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# PACIFIC RACEWAYS <br> TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS 

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes traffic impacts related to the proposed Pacific Raceways project. The general goals of this impact study concentrate on 1) the assessment of existing roadway conditions and intersection congestion, 2) forecasts of newly generated project traffic, 3) estimations of future delay, and 4) recommendations for mitigation. Preliminary tasks include the detailed collection of roadway information, road improvement information, and peak hour traffic counts. A level of service analysis for existing traffic conditions is then made to determine the present degree of intersection congestion. Based on this analysis, forecasts of future traffic levels on the surrounding street system are found. Following this forecast, the future service levels for the key intersections are investigated. As a final step, applicable conclusions and possible on-site or off-site mitigation measures are defined. The findings of this study are intended to ensure safe and efficient progression of vehicular and non-motorist traffic near the site.

## 2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Pacific Raceways project proposes to construct up to 200,000 square feet of industrial use buildings on an undeveloped parcel in Unincorporated King County (parcel number: 1021059003). The primary use of the development is to provide storage/racing garages for prospective tenants. The site is located just north of the existing Pacific Raceways track with 144th Street SE bordering to the west and 148th Avenue SE bordering to the east. Ingress/Egress to the site is planned at the existing access road bordering the south side of the site. Prior to construction and development of the industrial buildings, excavation and processing of materials will occur on-site for up to the first five years and material will be removed via truck transportation. Surrounding development consists of light residential uses. A six-year horizon was analyzed to depict conditions subsequent to excavation of materials and buildout of the proposed industrial buildings. Figure 1 on the following page shows the general site location and roadway network serving the site. A site plan illustrating the overall configuration of the project is portrayed on Figure 2.
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## 3. EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 3.1 Existing Street System

Roadways serving the proposed site consist of two- to four-lane roads which vary in width, terrain, and posted speeds. As indicated by their specific arterial designations, these roadways also vary in their overall function as part of the general network. The key streets near the site are described below.

SE 304th Street is an east-west, two-lane roadway which lies just north of the site and provides access to SR-18. The roadway has a posted speed limit of 35 mph and the road cross section in the area consists of one travel lane in either direction with turn lanes provided at major intersections. Shoulders are generally paved and vary in width. Grades are mild east of SR-18 near the site.

144th Avenue SE is a north-south, four-lane roadway that borders the west side of the project and provides primary access to the site. The total width of the roadway is approximately 40 feet with 10 foot wide travel lanes. Shoulders vary from paved to grass/gravel. Grades are rolling in areas.

### 3.2 Existing Peak Hour Volumes

Field data for this study was collected in February of 2016; the volumes were increased by 3 percent to estimate and reflect current 2017 data. The traffic counts were taken during the evening peak period between the hours of 4 PM and 6 PM . This specific peak period is targeted for analysis purposes since it generally represents a worst case scenario for industrial developments with respect to traffic congestion. This is primarily due to the common 8 AM to 5 PM work schedule and the greater number of personal trips occurring after work hours. Most commuters leave and return to their dwellings at the same time of day which translates to a natural peak in intersection traffic loads, especially when combined with the relatively large number of personal trips. Table 1 below portrays the key intersections of study while Figure 3 on the following page shows the existing weekday PM peak hour volumes.

|  | Table 1 <br> Study Area |
| :--- | :---: |
| Control | Intersection |
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### 3.3 Level of Service

Peak hour delays were determined through the use of the Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition. Capacity analysis is used to determine level of service (LOS) which is an established measure of congestion for transportation facilities. The range ${ }^{1}$ for intersection level of service is LOS A to LOS F with the former indicating the best operating conditions with low control delays and the latter indicating the worst conditions with heavy control delays. Detailed descriptions of intersection LOS are given in the 2016 Highway Capacity Manual. Level of service calculations were made through the use of the Synchro 10 analysis program. Table 2 below portrays existing LOS delays for the key intersections defined in the study area.

Table 2
Existing Level of Service
Delays given in seconds per vehicle

| Roadway | Intersecting | Control | LOS | Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SE 304th Street | SR-18 Westbound Ramp | TWSC $^{1}$ | C | 17.3 |
|  | SR-18 Eastbound Ramp | TWSC | B | 12.7 |
|  | 144th Street SE | TWSC | B | 10.6 |

1: TWSC: Two-Way Stop Control

Existing delays calculate at LOS C or better indicating mild disrupt to vehicular flow during the critical PM peak hour.

