditions in the existing Site stormwater ponds and a stormwater pond reserve area as designated by Core Design. Our infiltration assessment focused on the area of nested and connected stormwater ponds northeast of the Site access road and scale house. This area includes Pond D, Pond E, Pond F, Pond G, and Pond H, as well as the area reserved for future ponds, as necessary, as shown on Figure 2.

RGI understands the Site stormwater ponds have existed for decades and have functioned well in managing/infiltrating Site stormwater runoff. We also understand that the Site stormwater ponds in this area have an outfall from Pond H into the 200 foot buffer between mining operations and the Raging River. The current Site owner indicated there has not been any stormwater outfall from Pond H to the river buffer during the history of Site operations with all of the stormwater infiltrating in the stormwater pond system.

As part of an application to King County regarding continued quarrying at the site, the County requested a study to document infiltration rates in the existing stormwater ponds.

2.0 Site Description

The Site is comprised of three King County Tax Parcels 2224079011, 2224079033, and 2224079035 accessed from Preston-Fall City Road, near Fall City, Washington. The three tax parcels comprise an area of 51.2 acres. The Site is currently occupied by an active rock quarry.

3.0 Site Conditions

3.1 SURFACE

The Site is a rock quarry, located on the north side of the Raging River between Preston and Fall City, Washington. Access to the Site is from Preston-Fall City Road with a paved access road to a wooden bridge which spans the Raging River, followed by additional paved road to the scale house located on the lower portion of the quarry. Site slopes are generally to the east toward the Raging River between 6% and 140%. Runoff from the upper active quarrying area sheet flows across the quarry area and is then intercepted by a series of ditches which ultimately discharge to the stormwater ponds (Ponds E through H).

3.2 GEOLOGY

Review of the *Geologic Map of the Fall City 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, King County, Washington* by Joe Dragovich, et. al. (2007) indicates the bedrock at the quarry is Tukwila Formation, tuff (Evt_t), which generally consists of andesitic tuff breccia. Unconsolidated geologic units mapped in the area of the stormwater ponds include alluvial fan deposits (Qaf) and landslide deposits (Qls).

3.3 SOILS

In general, subsurface conditions in the stormwater pond area consists of a layer of brown fine to medium sand, approximately 8 to 10 feet thick, underlain by brown fine to coarse sand with gravel and cobbles. Both the fine to medium sand and the underlying coarse sand with gravel and cobbles are interpreted to be alluvial fan deposits.

Silty sand with a gravel was encountered in the infiltration test IT-2 excavation, completed in Pond F. The coarser grained faction (gravel/cobbles) of the sediment appeared similar to the sediments described above, however the silt content of the finer grained faction (sand) was much higher. This could be a depositional anomaly. It might also be an accumulation of silt in the upper end of Pond F as the IT-1 excavation was in the north end of Pond F immediately down gradient of the inflow from Pond E.

3.4 GROUNDWATER

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the subsurface explorations completed in the stormwater pond area to the maximum depths of the excavations. Test pits completed in Pond E, F, and G extended to a depth of approximately eight feet below the bottom of the ponds. No signs of mottling, which could indicate seasonal high groundwater levels, were observed in the test pits completed in the pond bottoms.

4.0 Field Explorations

On July 20 and August 2, 2016, RGI oversaw the completion of three infiltration tests (IT-1, IT-2, and IT-3) in the bottoms of Pond E (IT-1), Pond F (IT-2), and Pond G (IT-3), as shown on Figure 2. RGI also observed the completion of test pits TP-1 and TP-2. Test pit TP-1 was completed in the reserve area for a future sediment/infiltration pond. Test pit TP-2 was completed in the bottom of Pond G. Soil logs of subsurface conditions encountered in infiltration test pits (IT-1, IT-2, and IT-3) and test pits TP-1 and TP-2 are included in Attachment A.

Infiltration test were conducted using a modified pilot infiltration test (PIT) methodology. An excavation as made at the infiltration test locations in the bottom of Pond E and Pond F and then water was introduced into the infiltration test pit. A water level between 0.5

and 1 foot was maintained in the infiltration test pit to presoak the subsurface. The infiltration rates were measured under falling head conditions after the presoaking period.

Infiltration Test IT-1

Infiltration test IT-1 was completed in the bottom of Pond E (Figure 2). Subsurface conditions at the IT-1 location consist of brown fine to coarse sand with gravel and cobbles.

Infiltration test IT-1 was conducted at a depth of approximately 2 feet below the bottom of Pond E. The infiltration pit measured approximately four by four feet. A staff gauge was placed in the base of the infiltration test pit to monitor water levels and water was introduced into the infiltration test pit. A water level of at least 0.5 feet was maintained in the IT-1 during the soaking period. Approximately 3,600 gallons of water were introduced into IT-1. The field infiltration rate measured in IT-1 was approximately 85 inches/hour in the coarse sand and gravel in the bottom of Pond E.

The infiltration test IT-1 pit was overexcavated at the end of the infiltration test. Subsurface conditions included brown fine to coarse sand and gravel with cobbles to the depth excavated, approximately 8 feet below the bottom of Pond E. Groundwater was not encountered in the IT-1 overexcavation and no signs of seasonal high groundwater (mottling) were observed.

Infiltration Test IT-2

Infiltration test IT-2 was completed in the bottom of Pond F (Figure 2). Subsurface conditions at the IT-2 location consist of brown silty fine to coarse sand with gravel and cobbles.

