

King County Department of Development and Environmental Services 3600 - 136th Place Southeast Bellevuc, Washington 98006-1400

TO: Bob Derrick

Greg Kipp Terry Brunner

Harold Vandergriff

Mike Sinsky

Gary Kohler Lisa Pringle Anna Nelson Ken Dinsmore

Ikuno Masterson

FM: Jerry Balcom P 11/28/94

RE: Minutes of the November 4, 1994 Regulatory Review Committee
Meeting

Present: Terry Brunner, Laura Casey, Greg Borba, Susan Storwick, Gary Kohler, Ken Dinsmore, Gordon Thomson, Harold Vandergriff

1. Are alterations to wetlands allowed through the variance process? (K.C.C. 21.58.020 and K.C.C. 21A.44.030 (M))

K.C.C. 21.58.020 <u>does not</u> restrict the use of the variance process with regard to wetlands. The new zoning code, however allows a variance <u>only</u> from the wetland buffer (K.C.C. 21A.44.030(M)). The new code is therefore more restrictive with regard to allowing variances from wetland protection requirements. Of course, under either code, the applicant must meet the general requirements for variance approval, which are designed to limit variances to cases of unusual hardship.

 Does Title 21A require CUP for expansion of an existing nonconforming school bus base? (K.C.C. 21A.32.090)

K.C.C. 21A.32.090 states clearly that a nonconformance may be expanded only subject to the approval of a CUP or SUP, whichever, is required by the zone in which the use would be located. The discussion, however, quickly shifted to K.C.C. 21A.32.030 (B) regarding the determination of status of a nonconformance when there has been a change in the required permit review process, and the extent to which there is an administrative "threshold" for determining how much of an expansion triggers a CUP. The group decided to continue the discussion to the November 18, 1994 RRC meeting which will be attended by the King County PA.

