

May 30, 1996

OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
700 Central Building
810 Third Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone (206) 296-4660
Facsimile (206) 296-1654

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE KING COUNTY COUNCIL.

SUBJECT: Department of Natural Resources,
Natural Resources Division File No. **E95CT107**
Proposed Ordinance No. **96-369**

Open Space Taxation (Public Benefit Rating System)
Application of **WILSON NOBLE CROMPTON &**
ERNEST & BARBARA DIETRICH

Location: 7609 NE 155th Place, Bothell
7633 NE 155th Place, Bothell

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Department's Preliminary:	Approve, subject to conditions
Department's Final:	Approve, subject to conditions (modified)
Examiner:	Approve, subject to conditions (modified)

PRELIMINARY REPORT:

The Department of Natural Resources, Natural Resources Division Preliminary Report on Item No. E95CT107 was received by the Examiner on April 26, 1996.

PUBLIC HEARING:

After reviewing the Department of Natural Resources, Natural Resources Division Report and examining available information on file with the application, the Examiner conducted a public hearing on the subject as follows:

The hearing on Item No. E95CT107 was opened by the Examiner at 11:03 a.m., May 10, 1996, in Hearing Room No. 1, Department of Development and Environmental Services, 3600 - 136th Place S.E., Suite A, Bellevue, Washington, and adjourned at 11:15 a.m. The hearing was administratively continued until receipt of Exhibit No. 13 (site map) and revised acreage recommendation, whereupon the hearing record closed May 24, 1996. Participants at the public hearing and the exhibits offered and entered are listed in the attached minutes. A verbatim recording of the hearing is available in the office of the King County Hearing Examiner.

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATION: Having reviewed the record in this matter, the Examiner now makes and enters the following:

FINDINGS:

1. This application began with four property owners: Crompton, White, Dietrich and Moshier. Prior to public hearing, the application of **Roger Moshier** was WITHDRAWN and is not addressed here. During the administrative continuance following the public hearing, the application of **Fred C. White** was also WITHDRAWN and is not addressed here.
2. General Information:

Owner:	Wilson Noble Crompton 7609 NE 155th Place Bothell, WA 98011-4677
	Ernest & Barbara Dietrich 7633 NE 155th Place Bothell, WA 98011-4677
Location:	7609 NE 155th Place & 7633 NE 155th Place, Bothell
Zoning:	R8P
Acreage:	Property Total: 3.24 acres
	Subject to Application:
	Crompton: 12,000 square feet
	Dietrich: 80,131 square feet
	Recommended: 2.12 acres (92,131 sq. ft.)
STR:	NW-SE-13-26-04 & SW-NE-13-26-04
Subject of Request:	Priority Resources: Active or passive recreation area; surface water quality buffer area; rural or low density open space close to urban or growth areas; urban or growth area open space; significant wildlife, plant & salmonid habitat; significant aquatic ecosystem
	Bonus Categories: Bonus surface water quality buffer area; contiguous parcels
	Public Access: Limited access - due to resource sensitivity
3. The final recommendation from the Natural Resources Division is the same as its Preliminary Report dated May 10, 1996 (Exhibit No. 4), except that the Division now makes no recommendation regarding the withdrawn applications (see Finding No. 1, above). Additionally, the Division revises its Preliminary Report to exclude information regarding the withdrawn applications.
 - A. Any reference to Parcel No. 563150-0595 contained in the Division's Report is deleted.
 - B. The total acreage indicated in Finding No. 2, above, is revised downward from 4.51 acres.
4. Except as modified herein, the facts set forth in the King County Department of Natural Resources, Natural Resources Division Preliminary Report to the King County Hearing Examiner for the May 10, 1996 public hearing are found to be correct and are incorporated herein by this reference. Copies of the said Report will be attached to the copies of this Report submitted to the King County Council.

CONCLUSIONS:

1. Approval of the CROMPTON and DIETRICH applications, pursuant to the Public Benefit Rating System adopted by King County Ordinance No. 10511, would be consistent with the purposes and intent of King County to maintain, preserve, conserve and otherwise continue in existence adequate open space lands and to assure the use and enjoyment of natural resources and scenic beauty for the economic and social well-being of King County and its citizens.
2. Timely application has been made to King County for the current use valuation of the subject property to begin in 1997. Notice of said application was given in the manner required by law.
3. The subject property contains priority open space resources and is entitled to bonus points pursuant to the King County Public Benefit Rating System, which justify total awards and current use values as follows:
 - a. Crompton: 12 points; current use value, 40% of market value; 12,000 square feet acres of the subject property.
 - b. Dietrich: 22 points; current use value, 20% of market value; 1.84 acres of the subject property.

RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE the request of WILSON NOBLE CROMPTON and ERNEST & BARBARA DIETRICH as indicated in Conclusion No. 3, above, subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicants shall provide to the King County Assessor a legal description, or adequate information to enable the Assessor to develop a legal description, of the improved portion of the property which shall remain assessed at 100% of market value, and the portion of the subject property which shall be assessed at its current use valuation: Crompton, 12,000 square feet; Dietrich, approximately 1.84 acres.
2. Current use valuation shall be subject to all terms and conditions of RCW 84.34 and King County Code Chapter 20.36, as the same may be amended from time to time, and all regulations and rules duly adopted to implement State law and County ordinances pertaining to current use valuation.
3. Within thirty (30) days of the date of approval of this application by the King County Council, the applicant shall sign an agreement which accepts the conditions of approval set forth herein and meets the requirements of WAC 458-30-240. The following conditions shall be specifically set forth in the agreement:
 - (1) Failure of the owner to comply with these conditions shall be basis for removal, by King County, of the current use designation, in which case the land shall be subject to the penalty, tax, and interest provisions of RCW 84.34 and assessed at true and fair value. The County Assessor and the Natural Resources Division may reevaluate the property to determine whether removal of the open space designation is appropriate. Removal shall follow the process in RCW 84.34.108.
 - (2) Revisions to this agreement may only occur upon mutual

written approval of the owner and granting authority.

- (3) The open space classification for this land will continue as long as it is primarily devoted to and used for the purpose of protecting open space. Classification will be removed if dedication to this purpose ceases to exist. A change in circumstances which diminishes the extent of public benefit from that generally outlined in the Natural Resources Division Preliminary Report to the King County Hearing Examiner will be cause for removal of the current use assessment classification. It is the owner's responsibility to notify the Assessor of a change in circumstance.
- (4) When a portion of the open space land is withdrawn or removed from the program, the Natural Resources Division and the Assessor shall reevaluate the remaining land to determine its continued qualification under the program. If the remaining portion meets the criteria for priority resources, it may continue under current use taxation.
- (5) Except as otherwise stated in this agreement, there shall be no alteration of the open space land or resources. **Any alteration may constitute a change of use and subject the property to the additional tax, interest, and penalty provisions of RCW 84.34.080.** "Alteration" means any human-induced action that adversely impacts the existing condition of the open space land or resources including but not limited to the following:
 - a. erecting structures;
 - b. grading;
 - c. filling;
 - d. dredging;
 - e. channelizing;
 - f. modifying land or hydrology for surface water management purposes;
 - g. cutting, pruning, limbing or topping, clearing, planting, introducing, relocating or removing vegetation, however, selective cutting may be permitted for firewood;
 - h. applying herbicides or pesticides or any hazardous or toxic substance;
 - i. discharging pollutants except stormwater;
 - j. paving, construction, application of gravel;
 - k. storing of equipment, household supplies, play equipment, or compost;
 - l. engaging in any other activity that adversely impacts the existing vegetation, hydrology, wildlife, wildlife habitat, or other open space resource.
 - m. (Walking, horseback riding, passive recreation or actions taken in conjunction with a resource restoration plan, or other similar activities are permitted.)

- (6) Subject to the conditions and restrictions set forth in Section 5, the following uses, activities and alterations are permitted, following receipt of written approval as set forth below:
- a. In areas which have become infested by noxious weeds, the owner shall submit a control and enhancement plan to the King County Natural Resources Division and local jurisdiction for approval prior to removing the weeds.
 - b. In areas invaded by non-native invasive species, replacement with native species or other appropriate vegetation may be allowed subject to approval of an enhancement plan by the King County Natural Resources Division.
 - c. Trees posing a hazard to structures or major roads may be removed. Any trees removed must be replaced.
- (7) There shall be no motorized vehicle driving or parking allowed on the open space land with the exception of along driveways.
- (8) Grazing of livestock shall be prohibited on the open space land.
4. Natural Resources Division current use taxation application file number E95CT107, **as approved**, is comprised of two separate properties. If one lot owner withdraws or abrogates any conditions of approval, the eligibility and participation of the other property described by this application file shall not be affected, EXCEPT that the Public Benefit Rating System bonus point award for "contiguous properties" may be lost for the remaining property.