Infiltration test IT-2 was conducted at a depth of approximately 2 feet below the bottom of Pond F. The infiltration pit measured approximately three by four feet. A staff gauge was placed in the base of the infiltration test pit to monitor water levels and water was introduced into the infiltration test pit. A water level of at least 0.5 feet was maintained in the IT-2 pit during the soaking period. Approximately 500 gallons of water were introduced into IT-1. The field infiltration rate measured in IT-2 was approximately 4 inches/hour.

The infiltration test IT-2 pit was overexcavated at the end of the infiltration test. Subsurface conditions included brown silty fine to coarse sand and gravel with cobbles to the depth excavated, approximately 7 feet below the bottom of Pond F. Groundwater was not encountered in the IT-2 overexcavation and no signs of seasonal high groundwater (mottling) were observed.

Infiltration Test IT-3

Infiltration test IT-3 was completed in the bottom of Pond G (Figure 2). Subsurface conditions at the IT-3 location consist of brown silty fine to coarse sand with gravel and cobbles.

Infiltration test IT-3 was conducted at a depth of approximately 3 feet below the bottom of Pond G. The infiltration pit measured approximately three by four feet. A staff gauge was placed in the base of the infiltration test pit to monitor water levels and water was introduced into the infiltration test pit. A water level of at least 0.5 feet was maintained in the IT-3 pit during the soaking period. Approximately 3,600 gallons of water were introduced into IT-3. The field infiltration rate measured in IT-3 was approximately 150 inches/hour.

The infiltration test IT-3 pit was overexcavated at the end of the infiltration test. Subsurface conditions included brown silty fine to coarse sand and gravel with cobbles to the depth excavated, approximately 8 feet below the bottom of Pond G. Groundwater was not encountered in the IT-3 overexcavation and no signs of seasonal high groundwater (mottling) was observed.

5.0 Laboratory Testing

Samples of the infiltration receptor sediments from Ponds E, F and G, and from TP-1 in the reserve area were transported to our laboratory for grain size analysis. The results and descriptions of the laboratory tests are enclosed in Appendix B.

Grain size analyses show good correlation with field measured infiltration rates.

- x The highest field measured infiltration rate of approximately 150 inches/hour in infiltration test IT-3 corresponds to a grain size analysis which determined the infiltration receptor sediment is a sandy gravel, with less than 3 percent fines (minus 200).
- x The next highest field measured infiltration rate of approximately 85 inches/hour in infiltration test IT-1 corresponds to a grain size analysis which determined the infiltration receptor sediment is a gravely sand with less than 5 percent fines (minus 200).

- x The lowest field measured infiltration rate of approximately 3.6 inches/hour in infiltration test IT-2 corresponds to a grain size analysis which determined the infiltration receptor sediment is a silty sand with 25 percent fines (minus 200).
- x The grain-size analysis for the sediment sample from TP-1, in the future reserve area, shows a similar grain size distribution to the grain size analyses from IT-1 and IT-3. Grain-size analysis for the stormwater receptor sediments indicates a gravelly sand for IT-1 and a sandy gravel for IT-3. The grain-size analysis for the stormwater receptor sediment in TP-1 indicates a well graded gravel with some sand with less than two percent fines.

6.0 Design Infiltration Rate

The “simplified method” described in Section 5.4.1 (KCSWDM, 2009) was used to evaluate a long-term design infiltration rate from the field measured rates using the modified PIT methodology. The simplified methodology includes correction factors for uncertainties in testing, depth to groundwater or impervious layer, infiltration facility geometry, and potential reductions in permeability from biological activity or plugging with fines. The simplified method estimates the maximum design infiltration rate.

Simplified Method I_{design}

$$I_{design} = I_{measured} \times F_{testing} \times F_{geometry} \times F_{plugging}$$

Where:

I_{design} = design infiltration rate

$I_{measured}$ = field measured infiltration rate

$F_{testing}$: $F_{testing}$ accounts for uncertainties in the testing method

$F_{geometry}$: $F_{geometry}$ accounts for the influence of the infiltration facility geometry and depth to ground water or an impervious layer on the infiltration rate.

$F_{plugging}$: $F_{plugging}$ accounts for potential reductions in infiltration rates over time due to the plugging of the pond surfaces.

$I_{measured}$

$I_{measured}$ was an average of the three infiltration test completed in Pond E (IT-1), Pond F (IT-2) and Pond G (IT-3).

Infiltration Test

Field Measured Rate

Corporate Office
17522 Bothell Way Northeast
Bothell, Washington 98011
Phone 425.415.0551 C Fax 425.415.0311

IT-1	85 inches/hour
IT-2	3.6 inches/hour
IT-3	150 inches/hour

An $I_{measured} = 80$ inches/hour was used in the simplified method calculation

F_{testing}

$F_{testing}$ per the KCSWDM 2009 dictates an $F_{testing}$ value of 0.30 for small scale (EPA method tests) and an $F_{testing}$ of 0.50 for large-scale modified PIT testing. An $F_{testing}$ value of 0.50 was used in the simplified method calculation.

F_{geometry}

$F_{geometry}$ is determined by:

$F_{geometry} = 4 D/W + 0.05$ Where:

D = depth from the bottom of the proposed facility to the maximum wet-season water table or nearest impervious layer, whichever is less.