### 3.4 Pedestrian and Bicycle Traffic

| Signalized Intersections - Level of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Control Delay per |
|  | vel of Service | Vehicle (sec) |
|  | A | $\leq 10$ |
|  | B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ |
|  | C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ |
|  | D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ |
|  | E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ |
|  | F | $>80$ |
|  | Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition |  |


| Stop Controlled Intersections - Level of Service |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Control Delay per |  |
| Level of Service | Vehicle (sec) |

Observations for pedestrian and bicycle activity were made in the vicinity of the project during site visits. Given the nature of the area, there is currently little to no pedestrian traffic during normal commuter hours. Events associated with Pacific Raceways will not be impacted by the proposed project as operations are not intended to occur during these events. No conflicts between motorist and non-motorist traffic are anticipated.

### 3.5 Public Transit

A review of the Metro Transit regional bus schedule indicates that transit service is not provided directly to the project. Industrial developments would not be uses typically associated with any transit use.

## 4. FORECAST TRAFFIC DEMAND AND ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Project Trip Generation

Trip generation is used to determine the magnitude of project impacts on the surrounding street system. Typically, the Institute of Transportation Engineer's publication Trip Generation, 9th Edition would be used. However, with the proposed material excavating and processing an estimated trip generation was derived based on the amount of material proposed to be removed. Plans indicate up to 1,000,000 cubic yards of rock/gravel/dirt to be processed and removed from the site over a five year period. During this time, vehicle and truck activity will be required for material relocation and employee trips. Assuming a typical average of 250 days of operation per year, an estimated trip generation can be calculated:

200,000 cubic yards per year/250 days $=800$ cubic yards per day:

800 cubic yards/20 cubic yards per truck $=40$ trucks per day or 80 trips (inbound and outbound movements).

5-10 employees can be expected for this operation which equates to roughly 10-20 trips per day.

A total of 100 trips ( 80 truck trips +20 employee trips) can be expected on a typical day of excavation and processing.

As for the industrial buildings, forecast trip generation was derived from the Institute of Transportation Engineer's publications Trip Generation, 9th Edition. The designated land use for this project is defined as Industrial Park (LUC 130). Table 3 below summarizes the estimated project trip generation subsequent to excavation and material processing. Included are the average weekday traffic (AWDT) and the AM and PM peak hours. Refer to the appendix for trip generation output.

Table 3
Project Trip Generation

| Industrial Park <br> (200,000 sq. ft.) | Rates <br> (Trip Generation per 1,000 sq. ft.) | Trips |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AWDT | 6.83 | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 6}$ |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| In | 0.67 | 134 |
| Out | 0.15 | 30 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ |
|  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| In | 0.18 | 36 |
| Out | 0.67 | 134 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ |

### 4.2 Distribution \& Assignment

Trip distribution describes the process by which project generated trips are dispersed on the street network surrounding the site. Site generated trips are expected to follow the trip pattern shown in Figure 4 on the following page. This figure reflects work-based and home-based trips taken by project traffic during the PM peak hour. Distribution percentages are roughly based on the roadway network configuration and routes to SR18.

### 4.3 Roadway Improvements

A review of the latest King County Capital Improvement Program shows that no roadway improvement projects are planned in the immediate vicinity of the site.
Figure 4
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### 4.4 Peak Hour Volumes With and Without the Project

A 6-year horizon of 2023 was used for future traffic delay analysis; based on the trip generations provided above, the project buildout with the 200,000 square feet of industrial buildings is anticipated to create a greater demand of vehicular activity. For this reason, delay analysis targeted project buildout as delays associated with the excavation/material processing would be lower. Forecast 2023 background traffic volumes were derived by applying a 3 percent compound annual growth rate to the existing volumes shown on Figure 3. Forecast 2023 volumes without the proposed project are shown on Figure 5. Forecast 2023 volumes with project generated traffic are shown on Figure 6.

### 4.5 Future Level of Service

Level of service analyses were made of the future PM peak hour volumes without and with project related trips added to the key roadways and intersections. This analysis once again involved the use of the Synchro 10 analysis program. Delays for the key intersections under future conditions are shown below in Table 4.

