IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

KING COUNTY

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| STATE OF WASHINGTON,  Plaintiff v. Defendant |  | )))))))))) | No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_MOTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR EXPERT WITNESS AND SERVICES FUNDING REQUESTCLERK’S ACTION REQUIRED |

MOTION

The defendant, requests a protective order limiting the disclosure of the following documents and the information contained in these documents:

1. ,

dated .

1. ,

dated .

1. ,

dated .

1. ,

dated .

These documents were provided to the Department of Public Defense (DPD) and the records and information concerning these documents will be provided to various agencies in the future to conduct financial and administrative business.

 This request is made pursuant to the Washington Supreme Court decision in *Yakima County v. Yakima Herald-Republic* 170 Wn. 2d 775 (2011). The Supreme Court ruled that documents prepared by court personnel in connection with court cases and maintained by the court are judicial documents governed by the court rules for disclosure and not the Public Records Act (PRA). In addition, such documents when transferred to non-judicial county entities, are governed by the PRA unless they are subject to a protective order.

 The documents listed above, contain information that is work product and confidential under CrR 3.1(f). They outline the defense theory of its case and identify potential experts. Thus, pursuant to Supreme Court case law, the defense requests that a protective order be issued limiting the disclosure of the documents listed above. The protective order should place restrictions on various agencies and government departments from releasing any of these materials or any information contained in these materials.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Attorney for Defendant

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that any King County records or information that concern the above-mentioned documents and are released to a King County Agency or Department for administrative purposes, the Washington State Auditor, the Washington State Department of Revenue, the Internal Revenue Services pursuant to state or federal law, or a state or county government financial institution for payment purposes are subject to a protective order and, upon proper service of this order, shall not be released to any requestor, including pursuant to a PRA request, to the King County Prosecuting Attorney’s Office Criminal Division, or to any governmental agency responsible for the investigation or prosecution of the above-listed defendant, until further order of the court. Defense counsel is responsible for effecting service.

 DONE this day of , 20 .

 JUDGE

Presented by:

Attorney for the Defendant