W = width of facility

Because the stormwater facilities are not a single facility but a series of elongated ponds separated by check dams with overflow and the fact neither groundwater or an impervious layer were encountered, estimating $F_{geometry}$ was difficult. Therefore, we applied a conservative $F_{geometry}$ factor of 0.25.

F_{plugging}

$F_{plugging}$ values are presented in the 2009 KCSWDM (pg 5-59) based on soil types. A value of 1.0 was chosen for the coarse sands and cobbles in the pond bottoms and the fact the infiltration ponds are preceded by a water quality facility (settling pond).

Simplified Method I_{design}

$I_{design} = I_{measured} \times F_{testing} \times F_{geometry} \times F_{plugging}$

$I_{design} = (80 \text{ inches/hour}) \times (0.50) \times (0.25) \times (1.0)$

I_{design} = 10 inches/hour

Based on the Site infiltration testing results and application of the KCSWDM simplified method a maximum design infiltration rate of 10 inches/hour was calculated for the coarse sand and gravel with cobbles stormwater receptor sediments in the stormwater pond area.

7.0 Discussion

RGI conducted three modified Pilot infiltration tests in the bottom of ponds E, F, and G. Based on our review of the field measured rates and application of the simplified method for evaluation field measured infiltration rates, we calculated a maximum long-term design infiltration rate of 10-inches/hour.

Subsurface conditions observed in the field indicate the stormwater infiltration receptor sediments at the Site are a coarse sand and gravel with cobbles, interpreted to be alluvial fan deposits. The grain-size analysis indicates the stormwater receptor sediment in the future reserve area is similar in composition to the stormwater receptor sediments in the bottom of Ponds E and G, as such we would expect similar infiltration rates.

Indications of seasonal high groundwater were not observed in the subsurface explorations below the bottom of ponds E, F, and G and the exploration in the future reserve stormwater management area. Explorations in ponds E, F, and G extended to the maximum depth possible the excavator could reach, approximately 8 feet below the pond bottoms. Site specific survey data indicate the pond bottom elevations of the existing stormwater ponds are approximately 18 to 11 feet above the ordinary high water mark for the Raging River where it flows under the access bridge into the quarry.

8.0 PROJECT LIMITATIONS

This report is the property of Mr. John Priebe, the Raging River Quarry, and their authorized representatives or affiliates and was prepared in a manner consistent with the level of skill and care ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the same locality and under similar conditions. This report is intended for specific application to the Raging River Quarry located near Fall City, Washington. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

The analyses and recommendations presented in this report are based upon data obtained from our review of available information at the time of preparing this report, our observations of the infiltration testing and subsurface explorations in the stormwater pond

Corporate Office
17522 Bothell Way Northeast
Bothell, Washington 98011
Phone 425.415.0551 C Fax 425.415.0311

area, as well as, laboratory analysis of the stormwater receptor sediments. Conditional changes may occur through time by natural or human-made process on this or adjacent properties. Additional changes may occur in legislative standards, which may or may not be applicable to this report. These changes, beyond RGI's control, may render this report invalid, partially or wholly. If variations appear evident, RGI should be requested to reevaluate the recommendations in this report.


Please contact the undersigned at (425) 415-0551 should you have any questions or need additional information.


Attachments: Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map

Figure 2, Site Map

Figure 3, Site Representation with Cross Section A-A'

*Attachment A, Infiltration Test/Test Pits Logs Attachment
B, Grain-size Analyses*





USGS, 2014, Fall City, Washington
7.5-Minute Quadrangle

Approximate Scale: 1"=1000'
0 500 1000 2000





Corporate Office
17522 Bothell Way
Northeast
Bothell, Washington
98011

Phone: 425.415.0551

Fax: 425.415.0311

Raging River Quarry





Figure 1

RGI Project Number
2016-088A

Site Vicinity Map

Date Drawn
08/2016

Address: 32715 William Carmichael Road, Fall City, Washington 98024



	Corporate Office 17522 Bothell Way Northeast Bothell, Washington 98011 Phone: 425.415.0551 Fax: 425.415.0311	RGI Project Number 2016-088A	Address: 32715 William Carmichael Road, Fall City, Washington 98024
Raging River Quarry	Site Representation with Cross Section A - A'	Date Drawn: 08/2016	Figure 3

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**

Project Number: **2016-088A**

Client: **Raging River Quarry**



Test Pit No.: IT-1

Sheet 1 of 1

-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**
Project Number: **2016-088A**
Client: **Raging River Quarry**



- - - -
- - - -
- - - -

	Surface Conditions: Sand and Gravel (Pond Bottom)
	Total Depth of Excavation: 8 feet bgs
	Approximate Surface Elevation n/a
	Construction Method: Rock & Earth

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**

Project Number: **2016-088A**

Client: **Raging River Quarry**



Test Pit No.: IT-2

Sheet 1 of 1

-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**

Project Number: **2016-088A**

Client: **Raging River Quarry**



-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

— 20 —

	Surface Conditions: Sand and Gravel (Pond Bottom)
	Total Depth of Excavation: 8 feet bgs
	Approximate Surface Elevation n/a
	Construction Method: Rock & Earth