Table 4
Forecast 2023 PM Peak Hour Level of Service
Delays given in Seconds per Vehicle

| Roadway |  |  | Without Project |  | With Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intersecting | Control | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| SE 304th Street | SR-18 Westbound Ramp | TWSC | D | 25.1 | D | 26.7 |
|  | SR-18 Eastbound Ramp | TWSC | C | 15.0 | C | 18.3 |
|  | 144th Avenue SE | TWSC | B | 11.1 | B | 14.0 |

As indicated above, forecast 2023 PM peak hour delays are anticipated to operate with delays up to LOS D without or with the proposed project. The addition of project generated traffic is not shown to significantly impact the roadway system. It should be noted that given the proximity to the Pacific Raceways track directly to the south, operations for the proposed excavation/processing as well as the industrial buildings will not take place during large events to avoid any potential conflicts. The project site will continue to be used as additional/overflow parking during evens associated with Pacific Raceways. The LOS delays above reflect typical weekday operations.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

The incoming project proposes to construct up to 200,000 square feet of industrial type buildings that mainly consist of garage and/or other race related uses. The project is located in Unincorporated King County on tax parcel: 1021059003 with 144th Avenue SE bordering the west and 148 th Street SE bordering the east. Access to the site is planned via the existing access roadway bordering the south side of the site. Currently there is a parking lot on-site that provides additional parking for large events held at the Pacific Raceways track. Field counts were taken at the SR-18 ramps \& SE 304th Street intersections as well at 144th Avenue SE \& SE 304th Street; delays are mild at LOS C or better and are outlined in Table 2.

For the first five years approximately $1,000,000$ cubic yards of material is to be excavated and processed with the majority of material leaving the site. An estimated trip generation was derived given typical operations associated with the excavation and removal of materials; approximately 100 trips per day can be expected which include truck transportation and employee trips. Concurrent to material removal, the project will proceed with construction of the industrial buildings. Trip generation reflecting the industrial buildings is outlined in Table 3 and is based on ITE data which suggests 1,366 trips per day with 170 of those trips occurring during the PM peak hour. As the project is anticipated to have its greatest vehicular demand with the industrial uses, delays were analyzed under a six-year horizon which assumes a complete buildout of the proposed project.

Forecast 2023 delays are anticipated to operate at LOS D or better without or with project generated traffic added to the local roadway network. During large events held at the Pacific Raceways track to the south, the project property will continue to be used for additional/overflow parking. No material processing and/or business operations will occur during events to avoid any potential conflicts. Overall, the project is not anticipated to have any significant impacts on the local roadway system.

Based on the preceding analysis, no off-site or on-site mitigation is recommended at this time.
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APPENDIX

## LEVEL OF SERVICE

The following are excerpts from the 2016 Highway Capacity Manual - Transportation Research Board Special Report 209.

Quality of service requires quantitative measures to characterize operational conditions within a traffic stream. Level of service (LOS) is a quality measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, generally in terms of such service measures as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, and comfort and convenience.

Six LOS are defined for each type of facility that has analysis procedures available. Letters designate each level, from A to $F$, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst. Each level of service represents a range of operating conditions and the driver's perception of those conditions.

## Level-of-Service definitions

The following definitions generally define the various levels of service for arterials.
Level of service A represents primarily free-flow operations at average travel speeds, usually about 90 percent of the free-flow speed for the arterial classification. Vehicles are seldom impeded in their ability to maneuver in the traffic stream. Delay at signalized intersections is minimal.

Level of service $B$ represents reasonably unimpeded operations at average travel speeds, usually about 70 percent of the free-flow speed for the arterial classification. The ability to maneuver in the traffic stream is only slightly restricted and delays are not bothersome.

Level of service $C$ represents stable operations; however, ability to maneuver and change lanes in midblock locations may be more restricted than in LOS B, and longer queues, adverse signal coordination, or both may contribute to lower average travel speeds of about 50 percent of the average free-flow speed for the arterial classification.

Level of service $D$ borders on a range in which small increases in flow may cause substantial increases in approach delay and hence decreases in arterial speed. LOS D may be due to adverse signal progression, inappropriate signal timing, high volumes, or some combination of these. Average travel speeds are about 40 percent of free-flow speed.

Level of service $E$ is characterized by significant delays and average travel speeds of onethird the free-flow speed or less. Such operations are caused by some combination of adverse progression, high signal density, high volumes, extensive delays at critical intersections, and inappropriate signal timing.

Level of service $F$ characterizes arterial flow at extremely low speeds, from less than onethird to one-quarter of the free-flow speed. Intersection congestion is likely at critical signalized locations, with long delays and extensive queuing.

These definitions are general and conceptual in nature, and they apply primarily to uninterrupted flow. Levels of service for interrupted flow facilities vary widely in terms of both the user's perception of service quality and the operational variables used to describe them.

For each type of facility, levels of service are defined based on one or more operational parameters that best describe operating quality for the subject facility type. While the concept of level of service attempts to address a wide range of operating conditions, limitations on data collection and availability make it impractical to treat the full range of operational parameters for every type of facility. The parameters selected to define levels of service for each facility type are called "measures of effectiveness" or "MOE's", and represent available measures that best describe the quality of operation on the subject facility type.