RECOMMENDED this 30th day of May, 1996.

R.S. Titus, Deputy
King County Hearing Examiner

TRANSMITTED this 30th day of May, 1996, to the following parties and interested persons:

Wilson Noble Crompton
Ernest Dietrich
Fred White
Roger Moshier

George Kritsonis, Tax Assessor's Office
Ted Sullivan, Natural Resources Division
Charlie Sundberg, Cultural Resources Division
Connie Walton, Natural Resources Division
Stephanie Warden, Metropolitan King County Council Administration

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL
AND ADDITIONAL ACTION REQUIRED

In order to appeal the recommendation of the Examiner, written notice of appeal must be filed with the Clerk of the King County Council with a fee of \$125.00 (check payable to King County Office of Finance) on or before June 13, 1996. If a notice of appeal is filed, the original and 6 copies of a written appeal statement specifying the basis for the appeal and argument in

support of the appeal must be filed with the Clerk of the King County Council on or before June 20, 1996. Appeal statements may refer only to facts contained in the hearing record; new facts may not be presented on appeal.

Filing requires actual delivery to the Office of the Clerk of the Council, Room 403, King County Courthouse, prior to the close of business (4:30 p.m.) on the date due. Prior mailing is not sufficient if actual receipt by the Clerk does not occur within the applicable time period. The Examiner does not have authority to extend the time period unless the Office of the Clerk is not open on the specified closing date, in which event delivery prior to the close of business on the next business day is sufficient to meet the filing requirement.

If a written notice of appeal and filing fee are not filed within 14 days calendar days of the date of this report, or if a written appeal statement and argument are not filed within 21 calendar days of the date of this report, the Clerk of the Council shall place a proposed ordinance which implements the Examiner's recommended action on the agenda of the next available Council meeting. At that meeting, the Council may adopt the Examiner's recommendation, may defer action, may refer the matter to a Council committee, or may remand to the Examiner for further hearing or further consideration.

Action of the Council Final. The action of the Council approving or adopting a recommendation of the Examiner shall be final and conclusive unless within twenty (20) days from the date of the action an aggrieved party or person applies for a writ of certiorari from the Superior Court in and for the County of King, State of Washington, for the purpose of review of the action taken.

MINUTES OF THE MAY 10, 1996 PUBLIC HEARING ON DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FILE NO. E95CT107 - CROMPTON/DIETRICH:

R.S. Titus was the Hearing Examiner in this matter. Participating in the hearing were Ted Sullivan and Ernest Dietrich.

The following exhibits were offered and entered into the record:

Exhibit No. 1	Not offered
Exhibit No. 2	Not offered
Exhibit No. 3	Not offered
Exhibit No. 4	Department of Natural Resources, Natural Resources Division Preliminary Report to the King County Hearing Examiner for the May 10, 1996 public hearing
Exhibit No. 5	Introductory Ordinance to Council
Exhibit No. 6	Affidavit of Publication
Exhibit No. 7	Legal Notice to Council
Exhibit No. 8	Notification to Applicant of Hearing
Exhibit No. 9	Application Signed/Notarized
Exhibit No. 10	Legal Description
Exhibit No. 11	Assessor Map
Exhibit No. 12	King County Situs Report
Exhibit No. 13	Site Map
Exhibit No. 14	Arcview Map
Exhibit No. 15	TRW Property Profile
Exhibit No. 16	Not offered
Exhibit No. 17	Environmental Review (Dietrich) by Adolfson Associates, Inc.
Exhibit No. 18	Correspondence dated April 13, 1996, from Dietrichs to Ted Sullivan
Exhibit No. 19	Notice of Hearing, Office of Hearing Examiner

Entered pursuant to administrative continuance:

Exhibit No. 20	Memo dated May 24, 1996 from Ted Sullivan to
----------------	--

Hearing Examiner

RST:gb

\curr-use\95\95ct107.rpt