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**

Project Number: **2016-088A**

Client: **Raging River Quarry**



Test Pit No.: TP-1

Sheet 1 of 1

-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**

Project Number: **2016-088A**

Client: **Raging River Quarry**



- - - -

- - - -

- - - -

	Surface Conditions: Forest Duff
	Total Depth of Excavation: 14 feet bgs
	Approximate Surface Elevation n/a
	Geotechnical Method: Field & Lab

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**

Project Number: **2016-088A**

Client: **Raging River Quarry**



Test Pit No.: TP-2/IT-3

Sheet 1 of 1

-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**

Project Number: **2016-088A**

Client: **Raging River Quarry**



-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-

	Surface Conditions: Sand and Gravel (Pond Bottom)
	Total Depth of Excavation: 8 feet bgs
	Approximate Surface Elevation n/a
	Construction Method: Open Pit

Project Name: **Raging River Quarry**

Project Number: **2016-088A**

Client: **Raging River Quarry**



Key to Logs

Sheet 1 of 1


GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

	USCS	
DESCRIPTION	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS	

8

Prepared For: Raging River Quarry



RILEYGROUP

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLESAMPLE ID/TYPE		
PROJECT NO.SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH		

TECH/TEST DATE	2016-088 ELW 7/24/2016
----------------	---------------------------

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)

Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm) (w1)	Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm) (w2)	Weight of Tare (gm) (w3)
Weight of Water (gm) (w4=w1-w2)	Weight of Dry Soil (gm) (w5=w2-w3)	
Moisture Content (%)	(w4/w5)*100	

4386.2
4087.8
33.9
298.4
4053.9
7

USCS



ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

DEPTH

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	


DESCRIPTION	Gravelly SAND with trace silt
USCS	SP



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

Reviewed By:



--

USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

USCS	2016-088



THE RILEY GROUP, INC.
Bothell, WA 98011

PHONE: (425) 415-0551 17522 Bothell Way NE

FAX: (425) 415-0311

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

TECH/TEST DATE	ELW 7/24/2016
USCS	



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)

USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLESAMPLE ID/TYPE		
PROJECT NO.SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH		

Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm) (w1)	Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm) (w2)	Weight of Tare (gm) (w3)	2029.7
Weight of Water (gm) (w4=w1-w2)	Weight of Dry Soil (gm) (w5=w2-w3)		1761.3
USCS			

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

Moisture Content (%)

(w4/w5)*100

252.0

USCS

268.4



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

USCS		1509.3
		18

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT

PROJECT NO.

SAMPLE

Raging River


TITLE

SAMPLE ID/TYPE

DEPTH

% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"		
% C GRAVEL	6.8	3.0"		
USCS % F GRAVEL	5.6	2.5"		coarse gravel
% C SAND	1.2	2.0"		coarse gravel
% M SAND	24.4	1.5"		
% F SAND	37.5	1.0"		coarse gravel
% FINES	24.5	0.75"		
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"		fine gravel
		0.375"		
		#4		

Prepared For: Raging River Quarry



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	


DESCRIPTION	Silty SAND with trace gravel
USCS	SM



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

Reviewed By:



USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

USCS	2016-088A



THE RILEY GROUP, INC.
Bothell, WA 98011

PHONE: (425) 415-0551 17522 Bothell Way NE

FAX: (425) 415-0311

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

TECH/TEST DATE	EW - 8/5/2016
USCS	



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)

USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT

PROJECT NO.SAMPLE.

Raging River

TITLESAMPLE ID/TYPE

DEPTH

Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm) (w1) Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm) (w2) Weight of Tare (gm) (w3)

Weight of Water (gm) ($w_4 = w_1 - w_2$) Weight of Dry Soil (gm) ($w_5 = w_2 - w_3$)

USCS

3414.1

3301.5



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

Moisture Content (%)

(w4/w5)*100

34.0

USCS

112.6



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

			3267.5
			3

USCS



ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

DEPTH

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	


DESCRIPTION	Well-graded GRAVEL with some sand and trace silt
USCS	GW



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

Reviewed By:



--

USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

USCS	2016-088



THE RILEY GROUP, INC.
Bothell, WA 98011

PHONE: (425) 415-0551 17522 Bothell Way NE

FAX: (425) 415-0311

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

TECH/TEST DATE	ELW 7/24/2016
USCS	



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

WATER CONTENT (Delivered Moisture)

USCS



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	DEPTH		
	Raging River			

Wt Wet Soil & Tare (gm) (w1)	Wt Dry Soil & Tare (gm) (w2)	Weight of Tare (gm)	(w3)						2298.5
Weight of Water (gm) (w4=w1-w2)	Weight of Dry Soil (gm) (w5=w2-w3)								2191.8
USCS									

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

Moisture Content (%)

(w4/w5)*100

249.9

USCS

106.7



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

			1941.9
			5

USCS




GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	DEPTH		

Raging River

% COBBLES	0.0	12.0"		
% C GRAVEL	31.6	3.0"		
% F GRAVEL	27.7	2.5"		coarse gravel
% C SAND	12.0	2.0"		coarse gravel
% M SAND	19.5	1.5"		
% F SAND	6.7	1.0"		coarse gravel
% FINES	2.6	0.75"		
% TOTAL	100.0	0.50"		fine gravel
D10 (mm)	0.45	0.375"		#4



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

USCS	



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	


DESCRIPTION	Sandy GRAVEL with trace silt	
USCS	GP	



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS
ASTM D421, D422, D1140, D2487, D6913