Each level of service represents a range of conditions, as defined by a range in the parameters given. Thus, a level of service is not a discrete condition, but rather a range of conditions for which boundaries are established.

The following tables describe levels of service for signalized and unsignalized intersections. Level of service for signalized intersections is defined in terms of average control delay. Delay is a measure of driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption and lost travel time, as well as time from movements at slower speeds and stops on intersection approaches as vehicles move up in queue position or slow down upstream of an intersection. Level of service for unsignalized intersections is determined by the computed or measured control delay and is determined for each minor movement.

Trip Generation Summary

Alternative: Alternative 1

| Phase: | Open Date: | $7 / 28 / 2017$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Project: | Pacific Raceways | Analysis Date: |


|  | Weekday Average Daily Trips |  |  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic |  |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE Land Use | * | Enter | Exit | Total | * | Enter | Exit | Total | * | Enter | Exit | Total |
| 130 INDUSTRIAL 1 |  | 683 | 683 | 1366 |  | 134 | 30 | 164 |  | 36 | 134 | 170 |
| 200 Gross Floor Area 1000 SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted Volume |  | 683 | 683 | 1366 |  | 134 | 30 | 164 |  | 36 | 134 | 170 |
| Internal Capture Trips |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-By Trips |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Added to Adjacent Streets |  | 683 | 683 | 1366 |  | 134 | 30 | 164 |  | 36 | 134 | 170 |

Total Weekday Average Daily Trips Internal Capture $=0$ Percent
Total Weekday AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic Internal Capture $=0$ Percent
Total Weekday PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic Internal Capture $=0$ Percent

Heath \& Associates, Inc.
2214 Tacoma Road
Puyallup, WA 98371
File Name : 3737d
Site Code : 00003737
Start Date : 2/23/2016
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Group 1