PROJECT		TITLE	SAMPLE ID/TYPE	
PROJECT NO.	SAMPLE	Raging River	DEPTH	

Reviewed By:



--

USCS



Appendix F

Other Permits

Grading Permit, 1998



King County
Department of Development
and Environmental Services
900 Oakdale Avenue S.W.
Renton, Washington 98055-1219

Activity No: L98GR035
Project No: L73G1592
Page: 1 of 1
Date Issued:
Expires: 2-4-99

APPROVED

* GRADING PERMIT *

Permit Type: GRADING PERMIT RENEWAL

Type Code: G-EXTEND

Title: GRADING PERMIT #1592-599

Description: RAGING RIVER MINING

Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD
Parcel: 222407-9033 STR: SW,SW,22-24-07
Lot: Plat:

Zone: MF
Block:

Applicant: CADMAN GRAVEL
Appl. Address: P.O. BOX 538
REDMOND, WA 98073

Phone number: 206-867-1234

OTHER INFORMATION:

Total Site Area:	10	acres
Total Volume Disturbed:	UNKNOWN	yards
Vol. Fill/Exc. in 12 Mos:	0	yards
Non-Rehabilitated area:	10	acres
Rehabilitated area:	0	acres
Associated Permits:		

***** CONTACT *****

Please refer to the above "Project Number" when making inquiries regarding this application. For inquiries call 296-6610. FOR INSPECTIONS CALL 296-6610.

***** CERTIFICATION *****

I have read the attached conditions of approval and understand that failure to comply with all conditions set forth herein shall necessitate an immediate work stoppage until such time as compliance with the stipulated conditions is attained. Failure to comply with, or repeated violations of permit conditions, may result in permit suspension and/or revocation as provided for in K.C.C. Title 23. The granting of this permit shall not be construed as satisfying the requirements of other Federal, State or local government permits or authorizations. The operation to be undertaken through this grading Permit shall be conducted in accordance with the conditions contained herein and shall generally comply with the provisions of K.C.C. 16.28 and other applicable ordinances.

Owner/Owner's Agent Signature

7/12/98
Date

Remond
Place



King County
Department of Development
and Environmental Services
900 Oakesdale Avenue S.W.
Renton, Washington 98055-1219

Activity No: L98GR035
Project No: L73G1592
Page: 1 of 1
Status: APPROVED
Date: 06/15/98

* APPLICATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT *

=====
Permit Type : GRADING PERMIT RENEWAL
Type Code: G-EXTEND
=====

Title : GRADING PERMIT #1592-599
Description : GRADING RIVER MINING

Location : 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD
Parcel: 222407-9033 STR: SW,SW,22-24-07
Lot: Plat: Zone: MP
Block:

Applicant : CADMAN GRAVEL
Appl.Address: P.O. BOX 538
REDMOND, WA 98073
Phone number: 206-867-1234

OTHER INFORMATION:

Total Site Area:	10	acres
Total Volume Disturbed:	UNKNOWN	yards
Vol. Fill/Exc.in 12 Mos:	0	yards
Non-Rehabilitated area:	10	acres
Rehabilitated area:	0	acres
Associated Permits:		

***** CONTACT *****

Please refer to the above "Project Number" when making inquiries regarding this application. To make inquiries call 296-6610.

***** CERTIFICATION *****

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the information furnished by the owner or owner's agent in support of this application is true and correct. I further certify that all applicable King County requirements for the work authorized by this permit, if issued, will be met and that violation thereof will be cause for code enforcement action.


Owner/Owner's Agent Signature

7/12/98
Date

Redmond
Place





King County
Department of Development
and Environmental Services
900 Oakesdale Avenue S.W.
Renton, Washington 98055-1219

Applicant : CADMAN GRAVEL
Appl. Address: P.O. BOX 538
REDMOND, WA 98073
Phone Number: 206-867-1234

Activity No: L98GR035
Project No : L7361592
Page : 1 of 1
Date : 09/01/98

* GRADING PERMIT CONDITIONS *

The conditions attached to this cover sheet apply to the permit referenced here.
All conditions must be complied with by the contractor and verified by a
Grading Inspector (CALL 296-6610) or this permit will become null and void.

PROJECT REFERENCE INFORMATION:

Location : 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD
Title : GRADING PERMIT #1592-599
Description : RAGING RIVER MINING

OTHER INFORMATION:

Total Site Area:	10	acres
Total Volume Disturbed:	UNKNOWN	yards
Vol. Fill/Exc. in 12 Mos:	0	yards
Non-Rehabilitated area:	10	acres
Rehabilitated area:	0	acres
Associated Permits:		

REVIEWED BY:

(Grading)





King County
Department of Development
and Environmental Services
900 Oakesdale Avenue S.W.
Renton, Washington 98055-1219

** CONDITIONS OF PERMIT/APPROVAL **

DATE: 06/15/98

PAGE: 1

Activity No: C92G051R TYPE: G-RENEW

Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD

GRADING/MINING GENERAL COND'S

0005 - SITE SHALL BE OPERATED AT ALL TIMES IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE CONDITIONS OF DIVISION FILES #134-74-R, 007-80-SH, AND 122-86-R.

0030 - If work is to be suspended for 30 or more consecutive calendar days, permittee shall notify the Grading Section prior to the cessation of work indicating their intention to do so and also prior to restarting operations.

0051 - WORK SHALL BE LIMITED TO MINING WITHIN TAX PARCEL 2224079011 PER THE APPROVED PLANS ON FILE WITH THE GRADING SECTION DATED 2-2-83. WORK WITH TAX PARCELS 2224079010 & 2224079033 SHALL BE AUTHORIZED ONLY UPON APPROVAL OF REVISED PLANS TO BE SUBMITTED BY PERMITTEE.

0080 - All work shall comply with the provisions of King County Ordinance 3139, relating to noise control.

0096 - HOURS OF OPERATION SHALL BE LIMITED TO 7:00 A.M. TO 7:00 P.M. MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY, EXCEPT THAT LOADING OF TRUCKS SHALL BE LIMITED TO 7:30 A.M. TO 4:00 P.M. SATURDAY HOURS ARE LIMITED TO 8:00 A.M. TO 4:30 P.M. FOR MAINTENANCE ONLY.

0120 - Permittee shall abide by the regulations of the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency (PSAPCA).

0140 - You must call 1-800-424-5555 not less than 48 hours before beginning excavation where any underground utilities may be located. Failure to do so could mean bearing substantial repair costs (up to three times the cost of repairs to the service).

0160 - A Forest Practices Permit may be required by the Washington State Department of Natural Resources for clearing associated with this permit. Contact DNR at (206) 825-1631 for information.

0170 - A National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for surface water discharge and/or a Temporary Water Quality Modification permit may be required for this project. Contact the Washington State Department of Ecology at (206) 649-7000 for information.

0500 - A copy of the approved plans, conditions, and permit must be on the job site whenever construction is in progress.





Activity No: C926051R TYPE: G-RENEW
Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD

- 0600 - No external signs shall be permitted except those authorized by the King County Zoning Code or as required by this permit.
- 1150 - The tops and the toes of cut and fill slopes shall be set back from property boundaries as far as necessary for safety of the adjacent properties and to prevent damage resulting from water runoff or slope erosion.
- 1160 - The tops and the toes of cut and fill slopes shall be set back from structures as far as is necessary for adequacy of foundation support and to prevent damage as a result of water runoff or slope erosion.
- 2010 - Approval of this erosion/sedimentation control (ESC) plan does not constitute an approval of permanent road or drainage design (e.g. size and location of roads, pipes, restrictors, channels, retention facilities, utilities, etc.).
- 2020 - The implementation of these ESC plans and the construction, maintenance, replacement, and upgrading of these ESC facilities is the responsibility of the permittee until all construction is approved.
- 2030 - The boundaries of the clearing limits shown on this plan shall be clearly flagged in the field prior to construction. During the construction period, no disturbance beyond the flagged clearing limits shall be permitted. The flagging shall be maintained by the permittee for the duration of construction.
- 2040 - The ESC facilities shown on this plan must be constructed in conjunction with all clearing and grading activities, and in such a manner as to ensure that sediment-laden water does not enter the drainage system or violate applicable water standards. (KCC 9.04.090, KCC 9.12.025)
- 2110 - Stabilized construction entrances and wash pads shall be installed at the beginning of construction and maintained for the duration of the project. Additional measures may be required to ensure that all paved areas are kept clean for the duration of the project. (RCW 46.61.655.)
- 2130 - Where seeding for temporary erosion control is required, fast germinating grasses shall be applied at an appropriate rate (e.g. annual or perennial rye applied at approximately 80 pounds per acre).



Activity No: CP2G051R TYPE: G-RENEW

Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD



4060 - Waste or spoil piles shall be leveled.

4110 - Excavations not made to a water-producing depth shall be graded or backfilled in a manner to encourage the uses permitted within the underlying zone classification. Specifically: a) Grading or backfilling shall be made with non-noxious, nonflammable, noncombustible solids; b) The peaks and depressions of the area shall be reduced to a gently rolling topography in substantial conformity to the land area immediately surrounding and which will minimize erosion.

NEW
4120 - Where mining is by open pit, bench, or quarry methods, reclamation shall be performed in the following manner: a) Slopes between successive benches shall not, in unconsolidated material, be steeper than 1 and 1/2 foot horizontal to 1 foot vertical, and shall be topsoiled and revegetated; b) Slopes between successive benches in consolidated material shall have no prescribed angle of slope, and no attempt need be made to resurface or plant; c) All slopes in consolidated material shall be scaled of loose rock per the requirements of MSHA; d) Bench width and spacing shall be as shown on the reclamation plan as may be amended by King County and the Washington State Department of Natural Resources.

NEW
5010 - Road access to sites developed for mining or quarrying of minerals or materials shall be controlled by means of a gate. A sign warning of hazardous conditions, if such exist, shall be affixed to the gate or placed in a conspicuous manner near the gate. If the property has an exterior boundary line which is a common property line with developed R or S classified property, then a solid wall or fence not less than 5 feet in height shall be installed and maintained. (KCC 21.42.030A.)

NEW
5020 - Mining and quarrying shall be permitted up to within 10 feet of any property line other than Q-M classified property provided all provisions herein set forth are complied with and provided further that such mining or quarrying does not impair lateral support or cause earth movements or erosion to extend beyond the exterior boundary lines of the property. Structures or buildings shall not be located closer than 100 feet to an R or S property line, except where the common property line is so situated as to cause an elevation difference of 50 feet or more within said 100-foot setback, and in such case the required 100-foot setback may be reduced by the amount the slope distance exceeds the horizontal distance but in no event shall the structures or buildings be located closer than 50 feet to said common property line. Office buildings, scale facilities, equipment storage buildings, and other similar buildings or structures and stockpiles shall be excepted from this provision but shall not be located closer than 20 feet to an R or S property line.