|  | SR-18 WEST RAMP Southbound |  |  | SE 304TH PL Westbound |  |  | SR-18 WEST RAMP Northbound |  |  | SE 304TH PL Eastbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:00 PM | 67 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 85 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 102 | 0 | 382 |
| 04:15 PM | 57 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 127 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 83 | 0 | 392 |
| 04:30 PM | 75 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 112 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 83 | 0 | 387 |
| 04:45 PM | 59 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 118 | 0 | 421 |
| Total | 258 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 447 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 386 | 0 | 1582 |
| 05:00 PM | 65 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 118 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 96 | 0 | 415 |
| 05:15 PM | 56 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 110 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 98 | 0 | 393 |
| 05:30 PM | 72 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 104 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 93 | 0 | 412 |
| 05:45 PM | 81 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 123 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 83 | 0 | 422 |
| Total | 274 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 455 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 492 | 370 | 0 | 1642 |
| Grand Total | 532 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 902 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 925 | 756 | 0 | 3224 |
| Apprch \% | 91.4 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 16.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.7 | 23.4 | 0.0 |  |
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|  | 144TH AVENUE SE Southbound |  |  | SE 304TH PL Westbound |  |  | 144TH AVENUE SE Northbound |  |  | SE 304TH PL Eastbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 64 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 49 | 0 | 84 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 64 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 44 | 0 | 74 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 13 | 157 | 0 | 286 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 64 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 67 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 59 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 59 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 128 | 0 | 249 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 39 | 21 | 285 | 0 | 535 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 79.6 | 6.9 | 93.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 3.9 | 53.3 | 0.0 |  |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
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|  | 144TH AVENUE SE Southbound |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE 304TH PL } \\ & \text { Westbound } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 144TH AVENUE SE Northbound |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE 304TH PL } \\ & \text { Eastbound } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. <br> Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |
| Peak Hour From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Volume | $\begin{array}{r} 04: 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{PM}_{0}$ | $0$ | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 86 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 30 | 13 | 157 | 0 | 170 | 286 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | $100 .$ | 0.0 |  | 16.7 | 0.0 | 83.3 |  | 7.6 | 92.4 | 0.0 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 04:15 } \\ \text { Volume } \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 49 | 0 | 51 | 84 |
| Peak Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.851 |
| High Int. | 3:45:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $04: 15$ 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 04:00 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 04:15 | 49 | 0 | 51 |  |
| Peak Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.860 |  |  |  | 0.577 |  |  |  | 0.833 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 381 | 507 | 29 | 469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 282 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 381 | 507 | 29 | 469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 282 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Free | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 350 | 150 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 150 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 6974 | - |  | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 393 | 523 | 30 | 484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 291 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 |  |  | 393 | 0 | 0 | 937 | 937 | 484 |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 544 | 544 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 393 | 393 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - |  |  | 4.12 | - | - | 6.42 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 5.42 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | 5.42 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - |  |  | 2.218 | - | - | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 0 |  | 1166 | - | 0 | 294 | 265 | 583 |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 | 582 | 519 |  |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 | 682 | 606 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - |  |  | 1166 | - | - | 286 | 0 | 583 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 286 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | 567 | 0 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 682 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | 1166 |  | - 286 | 583 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | 0.026 |  | - 0.087 | 0.499 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | 8.2 |  | - 18.8 | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | A | - | - C | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | 0.1 | - | - 0.3 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 314 | 127 | 88 | 27 | 37 | 416 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 314 | 127 | 88 | 27 | 37 | 416 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 200 | - | - | 200 | 0 | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 331 | 134 | 93 | 28 | 39 | 438 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ |  | 7 | F |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 162 | 13 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 162 | 13 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Free | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 400 | - | - | - | 400 | - | - |  | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 191 | 15 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 「 | \% | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 455 | 605 | 35 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | , | 337 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 455 | 605 | 35 | 560 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 337 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Free | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 350 | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 150 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 6974 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 469 | 624 | 36 | 577 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 347 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 |  |  | 469 | 0 | 0 | 1118 | 1118 | 577 |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 649 | 649 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 469 | 469 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - |  |  | 4.12 | - | - | 6.42 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | 5.42 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | 5.42 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - |  | - | 2.218 | - | - | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1093 | - | 0 | 229 | 207 | 516 |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 | 520 | 466 |  |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 | 630 | 561 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - |  |  | 1093 | - | - | 221 | 0 | 516 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 221 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 503 | 0 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 630 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  | 25.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | 1093 |  | - 221 | 516 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.033 |  | 0.135 | 0.673 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | 8.4 |  | 23.8 | 25.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | A | - | - C | D |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | 0.1 |  | 0.5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ¢ |  | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 193 | 16 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 193 | 16 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Free | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 400 | - | - | - | 400 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 227 | 19 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 125 | 0 | - |  | 227 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 352 | 352 | 227 | 356 | 352 | 125 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | 227 | 227 | - | 125 | 125 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 125 | 125 |  | 231 | 227 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - |  | 4.12 | - |  | - |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | 6.12 | 5.52 |  | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - |  | 2.218 | - |  |  |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1462 | - | 0 |  | 1341 | - |  | - |  | 603 | 573 | 812 | 599 | 573 | 926 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | 0 |  | - | - |  | - |  | 776 | 716 | - | 879 | 792 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 |  | - | - |  |  | - | 879 | 792 | - | 772 | 716 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1462 | - | - |  | 1341 | - |  |  |  | 603 | 573 | 812 | 594 | 573 | 926 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | 603 | 573 | - | 594 | 573 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | 776 | 716 | - | 879 | 792 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | 879 | 792 | - | 765 | 716 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT |  | WBR | SBLn1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 603 | 812 | 1462 | - | 1341 | - |  |  | - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.06 | 0.009 | - | - | - |  |  |  | - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11.4 | 9.5 | 0 | - | 0 | - |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A | - | A | - |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |  | - | - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 | 「 | 7 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 457 | 605 | 88 | 567 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | , | 337 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 457 | 605 | 88 | 567 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 337 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Free | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 350 | 150 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 150 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 6974 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 471 | 624 | 91 | 585 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 347 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 |  |  | 471 | 0 | 0 | 1238 | 1238 | 585 |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 767 | 767 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 471 | 471 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - |  |  | 4.16 | - | - | 6.46 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 5.46 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | 5.46 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - |  |  | 2.254 | - | - | 3.554 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | 0 |  | 1070 | - | 0 | 190 | 176 | 511 |
| Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 | 451 | 411 |  |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 |  | - | - | 0 | 620 | 560 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - |  |  | 1070 | - | - | 174 | 0 | 511 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 174 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 413 | 0 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 620 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |  | 26.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBL | WBT | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | 1070 | - | 174 | 511 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.085 |  | 0.29 | 0.68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | 8.7 | - | 33.9 | 25.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | A | - | D | D |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | 0.3 |  | 1.1 | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 375 | 174 | 165 | 106 | 58 | 497 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 375 | 174 | 165 | 106 | 58 | 497 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 200 | - | - | 200 | 0 | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 395 | 183 | 174 | 112 | 61 | 523 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | ¢ |  | 7 | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 193 | 52 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 193 | 52 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Free | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 400 | - | - | - | 400 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 227 | 61 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