** CONDITIONS OF PERMIT/APPROVAL **

DATE: 06/15/98

PAGE: 3

Activity No: C92G051R TYPE: G-RENEW

Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD

- 2140 - Where straw mulch for temporary erosion control is required, it shall be applied at a minimum thickness of 2 inches.
- 2160 - Temporary sediment control facilities shall be constructed in accordance with the details shown. Temporary sediment control facility locations may be moved to suit field conditions subject to approval of the engineer and applicable governmental agencies.
- 2170 - All ponds and ditches and other erosion-sedimentation facilities shall be maintained in good working condition throughout construction.
- 2190 - Grass seeding will be done using an approved hydro-seeder or as otherwise approved by DDES. The performance bond, if required, will not be released until the grass is established, unless otherwise approved by DDES.
- 2200 - The erosion and sedimentation control systems depicted on this drawing are intended to be minimum requirements to meet anticipated site conditions. As construction progresses and unexpected or seasonal conditions dictate, the permittee should anticipate that more siltation and sedimentation control facilities will be necessary to ensure complete siltation control on the proposed site. During the course of construction, it shall be the obligation and responsibility of the permittee to address any new conditions that may be created by his activities and to provide additional facilities over and above minimum requirements as may be needed to protect adjacent properties and water quality of the receiving drainage system.
- 4010 - Upon the exhaustion of minerals or materials or the permanent abandonment of the quarrying or mining operation, all buildings, structures, apparatus, or appurtenances accessory to the operation will be removed or otherwise dismantled to the satisfaction of the director.
- 4020 - All excavations must either be made to a water producing depth or backfilled and graded to allow natural drainage.
- 4050 - Excavations shall be reclaimed in a manner which will not allow water to collect and permit stagnant water to remain. Suitable drainage systems approved by the director shall be constructed or installed if natural drainage is not possible.





** CONDITIONS OF PERMIT/APPROVAL **

DATE: 06/15/98
PAGE: 5

Activity No: C926051R TYPE: G-RENEW
Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD

S property line. (KCC 12.42.030B.)

5030 - All uses shall conform to the landscaping requirements set forth in KCC Chapter 21.51. (KCC 21.42.030C.)

5040 - Emission of smoke from any source other than heat processing equipment shall not exceed a percentage smoke density (average smoke emission) of 30% except when building a new fire or when due to breakdowns of a temporary nature. Said percentage smoke density shall be measured in conformance with the methods set forth in the United States Bureau of Mines publication Information Circular 7118 entitled, "Ringelmann Smoke Chart" edition of August, 1955. Continuous readings at appropriate time intervals of not less than 30 seconds shall be made, and in no event shall the average smoke emission be calculated for a duration of less than 60 minutes. (KCC 21.42.090.)

5050 - Blasting and all other activities shall be so conducted that ground vibrations measured next to structures or buildings situated on adjacent "R" or "S" property do not exceed the maximum amplitude of ground vibrations as related to frequencies of vibrations set forth in the following table: (KCC 21.47.100(A).)

Table of Frequency - Amplitude Relations	
Frequency of Ground Motion in Cycles per Second	Maximum Amplitude of Ground Motion, in Inches
up to 10	not more than 0.0305
20	0.0153
30	0.0102
40	0.0076
50	0.0061
60	0.0051

5060 - Where ground frequency and displacement characteristics in relation to known quantities of detonated explosives have been determined by instrumentation, using either an accelerometer or a seismograph, the allowable quantity of explosives used in relation to distance may be established by the formula:

$$\frac{C}{D^2} K = 1$$

where D = Distance from the blast in feet

C = Quantity of explosive detonated instantaneously in pounds

K = Ground transmission constant

The energy ratio thus determined shall not exceed 1, and all measurements shall be taken at the most critical location. (KCC 21.42.100B.)





Activity No: C92G051R TYPE: G-RENEW

Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD

5070 - When ground characteristics for any specific blasting location have been determined by instrumentation, special explosives quantity limits for that location may be approved by the King County engineer, if said limits are computed and certified by a qualified vibration measurement specialist. (KEC 21.42.100C.)

5080 - In the absence of approved methods of instrumentation to restrict vibration to the levels specified in the foregoing table, the quantity of explosives used in blasting shall not exceed the following:

Quantity-Distance Table

Distance from the blast
area to the nearest

building, neither mine or
quarry-owned, nor mine or
quarry-leased in feet

Maximum quantity of explosives
per shot for instantaneous firing
or per delay for delay firing,
in pounds

Normal

Abnormal

	overburden	overburden (1)
100 See Fn (2)	340 See Fn (3)	70 (See Fn (4))
200	420 See Fn (5)	78 (See Fn (6))
300	525	100
400	635	125
500	800	160
600	950	200
700	1175	245
800	1500	300
900	1830	360
1000	2250	430
1200	3500	610
1400	-	820
1600	-	1250
1800	-	1900
2000	-	3000

Footnote (1) Abnormal overburden is that which is unusually deep (more than 50 feet to bedrock), has a water table near the surface, or is so composed as to be spongy, flexible, or reverberant.

Footnote (2) 100 feet shall be the minimum allowable distance when approved missile protection methods are used.

Footnote (3) No more than 10 pounds of explosive material shall be placed in any single charge.

Footnote (4) No more than 5 pounds of explosive material shall be placed in any single charge.

Footnote (5) No more than 20 pounds of explosive material shall be placed in any single charge.

Footnote (6) No more than 8 pounds of explosive material shall be placed in any single charge.

(KEC 21.42.100D.)



** CONDITIONS OF PERMIT/APPROVAL **

DATE: 06/15/98

PAGE: 7

Activity No: C926051R TYPE: G-RENEW
Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD

5090 - Mining and quarrying shall be conducted in a manner which will not allow water to collect and permit stagnant water to remain in excavations. (KCC 21.42.110.)

5100 - Maximum allowable daytime sound pressure levels as measured next to occupied buildings or structures situated on adjacent R or S property shall not exceed the following standards at least 90% of the time between the hours of 5:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.

Sound Pressure Levels

Frequency band in cycles/second	Sound pressure level in decibels re 0.0002 microbar
25 - 300	80
300 - 2400	70
Above 2400	60

Maximum allowable nighttime sound pressure levels as measured next to occupied buildings or structures situated on adjacent "R" or "S" property shall not exceed the following standards at least 90% of the time between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m.

Sound Pressure Levels

Frequency band in cycles/second	Sound pressure level in decibels re 0.0002 microbar
25 - 300	70
300 - 2400	63
Above 2400	55

Sound pressure levels shall be measured by a sound level meter and associated octave band filter manufactured according to standards prescribed by the American Standards Association. (KCC 21.42.050.)

5110 - Odors from gases or other odorous matter shall not be emitted in quantities as to be unreasonably offensive beyond the exterior property lines. (KCC 21.42.060.)

5120 - Toxic gases and matter shall not be emitted in quantities damaging to health, to animals, vegetation or property beyond the exterior property lines. (KCC 21.42.070.)

5130 - Dust, dirt, and fly ash or airborne solids from any source shall not be emitted in quantities as to adversely affect adjacent property. (KCC 21.42.080.)

5140 - No building or structure shall be located closer than 20 feet to property lines other than R or S zoned property or to a public right-of-way (KCC 21.42.160), except that if any such structure exceeds 45 feet in height, it should be set back from each property line 1 foot additional for each 1 foot it exceeds 45 feet.



King County
Department of Development
and Environmental Services
900 Oakesdale Avenue S.W.
Renton, Washington 98055-1219

** CONDITIONS OF PERMIT/APPROVAL **

DATE: 06/15/98

PAGE: 8

Activity No: C92G051R TYPE: G-RENEW

Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD

- 5160 - Fencing, where required by the director, to protect life, limb, and property shall be installed with lockable gates which must be closed and locked when not working the site. The fence must be no less than 5 feet in height, and the fence material shall have no horizontal opening larger than 2 inches.
- 7020 - During hauling operations, permittee shall provide effective dust control measures consisting of water, asphalt treated base, chemical dust palliatives, or equivalent measures to control dust from this operation.
- 7040 - Permittee shall be responsible for implementing all appropriate measures needed (i.e. paving, sweepers, and/or other techniques) to keep streets and roads used as haul routes for export or import of material clean and free from debris, mud, etc.



** CONDITIONS OF PERMIT/APPROVAL **

DATE: 06/15/98
PAGE: 6

Activity No: C92G051R TYPE: G-RENEW
Location: 32715 WILLIAM CARMICHAEL RD

- 5070 - When ground characteristics for any specific blasting location have been determined by instrumentation, special explosives quantity limits for that location may be approved by the King County engineer, if said limits are computed and certified by a qualified vibration measurement specialist. (KCC 21.42.100C.)
- 5080 - In the absence of approved methods of instrumentation to restrict vibration to the levels specified in the foregoing table, the quantity of explosives used in blasting shall not exceed the following:

Quantity-Distance Table

Distance from the blast area to the nearest building, neither mine or quarry-owned, nor mine or quarry-leased in feet	Maximum quantity of explosives per shot for instantaneous firing or per delay for delay firing, in pounds	
	Normal	Abnormal
100 See Fn (2)	overburden	overburden (1)
200	340 See Fn (3)	70 (See Fn (4))
300	420 See Fn (5)	78 (See Fn (6))
400	525	100
500	635	125
600	800	160
700	950	200
800	1175	245
900	1500	300
1000	1830	360
1200	2250	430
1400	3500	610
1600	-	820
1800	-	1250
2000	-	1900
		3000

Footnote (1) Abnormal overburden is that which is unusually deep (more than 50 feet to bedrock), has a water table near the surface, or is so composed as to be spongy, flexible, or reverberant.

Footnote (2) 100 feet shall be the minimum allowable distance when approved missile protection methods are used.

Footnote (3) No more than 10 pounds of explosive material shall be placed in any single charge.

Footnote (4) No more than 5 pounds of explosive material shall be placed in any single charge.

Footnote (5) No more than 20 pounds of explosive material shall be placed in any single charge.

Footnote (6) No more than 8 pounds of explosive material shall be placed in any single charge. (KCC 21.42.100D.)